Supplementary Notes

Yicheng Zhuang Yukun Wang

Thursday 1st August, 2024

Table of Contents

1	Ma	Iathematical Derivation					
	1.1	Option Pricing and Risk-Neutral Density Relationship					
	1.2	No-Ar	bitrage Conditions	3			
		1.2.1	Condition 1: Negative Partial Derivative of Option Price with Respect to Strike				
			Price	3			
		1.2.2	Condition 2: Non-Negative Second Partial Derivative of Option Price with Respect to Strike Price	3			
		1.2.3	Condition 3: Boundary Conditions for Option Prices at Extreme Strike Prices .	4			
		1.2.4	Condition 4: Boundary Conditions for Option Prices at Zero Maturity	4			
		1.2.5	Condition 5: Monotonicity of Option Prices with Respect to Maturity	4			
		1.2.6	Condition 6: Upper and Lower Bounds of Option Prices	4			
	1.3		Specification	5			
	1.0	1.3.1	Single Maturities	6			
		1.3.2	Multiple Maturities	6			
		1.3.3	Additional Shape Flexibilities	7			
			•				
2	Rel	Relevant Code					
	2.1	2.1 Heston RNMoments					
		2.1.1	Simulation for Asset Prices and Variance	9			
		2.1.2	Parameter setting	11			
		2.1.3	Generating RNM2 Statistics	13			
		2.1.4	Generating RNM3 Statistics	13			
		2.1.5	Generating RNM4 Statistics	14			
	2.2	Tradir	ngdays RNMoments	15			
		2.2.1	Parameter Parsing and Configuration	15			
		2.2.2	Data Reading and Preprocessing	16			
		2.2.3	Sorting Intervals	16			
		2.2.4	Processing the dataset	17			
		2.2.5	Separating and combining data	18			
		2.2.6	Handling Missing Values	19			
		2.2.7	Plotting	21			

1 Mathematical Derivation

1.1 Option Pricing and Risk-Neutral Density Relationship

Let the current time be t, the expiration time be T, the current asset price be S_t , the strike price be K, and the risk-free rate be r. The price C of a European call option can be expressed as:

$$C(S_t, K, \tau) = e^{-r\tau} \mathbb{E}^Q[(S_T - K)^+ | S_t]$$

where $\tau = T - t$ is the time to maturity and \mathbb{E}^Q denotes the expectation under the risk-neutral measure Q.

To express the option price in terms of the risk-neutral density $f_{S_T}(s)$, we first define the conditional probability density function $f_{S_T}(s|S_t)$, which represents the density of S_T given S_t at time t. According to the law of total probability, the relationship between the conditional density $f_{S_T}(s|S_t)$ and the marginal density $f_{S_T}(s)$ is:

$$f_{S_T}(s|S_t) = \frac{f_{S_T}(s)}{f_{S_t}(S_t)} \tag{1}$$

However, we can directly use the marginal density $f_{S_T}(s)$ for risk-neutral option pricing. According to the definition of the option price, we have:

$$C(S_t, K, \tau) = e^{-r\tau} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (S_T - K)^+ f_{S_T}(s) \, ds$$
 (2)

To represent the relationship between the risk-neutral density and the option price more intuitively, we introduce the concept of log-returns X_{τ} :

$$X_{\tau} = \ln\left(\frac{S_T}{S_t}\right) \tag{3}$$

The corresponding density function $q_{X_{\tau}}(x)$ is related to $f_{S_{\tau}}(s)$ by:

$$q_{X_{\tau}}(x) = S_t e^x f_{S_T}(S_t e^x) \tag{4}$$

Thus, the option prices can be re-expressed as:

$$C(S_t, K, \tau) = e^{-r\tau} S_t \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (e^x - \frac{K}{S_t})^+ q_{X_\tau}(x) \, dx \tag{5}$$

Similarly, for the put option, we have:

$$P(S_t, K, \tau) = e^{-r\tau} S_t \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (\frac{K}{S_t} - e^x)^+ q_{X_\tau}(x) \, dx \tag{6}$$

We aim to estimate $q_{X_{\tau}}(x)$ from a set of observed prices of calls $\{C_i\}_{i=1}^{N_C}$ and puts $\{P_j\}_{j=1}^{N_P}$ by minimizing

$$\frac{1}{N_C} \sum_{i=1}^{N_C} w_i^C L(C_i, \hat{C}_i) + \frac{1}{N_P} \sum_{j=1}^{N_P} w_j^P L(P_j, \hat{P}_j), \tag{7}$$

where $L(\cdot, \cdot)$ is the loss function, for example, the absolute or relative mean square error (MSE); \hat{C}_i and \hat{P}_j are the option prices given by the model; w_i^C and w_j^P are the regularized weights that may be related to the option liquidity levels and we set $w_i^C = w_j^P = 1.0$ for simplicity.

No-arbitrage conditions ensure the rationality and consistency of the option market, preventing the existence of risk-free arbitrage opportunities. We summarize six main no-arbitrage conditions as follows:

1.2 No-Arbitrage Conditions

No-arbitrage conditions ensure the rationality and consistency of the option market, preventing the existence of risk-free arbitrage opportunities. We summarize six main no-arbitrage conditions as follows:

$$\frac{\partial C}{\partial K} \le 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\partial P}{\partial K} \ge 0$$
 (8)

$$\frac{\partial^2 C}{\partial K^2} \ge 0$$
 and $\frac{\partial^2 P}{\partial K^2} \ge 0$ (9)

$$\lim_{K \to \infty} C(S_t, K, \tau) = C(S_t, \infty, \tau) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{K \to 0} P(S_t, K, \tau) = P(S_t, 0, \tau) = 0$$

$$C(S_t, K, 0) = (S_t - K)^+ \quad \text{and} \quad P(S_t, K, 0) = (K - S_t)^+ \quad \text{when} \quad \tau = 0$$
(10)

$$C(S_t, K, 0) = (S_t - K)^+$$
 and $P(S_t, K, 0) = (K - S_t)^+$ when $\tau = 0$ (11)

$$\frac{\partial C}{\partial \tau} \ge 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\partial P}{\partial \tau} \ge 0$$
 (12)

$$(S_t - Ke^{-r\tau})^+ \le C(S_t, K, \tau) \le S_t$$
 and $(Ke^{-r\tau} - S_t)^+ \le P(S_t, K, \tau) \le Ke^{-r\tau}$ (13)

1.2.1 Condition 1: Negative Partial Derivative of Option Price with Respect to Strike Price

For European call options:

$$\frac{\partial C(S_t, K, \tau)}{\partial K} = -e^{-r\tau} \int_{\ln(K/S_t)}^{+\infty} q_{X_\tau}(x) dx$$

To ensure that $\frac{\partial C}{\partial K < 0}$, the integral against $q_{X_{\tau}}(x)$ on the right-hand side must be uniformly nonnegative, given the negative sign in front of the right-hand side and the fact that the discount factor $e^{-r\tau}$ is positive. Since $q_{X_{\tau}}(x)$ is a density function and thus point-wise non-negative, the integral against it is indeed non-negative uniformly. Therefore,

$$\frac{\partial C}{\partial K} \le 0$$
 and $\frac{\partial P}{\partial K} \ge 0$

always hold in our setup.

