

# Stress Fractures: Diagnosis and Management in the Primary Care Setting

Dilip R. Patel, MD, FAAP, FACS, FAACPDM, FSAM

## KEYWORDS

- Stress reaction
- Low-risk stress fractures
- High-risk stress fractures

Stress fracture represents an overuse injury of the bone, and is one stage on a continuum of stress injury of the bone.<sup>1–7</sup> Stress injury of bone can be either a fatigue reaction (or fracture) or insufficiency reaction (or fracture). Fatigue fracture results from cumulative microfractures because of excessive repetitive strain to a structurally normal bone.<sup>7</sup> Insufficiency fracture can result from normal stress to a structurally abnormal bone.<sup>7</sup> In otherwise healthy adolescent athletes, stress injury to the bone is typically a fatigue reaction or fracture. Individuals with disorders that affect bone structure, such as metabolic bone disease or osteoporosis, are at risk for insufficiency fracture.

Repetitive, excessive stress results in microfractures within the bone.<sup>5–7</sup> This often occurs within 6 to 8 weeks of rapid increase in physical activity, not allowing sufficient time for bone remodeling and adaptation to stress. Continued stress to the bone can lead to propagation of microfracture and eventual macrofracture. The pathogenesis of stress injury to the bone is multifactorial (**Table 1**).<sup>7–42</sup>

## EPIDEMIOLOGY

Snyder and colleagues<sup>43</sup> extensively reviewed epidemiologic studies on stress fractures in athletes. It is difficult to generalize data from different studies because of methodological differences among them. Factors that influence the acquisition, results, and interpretation of data include differences in definition of injury exposure, study designs, definition of injury, and accuracy and method of diagnosis (clinical, radiological). Given these limitations, several conclusions are drawn.<sup>43</sup>

Stress fractures affect 1.0% to 2.6% of college athletes.<sup>44–46</sup> Of the recreational or competitive athletes who visit a sports medicine or orthopedic clinic, 0.5% to

---

Department of Pediatrics and Human Development, Michigan State University College of Human Medicine, Kalamazoo Center for Medical Studies, 1000 Oakland Drive, Kalamazoo, MI 49008, USA

E-mail address: [patel@kcms.msu.edu](mailto:patel@kcms.msu.edu)

Pediatr Clin N Am 57 (2010) 819–827

doi:10.1016/j.pcl.2010.03.004

[pediatric.theclinics.com](http://pediatric.theclinics.com)

0031-3955/10/\$ – see front matter © 2010 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

| Table 1<br>Factors associated with increased risk for stress injury of the bone |                                                                                                    |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Training related                                                                | High training volume, rapid increase in training volume                                            |
| Footwear                                                                        | Poor shock absorption, old shoes (more than 6 mo old), poor shoe-fit (especially in women)         |
| Training surface                                                                | Uneven running surface, hard surface (equivocal evidence)                                          |
| Gender                                                                          | Female gender, may be secondary to other factors such as hormonal and nutritional                  |
| Race                                                                            | Higher in white and Asian women than in African American women                                     |
| Fitness level                                                                   | Poor muscle strength and endurance                                                                 |
| Bone mineral density                                                            | Low bone mineral density                                                                           |
| Bone geometry                                                                   | Reduced bone cross-sectional areas and bone resistance to bending                                  |
| Anatomic                                                                        | Equivocal evidence for rigid pes cavus, leg-length discrepancy, genu valgus, and increased Q angle |
| Hormonal                                                                        | Hypoestrogenic states in female athletes, delayed menarche, amenorrhea, oligomenorrhea             |
| Nutritional                                                                     | Low calcium intake, low vitamin D intake (equivocal evidence), energy deficit                      |

7.8% have stress fractures.<sup>47,48</sup> Data are not sufficient to estimate accurately the incidence of stress fracture by type of sport; however, most data suggest highest incidence in track and field and long-distance running.<sup>14,49,50</sup> The cumulative annual incidence of stress fractures in track and field athletes is reported to be 8.7% to 21.1%.<sup>14,49</sup> In track and field athletes, stress fractures account for 6% to 20% of all injuries. Of runners seen in an orthopedic clinic, stress fractures accounted for 15.6% of all injuries. Athletes in certain sports are more at risk for specific stress fractures (Table 2).<sup>1,5</sup>

