

1]. On a  $I_{n,\theta} = I_{n,\theta'} \Leftrightarrow \theta^T x_i = \theta'^T x_i \quad \forall i = \overline{1, n}$   
 $\Leftrightarrow x_n^T \theta = x_n^T \theta'$

$x_n$  est de rang  $p \Rightarrow \theta = \theta'$  D'où le modèle est identifiable

2]  $F_n(\theta) = \sum_{i=1}^n h(\theta^T x_i) x_i x_i^T$

• Soit  $u \in \mathbb{R}^p$ ,  $u \neq 0$  on a :  $u^T F_n u = \sum_{i=1}^n h(\theta^T x_i) u^T x_i x_i^T u = \sum_{i=1}^n h(\theta^T x_i) \|x_i^T u\|^2 \geq 0$   
car  $h(x) \geq 0 \quad \forall x$

•  $u^T F_n u = 0 \Leftrightarrow x_i^T u = 0 \quad \forall i = \overline{1, n} \Leftrightarrow u = 0$  (Contradiction)

D'où  $F_n(\theta)$  est définie positive

3]  $h(t) = \frac{e^t}{(1+e^t)^2} \Rightarrow h'(t) = \frac{e^t(1+e^t)^2 - 2(1+e^t)e^t \cdot e^t}{(1+e^t)^4} = \frac{e^t(1-e^t)}{(1+e^t)^3}$

On a :  $\left| \frac{e^t}{(1+e^t)^2} \right| < 1$ ,  $\left| \frac{1-e^t}{1+e^t} \right| < 1 \Rightarrow |h'(t)| < 1 \quad \forall t$

D'où  $h$  est 1-lipschitzienne sur  $\mathbb{R}$

4]. Vraisemblance :  $\ln(\theta) = \prod_{i=1}^n \varphi(\theta^T x_i)^{y_i} (1 - \varphi(\theta^T x_i))^{1-y_i}$

On a  $1 - \varphi(t) = 1 - \frac{1}{1+e^{-t}} = \frac{e^{-t}}{1+e^{-t}} = \varphi(-t)$

$\Rightarrow \ln(\theta) = \prod_{i=1}^n \varphi(\theta^T x_i)^{y_i} \varphi(-\theta^T x_i)^{1-y_i}$

• Log-vraisemblance :  $\ln(\theta) = \sum_{i=1}^n y_i \log(\varphi(\theta^T x_i)) + \sum_{i=1}^n (1-y_i) \log(\varphi(-\theta^T x_i))$   
 $= \sum_{i=1}^n y_i [\theta^T x_i - \ln(1+e^{\theta^T x_i})] + \sum_{i=1}^n (1-y_i) [-\ln(1+e^{\theta^T x_i})]$   
 $= \sum_{i=1}^n y_i \theta^T x_i - \sum_{i=1}^n \ln(1+e^{\theta^T x_i})$

5].  $\nabla \ln(\theta) = \sum_{i=1}^n y_i x_i - \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{x_i e^{\theta^T x_i}}{1+e^{\theta^T x_i}} = \sum_{i=1}^n \{y_i - \varphi(\theta^T x_i)\} x_i = X_n^T \{Y_n - \Phi_n(\theta)\}$

•  $\nabla^2 \ln(\theta) = -X_n^T \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \Phi_n(\theta) = -(x_1 \dots x_n) \begin{pmatrix} h(\theta^T x_1) x_1^T \\ \vdots \\ h(\theta^T x_n) x_n^T \end{pmatrix} = -\sum_{i=1}^n h(\theta^T x_i) x_i x_i^T$   
 $= -F_n(\theta)$

•  $E_{n,\theta}[\nabla \ln(\theta) \nabla \ln(\theta)^T] = E_{n,\theta} [X_n^T \{Y_n - \Phi_n(\theta)\} \{Y_n - \Phi_n(\theta)\}^T X_n]$

$= X_n^T E_{n,\theta} [\{Y_n - \Phi_n(\theta)\} \{Y_n - \Phi_n(\theta)\}^T] X_n = X_n^T \text{Cov}(Y_n) X_n = X_n^T \text{diag}(h(\theta^T x_i)) X_n$



$$= \sum_{i=1}^n h(\theta^T x_i) x_i x_i^T = F_n(\theta) \quad \left| \begin{array}{l} F_n(\theta) \text{ est positive d\u00e9finie} \Rightarrow \nabla^2 \ln(\theta) = -F_n(\theta) \text{ est} \\ \text{n\u00e9gative d\u00e9finie} \rightarrow \ln(\theta) \text{ est strictement concave} \\ \text{presque s\u00fcr\u00e9ment.} \end{array} \right.$$

6) Si  $\gamma_k = 1$  on a  $\theta_*^T x_k > 0 \Rightarrow \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \lambda \theta_*^T x_k = \infty \Rightarrow \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(\lambda \theta_*^T x_k) = 1$

$$\Rightarrow \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \ln(\varphi(\lambda \theta_*^T x_k)) = 0 \Rightarrow \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_k \ln(\varphi(\lambda \theta_*^T x_k)) = 0$$

$$\cdot (1 - \gamma_k) = 0 \Rightarrow (1 - \gamma_k) \ln(\varphi(-\lambda \theta_*^T x_k)) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \gamma_k \ln(\varphi(\lambda \theta_*^T x_k)) + (1 - \gamma_k) \ln(\varphi(-\lambda \theta_*^T x_k)) \xrightarrow{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} 0$$

$$\cdot \text{Si } \gamma_k = 0 \text{ on a } \theta_*^T x_k < 0 \Rightarrow \gamma_k \ln(\varphi(\lambda \theta_*^T x_k)) + (1 - \gamma_k) \ln(\varphi(-\lambda \theta_*^T x_k)) \xrightarrow{x \rightarrow \infty} 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \ln(\theta_*) = \sum_k \gamma_k \ln(\varphi(\lambda \theta_*^T x_k)) + (1 - \gamma_k) \ln(\varphi(-\lambda \theta_*^T x_k)) \xrightarrow{x \rightarrow \infty} 0$$

+) On suppose qu'il existe  $\bar{\theta}$  t.q  $\bar{\theta} = \arg \max_{\theta \in \mathbb{R}^p} \ln(\theta)$ .