Condition 2: Non-Negative Second Partial Derivative of Option Price with Respect to Strike Price

The second condition is to ensure the pricing function is free from butterfly spread arbitrage among option strikes for any fixed option maturity. We have:

$$\frac{\partial^2 C(S_t, K, \tau)}{\partial K^2} = \frac{\partial}{\partial K} \left\{ -e^{-r\tau} \int_{\ln(K/S_t)}^{+\infty} q_{X_\tau}(x) \, dx \right\} = \frac{1}{K} e^{-r\tau} q_{X_\tau} \left(\ln \left(\frac{K}{S_t} \right) \right), \quad \forall K > 0, \ \tau \geq 0.$$

Since $q_{X_{\tau}}(x)$ is constructed as a density function which is point-wise non-negative, $\frac{\partial^2 C}{\partial K^2}$ shall be uniformly non-negative. This is also the case for puts. Therefore,

$$\frac{\partial^2 C}{\partial K^2} \ge 0$$
 and $\frac{\partial^2 P}{\partial K^2} \ge 0$

always hold.

1.2.3 Condition 3: Boundary Conditions for Option Prices at Extreme Strike Prices

The third condition imposes the boundary prices to be zero when the strike price of call options is set to infinity and the strike price of put options is set to zero. It is interpreted as that a put (or call) option has no value if its strike is zero (or infinitely high) because real-world stock prices cannot fall below zero or rise to infinitely high. More specifically,

$$\lim_{K \to \infty} C(S_t, K, \tau) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{K \to 0} P(S_t, K, \tau) = 0.$$

This constraint is satisfied in our setup.

1.2.4 Condition 4: Boundary Conditions for Option Prices at Zero Maturity

The fourth condition sets price conditions on the zero time-to-maturity boundary. It is equivalent to requiring the random variable X_{τ} is degenerate, i.e., it almost surely takes a specific value, X_0 , as the option's maturity is infinitely close to the current calendar time. In other words, its density $q_{X_{\tau}}(x)$ becomes a Dirac delta function:

$$\lim_{\tau \to 0} q_{X_{\tau}}(x) = \delta(x - X_0), \quad \text{where} \quad X_0 := \lim_{t \to T} \ln \left(\frac{S_T}{S_t} \right) = 0.$$

Therefore, option prices on the zero time-to-maturity boundary are equal to their payoffs:

$$C(S_t, K, 0) = \lim_{\tau \to 0} e^{-r\tau} S_t \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(e^x - S_t^{-1} K \right)^+ \delta(x) dx = (S_t - K)^+$$

$$P(S_t, K, 0) = \lim_{\tau \to 0} e^{-r\tau} S_t \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(S_t^{-1} K - e^x \right)^+ \delta(x) dx = (K - S_t)^+.$$

1.2.5 Condition 5: Monotonicity of Option Prices with Respect to Maturity

The fifth condition is equivalent to the absence of calendar spread arbitrage between adjacent maturities for any fixed strike. Thus,

$$\frac{\partial C}{\partial \tau} \ge 0$$
 and $\frac{\partial P}{\partial \tau} \ge 0$.

1.2.6 Condition 6: Upper and Lower Bounds of Option Prices

The sixth condition imposes upper and lower bounds on the prices of European options. Together with the non-negativity of option prices, these pricing bounds are direct consequences of assuming the following equality (holds uniformly for any time-to-maturity):

$$ln \mathbb{E}^{Q}[e^{X_{\tau}}] = r\tau, \quad \forall \tau > 0.$$

It is equivalent to requiring that the present value of the stock price at any future time, as a conditional expectation under the spot risk-neutral measure, equals the current stock price:

$$\mathbb{E}_t^Q[S_T] = S_t e^{r(T-t)}, \quad \forall T > t.$$

The argument is as follows. First, if $\mathbb{E}_t^Q[S_T] = S_t e^{r(T-t)}$ holds for all T > t, then the put-call parity holds:

$$C(S_{t}, K, \tau) - P(S_{t}, K, \tau) = e^{-r\tau} \int_{0}^{+\infty} \left[(s - K)^{+} - (K - s)^{+} \right] f_{S_{T}}(s) ds$$

$$= e^{-r\tau} \int_{0}^{+\infty} s f_{S_{T}}(s) ds - e^{-r\tau} \int_{0}^{+\infty} K f_{S_{T}}(s) ds$$

$$= e^{-r\tau} \mathbb{E}_{t}^{Q}[S_{T}] - K e^{-r\tau}$$

$$= S_{t} - K e^{-r\tau}, \quad \forall \tau > 0, K > 0$$
(14)

The lower bound of calls is obtained by applying the put-call parity and using the fact that option prices are non-negative:

$$C(S_t, K, \tau) = P(S_t, K, \tau) + S_t - Ke^{-r\tau} \ge S_t - Ke^{-r\tau}$$
 and $C(S_t, K, \tau) \ge 0$.

Together, we have calls bounded below as:

$$C(S_t, K, \tau) \ge (S_t - Ke^{-r\tau})^+, \quad \forall \tau > 0, K > 0.$$

The upper bound of calls is the consequence of putting Constraint 1, i.e., $\frac{\partial C}{\partial K} \leq 0$, together with the equality we assume to hold:

$$C(S_t, K, \tau) \leq S_t$$
.