Although a significantly higher incidence of stress fractures is reported in certain groups of female athletes, overall data provide equivocal evidence to support female

| Table 2<br>Stress fracture risk by sport |                                                                 |
|------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------|
| Fracture Site                            | Sport                                                           |
| Tibia                                    | Aerobics, basketball, ballet dancing, running, soccer, swimming |
| Fibula                                   | Aerobics, running, skating                                      |
| Femur                                    | Jumping activities                                              |
| Calcaneus                                | Basketball, other jumping activities                            |
| Metatarsal                               | Soccer, swimming                                                |
| Patella                                  | Baseball, basketball                                            |
| Pubic ramus                              | Fencing, jumping, running                                       |
| Pars interarticularis                    | Gymnastics                                                      |
| Ribs                                     | Baseball                                                        |
| Scapula                                  | Baseball                                                        |
| Humerus                                  | Baseball, cricket                                               |
| Ulna                                     | Curling, javelin, tennis                                        |
| Metacarpal                               | Handball, tennis                                                |

gender as an independent risk factor for stress fractures.<sup>43</sup> Similarly, age has not been found to be an independent risk factor for stress fractures.<sup>43</sup> Stress fractures are most frequently reported in lower extremities; tibia being most affected followed by metatarsals and fibula.<sup>51,52</sup> Stress fractures of upper extremities are rare. The duration from time of diagnosis to return to play varies depending on the type, site, and grade of severity of the fracture, and ranges from 7 to 17 weeks.<sup>44,51,52</sup>

## DIAGNOSIS

Activity-related, insidious onset of pain that is localized to the affected area is the cardinal presenting symptom of stress fracture.<sup>1</sup> Initially, the pain is reduced or transiently relieved with rest, allowing the athlete to continue the activity; however, progression of stress injury results in increased intensity of pain and functional deterioration or limitation of activity, which prompts the athlete to seek medical attention.<sup>6,53–55</sup> Pain from stress fracture is usually described as dull aching, and in the case of lower extremity injury is often aggravated by weight bearing. Onset, duration, progression, and modifying factors for the pain should be characterized. Additional history should ascertain information about other possible contributing factors for stress factors as listed in **Table 3**.<sup>5,7,55</sup> The affected area is usually tender to palpation. In the case of lower extremity fractures, the athlete may have a limp because of increased pain on weight bearing. If the fracture is in close proximity to a joint or involves a joint, pain is aggravated on joint movement.

Plain radiography is the initial study for confirming diagnosis of a stress fracture.<sup>56</sup> Plain radiographs have a high rate of false negative results because the findings suggestive of stress injury of the bone are generally not evident on plain radiographs for 2 to 4 weeks after the onset of pain.<sup>5,56,57</sup>

Notwithstanding the expense and accessibility, magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) has been shown to be most useful in the diagnosis of stress injury of the bone, and abnormal findings can be detected as early as within 1 to 2 days of injury.<sup>56,58–65</sup> MRI is useful in delineating the differential diagnosis of stress fracture that includes soft

**Table 3**  
**Main points in history**

|                   |                                                                                                                                         |
|-------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Pain              | Onset, duration, quality, progression, modifying factors, radiation, location, associated symptoms such as tingling, numbness, weakness |
| Training regimen  | Recent increase in intensity, type of activity or sport, running surface                                                                |
| Footwear          | Type of shoes, proper fit, how old, history of use of orthotics, inserts                                                                |
| Medical history   | Known disorders that affect bone health, osteopenia, metabolic bone disease                                                             |
| Medications       | Use of corticosteroid or other drugs that increase risk for osteopenia, use of depomedroxy progesterone                                 |
| Performance       | Anabolic androgenic steroids, growth hormone, other enhancing agents                                                                    |
| Nutrition         | Caloric intake, calcium intake, vitamin D intake, weight loss                                                                           |
| Menstrual history | Onset of menarche, amenorrhea, oligomenorrhea                                                                                           |
| Stress fractures  | Details of any previous stress fractures                                                                                                |
| Systemic symptoms | Fever, rash, joint pain, undue fatigue, unintended weight loss, loss of appetite                                                        |

tissue injuries affecting the same area, and more ominous conditions such as bone malignancy and osteomyelitis. Arendt and Griffiths<sup>58</sup> have classified stress injury of the bone into 4 grades (**Table 4**). The MRI grading system has been found to correlate with or has a prognostic significance for time to healing and return to play. Studies have shown that the average duration of recovery time for grade 1 stress injury is 3.3 weeks, whereas it is 14.3 weeks for grade 4 injury.<sup>6,58</sup> MRI-based grading of stress injury of the bone has also been found to be useful in guiding management of stress fractures.

