

Disadvantage:-

(find-s algorithm)

→ consider only 've' values
→ it is not guarantee that it will match all the data because we ignored '-ve' samples. (most general hypothesis)

Candidate elimination algorithm :-

To overcome the disadvantage of find-s algorithm, candidate elimination algorithm is used. (Both positive and negative instance can be considered)

Consistent hypothesis :-

An hypothesis is said to be

consistent hypothesis with a set of training example Dataset (D) if $h(x) = C(x)$

$h(x)$ → hypothesis of instance

$C(x)$ → concept of instance

Version space :-

→ It is an intermediate
of General hypothesis & specific hypothesis
→ It gives all the possible
hypothesis.

Candidate elimination algorithm :-

→ Accepts both positive &
negative instance

→ Uses "Version Space"

+ve instance \Rightarrow Generalise Specific hypothesis

-ve instance \Rightarrow Make General hypothesis
more specific

Algorithm :-

→ Initialize 'G' general hypothesis &
'S' specific hypothesis.

→ For each instance

if instance is +ve

Generalize specific hypothesis
∴ (Find-S)

else

Make general hypothesis more
specific

"Considering the same dataset used for
Find-S algorithm"

Example :- same dataset used for Find-S algorithm.

Step - 1 :-

Initialize 'G' and 'S'

$$G = \{ '?', '?', '?', '?', '?', '?' \}$$
$$S = \{ \phi, \phi, \phi, \phi, \phi, \phi \}$$

Step - 2 :-

First instance \rightarrow Positive instance

$$S = \{ \text{'sunny'}, \text{'warm'}, \text{'Normal'}, \text{'strong'}, \text{'warm'}, \\ \text{'same'} \}$$

G \rightarrow will remain same,

$$G = \{ '?', '?', '?', '?', '?', '?' \}$$

Second instance \rightarrow Positive instance

'S' becomes,

$$S = \{ \text{'sunny'}, \text{'warm'}, '?', \text{'strong'}, \\ \text{'warm'}, \text{'same'} \}$$
$$G = \{ '?', '?', '?', '?', '?', '?' \}$$

Third instance \rightarrow negative instance

\rightarrow 's' is retained / remain same

$G_1 = d \ ? \ , \ ? \ , \ ? \ , \ ? \ , \ ? \ , \ ? \}$

~~For each attribute~~ ^{in 'G'} ~~to all~~

For each attribute in 'G',

$G_1 \Rightarrow d \ \{ \text{Sunny}, \ ? \ , \ ? \ , \ ? \ , \ ? \ , \ ? \} \checkmark$

$\langle \ ? \ , \ \text{Warm}, \ ? \ , \ ? \ , \ ? \ , \ ? \rangle \checkmark$

$\langle \ ? \ , \ ? \ , \ \text{Normal}, \ ? \ , \ ? \ , \ ? \rangle \times$

$\langle \ ? \ , \ ? \ , \ ? \ , \ ? \ , \ \text{Cool}, \ ? \rangle \times$

$\langle \ ? \ , \ ? \ , \ ? \ , \ ? \ , \ ? \ , \ \text{Same} \rangle \checkmark$

Wind \rightarrow attribute remains same so it doesn't consider.

If all the attribute in G_1 are consistent with all instance we can consider in 'G'.

$G_1 = d \ \langle \text{Sunny}, \ ? \ , \ ? \ , \ ? \ , \ ? \ , \ ? \rangle,$

$\langle \ ? \ , \ \text{Warm}, \ ? \ , \ ? \ , \ ? \ , \ ? \rangle,$

$\langle \ ? \ , \ ? \ , \ ? \ , \ ? \ , \ ? \ , \ \text{Same} \rangle \}$

Fourth instance \Rightarrow Positive instance

$S = \{ \text{'sunny'}, \text{'warm'}, \text{'?'}, \text{'strong'}, \text{'?'}, \text{'same'} \}$

~~The resultant is contradicting with~~

~~6~~ $G \Rightarrow$ remains same

For finding all the possible ^{hypothesis} ~~instances~~
we use Version space.

$S: \text{'< sunny, warm, ? , strong, ? , same >'}$ ^①

$\text{'< sunny, warm, ? , ? , ? , ? >'}$ ^② $\text{'< sunny, ? , ? , strong, ? , ? >'}$ ^③
 $\text{'< sunny, ? , ? , ? , ? , same >'}$ ^④ $\text{'< ? , warm, ? , strong, ? , ? >'}$ ^⑤
 $\text{'< ? , warm, ? , ? , ? , same >'}$ ^⑥ $\text{'< sunny, ? , ? , ? , ? , same >'}$ ^⑦
 $\text{'< ? , ? , ? , strong, ? , same >'}$ ^⑧

$G: \text{'< sunny, ? , ? , ? , ? , ? >'}$ ^⑨ $\text{'< ? , warm, ? , ? , ? , ? >'}$
 $\text{'< ? , ? , ? , ? , ? , 'same' >'}$

$G \Rightarrow$ Consistent hypothesis.