Thus, calls are bounded as:

$$(S_t - Ke^{-r\tau})^+ < C(S_t, K, \tau) < S_t.$$

For put options, the argument is similar. From the upper bound of calls, we know $C(S_t, K, \tau) - S_t \le$ 0. Therefore, puts are bounded above by $Ke^{-r\tau}$ from the put-call parity because:

$$P(S_t, K, \tau) = Ke^{-r\tau} + C(S_t, K, \tau) - S_t \le Ke^{-r\tau}.$$

By the non-negativity of option prices, both $P(S_t, K, \tau) \ge 0$ and $P(S_t, K, \tau) = Ke^{-r\tau} + C(S_t, K, \tau) - S_t \ge Ke^{-r\tau} - S_t$ should hold. Together, we have puts bounded below by $(Ke^{-r\tau} - S_t)^+$.

Rechecking the equality $\mathbb{E}_t^Q[S_T] = S_t e^{r(T-t)}$, or equivalently, $C(S_t, 0, \tau) = S_t$, we argue that it should hold in a rational market because a call option with a zero strike will always be exercised, resulting in a payoff S_T . This is equivalent to possessing the underlying asset directly.

1.3 Model Specification

The log-returns $X_{\tau} = \ln \left(\frac{S_T}{S_t} \right)$ are modeled as:

$$X_{\tau} := X(Z, \tau) = \mu(\tau) + \sigma(\tau) \cdot Z \cdot G(Z, \tau), \quad Z \sim N(0, 1), \tau \in \mathbb{R}^+$$

$$X_0 := \lim_{t \to T} \ln\left(\frac{S_T}{S_t}\right) = 0$$

where $\mu(\cdot)$, $\sigma(\cdot)$, and $G(\cdot, \cdot)$ are deterministic functions, either in closed forms or parameterized by neural nets with Softplus activation $\ln(1 + \exp(x))$.

1.3.1 Single Maturities

For single maturity:

$$\mu(\tau) := \mu, \quad \sigma(\tau) := \sigma, \quad G(Z,\tau) := G(Z)$$

$$G(Z) = uZ^A + v(-Z)^A + 1$$

$$X = \mu + \sigma Z(uZ^A + v(-Z)^A + 1)$$

For calibration, the model prices are:

$$\hat{C}(S_t, K, \tau) = e^{-r\tau} S_t \cdot \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \left(e^{X(Z_n, \tau)} - \frac{K}{S_t} \right)^+$$

$$\hat{P}(S_t, K, \tau) = e^{-r\tau} S_t \cdot \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \left(\frac{K}{S_t} - e^{X(Z_n, \tau)} \right)^{+}$$

The model must satisfy:

$$\mu + \ln \left[\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \exp \left(\sigma Z_n \left(u Z_n^A + v(-Z_n)^A + 1 \right) \right) \right] = r\tau$$

1.3.2 Multiple Maturities

For multiple maturities, we define:

$$\mu(\tau) := r \cdot \tau \cdot G_{\mu}(\tau; \theta_{\mu}),$$

$$\sigma(\tau) := \sigma \sqrt{\tau},$$

$$G(Z, \tau) := G_{Z}(Z; \theta_{Z}) + G_{\tau}(\tau; \theta_{\tau}) + 1,$$

where G_{μ} , G_{Z} , and G_{τ} are MLP neural networks with Softplus activation, parameterized by θ_{μ} , θ_{Z} , θ_{τ} . The log-return stochastic curve is:

$$X_{\tau} := X(Z, \tau; \theta_M) = r\tau G_{\mu}(\tau; \theta_{\mu}) + \sigma \sqrt{\tau} Z[G_Z(Z; \theta_Z) + G_{\tau}(\tau; \theta_{\tau}) + 1], \quad \forall \tau > 0,$$

$$X_0 := X(Z, \tau; \theta_M) \bigg|_{\tau = 0} = 0.$$

Model prices are given by:

$$\hat{C}_{M} = S_{t}e^{-r\tau} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \left(e^{X(Z_{n}, \tau; \theta_{M})} - \frac{K_{C}}{S_{t}} \right)^{+},$$

$$\hat{P}_M = S_t e^{-r\tau} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \left(\frac{K_P}{S_t} - e^{X(Z_n, \tau; \theta_M)} \right)^+,$$

with $\theta_M = \{\theta_\mu, \theta_Z, \theta_\tau, \sigma\}.$

The model must satisfy:

$$\ln \mathbb{E} \left[\exp \left(r \tau G_{\mu}(\tau; \theta_{\mu}) + \sigma \sqrt{\tau} Z [G_Z(Z; \theta_Z) + G_{\tau}(\tau; \theta_{\tau}) + 1] \right) \right] = r \tau, \quad \forall \tau > 0.$$

Proposition 1

Let the log-return curve X_{τ} follow the specification given by:

$$X_{\tau} := X(Z, \tau; \theta_M) = r\tau G_{\mu}(\tau; \theta_{\mu}) + \sigma \sqrt{\tau} Z[G_Z(Z; \theta_Z) + G_{\tau}(\tau; \theta_{\tau}) + 1], \quad \forall \tau > 0,$$

$$X_0 := X(Z, \tau; \theta_M) \bigg|_{\tau = 0} = 0.$$

The associated option prices \hat{C}_M and \hat{P}_M are free from static arbitrage if and only if: (1) $J_{\tau}^C(\tau, K_C, \theta_M) \geq 0$ (2) $J_{\tau}^P(\tau, K_P, \theta_M) \geq 0$ (3) $J_{\mu}^M(\tau, \theta_M) = 0$ where

$$J_{\tau}^{C}(\tau, K_{C}, \theta_{M}) := \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} I \left\{ e^{X(Z_{n}, \tau; \theta_{M})} \ge \frac{K_{C}}{S_{t}} \right\} \left[\left(\frac{\partial X(Z_{n}, \tau; \theta_{M})}{\partial \tau} - r \right) e^{X(Z_{n}, \tau; \theta_{M})} + r \frac{K_{C}}{S_{t}} \right],$$

$$J_{\tau}^{P}(\tau, K_{P}, \theta_{M}) := \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} I \left\{ e^{X(Z_{n}, \tau; \theta_{M})} \le \frac{K_{P}}{S_{t}} \right\} \left[\left(r - \frac{\partial X(Z_{n}, \tau; \theta_{M})}{\partial \tau} \right) e^{X(Z_{n}, \tau; \theta_{M})} - r \frac{K_{P}}{S_{t}} \right],$$