The application of ultrasonography in the diagnosis of musculoskeletal disorders is increasing. Ultrasonography has been shown to be useful in the diagnosis of stress fractures of more superficial bones such as distal tibia and bones of the foot.<sup>56</sup> The acuity of the fracture can be assessed by power Doppler ultrasonography, which provides a semiquantitative estimate of bone turnover.<sup>56</sup>

Computed tomography (CT) can be used in patients in whom MRI is contraindicated. CT is sensitive in detecting stress injury of the bone and in differentiating stress fractures from other lesions of the bone such as osteoid osteoma.<sup>56</sup> CT scan, especially single-photon emission CT (SPECT), has been found to be sensitive in detecting pars interarticularis stress fractures (spondylolysis).

Nuclear medicine scintigraphy is highly sensitive for evaluation of bone turnover and, therefore, for detecting stress reactions 3 to 5 days after onset of pain; however, findings are nonspecific for stress fractures.<sup>56</sup> It also necessitates injection of a radioactive tracer with potential associated risks.

MANAGEMENT

Management of stress fractures is guided by consideration of several factors. It is important to first recognize whether the fracture is at a high-risk (**Box 1**) or low-risk site (**Table 5**).<sup>2,3</sup> In general, when a high-risk stress fracture is suspected or identified, orthopedic or sports medicine consultation is recommended, although this decision may be tempered by personal experience of the primary care physician and the site and severity of the fracture. While awaiting further definitive evaluation and treatment, the athlete is advised to rest from athletic activity. In case of lower extremity fractures, he or she is placed on non-weight-bearing status. Fractures at high-risk sites are at high risk for progression to complete fracture, delayed union, nonunion, and avascular necrosis.<sup>3,6,54</sup>

| Table 4<br>Arendt and Griffiths grading of stress injury of bone based on MRI findings |                                                                 |                                         |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|
| Grade of Stress Injury                                                                 | MRI Findings                                                    | Duration of Rest Needed for Healing, wk |
| Grade 1                                                                                | Positive STIR image                                             | 3                                       |
| Grade 2                                                                                | Positive STIR plus positive T2-weighted images                  | 3–6                                     |
| Grade 3                                                                                | Positive T1- and T2-weighted images; no definite cortical break | 12–16                                   |
| Grade 4                                                                                | Positive T1- and T2-weighted images; fracture line visible      | 16                                      |

*Abbreviation:* STIR, Short Tau Inversion Recovery or short T1 inversion recovery.  
*Data from* Arendt EA, Griffiths HJ. The use of MR imaging in the assessment and clinical management of stress reactions of bone in high-performance athletes. *Clin Sports Med* 1997;16(2):292–306, Table 2, p. 293.

**Box 1****Stress fractures at high-risk sites**

Femoral neck  
 Anterior cortex of tibia  
 Medial malleolus  
 Tarsal navicular  
 Base of second metatarsal  
 Talus  
 Patella  
 Sesmoids of great toe  
 Fifth metatarsal

Most athletes with fractures at low-risk sites can be managed in the primary care setting. In addition to the site of the fracture, timing of the fracture in relation to the sports season, and MRI grading when available are considered in the acute management and return to play decisions.<sup>6,53,54</sup> Athletes with fractures at low-risk sites toward the end of a sports season or during the off-season are recommended to rest from activity that causes pain.<sup>6</sup> For lower extremity fractures, some athletes may need a period of non-weight bearing.<sup>4</sup> The athlete is allowed alternative activities such as swimming or cycling. Athletes in the middle of a sports season may desire to continue to play and finish the season.<sup>5,6,54</sup> These athletes who present with pain that is not limiting their ability to function may be allowed to continue to participate in the sport within the limits of pain tolerance and acuity.<sup>6,53–55</sup> If the intensity of pain increases with continued activity or functional limitation occurs, sports participation is discontinued and the athlete is recommended to rest.

The time to heal and return to play for stress fractures ranges from 6 to 10 weeks depending on multiple factors including the site of injury, grade of injury severity, or other associated risk factors.<sup>6,53–55</sup> Evidence to support use of ultrasound, electrical and electromagnetic fields, and bisphosphonates to enhance stress fracture healing is limited and equivocal.<sup>54,66</sup> Some studies suggest that use of nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs may delay fracture healing.<sup>66</sup> In the management of athletes with stress fractures, the possible risk factors that contribute to pathogenesis should be carefully reviewed and modified where possible to reduce future risk. Ensure adequate nutrition, caloric intake, and calcium and vitamin D intake. Adolescents need 1300 mg per day of calcium and 400 IU of vitamin D daily.

