

	Weight	Elements	HD+	HD	DI	CR	PA	PA-	NN
Literature Review	20%	<p>1) Identified the state-of-the-art DL techniques relevant to the problem.</p> <p>2) Provide descriptions of the most relevant work that clearly identify the key component's.</p> <p>3) Organise the information into a logical structure.</p>	Outstanding across the course.	<p>The literature review is excellent and extremely thorough.</p> <p>It explored multiple techniques for solving the problem and covers state-of-the-art solutions.</p> <p>There are no gaps in what the review has considered.</p> <p>The review makes careful consideration of the unique aspects of the given ML problem.</p>	<p>The literature review is good and reasonably thorough. It explored multiple techniques for solving the problem and covers state-of-the-art solutions. There are small gaps between in the review in what could have been explored.</p> <p>The review considers, but not fully, the unique aspects of the given ML problem.</p>	<p>The literature review is sufficient, but not a thorough investigation of the ML problem.</p> <p>There are gaps in the review.</p> <p>The review has a limited consideration of the unique aspects of the ML problem.</p>	<p>The literature review is a minimally sufficient investigation of the ML problem.</p> <p>It only examines the bare minimum methods for solving the problem and state-of-the-art solutions.</p> <p>There are many gaps in the review.</p> <p>The review has limited or minimal consideration of the unique aspects of the chosen ML problem</p>	Poor, superficial, or incomplete review that does not meet the minimum requirements for PA.	Not Completed
Approach	50%	<p>1) Data exploration leading to well informed approach.</p> <p>2) Identifying an adequate evaluation framework that is tailored to the problem.</p> <p>3) Well justified network architecture and objective.</p> <p>4) Hyper parameters selection strategy.</p> <p>5) Approach satisfy all the requirements and restrictions.</p>	Outstanding across the course.	<p>Goes beyond applying standard NN for classification, regression (used advanced concepts).</p> <p>The approach is an excellent and extremely thorough investigation of the chosen ML problem. All elements adequately analysed.</p>	<p>Goes beyond applying standard NN for classification, regression (used advanced concepts).</p> <p>The approach is a good and reasonably thorough investigation of the chosen ML problem.</p> <p>There are small gaps between in the investigation in what could have been explored.</p>	<p>The approach is sufficient, but not a thorough investigation of the chosen ML problem.</p> <p>There are gaps in the investigation and alternative algorithms or techniques are better than the ones in the approach. The approach has a limited consideration of the unique aspects of the chosen ML problem.</p>	<p>The approach is a minimally sufficient investigation of the chosen ML problem. It only examines the bare minimum requirements of suitable techniques and algorithms.</p> <p>There are many gaps in the investigation and there are algorithms or techniques are clearly more suited to the chosen ML problem.</p>	Poor, superficial, or incomplete approach that does not meet the minimum requirements for PA.	Not Completed
Ultimate Judgement & Analysis	20%	<p>1) Analysis of the model and the outputs using suitable methods.</p> <p>2) Make a clear ultimate Judgment.</p> <p>3) Rational behind the ultimate model is clear and considers all the aspects.</p> <p>4) Conduct evaluations with independent test data.</p> <p>5) Limitations of the model identified.</p>	Outstanding across the course.	<p>Ultimate Judgement is established and exceptionally justified</p> <p>Evaluation of the Ultimate Judgement is exceptional and clearly demonstrated the viability of the trained model in real-world practice and limitations.</p> <p>Used independent data when exercising the ultimate judgment.</p>	<p>Ultimate Judgement is established and suitably justified</p> <p>Evaluation of the Ultimate Judgement is sound and suitably explained, however, the reader may not be fully convinced and have minor questions.</p> <p>Used independent data when exercising the ultimate judgment.</p>	<p>Ultimate Judgement is established, but there are unexplained choices, or the justification is hard to follow.</p> <p>An sufficient attempt at evaluating the Ultimate Judgement is made.</p>	An Ultimate Judgement is made by not justified.	An Ultimate Judgement is not made.	Not Complete
Report & Code	10%	<p>1) Code is well documented and easy to understand.</p> <p>2) Code does not contain errors.</p> <p>3) Code contain evidence of all investigations mentioned in report.</p> <p>4) Code is optimal and shows good programming practices.</p> <p>1) Report Well structured and easy to read.</p> <p>2) Reader can fully understand the rationale for the approach taken</p>	Outstanding across the course.	<p>Code is exceptional and satisfy all the elements.</p> <p>Report is easy to read and flows well. It is structured well, leading the reader to fully understand the rationale for the final approach taken.</p>	<p>Code is styled and organised reasonably. Commenting could be improved.</p> <p>Report is reasonably easy to read and flows relatively well. It is structured reasonably well, leading the reader to reasonably understand the rationale for the final approach taken.</p>	<p>Code is styled and organised reasonably. Commenting could be improved. Few minor errors.</p> <p>Report can be followed but does not flows well in places. It is adequately structured, but reader may find it difficult to understand the rationale of selected approach.</p>	<p>Code is styled and organised poorly, not following general good programming practices. Commenting is rare.</p> <p>Implementation has minor issues but works.</p> <p>Report is difficult to follow and doesn't flows well.</p> <p>Readers find it difficult to understand the rationale of the selected approach.</p>	Code is styled and organised poorly, not following general good programming practices. Contain major errors. Incomplete or error ridden report.	Not Complete