$$J_{\mu}^{M}(\tau, \theta_{M}) := \left| r \tau \left(G_{\mu}(\tau; \theta_{\mu}) - 1 \right) + \ln \left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \exp \left(\sigma \sqrt{\tau} Z_{n} \left[G_{Z}(Z_{n}; \theta_{Z}) + G_{\tau}(\tau; \theta_{\tau}) + 1 \right] \right) \right) \right|^{2}.$$

$$\min_{\theta^{M}} L_{M} = \frac{1}{N_{C}} \sum_{i=1}^{N_{C}} L(C_{i}, \hat{C}_{i}) + \frac{1}{N_{P}} \sum_{i=1}^{N_{P}} L(P_{j}, \hat{P}_{j}) + \lambda \cdot J(\theta^{M}), \tag{15}$$

where

$$J(\theta^{M}) = \sum_{\{\tau, K_{C}\}} \left(-J_{\tau}^{C}(\tau, K_{C}, \theta^{M}) \right)^{+} + \sum_{\{\tau, K_{P}\}} \left(-J_{\tau}^{P}(\tau, K_{P}, \theta^{M}) \right)^{+} + \sum_{\{\tau\}} J_{\mu}^{M}(\tau, \theta^{M}). \tag{16}$$

1.3.3 Additional Shape Flexibilities

To model log-returns with complex risk-neutral densities, we extend the RN-MLP to a mixture of two RN-MLPs:

$$X_{\tau} := X(Z, \tau; \theta_d^M) = \alpha \cdot X_1(Z, \tau; \theta_M^1) + (1 - \alpha) \cdot X_2(Z, \tau; \theta_M^2), \quad \forall \tau > 0,$$
$$X_0 := X(Z, \tau; \theta_d^M) \Big|_{\tau = 0} = 0,$$

where $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, X_i , i = 1, 2 share the same structure as RN-MLP:

$$X_i(Z,\tau;\theta_M^i) = r\tau G_\mu^i(\tau;\theta_\mu^i) + \sigma_i Q_i(Z,\tau;\theta_\sigma^i),$$
$$Q_i(Z,\tau;\theta_\sigma^i) = \sqrt{\tau} Z \left[G_Z^i(Z;\theta_Z^i) + G_\tau^i(\tau;\theta_\tau^i) + 1 \right].$$

The set of parameters is $\theta_d^M = \{\alpha, \theta_M^1, \theta_M^2\}$, where $\theta_M^i = \{\sigma_i, \theta_\mu^i, \theta_\sigma^i\}$ and $\theta_\sigma^i = \{\theta_Z^i, \theta_\tau^i\}$ for i = 1, 2. The RN-DMLP model's option prices are:

$$\hat{C}_{dM} = S_t e^{-r\tau} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \left(e^{X(Z_n, \tau; \theta_d^M)} - \frac{K_C}{S_t} \right)^+,$$

$$\hat{P}_{dM} = S_t e^{-r\tau} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \left(\frac{K_P}{S_t} - e^{X(Z_n, \tau; \theta_d^M)} \right)^+.$$

Proposition 2

Let the log-return curve X_{τ} follow the specification given by:

$$X_{\tau} := X(Z, \tau; \theta_d^M) = \alpha \cdot X_1(Z, \tau; \theta_M^1) + (1 - \alpha) \cdot X_2(Z, \tau; \theta_M^2), \quad \forall \tau > 0,$$
$$X_0 := X(Z, \tau; \theta_d^M) \bigg|_{\tau = 0} = 0.$$

The associated option prices \hat{C}_{dM} and \hat{P}_{dM} are free from static arbitrage if and only if: (1) $J_{\tau}^{C}(\tau, K_{C}, \theta_{d}^{M}) \geq 0$ (2) $J_{\tau}^{P}(\tau, K_{P}, \theta_{d}^{M}) \geq 0$ (3) $J_{\mu}^{d}M(\tau, \theta_{d}^{M}) = 0$ where

$$J_{\tau}^{C}(\tau, K_{C}, \theta_{d}^{M}) := \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} I \left\{ e^{X(Z_{n}, \tau; \theta_{d}^{M})} \ge \frac{K_{C}}{S_{t}} \right\} \left[\left(\frac{\partial X(Z_{n}, \tau; \theta_{d}^{M})}{\partial \tau} - r \right) e^{X(Z_{n}, \tau; \theta_{d}^{M})} + r \frac{K_{C}}{S_{t}} \right],$$

$$J_{\tau}^{P}(\tau, K_{P}, \theta_{d}^{M}) := \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} I \left\{ e^{X(Z_{n}, \tau; \theta_{d}^{M})} \le \frac{K_{P}}{S_{t}} \right\} \left[\left(r - \frac{\partial X(Z_{n}, \tau; \theta_{d}^{M})}{\partial \tau} \right) e^{X(Z_{n}, \tau; \theta_{d}^{M})} - r \frac{K_{P}}{S_{t}} \right],$$

$$J_{\mu}^{d}M(\tau, \theta_{d}^{M}) := \left| r\tau \left(\sum_{i=1}^{2} \alpha_{i} G_{\mu}^{i}(\tau; \theta_{\mu}^{i}) - 1 \right) + \ln \left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \exp \left[\sum_{i=1}^{2} \alpha_{i} \sigma_{i} Q_{i}(Z_{n}, \tau; \theta_{\sigma}^{i}) \right] \right) \right|^{2}.$$

$$\min_{\theta^{dM}} L_{dM} = \frac{1}{N_{C}} \sum_{i=1}^{N_{C}} L(C_{i}, \hat{C}_{i}) + \frac{1}{N_{P}} \sum_{j=1}^{N_{P}} L(P_{j}, \hat{P}_{j}) + \lambda \cdot J(\theta^{dM}), \tag{17}$$

where

$$J(\theta^{dM}) = \sum_{\{\tau, K_C\}} \left(-J_{\tau}^C(\tau, K_C, \theta^{dM}) \right)^+ + \sum_{\{\tau, K_P\}} \left(-J_{\tau}^P(\tau, K_P, \theta^{dM}) \right)^+ + \sum_{\{\tau\}} J_{\mu}^{dM}(\tau, \theta^{dM}). \tag{18}$$

