

TASK 3.9

Common Table Expressions

STEP 1

-- Common Table Expression (CTE) to find the top 10 countries with the most customers

```
WITH top_country AS (  
  SELECT  
    CO.country  
  FROM  
    customer AS C  
  INNER JOIN  
    address AS A ON A.address_id = C.address_id  
  INNER JOIN  
    city AS CI ON CI.city_id = A.city_id  
  INNER JOIN  
    country CO ON CO.country_id = CI.country_id  
  GROUP BY  
    CO.country  
  ORDER BY  
    COUNT(C.customer_id) DESC  
  LIMIT 10  
)
```

-- Common Table Expression (CTE) to find the top 10 cities within the top 10 countries

```
top_city AS (  
  SELECT  
    CI.city  
  FROM  
    customer AS C  
  INNER JOIN  
    address AS A ON A.address_id = C.address_id  
  INNER JOIN  
    city AS CI ON CI.city_id = A.city_id  
  INNER JOIN  
    country CO ON CO.country_id = CI.country_id  
  WHERE  
    CO.country IN (SELECT * FROM top_country)  
  GROUP BY  
    CO.country, CI.city  
  ORDER BY  
    COUNT(C.customer_id) DESC  
  LIMIT 10  
)
```

-- Common Table Expression (CTE) to calculate the total amount paid by the top 5 customers in the top cities

```
total_amount_paid AS (  
  SELECT  
    CO.country  
  FROM  
    customer AS C  
  INNER JOIN  
    address AS A ON A.address_id = C.address_id  
  INNER JOIN  
    city AS CI ON CI.city_id = A.city_id  
  INNER JOIN  
    country CO ON CO.country_id = CI.country_id  
  WHERE  
    CO.country IN (SELECT * FROM top_country)  
  GROUP BY  
    CO.country, CI.city  
  ORDER BY  
    COUNT(C.customer_id) DESC  
  LIMIT 5  
)
```

```

SELECT
    C.customer_id,
    C.first_name,
    C.last_name,
    CO.country,
    CI.city,
    SUM(P.amount) AS total_amount_payment
FROM
    payment AS P
INNER JOIN
    customer AS C ON C.customer_id = P.customer_id
INNER JOIN
    address AS A ON A.address_id = C.address_id
INNER JOIN
    city AS CI ON CI.city_id = A.city_id
INNER JOIN
    country CO ON CO.country_id = CI.country_id
WHERE
    CI.city IN (SELECT * FROM top_city)
GROUP BY
    C.customer_id, CO.country, CI.city
ORDER BY
    total_amount_payment DESC
LIMIT 5

```

)

-- Final query to calculate the average total amount paid by the top 5 customers in the top cities

```

SELECT
    AVG(total_amount_payment) AS average
FROM
    total_amount_paid;

```

Rockbuster/postgres@PostgreSQL 16

Query Query History Scratch Pad X

```

1
2 WITH top_country AS (
3     SELECT
4         CO.country
5     FROM
6         customer AS C
7     INNER JOIN
8         address AS A ON A.address_id = C.address_id
9     INNER JOIN
10        city AS CI ON CI.city_id = A.city_id
11    INNER JOIN
12        country CO ON CO.country_id = CI.country_id
13    GROUP BY
14        CO.country
15    ORDER BY
16        COUNT(C.customer_id) DESC
17    LIMIT 10
18 ),
19 top_city AS (
20     SELECT
21         CI.city

```

Data Output Messages Notifications

	average numeric	
1	105.5540000000000000	

1.a

```

WITH top_countries AS (
    -- CTE to find the top 10 countries with the most customers
    SELECT CO.country
    FROM customer AS C
    INNER JOIN address AS A ON A.address_id = C.address_id
    INNER JOIN city AS CI ON CI.city_id = A.city_id
    INNER JOIN country CO ON CO.country_id = CI.country_id
    GROUP BY CO.country
    ORDER BY COUNT(C.customer_id) DESC
    LIMIT 10
),
top_customers AS (
    -- CTE to calculate the total amount paid by the top 5 customers in the top cities of top
    countries
    SELECT
        C.customer_id,
        C.first_name,
        C.last_name,
        CO.country,
        CI.city,
        SUM(P.amount) AS total_amount_payment
    FROM

