CS181, Winter 2022, Assignment 3 Due: Monday February 7, 11:00PM

You will define several SML functions. Many will be very short because they will use other higher-order functions. You may use functions in ML's library; the problems point you toward the useful functions and often *require* that you use them. (You can see SML's standard library document at:

https://smlfamily.github.io/Basis/manpages.html.) The sample solution less than 75 lines, including the provided code, but not including the challenge problem. Note that problems with 1-line answers can still be challenging, perhaps because the answers are intended to be so short.

Download hw3.sml from the course website.

- 1. Write a function only_lowercase that takes a string list and returns a string list that has only the strings in the argument that start with an lowercase letter. Assume all strings have at least 1 character. Use the standard library functions List.filter, Char.isLower, and String.sub to make a 1-2 line solution.
- 2. Write a function longest_string1 that takes a string list and returns the longest string in the list. If the list is empty, return "". In the case of a tie, return the string closest to the beginning of the list. Use List.foldl, String.size, and no recursion in your code (the recursion inside List.foldl is fine).
- 3. Write a function longest_string2 that is exactly like longest_string1 except in the case of ties it returns the string closest to the end of the list. Your solution should be almost an exact copy of longest_string1. Still use foldl and String.size.
- $4. \ \ Write three \ functions \ \verb|longest_string_helper|, \ \verb|longest_string3|, \ and \ \verb|longest_string4| \ such \ that:$
 - longest_string3 has the same behavior as longest_string1 and longest_string4 has the same behavior as longest_string2.
 - longest_string_helper has type (int * int -> bool) -> string list -> string (notice the currying). This function will look a lot like longest_string1 and longest_string2 but is more general because it takes a function as an argument.
 - If longest_string_helper is called with a function that behaves like the greater-than operator > (so it returns true exactly when its first argument is strictly greater than its second), it returns a function with same behavior as longest_string1.
 - longest_string3 and longest_string4 are defined with val-bindings and partial applications of longest_string_helper.
- 5. Write a function longest_lowercase that takes a string list and returns the longest string in the list that begins with an lowercase letter, or "" if there are no such strings. Assume all strings have at least 1 character. Use a val-binding and the ML library's o operator for composing functions. Resolve ties like in problem 2. **Hint:** you should just be able to compose a couple of the functions you have already defined to solve this problem.

The next problem involves writing a function over lists that will be useful in a later problem.

6. Write a function all_answers of type ('a -> 'b list option) -> 'a list -> 'b list option (notice the 2 arguments are curried). The first function argument f should be applied to elements of the second argument 1 (you can use different names if you like). If f returns NONE for any element in 1, then the result for all_answers is NONE. Otherwise, the calls to f on the elements of 1 will have produced SOME lst1, SOME lst2, ... SOME lstn. The result of all_answers is SOME lst, where lst consists of lst1, lst2, ..., lstn appended together (order doesn't matter). Hints: The sample solution is 8 lines. It uses a helper function with an accumulator and uses @. Also note that all_answers f [] should evaluate to SOME [].

The remaining problem uses these type definitions, which are inspired by the type definitions an ML implementation would use to implement pattern matching:

Given valu v and pattern p, either p matches v or not. If it does, the match produces a list of string * valu pairs; order in the list does not matter. The rules for matching should be unsurprising:

- WildcardP matches everything and produces the empty list of bindings.
- VariableP s matches any value v and produces the one-element list holding (s,v).
- UnitP matches only Unit and produces the empty list of bindings.
- Constant P17 matches only Constant 17 and produces the empty list of bindings (and similarly for other integers).
- ConstructorP(s1,p) matches Constructor(s2,v) if s1 and s2 are the same string (you can compare them with =) and p matches v. The list of bindings produced is the list from the nested pattern match. We call the strings s1 and s2 the constructor name.
- TupleP ps matches a value of the form Tuple vs if ps and vs have the same length and for all i, the i^{th} element of ps matches the i^{th} element of vs. The list of bindings produced is all the lists from the nested pattern matches appended together.
- Nothing else matches.
- 7. Write a function match that takes a valu * pattern and returns a (string * valu) list option, namely NONE if the pattern does not match and SOME lst where lst is the list of bindings if it does. Note that if the value matches but the pattern has no patterns of the form VariableP s, then the result is SOME []. Hints: Sample solution has one case expression with 7 branches. The branch for tuples uses all_answers and ListPair.zip. Sample solution is 13 lines. Remember to look above for the rules for what patterns match what values, and what bindings they produce. These are hints: We are not requiring all_answers and ListPair.zip here, but they make it easier.

(Challenge Problem) Write a function typecheck_patterns that "type-checks" a pattern list. Types for our made-up pattern language are defined by:

typecheck_patterns should have type ((string * string * typ) list) * (pattern list) -> typ option. The first argument contains elements that look like ("foo","bar",IntT), which means constructor foo makes a value of type Datatype "bar" given a value of type IntT. Assume list elements all have different first fields (the constructor name), but there are probably elements with the same second field (the datatype name). Under the assumptions this list provides, you "type-check" the pattern list to see if there exists some typ (call it t) that all the patterns in the list can have. If so, return SOME t, else return NONE.

You must return the "most lenient" type that all the patterns can have. For example, given patterns TupleP [VariableP "x", VariableP "y"] and TupleP [WildcardP, WildcardP], return SOME (TupleT [AnythingT, AnythingT]) even though they could both have type TupleT [IntT, IntT]. As another example, if the only patterns are TupleP [WildcardP, WildcardP] and TupleP [WildcardP, TupleP [WildcardP, WildcardP]], you must return SOME (TupleT [AnythingT, TupleT[AnythingT, AnythingT]]).

Type Summary: Evaluating a correct homework solution should generate these bindings, in addition to the bindings for datatype and exception definitions:

```
val only_lowercase = fn : string list -> string list
val longest_string1 = fn : string list -> string
val longest_string2 = fn : string list -> string
val longest_string_helper = fn : (int * int -> bool) -> string list -> string
val longest_string3 = fn : string list -> string
val longest_string4 = fn : string list -> string
val longest_lowercase = fn : string list -> string
val all_answers = fn : ('a -> 'b list option) -> 'a list -> 'b list option
val match = fn : valu * pattern -> (string * valu) list option
```

Of course, generating these bindings does not guarantee that your solutions are correct. Test your functions: Put your testing code in a second file. We will not grade it, but you must turn it in.

Assessment

Your solutions should be correct, in good style, and use only features we have used in class. As in Homework 2, prefer pattern matching over functions like null, hd, tl and features like #1.

Turn-in Instructions

- Put all your solutions in one file, hw3.sml. Put tests you wrote in hw3tests.sml.
- Follow the link on the course website (homework section) for the web-form for submitting your files.