Feature	RN-Q	RN-MLP	RN-DMLP
Usage Scenario	Single maturity calibration	Multi-maturity calibration	Multi-maturity calibration with additional shape complexities
Core Formula	$X = \mu + \sigma Z(uZ^A + v(-Z)^A + 1)$	$X_{\tau} := X(Z, \tau; \theta_M)$	$X_r := X(Z, \tau; \theta_d^M)$
_		$= r\tau G_{\mu}(\tau; \theta_{\mu}) + \sigma \sqrt{\tau} Z[G_Z(Z; \theta_Z) + G_{\tau}(\tau; \theta_{\tau}) + 1]$	$= \alpha \cdot X_1(Z, \tau; \theta_M^1) + (1 - \alpha) \cdot X_2(Z, \tau; \theta_M^2)$
Parameters	μ, σ, u, v	$\theta_{\mu}, \theta_{Z}, \theta_{\tau}, \sigma$	$\alpha, \theta_M^1, \theta_M^2$
Flexibility	Low	Medium	High
Complexity	Low	Medium	High
Uses Neural Networks	No	Yes	Yes
Adaptability to Data	Low	Medium	High
Static No-Arbitrage Constraint	$\mu + \ln \left[\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \exp \left(\sigma Z_n \left(u Z_n^A + v (-Z_n)^A + 1 \right) \right) \right]$ $= r\tau$	$\begin{split} & \ln \mathbb{E} \left[\exp \left(r \tau G_{\mu}(\tau; \theta_{\mu}) + \sigma \sqrt{\tau} Z [G_Z(Z; \theta_Z) + G_{\tau}(\tau; \theta_{\tau}) + 1] \right) \right] \\ & = r \tau \end{split}$	$J^d_{\mu}M(\tau,\theta^M_d) := \left \tau \tau \left(\sum_{i=1}^2 \alpha_i G^i_{\mu}(\tau;\theta^i_{\mu}) - 1 \right) + \ln \left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \exp \left[\sum_{i=1}^2 \alpha_i \sigma_i Q_i(Z_n,\tau;\theta^i_{\sigma}) \right] \right) \right ^2$
Main Advantage	Simple and easy to use	Adapts to multiple maturities, enhanced adaptability with neural networks	Provides higher flexibility, capable of capturing more complex distribution shapes

Comparison of the Three Models

2 Relevant Code

2.1 Heston RNMoments

The code in this part segment provides practical tools and visual insights into studying how the Heston model behaves under different parameter settings.

Firstly, we defined and implemented a simulation function for the Heston model to generate simulated data with specified parameters. This ensured we could produce trajectories of asset prices and variances under controlled conditions.

We then conducted multiple simulations using different sets of Heston model parameters to observe how these affect the asset prices and variance trajectories. For each simulation set, we calculated the required statistics (RNM2, RNM3, RNM4) to evaluate the model's performance under varying conditions.

Through simulations and statistical calculations, we assessed the effectiveness of the Heston model across different levels of correlation and volatility. Utilizing Python's scientific computing libraries like NumPy and SciPy, alongside plotting libraries such as Matplotlib, streamlined the implementation and visualization process.

Finally, the results is saved in PDF format, facilitating further analysis and reporting.

2.1.1 Simulation for Asset Prices and Variance

This Python function "heston model si" simulates the Heston model to generate trajectories of asset prices and variance over time. It takes initial parameters such as asset price (S_0) , initial variance (v_0) , risk-free rate (r), correlation (ρ) between asset returns and variance, rate of mean reversion (κ) , long-term mean of variance (θ) , volatility of volatility (σ) , simulation time (T), number of time steps (N), and number of simulations (M).

We firstly initializes time step (dt), mean vector (μ), and covariance matrix (cov) for the correlated Brownian motions.

Then arrays initialization is done by creating arrays S and v to store asset prices and variances over time, initialized with starting values S_0 and v_0 .

Next is simulation loop where we iterative simulates asset prices and variances for each time step:

- Draws correlated Brownian motions Z using np.random.multivariate normal;
- Updates asset prices S[i] based on the Heston model formula incorporating drift (r 0.5 * v[i-1]) and stochastic term (np.sqrt(v[i-1] * dt) * Z[i-1, :, 0]).
- Updates variance v[i] using the Heston model dynamics ensuring it remains non-negative.

Finally we make outputs return arrays S and v containing simulated asset prices and variances over time for all simulation scenarios.

Listing 1: Simulation

```
def heston_model_sim(S0, v0, r, rho, kappa, theta, sigma, T, N, M):
    Simulates the Heston model to generate asset prices and
    variance trajectories.
    Inputs:
    - S0, v0: initial parameters for asset price and variance
     -r
             : risk-free rate
              : correlation between asset returns and variance
     - rho
     - kappa : rate of mean reversion in variance process
     -\ theta : long-term\ mean\ of\ variance\ process
     - sigma : volatility of volatility (\sim of variance process)
     – T
              : time of simulation in years
     -N
              : number of time steps
    -M
              : number of simulations / scenarios
    Outputs:
    - S: asset prices over time (numpy array of shape (N+1, M))
    -v: variance over time (numpy array of shape (N+1, M))
   # initialise other parameters
    dt = T/N
   mu = np.array([0, 0])
    cov = np. array([[1, rho],
                    [rho, 1]])
   # arrays for storing prices and variances
   S = np.full(shape=(N+1, M), fill_value=S0)
   v = np. full (shape=(N+1, M), fill_value=v0)
   \# sampling correlated brownian motions under risk-neutral measure
   Z = np.random.multivariate normal(mu, cov, (N, M))
    for i in range (1, N+1):
        # Asset price simulation using Heston model
        S[i] = S[i-1] * np.exp((r - 0.5 * v[i-1]) * dt + 
        np.sqrt(v[i-1] * dt) * Z[i-1, :, 0])
        # Variance simulation using Heston model
        v[i] = np.maximum(v[i-1] + kappa * (theta - v[i-1]) * dt + 
        sigma * np. sqrt(v[i-1] * dt) * Z[i-1, :, 1], 0)
```

10

This function enables the study of how different parameters influence asset price and variance dynamics under the Heston model, crucial for financial modeling and risk management.

