

CS240 Homework #1

Zhiqiang Xie 77892769

Problem 1

I represent the ascending order in Asymptotic notations:

$$2^{\sqrt{\log n}} = o(n(\log n)^3) = o(n^{4/3}) = o(n^{\log n}) = o(2^n) = o(2^{n^2}) = o(2^{2^n})$$

Problem 2

1. $f(n) = p + q * n$, where p, q are constants. Therefore, $f(n) = O(n)$
2. Treat n as a binary number, so that we only need to calculate the 1th, 2th, 4th... power of x . I'll illustrate a faster algorithm in Python code:

Python

```
1 def fastPower(x, n):
2     """
3     :type x: int != 0
4     :type n: int >= 0
5     :rtype: int
6     """
7     res = 1
8     while n:
9         if n&1:
10             res *= x
11         n >> 1
12         x *= x
13     return res
```

Thus, $g(n) = p_1 + q_1 * \lceil \log_2 n \rceil$, where p_1, q_1 are constants.

Therefore, $g(n) = O(\log n)$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{g(n)}{f(n)} = 0$

Problem 3

I summarize and abstract the information of this problem below:

- n scenic spots and m roads mean a graph contains n vertices and m edges.
- Roads in one direction and no cycle mean the graph is a directed acyclic graph(DAG).
- Our task is to determine whether there exists a path which can traverse all vertices(since it's a DAG, no path could visit the same city twice or more)

We need two lemmas to start our procedures:

- Here are always one or more vertices with in-degree zero.
 - At least one city in our map cannot be reached from any other cities.

- Any sub-graph of a DAG is a DAG
 - Every time we have visited a city, the subsequent task is just the same.

We need to prepare an in-degree table first:

- To do this, we'll iterate all vertices once. Now we know the vertices with 0 in-degree.
- This preparation cost $\Theta(n)$ time and $\Theta(n)$ space.

Now we start our algorithm at the cities with 0 in-degree:

- Once we have more than one 0 in-degree city, we know it's impossible to find such a path to visit all cities. The program terminates.
- We remove the selected city from our map and deduct the in-degree of the cities being directed by one.
- Then we choose the cities with 0 in-degree from them. Based on the lemma 2, here must be at least one city to be chosen.
- Repeat the procedures until we traverse all cities or terminated in procedure 1.
- These procedures cost $O(m + n)$ time.

Finally, the time complexity is $O(m + n) + \Theta(n) \in O(m + n)$

Problem 4

I summarize and abstract the information of this problem below:

- n scenic spots and m roads mean a graph contains n vertices and m edges.
- The graph is undirected and connected.
- Our task is to remove a vertex from the graph and make it remain connected.

Now we start our algorithm at a random city:

- Set the vertex to be part of the spanning tree of the graph.
- Do BFS or DFS from the vertex we selected.
- Every time we find a vertex that is not in the spanning tree, add to the spanning tree both the new node and the edge.

Since the graph is connected, we'll get a spanning tree after the procedure above.

- The procedure costs $O(m + n)$ time.
- Then we choose a leaf from the spanning tree and the vertex is our answer.
- Choose a leaf cost $O(n)$ time (worst case, $O(\log n)$ is more general)

Finally, the time complexity is $O(m + n) + O(n) \in O(m + n)$

Problem 5

Proof part:

- Firstly, we can assume that no such node v exists.
- At this case, there must be two totally different path from node s to node t with the length strictly greater than $n/2$.
- However, it requires number of nodes $n > (n/2 + 1) * 2 - 2 = n$, which is impossible.
- Therefore, there must exist some node v , not equal to either s or t , such that deleting v from G destroys all $s - t$ paths.

Algorithm part (some details in implementation is omitted):

- Start two BFS from s and t respectively.
- When the two BFS meet at just one node, we get the node v .
- The procedure costs $O(m + n)$ time.