```

```

    payment AS P
INNER JOIN
    customer AS C ON C.customer_id = P.customer_id
INNER JOIN
    address AS A ON A.address_id = C.address_id
INNER JOIN
    city AS CI ON CI.city_id = A.city_id
INNER JOIN
    country CO ON CO.country_id = CI.country_id
WHERE
    CI.city IN (
        SELECT CI.city
        FROM customer AS C
        INNER JOIN address AS A ON A.address_id = C.address_id
        INNER JOIN city AS CI ON CI.city_id = A.city_id
        INNER JOIN country CO ON CO.country_id = CI.country_id
        WHERE CO.country IN (SELECT * FROM top_countries)
        GROUP BY CO.country, CI.city
        ORDER BY COUNT(C.customer_id) DESC
        LIMIT 10
    )
GROUP BY
    C.customer_id, CO.country, CI.city
ORDER BY
    total_amount_payment DESC
LIMIT 5
)
-- Final query to get the count of all customers and top customers in each country
SELECT
    CO.country,
    COUNT(DISTINCT C.customer_id) AS all_customer_count,
    COUNT(DISTINCT top_customers.customer_id) AS top_customer_count
FROM
    customer AS C
INNER JOIN
    address AS A ON A.address_id = C.address_id
INNER JOIN
    city AS CI ON CI.city_id = A.city_id
INNER JOIN
    country CO ON CO.country_id = CI.country_id
LEFT JOIN
    top_customers ON top_customers.country = CO.country
GROUP BY
    CO.country
ORDER BY
    top_customer_count DESC;

```

Rockbuster/postgres@PostgreSQL 16

Query Query History

```

1 WITH top_countries AS (
2
3     SELECT CO.country
4     FROM customer AS C
5     INNER JOIN address AS A ON A.address_id = C.address_id
6     INNER JOIN city AS CI ON CI.city_id = A.city_id
7     INNER JOIN country CO ON CO.country_id = CI.country_id
8     GROUP BY CO.country
9     ORDER BY COUNT(C.customer_id) DESC
10    LIMIT 10
11 ),
12 top_customers AS (
13 |
14     SELECT
15         C.customer_id,
16         C.first_name,
17         C.last_name,
18         CO.country,
19         CI.city,
20         SUM(P.amount) AS total_amount_payment
21     FROM

```

Data Output Messages Notifications

	country character varying (50)	all_customer_count bigint	top_customer_count bigint
1	Bangladesh	60	1
2	Tuvalu	60	1
3	Bahrain	60	1
4	Ukraine	60	1

Total rows: 1000 of 2289 Query complete 00:00:04.659

First, I identified the main components of the original query, including finding the top countries, calculating total payments by top customers, and counting all customers per country. Then, I transformed each component into a Common Table Expression (CTE), breaking down the logic into more manageable parts. Finally, I joined these CTEs together to retrieve the desired information, ensuring readability and maintainability.

STEP 2

Comparing the performance of the original query and the one using CTEs depends on various factors such as database size, indexing, and server resources. Generally, the query with CTEs may perform better due to its modular and structured nature, allowing for better query optimization and reuse of intermediate results. However, in some cases, the performance difference may be negligible or even favor the original query, especially if the CTEs introduce unnecessary processing overhead.

To compare the costs of the queries, I would use the EXPLAIN command to generate query plans for each one, which provides an estimated cost based on factors like table scans, joins, and index usage. Then, I would run both queries in pgAdmin 4 to obtain their execution times in milliseconds.

Let's see:

QUERY 1 from 3.8:

Aggregate (cost=166.06..166.07 rows=1 width=32)

Query complete 00:00:00.219

QUERY 1 as CTEs:

Aggregate (cost=166.06..166.07 rows=1 width=32)

Query complete 00:00:00.258

QUERY 2 from 3.8:

"Sort (cost=270.33..270.60 rows=109 width=25)"

Query complete 00:00:00.468

QUERY 2 as CTEs:

Sort (cost=270.24..270.51 rows=109 width=25)

Query complete 00:00:04.659

I find the results somewhat unexpected. The decision to use either Common Table Expressions (CTEs) or subqueries should rely on performance testing and thorough analysis tailored to individual cases.

STEP 3:

Personally, transitioning from using subqueries to employing Common Table Expressions (CTEs) presented a few challenges. Initially, understanding the syntax and structure of CTEs required some effort, especially grasping how to define and reference them within the query. Additionally, translating the logic from subqueries to CTEs while maintaining the integrity and functionality of the original query posed a bit of a learning curve. Ensuring that the CTEs were properly scoped and sequenced to produce the desired results took some trial and error. Moreover, managing the nesting of CTEs within the main query while keeping the code organized and readable proved to be another hurdle. However, through experimentation and practice, I gradually became more comfortable with leveraging CTEs as a powerful tool for improving query readability, modularity, and performance. Overall, while there were

challenges in the transition process, the experience provided valuable insights into the versatility and efficiency of CTEs in SQL query optimization.