In addition to stress fractures, the differential diagnosis of leg pain includes exertional compartment syndrome, medial tibial stress syndrome, osteomyelitis, and

**Table 5****Stress fractures at low-risk sites**

|                 |                                                                            |
|-----------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Upper extremity | Clavicle, scapula, humerus, olecranon, ulna, radius, scaphoid, metacarpals |
| Lower extremity | Femoral shaft, tibial shaft, fibula, calcaneus, metatarsal shaft           |
| Thorax          | Ribs                                                                       |
| Spine           | Pars interarticularis, sacrum                                              |
| Pelvis          | Pubic rami                                                                 |

bone malignancy. Any adolescent with bone pain should be evaluated carefully to establish the cause of the pain. In addition to imaging, initial laboratory studies may include comprehensive metabolic panel, complete blood count, and erythrocyte sedimentation rate.

The athlete can resume unrestricted sports participation once she or he is pain free and has normal findings on examination.<sup>6,53–55</sup> Routine follow-up imaging studies are not indicated in most cases.

## SUMMARY

Stress fractures in adolescent athletes are common injuries seen in practice. Stress fractures most frequently affect lower extremities and are most common in long-distance runners and track and field athletes. Diagnosis is based mainly on clinical evaluation. MRI is the study of choice for further delineating the stress injury of the bone. Most stress fractures that involve low-risk sites can be managed conservatively in the primary care setting and heal in 6 to 10 weeks.

## REFERENCES

1. Matheson GO, Clement DB, McKenzie DC, et al. Stress fracture in athletes. *Am J Sports Med* 1987;15:46–58.
2. Boden BP, Osbahr DC, Jimenez C. Low-risk stress fractures. *Am J Sports Med* 2001;29(1):100–11.
3. Boden BP, Osbahr DC. High-risk stress fractures: evaluation and treatment. *Am Acad Orthop Surg* 2000;8(6):344–53.
4. Harmon K. Lower extremity stress fractures. *Clin J Sport Med* 2003;13(6):358–64.
5. Bolin D, Kemper A, Brolinson G. Current concepts in the evaluation and management of stress fractures. *Curr Rep Sport Med* 2005;4:295–300.
6. Diehl JJ, Best TM, Kaeding CC. Classification and return-to-play considerations for stress fractures. *Clin Sports Med* 2006;25:17–28.
7. Pepper M, Akuthota V, McCarty EC. The pathophysiology of stress fractures. *Clin Sports Med* 2006;26:1–16.
8. Frey C. Footwear and stress fractures. *Clin Sports Med* 1997;16(2):249–57.
9. Gardner LI Jr, Dziados JE, Jones BH, et al. Prevention of lower extremity stress fractures: a controlled trial of a shock absorbent insole. *Am J Public Health* 1988;78(12):1563–7.
10. Finestone A, Giladi M, Elad H, et al. Prevention of stress fractures using custom biomechanical shoe orthosis. *Clin Orthop* 1999;360:182–90.
11. Gillespie WJ, Grant I. Interventions for preventing and treating stress fractures and stress reactions of bone of the lower limbs in young adults. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2000;2:CD000450.
12. Ekenman I, Milgrom C, Finestone A, et al. The role of biomechanical shoe orthosis in tibial stress fracture prevention. *Am J Sports Med* 2002;30(6):866–70.
13. Milgrom C, Finestone A, Segev S, et al. Are overground or treadmill runners more likely to sustain tibial stress fracture? *Br J Sports Med* 2003;37(2):160–3.
14. Bennell KL, Malcolm SA, Thomas SA, et al. The incidence and distribution of stress fractures in competitive track and field athletes: a twelve-month prospective study. *Am J Sports Med* 1996;24(2):211–7.
15. Hickey GJ, Fricker PA, McDonald WA. Injuries to elite rowers over a 10-yr period. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 1997;29(12):1567–72.
16. Zernicke RF, McNitt G, Fray J, et al. Stress fracture risk assessment among elite collegiate women runners. *J Biomech* 1994;27:854.