Problem 6

Greedy Algorithm:

- Sort the children by their expected sizes and the cakes by the size of piece in ascending order.
- We scan the list of children from the one with lowest expected size and the list of pieces of cake from the one with smallest size. Once a piece of cake satisfy a child, we move the child to another list called S and remove all pieces of cake being scanned.
- Repeat the above procedure, finally output the length of S while the list of children or cake become empty.

Proof:

- Suppose that there exists an optimal solution which satisfies a child with higher expected size than an unsatisfied child.
- If we take the cake for the child with large expected size to the unsatisfied child, the amount of satisfied children doesn't change. Thus, we get another optimal solution.
- Repeat the process and we'll find our greedy method in selecting children is optimal.
- Suppose that there exists an optimal solution which contains inversions (larger piece of cake is used to satisfy child with less expected size, and smaller piece of cake is used to satisfy child with higher expected size)
- If we invert the two pieces of cake, we'll see both of them are still satisfied. Thus, we get another optimal solution.
- Repeat the process and we'll find our greedy method in picking cake is optimal.

Problem 7

Greedy Algorithm:

- Sort the set of n points $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ to get a new set $Y = \{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n\}$ such that $y_1 \leq y_2 \leq \dots \leq y_n$.
- Then we scan the set started from y_1 . Everytime while encountering y_i ($i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$), we put the closed interval $[y_i, y_i + 1]$ in our optimal solution set S , and remove all the points in Y covered by $[y_i, y_i + 1]$.
- Repeat the above procedure, finally output S while Y becomes empty.

Proof:

- Suppose that there exists an optimal solution S' , such that y_1 is covered by $[x', x' + 1] \in S'$, where $x' < y_1$. Since y_1 is the leftmost element of the sorted set, there is no other point lying in $[x', y_1)$.
- If we replace $[x', x' + 1]$ in S , by $[y_1, y_1 + 1]$, we will get another optimal solution.
- Repeating solving the remaining subproblem (repeating comparing following interval), we'll find our greedy strategy lead to optimal.

Problem 8

Since now we have a MST T of the graph G , we'll create a cycle in T when we directly add the edge (v, w) to it. From the procedures of Kruskal's algorithm, we know:

- If the newly added edge has the maximum cost among the edges on the cycle then the MST remains as it is.
- If some other edge on the cycle has the maximum cost, then that's the edge to be removed to get a tree with lower cost.

Therefore, our task is actually to determine the circle and the edge with the maximum cost. Besides, we know that the circle contains $u, v, (u, v)$.

Therefore, we can simply find a path from u to v in T and record the cost of each edge of it.

- Since T is a tree, there's only one path from u to v and we can apply BFS or DFS with back-tracking.
- As for a tree, $O(E) = O(V)$, this method can test the MST in $O(V)$ time without any more assumptions.

However, we can take use of the feature of tree to make it better:

- Assumptions:
 - Every node in T has a parent-child relation with the nodes they connected.

We can also implement this job in $O(V)$ time.

- Traverse u, v to root, and join the two path to get what we want.
- This procedure can be terminated if one of them is the ancestor of another. Generally, it costs $O(H)$ time where the H is the height of T , which is often far less than V . In the worst case, it costs $O(V)$ time.

Further more, it's possible to accelerate the procedure to find the least common ancestor(LCA) with some more preprocessing:

- Assumptions:
 - Every node in T has a parent-child relation with the nodes they connected.
 - Precompute the 2^j th ancestor for all valid j for each node in T .
 - Put the result above into a sparse 2D table P such that $P[i][j]$ stores the 2^j th ancestor for node i .

This preprocess costs $O(V \log V)$ time and space.

- Every number can be expressed as a *sum* of distinct *powers* of 2 .
- Hence we may use our table P to keep raising our nodes by distinct powers of 2 to find their LCA.
- Some details about the procedures above is omitted.
- In this way, we can find the LCA in $O(\log V)$ time.

Once we find the LCA of u, v , the rest time cost will depend on the circumference of the cycle. In the worst case, it costs $O(V)$ still.