2.1.2 Parameter setting

Code in this part generates asset price and variance trajectories under different conditions through simulations with multiple sets of parameter settings using the Heston model. First, the initial asset price, the length of the simulation, the risk-free rate, the number of time steps per year, and the number of scenarios simulated are set.

Then, three different sets of Heston model parameters were set: including the variance mean reversion rate, the long-run variance mean, and the initial variance, and simulations were conducted for each set of parameters.

Code Listing

```
Listing 2: Parameter setting
# Parameters for simulations
S0 = 100.0
                        # initial asset price
T = 1.0
                        # time horizon in years
                        # risk-free rate
r = 0.02
N = 252
                        # number of time steps in simulation per year
M = 100000
                        # number of simulations
# Different parameter settings for the Heston model
kappa = 0.15
\# rate of mean reversion of variance under risk-neutral dynamics
theta = 0.25
\# long-term mean of variance under risk-neutral dynamics
v0 = 0.05
\# initial variance under risk-neutral dynamics
# First set of simulations
rho = -0.9
# correlation between asset returns, variances under r-n dynamics
sigma = 0.35
# volatility of volatility
S_l, v_l = heston_model_sim(S0, v0, r, rho, kappa, theta, sigma, T, N, M)
# Second set of simulations
rho = -0.2
sigma = 0.25
S_l, v_l = heston_model_sim(S0, v0, r, rho, kappa, theta, sigma, T, N, M)
# Third set of simulations
rho = 0.85
sigma = 0.2
S 1, v 1 = heston model sim(S0, v0, r, rho, kappa, theta, sigma, T, N, M)
\# Combine all simulation results
S_all = [S_l, S_n, S_r]
sets = ['a', 'b', 'c']
```

Notably, we evaluated the performance of the model under different market conditions by adjusting the values of correlation and volatility in each simulation. Finally, all simulation results were consolidated into a single list for further analysis and presentation.

2.1.3 Generating RNM2 Statistics

This section calculates the RNM2 statistics, which is the standard deviation of log returns, for different time steps and parameter settings, and saves the results in a PDF file.

```
Code Listing
                         Listing 3: RNM2 generating
# RNM2 Statistics Generation
# Time steps for which statistics will be calculated
steps = np.array([5, 21, 63, 126, 189, 252])
# Generate and save RNM2_Heston.pdf
pdf = PdfPages ('RNM2_Heston.pdf')
figsize = (10, 4)
plt.figure(figsize=figsize)
for i in range(len(S_all)):
    S = S_all[i]
    col = 'Parameter_Setting_({})'.format(sets[i])
    # Calculate RNM2 statistics (standard deviation of log returns)
    line = [np.std(np.log(S[j, :]/S0))  for j in steps]
    plt.plot(['RNM2_1W', 'RNM2_1M', 'RNM2_3M', 'RNM2_6M',\
        'RNM2 9M', 'RNM2 1Y'], line, label=col, linestyle='--')
    plt.scatter(['RNM2_1W', 'RNM2_1M', 'RNM2_3M', 'RNM2_6M',\
        'RNM2_9M', 'RNM2_1Y'], line)
    plt.legend()
pdf.savefig(bbox_inches='tight', dpi=400)
plt.show()
pdf.close()
# End of RNM2 Statistics Generation
```

2.1.4 Generating RNM3 Statistics

This section calculates the RNM3 statistics, which is the skewness of log returns, for different time steps and parameter settings, and saves the results in a PDF file.

```
Code Listing
                                 Listing 4: RNM3 generating
# RNM3 Statistics Generation
# Generate and save RNM3 Heston.pdf
pdf = PdfPages('RNM3_Heston.pdf')
plt.figure(figsize=figsize)
for i in range(len(S_all)):
     S = S \quad all[i]
     col = 'Parameter_Setting_({})'.format(sets[i])
     # Calculate RNM3 statistics (skewness of log returns)
     \label{eq:line} \texttt{line} \, = \, \left[\, \texttt{stats.skew} \, (\texttt{np.log} \, (S \, [\, \texttt{j} \, , \, :] \, / \, S0 \,) \right) \, \, \, \textbf{for} \, \, \, \texttt{j} \, \, \, \textbf{in} \, \, \, \texttt{steps} \, \right]
     {\tt plt.plot(['RNM2\_1W', 'RNM2\_1M', 'RNM2\_3M', 'RNM2\_6M', '}
           'RNM2_9M', 'RNM2_1Y'], line, label=col, linestyle='---')
     plt.scatter(['RNM2_1W', 'RNM2_1M', 'RNM2_3M', 'RNM2_6M',\
           'RNM2_9M', 'RNM2_1Y'], line)
     plt.legend()
pdf.savefig(bbox_inches='tight', dpi=400)
plt.show()
pdf.close()
# End of RNM3 Statistics Generation
```

2.1.5 Generating RNM4 Statistics

This section calculates the RNM4 statistics, which is the kurtosis of log returns, for different time steps and parameter settings, and saves the results in a PDF file.

Code Listing Listing 5: RNM4 generating # RNM4 Statistics Generation # Generate and save RNM4 Heston.pdf pdf = PdfPages('RNM4 Heston.pdf') plt.figure(figsize=figsize) for i in range(len(S_all)): $S = S \quad all[i]$ col = 'Parameter_Setting_({})'.format(sets[i]) # Calculate RNM4 statistics (kurtosis of log returns) line = [stats.kurtosis(np.log(S[j, :]/S0)) for j in steps]plt.plot(['RNM2_1W', 'RNM2_1M', 'RNM2_3M', 'RNM2_6M',\ 'RNM2_9M', 'RNM2_1Y'], line, label=col, linestyle='---') ${\tt plt.scatter(['RNM2_1W', 'RNM2_1M', 'RNM2_3M', 'RNM2_6M', \)}$ 'RNM2_9M', 'RNM2_1Y'], line) plt.legend() pdf.savefig(bbox_inches='tight', dpi=400) plt.show() pdf.close() # End of RNM4 Statistics Generation

In a word, this code provides practical tools and visualisation results for studying the behaviour of the Heston model under different parameter settings, through simulation and statistical volume analysis.

2.2 Tradingdays RNMoments

In order to extract the Risk Neutral Density (RND) by generating machine learning and plotting the related graphs using statistical data over different time periods, the following code has been designed.

Through parameter parsing and configuration, data reading and preprocessing, sorting intervals, processing the dataset, separating and combining data, handling missing values and finally plotting, the code completes the entire process from reading raw data to generating charts.