17. Brudvig TJ, Gudger TD, Obermeyer L. Stress fractures in 295 trainees: a one-year study of incidence as related to age, sex, and race. *Mil Med* 1983;148(8):666–7.
18. Milgrom C, Finestone A, Shlamkovitch N, et al. Youth is a risk factor for stress fracture: a study of 783 infantry recruits. *J Bone Joint Surg Br* 1994;76(1):20–2.
19. Winfield AC, Moore J, Bracker M, et al. Risk factors associated with stress reactions in female Marines. *Mil Med* 1997;162(10):698–702.
20. Vaitkevicius H, Witt R, Maasdam M, et al. Ethnic differences in titratable acid excretion and bone mineralization. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 2002;34(2):295–302.
21. Swissa A, Milgrom C, Giladi M, et al. The effect of pretraining sports activity on the incidence of stress fractures among military recruits: a prospective study. *Clin Orthop* 1989;245:256–60.
22. Bennell KL, Malcolm SA, Thomas SA, et al. Risk factors for stress fractures in track and field athletes: a twelve-month prospective study. *Am J Sports Med* 1996;24(6):810–8.
23. Giladi M, Milgrom C, Stein M, et al. External rotation of the hip: a predictor of risk for stress fractures. *Clin Orthop* 1987;216:131–4.
24. Myburg KH, Hutchins J, Fataar AB, et al. Low bone density is an etiologic factor for stress fractures in athletes. *Ann Intern Med* 1990;113(10):754–9.
25. Marx RG, Saint-Phard D, Callahan LR, et al. Stress fracture sites related to underlying bone health in athletic females. *Clin J Sport Med* 2001;11(2):73–6.
26. Cobb KL, Bachrach LK, Greendale G, et al. Disordered eating, menstrual irregularity, and bone mineral density in female runners. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 2003;35(5):711–9.
27. Beck TJ, Ruff CB, Mourtada FA, et al. Dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry derived structural geometry for stress fracture prediction in male US Marine Corps recruits. *J Bone Miner Res* 1996;11(5):645–53.
28. Milgrom C, Giladi M, Simkin A, et al. An analysis of the biomechanical mechanism of tibial stress fractures among Israeli infantry recruits: a prospective study. *Clin Orthop* 1988;231:216–21.
29. Giladi M, Milgrom C, Stein M. The low arch, a protective factor in stress fractures: a prospective study of 295 military recruits. *Orthop Rev* 1985;14:709–12.
30. Simkin A, Leichter I, Giladi M, et al. Combined effect of foot arch structure and an orthotic device on stress fractures. *Foot Ankle* 1989;10(1):25–9.
31. Friberg O. Leg length asymmetry in stress fractures: a clinical and radiological study. *J Sports Med Phys Fitness* 1982;22(4):485–8.
32. Warren MP, Brooks-Gunn J, Hamilton LH, et al. Scoliosis and fractures in young ballet dancers: relation to delayed menarche and secondary amenorrhea. *N Engl J Med* 1986;314(21):1348–53.
33. Loucks AB, Horvath SM. Athletic amenorrhea: a review. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 1985;17(1):56–72.
34. Drinkwater BL, Nilson K, Chestnut CH, et al. Bone mineral content of amenorrheic and eumenorrheic athletes. *N Engl J Med* 1984;311(15):277–81.
35. Bennell KL, Malcolm SA, Thomas SA, et al. Risk factors for stress fractures in female track-and-field athletes: a retrospective analysis. *Clin J Sport Med* 1995;5(4):229–35.
36. Hergenroeder AC. Bone mineralization, hypothalamic amenorrhea, and sex steroid therapy in female adolescents and young adults. *J Pediatr* 1995;126(5 Pt 1):683–9.
37. Berenson AB, Radecki CM, Grady JJ, et al. A prospective controlled study of the effects of hormonal contraception on bone mineral density. *Obstet Gynecol* 2001;98(4):576–82.

