CSCI 3901 Lab 1: Basic Problem Solving

Winter 2023

Objective

In this lab, you will practice the process of problem solving and coding when you may not have all of the details of the original problem. For some, this will seem easy. For others, there will be some challenge to grasp everything within the lab time.

Working in groups of one or two students, you will write code to implement a Java Map.

In Java, a Map is a structure that stores key-value pairs, meaning that you want to store and retrieve pairs of data. The first bit of data is called the key. The second bit of data is called the value. Given the key, you can retrieve the value associated with that key. Keys are unique to the Map (meaning that you can't have two entries with the same key) while values are not unique (meaning that you can have two keys that map to the same value).

In addition to a constructor, you should implement the methods "get" and "put" for your Map.

In your implementation, you cannot use any of the existing Java Map classes.

Preparation

Ensure that you have your Integrated Development Editor (IDE) for Java installed (classroom homework).

Resources

No external resources needed to bring in.

Procedure

Set-up

None

Lab steps

- 1. Ensure that you and your partner agree on an understanding of the problem.
- 2. List the elements of the problem statement that are not defined sufficiently-well to begin an implementation.
- 3. Select a resolution for the missing parts of step 2.
- 4. Decide on the approach you will use to implement the methods
 - How you will store the data
 - What difficulties you will expect
- 5. Implement your solution.

Analysis

Identify how you will know that your implementation is working.

Reporting

- 1. In one file, list
 - o Your team members
 - o The list of items from the description that needed clarification
 - Your decisions on the items that need clarification
 - How you showed that your work (so far) is working
- 2. In that same file, **briefly** describe either
 - o How you would approach this problem differently if you had to start new; or
 - What you did well in developing the implementation that you could use as an approach to coding a solution to another problem.
- 3. Generate a PDF from the document.
- 4. Submit the PDF and your Java files in Brightspace in the Lab/Lab 1 section of the course page in Brightspace by Tuesday, January 17 at 11:59pm Halifax time. **Note:** Only one member of the group needs to submit the report.

Assessment

The assessment will be on a letter grade and will reflect how well you are demonstrating and executing on problem solving techniques that could be used in other situations. I am more concerned with your thinking about how to get a solution than on the code being complete solution.

Grading scheme

| Letter grade | Items that need clarification | Decisions on items for clarification | Implementation | Demonstration of work so far | Looking forward |
|---------------------|--|---|---|---|--|
| A (Excellent) | Have thought through all the aspects of the problem | Resolution for unclear items are well thought-out based on future direction of the work | The map implementation is complete and well-done. Well documented and easy to build on later. Guards against input validation problems. | There is a definite plan on how to show that the code works. All the cases are covered. | Have a strategy that will carry forward to other problems. |
| B (Good) | List of items is relatively complete | Reasonable conclusions made about each unclear item, along with signs of thinking through the conclusions. | The map implementation is complete and has all the relevant functionality working. | There is some notion of what's needed to show the code is working. May not be fully implemented here. | Have identified what worked or should have worked in this problem. |
| C (Satisfactory) | There are enough key items identified to get started, but more will arise as you proceed | There is a consistent set of conclusions made for the items. | General framework of the implementation is in place and the major components are done. | Has a notion of using testing on the code. Incomplete set of tests. | Show an awareness that some things might make it easier or harder, but may not be able to identify them clearly. |
| D (Marginal | Approach seems to be | There is some | At least one of the methods is | Provide some argument with | Minimal awareness |
| Pass) | to find the | resolution to | in place and on | some basis on | that the |
| | items as you | the items, | track to be | why the code | strategy |
| | go | though some may be | working. | is working. | by which we |

| | | inconsistent | | Nothing formal | approach |
|--------------|-------------|----------------|-------------------|----------------|------------|
| | | or will lead | | or complete. | a problem |
| | | to problems | | | can make |
| | | later | | | а |
| | | | | | difference |
| | | | | | on the |
| | | | | | solution. |
| F | No | Lack of | Little code is | Little | Little |
| (Inadequate) | discussion | clarity on the | done and/or is | convincing | awareness |
| | on items to | resolution of | not in a state to | argument | of what |
| | clarify | the items. | work. | beyond an | can make |
| | | | | assertion that | the |
| | | | | the code | problem |
| | | | | works. | solving |
| | | | | | process go |
| | | | | | well. |