Getting these charts, we can better understand the changes in risk-neutral density over different time intervals.

2.2.1 Parameter Parsing and Configuration

Firstly, the code uses argparse to process command line arguments. Specifically, it takes a parameter from the command line that specifies the directory where the results will be saved. This parameter has a default value; if you don't provide your own path, it will use the default save path.

Listing 6: Parameter Parsing and Configuration # os.environ["KMP_DUPLICATE_LIB_OK"] = "TRUE" parser = argparse.ArgumentParser(description="Risk_Neutral_Density_\ \textsubseteq \textsubseteq configuration_Using_Generative_Machine_Learning_RNDSurface_plot_\textsubseteq") # configuration parser.add_argument('—result_save_dir', type=str,\ default='../../logs/EmpiricalStudy/MultipleTaus/result_dir/',\ help='saved_path_\textsubseteq for_\textsubseteq model') args = parser.parse_args()

This code provides the basis for configuration and parameter passing for subsequent program execution by setting environment variables and parsing command line arguments. The purpose of this is to ensure that the program works properly in a particular runtime environment and to allow the user to customise the path where the results are saved via the command line.

2.2.2 Data Reading and Preprocessing

In the main() module, the code sets a variable, is Test, to True. it then overrides the previous default save path and uses a new one. Then, it reads a CSV file containing statistics for different dates.

```
Listing 7: Parameter Parsing and Configuration

#

if __name__ == '__main__':
    isTest = True #!!!

args.result_save_dir = '../../logs/EmpiricalRNDSurface/OneTau/\
uuuuuuuusurfplot_main/20240330/'
RnMomentsRaw = pd.read_csv('../../logs/EmpiricalRNDSurface/\
uuuuuuuuuOneTau/surfplot_dir_search/Onetau_RNDSurf_MomentsALL.csv')
```

2.2.3 Sorting Intervals

Next, the code defines a function, cat days rule, which is used to sort the data into different time intervals based on the number of days (e.g., one week, one month, three months, etc.). This function checks the input for the number of days and returns the corresponding time interval label.

Code Listing

Listing 8: Parameter Parsing and Configuration

```
def cat days rule (days):
    \# if days >= 1 and days <= 7: \# 7
           colname = '1W'
    \# \ e \ lif \ days >= 29 \ and \ days <= 31: \# 30
          colname = '1M'
    #
    \# elif days >= 88 and days <= 92: \# 90
          colname = '3M'
    #
      elif \ days >= 175 \ and \ days <= 185: \# 180
          colname = '6M'
    #
    \# \ e \ lif \ days >= 264 \ and \ days <= 276: \# 270
          colname = '9M'
    \# \ e \ lif \ days >= 360 \ and \ days <= 370: \# 365
          colname = '1Y'
    deltaD minus = 1; deltaD plus = 0
    \# if days >= 2 and days <= 7: \# 7
    if days >= 5 and days <= 7 + 1: # 7
        colname = '1W'
    elif days >= 30 - 5 and days <= 30 + 5: # 30
        colname = '1M'
    elif days >= 90 - 10 and days <= 90 + 10: # 90
        colname = '3M'
    elif days >= 180 - 15 and days <= 180 + 15: # 180
        colname = '6M'
    elif days >= 270 - 20 and days <= 270 + 20: # 270
        colname = '9M'
    elif days >= 365 - 31 and days <= 365 + 31: # 365
        colname = '1Y'
    else:
        colname = 'nan'
    return colname
```

2.2.4 Processing the dataset

The CSV file read by the code is further processed. First, it will sort each row in the dataset to a specific time interval based on the number of days. Then, the code filters out the data that does not belong to these time intervals. Next, it groups the data by date and time interval and calculates the average of the standard deviation, skewness, and kurtosis for those groups.

```
Code Listing
                 Listing 9: Parameter Parsing and Configuration
    moments dataset = RnMomentsRaw
    moments_dataset['DayInterval'] = moments_dataset['days'].
       apply(lambda x: cat days rule(int(x)))
    moments maturity = moments dataset [ ( moments dataset \
        ['DayInterval'] == '1W') |
        (moments_dataset['DayInterval'] == '1M')
        (moments dataset ['DayInterval'] == '3M')
        (moments dataset ['DayInterval'] = '6M')
        (moments dataset ['DayInterval'] == '9M')
        # moments_maturity.to_csv(args.result_save_dir +
        'Moments_dataset.csv', index=False)
    moments maturity = moments maturity.groupby(by=['date', 'DayInterval|'])\
    .agg({ 'std ': 'mean', 'skew': 'mean', 'kurt': 'mean'}).reset index()
   moments_maturity1W = moments_maturity | moments_maturity \
        ['DayInterval']== '1W'].reset_index(drop=True)
    moments_maturity1M = moments_maturity[moments_maturity\
        ['DayInterval']== '1M'].reset_index(drop=True)
   moments maturity moments maturity moments maturity
        ['DayInterval']== '3M'].reset index(drop=True)
   moments_maturity6M = moments_maturity[moments_maturity\
        ['DayInterval']== '6M'].reset_index(drop=True)
   moments_maturity9M = moments_maturity moments_maturity
        ['DayInterval']== '9M'].reset_index(drop=True)
    moments_maturity1Y = moments_maturity [moments_maturity]
        ['DayInterval']== '1Y'].reset_index(drop=True)
    print(moments_maturity1W.shape[0], moments_maturity1M.shape[0],\
       moments\_maturity3M.shape[0], moments\_maturity6M.shape[0], 
moments_maturity9M.shape[0], moments_maturity1Y.shape[0])
```