38. Specker BL. Evidence for an interaction between calcium intake and physical activity on changes in bone mineral density. *J Bone Miner Res* 1996;11(10):1539–44.
39. Bennell K, Matheson G, Meeuwisse W, et al. Risk factors for stress fractures. *Sports Med* 1999;28(2):91–122.
40. Eisman JA. Vitamin D receptor gene alleles and osteoporosis: an affirmative view. *J Bone Miner Res* 1995;10(9):1289–93.
41. Rigotti NA, Nussbaum SR, Herzog DB, et al. Osteoporosis in women with anorexia nervosa. *N Engl J Med* 1984;311(25):1601–6.
42. Frusztajer NT, Dhuper S, Warren MP, et al. Nutrition and the incidence of stress fractures in ballet dancers. *Am J Clin Nutr* 1990;51(5):779–83.
43. Snyder RA, Koester MC, Dunn WR. Epidemiology of stress fractures. *Clin Sports Med* 2006;25:37–52.
44. Johnson AW, Weiss CB Jr, Wheeler DL. Stress fractures of the femoral shaft in athletes—more common than expected: a new clinical test. *Am J Sports Med* 1994;22(2):248–56.
45. Lloyd T, Triantafyllou SJ, Baker ER, et al. Women athletes with menstrual irregularity have increased musculoskeletal injuries. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 1986;18(4):374–9.
46. Hame SL, LaFemina JM, McAllister DR, et al. Fractures in the collegiate athlete. *Am J Sports Med* 2004;32(2):446–51.
47. Clement DB, Taunton JE, Smart GW, et al. A survey of overuse running injuries. *Phys Sportsmed* 1981;9(5):47–58.
48. Witman P, Melvin M, Nicholas JA. Common problems seen in a metropolitan sports injury clinic. *Phys Sports Med* 1981;9(3):105–8.
49. Nattiv A, Puffer JC, Casper J, et al. Stress fracture risk factors, incidence, and distribution: a 3 year prospective study in collegiate runners. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 2000;32(Suppl 5):S347.
50. James SL, Bates BT, Osternig LR. Injuries to runners. *Am J Sports Med* 1978;6(2):40–50.
51. Arendt E, Agel J, Heikes C, et al. Stress injuries to bone in college athletes: a retrospective review of experience of a single institution. *Am J Sports Med* 2003;31(6):959–68.
52. Taunton JE, Clement DB, Webber D. Lower extremity stress fractures in athletes. *Phys Sports Med* 1981;9(1):77–86.
53. Brukner P, Bradshaw C, Bennell K. Managing common stress fractures: let risk level guide treatment. *Phys Sports Med* 1998;26(8):39–47.
54. Raasch WG, Hergan DJ. Treatment of stress fractures: the fundamentals. *Clin Sports Med* 2006;25:29–36.
55. Kaeding C, Yu J, Wright R, et al. Management and return to play of stress fractures. *Clin J Sport Med* 2005;15(6):442–7.
56. Sofka CM. Imaging of stress fractures. *Clin Sports Med* 2006;25:53–62.
57. Daffner RH, Pavlov H. Stress fractures: current concepts. *AJR Am J Roentgenol* 1992;159:245–52.
58. Arendt EA, Griffiths HJ. The use of MR imaging in the assessment and clinical management of stress reactions of bone in high-performance athletes. *Clin Sports Med* 1997;16(2):292–306 Table 2, p. 293.
59. Shin AY, Morin WD, Gorman JD, et al. The superiority of magnetic resonance imaging in differentiating the cause of hip pain in endurance athletes. *Am J Sports Med* 1996;24:168–76.
60. Slocum KA, Gorman JD, Puckett ML, et al. Resolution of abnormal MR signal intensity in patients with stress fractures of the femoral neck. *AJR Am J Roentgenol* 1997;168:1295–9.



61. Bergman AG, Fredericson M, Ho C, et al. Asymptomatic tibial stress reactions: MRI detection and clinical follow-up in distance runners. *AJR Am J Roentgenol* 2004;183:635–8.
62. Lazzarini KM, Troiano RN, Smith RC. Can running cause the appearance of marrow edema on MR images of the foot and ankle? *Radiology* 1997;202:540–2.
63. Fredericson M, Jennings F, Beaulieu C, et al. Stress fractures in athletes. *Top Magn Reson Imaging* 2006;17(5):309–25.
64. Kiuru MJ, Niva M, Reponen A, et al. Bone stress injuries in asymptomatic elite recruits: a clinical and magnetic resonance imaging study. *Am J Sports Med* 2005;33(2):272–6.
65. Provencher MT, Baldwin AJ, Gorman JD, et al. Atypical tensile-sided femoral neck stress fractures: the value of magnetic resonance imaging. *Am J Sports Med* 2004;32(6):1528–34.
66. Koester MC, Spindler KP. Pharmacologic agents in fracture healing. *Clin Sports Med* 2006;25:63–73.