

$q = 2\pi/\theta$, the units of q in our Fig. 13 is rad^{-1} . For example, when $\theta = 100 \text{ arcmin} = 0.029 \text{ rad}$, $q = 216.7 \text{ rad}^{-1}$.

From the EQ. 35, $k = q/r$ and at $z = 6$ we have $r \approx 8400 \text{ Mpc}$, so $k = 0.026 \text{ Mpc}^{-1}$. From Fig. 13, at $k = 0.026 \text{ Mpc}^{-1}$ the $k^3/(2\pi^2)P_{3D}(k) \approx 2.2 \times 10^4 \text{ (Jy/sr)}^2$. So $P_{3D} = 2.5 \times 10^{10} \text{ (Jy/sr)}^2 \text{ Mpc}^3$.

Since for $\delta\nu_0 = 1 \text{ GHz}$ and $\nu_0 = 271.5 \text{ GHz}$, $\delta r \approx 11 \text{ Mpc}$ at $z = 6$, we get $P_{2D} = 32 \text{ (Jy/sr)}^2 \text{ sr}$ from EQ. 35, and $q^2/(2\pi)P_{2D} = 2.4 \times 10^5 \text{ (Jy/sr)}^2$. This is consistent with the Fig. 12.

The 2D power spectrum DO depends on the projected thickness along the line-of-sight, because when you stack the thin slices together, the small-scale fluctuations along the line-of-sight would cancel out each other somewhat, leaving only the fluctuations larger than the thickness at the radial direction.

EQ. 35 is just a very simple approximation, to get the accurate 2D power spectrum, a formula like EQ. 10 in Loeb & Zaldarriaga (2004) is much better.