2.2.5 Separating and combining data

The processed data sets will be split into multiple DataFrames based on time intervals (e.g., one week, one month, three months, etc.). The code then merges these separate DataFrames into a total dataset. Next, it creates a new DataFrame with all the unique dates and merges the statistics for the different time intervals into this new DataFrame.

```
Code Listing
                Listing 10: Parameter Parsing and Configuration
moments maturities = pd.concat ([moments maturity1W,\
    moments_maturity1M, moments_maturity3M, moments_maturity6M,
    moments_maturity9M, moments_maturity1Y], axis=0)
\# moments_maturity = moments_maturity.groupby(by=['date', 'DayInterval']).
    moments_dates = pd. DataFrame(moments_maturity['date']. unique(),\
columns=['date'])
moments_dates = moments_dates.merge(moments_maturity1W[['date','std',\
    'skew', 'kurt']], on=['date'], how='left')
moments_dates = moments_dates.merge(moments_maturity1M[['date', 'std', \
    'skew', 'kurt']], on=['date'], how='left', suffixes=['', '_1M'])
moments_dates = moments_dates.merge(moments_maturity3M[['date', 'std', \
    'skew', 'kurt']], on=['date'], how='left', suffixes=['', '_3M'])
moments_dates = moments_dates.merge(moments_maturity6M[['date', 'std', \
    'skew', 'kurt']], on=['date'], how='left', suffixes=['', '_6M'])
moments_dates = moments_dates.merge(moments_maturity9M[['date', 'std', \
    'skew', 'kurt']], on=['date'], how='left', suffixes=['', '_9M'])
moments_dates = moments_dates.merge(moments_maturity1Y[['date', 'std', \
    'skew', 'kurt']], on=['date'], how='left', suffixes=['', '_1Y'])
moments dates stat = moments dates.T.isna().sum()
moments_dates_stat [moments_dates_stat <= 12]
moments_dates_stat.min()
moments dates stat.max()
```

2.2.6 Handling Missing Values

The code checks the merged DataFrame for missing values and handles them. Specifically, it counts the number of missing values for each date and further analyses the dates with fewer missing values.

Code Listing

Listing 11: Parameter setting

```
plot raw = moments dates.iloc moments dates stat moments dates stat
    \langle = 2 | . index , : ]
\# plot\_raw = plot\_raw[plot\_raw['date'] >= 20180101]
plot_raw_rnm2 = plot_raw[['date', 'std', 'std_1M', 'std_3M',\
    'std_6M', 'std_9M', 'std_1Y']].reset_index(drop=True)
plot_raw_rnm3 = plot_raw[['date', 'skew', 'skew_1M', 'skew_3M',\
    'skew 6M', 'skew 9M', 'skew 1Y']].reset index(drop=True)
plot_raw_rnm4 = plot_raw[['date', 'kurt', 'kurt_1M', 'kurt_3M',\
    'kurt_6M', 'kurt_9M', 'kurt_1Y']].reset_index(drop=True)
if plot_raw.shape [0] > = 10:
    np.random.seed(101)
    \# idx \ rand = np.random.randint(plot \ raw.shape[0], \ size = 10)
    idx_rand = np.random.choice(plot_raw.shape[0],10,replace=False)
    idx\_rand = np. sort(idx\_rand)
    plot_dataset_rnm2=plot_raw_rnm2.iloc[idx_rand,:].set_index('date').T
    plot_dataset_rnm3=plot_raw_rnm3.iloc[idx_rand,:].set_index('date').T
    plot_dataset_rnm4=plot_raw_rnm4.iloc[idx_rand,:].set_index('date').T
else:
    plot_dataset_rnm2 = plot_raw_rnm2.set_index('date').T
    plot dataset rnm3 = plot raw rnm3.set index('date').T
    plot_dataset_rnm4 = plot_raw_rnm4.set_index('date').T
# manually selected dates
manually_dates = pd.DataFrame([20200612, 20200911, 20220114,\
    20220310, 20230113], columns=['date'])
manually_plot_raw=pd.merge(manually_dates, plot_raw, on=['date'], how='left|')
plot_raw_rnm2 = manually_plot_raw[['date', 'std', 'std_1M',\
'std_3M', 'std_6M', 'std_9M', 'std_1Y']].reset_index(drop=True)
plot_raw_rnm3 = manually_plot_raw [['date', 'skew', 'skew_1M',\
'skew_3M', 'skew_6M', 'skew_9M', 'skew_1Y']].reset_index(drop=True)
plot_raw_rnm4 = manually_plot_raw[['date', 'kurt', 'kurt_1M',\
'kurt_3M', 'kurt_6M', 'kurt_9M', 'kurt_1Y']].reset_index(drop=True)
plot_dataset_rnm2 = plot_raw_rnm2.set_index('date').T
plot_dataset_rnm3 = plot_raw_rnm3.set_index('date').T
plot_dataset_rnm4 = plot_raw_rnm4.set_index('date').T
```

2.2.7 Plotting

Finally, the code uses matplotlib and PdfPages to generate and save graphs. It will plot graphs for different statistics (standard deviation, skewness, kurtosis) and save these graphs as PDF files. During the plotting process, the code handles some manually selected dates to ensure that the charts present representative data.

Code Listing Listing 13: Plotting #%% rnm3 plot pdf = PdfPages(args.result_save_dir + 'RNM3_manualdates.pdf') plt.figure(figsize=figsize) for col in plot_dataset_rnm3.columns: plt.plot(['RNM3_1W', 'RNM3_1M', 'RNM3_3M', 'RNM3_6M', 'RNM3_9M',\ 'RNM3_1Y'], plot_dataset_rnm3[col], label=col, linestyle='--') plt.scatter(['RNM3 1W', 'RNM3 1M', 'RNM3 3M', 'RNM3 6M', 'RNM3 9M',\ 'RNM3 1Y'], plot dataset rnm3 [col]) plt.legend() pdf.savefig(bbox_inches='tight', dpi=400) plt.show() pdf.close() #%% rnm4 plot pdf = PdfPages(args.result_save_dir + 'RNM4_manualdates.pdf') plt.figure(figsize=figsize) for col in plot_dataset_rnm4.columns: plt.plot(['RNM4_1W', 'RNM4_1M', 'RNM4_3M', 'RNM4_6M', 'RNM4_9M',\ 'RNM4_1Y'], plot_dataset_rnm4[col], label=col, linestyle='---') plt.scatter(['RNM4_1W', 'RNM4_1M', 'RNM4_3M', 'RNM4_6M', 'RNM4_9M',\ 'RNM4 1Y'], plot dataset rnm4[col]) plt.legend() pdf.savefig(bbox_inches='tight', dpi=400) plt.show() pdf.close()

In modern computing environments, efficient parameter management and environment settings are critical steps to ensure that data processing and model training processes run smoothly. The purpose of this code is to describe a piece of code for risk-neutral density extraction that uses a generative machine learning approach and optimises its execution with appropriate environment variable settings and command line parameter parsing.