Consid\u00e9rer  $f(\lambda) = \ln(\bar{\theta} + \lambda \theta_*)$

$$\ln \varphi((\bar{\theta} + \lambda \theta_*)^T x_k)$$

\(\cdot\) Si  $\gamma_k = 1 \Rightarrow \theta_*^T x_k > 0 \Rightarrow (\bar{\theta} + \lambda \theta_*)^T x_k \xrightarrow{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \infty \Rightarrow \gamma_k \ln(\varphi((\bar{\theta} + \lambda \theta_*)^T x_k)) + (1 - \gamma_k) \ln(\varphi(-(\bar{\theta} + \lambda \theta_*)^T x_k)) \xrightarrow{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} 0$

\(\cdot\) Si  $\gamma_k = 0 \Rightarrow \theta_*^T x_k < 0 \Rightarrow (\bar{\theta} + \lambda \theta_*)^T x_k \rightarrow -\infty \Rightarrow \gamma_k \ln(\varphi((\bar{\theta} + \lambda \theta_*)^T x_k)) + (1 - \gamma_k) \ln(\varphi(-(\bar{\theta} + \lambda \theta_*)^T x_k)) \rightarrow 0$

D'o\u00f9  $f(\lambda) \xrightarrow{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} 0$  et on a  $f(\lambda)$  strictement concave ;  $0 = \arg \max_{\lambda} f(\lambda)$

Soit  $\lambda_0 > 0 \Rightarrow f(\lambda_0) < f(0) \Rightarrow (1 - \delta) f(0) + \delta f(\frac{\lambda_0}{\delta}) \leq f(\lambda_0)$

$$\Rightarrow f(\frac{\lambda_0}{\delta}) \leq \frac{f(\lambda_0) - (1 - \delta) f(0)}{\delta} \quad \forall \delta > 0, \delta < 1$$

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(\lambda_0) - (1 - \delta) f(0)}{\delta} \rightarrow \frac{f(\lambda_0) - f(0)}{\lambda_0} \rightarrow -\infty$$

$$\Rightarrow f(\frac{\lambda_0}{\delta}) \rightarrow -\infty \text{ (Contradiction avec } f(\lambda) \xrightarrow{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} 0)$$

Donc l'estimateur MV n'existe pas.

7) On suppose qu'il existe  $\bar{\theta}$  t.q  $\bar{\theta} = \arg \max_{\theta \in \mathbb{R}^p} \ln(\theta)$

Consid\u00e9rer  $f(\lambda) = \ln(\bar{\theta} + \lambda \theta_*)$  ;  $f$  est strictement concave,  $f(0) = \max_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}} f(\lambda)$

+) Si  $\begin{cases} k \notin E \\ \gamma_k = 1 \end{cases} \quad \theta_*^T x_k > 0 \Rightarrow \gamma_k \ln(\varphi(\lambda \theta_* + \bar{\theta})^T x_k) + (1 - \gamma_k) \ln(\varphi(-(\bar{\theta} + \lambda \theta_*)^T x_k)) \rightarrow 0$

+) Si  $\begin{cases} k \notin E \\ \gamma_k = 0 \end{cases} \quad \theta_*^T x_k < 0 \Rightarrow \gamma_k \ln(\varphi(\bar{\theta} + \lambda \theta_*)^T x_k) + (1 - \gamma_k) \ln(\varphi(-(\bar{\theta} + \lambda \theta_*)^T x_k)) \rightarrow 0$



$$+, \text{ Si } k \in \mathcal{E} \Rightarrow \theta_*^T x_k = 0 \Rightarrow \gamma_k \ln(\varphi(\bar{\theta} + \lambda \theta_k)^T x_k) + (1 - \gamma_k) \ln(\varphi(-(\bar{\theta} + \lambda \theta_k)^T x_k)) \\ = \gamma_k \ln(\varphi(\bar{\theta}^T x_k)) + (1 - \gamma_k) \ln(\varphi(-\bar{\theta}^T x_k)) \\ (\text{ne depends pas de } \lambda)$$

$\Rightarrow$  Il existe  $c$  t.q  $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} f(\lambda) = c$

Soit  $\lambda_0 > 0$ , on a  $f(\lambda_0) < f(0)$ . Comme dans question précédente,  $f(\frac{\lambda_0}{\gamma}) \xrightarrow{\gamma \rightarrow 0} -\infty$

C'est contradiction avec  $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} f(\lambda) = c \Rightarrow$  le maximum de vraisemblance n'existe pas

8] Soit  $\xi > 0$  t.q  $\forall \theta \in S(0, 1)$ ,  $\exists k_{1,\theta}$  et  $k_{2,\theta}$  t.q

$$\theta^T x_{k_{1,\theta}} > \xi, \theta^T x_{k_{2,\theta}} < -\xi \text{ et } \gamma_{k_1} = \gamma_{k_2}$$

Soit  $I_0, I_1$  deux ensembles d'indices t.q  $\begin{cases} \gamma_i = 0 \forall i \in I_0 \\ \gamma_i = 1 \forall i \in I_1 \end{cases}$

$$\text{Soit } k_{0,1,\theta} = \arg \max_{k \in I_0} \theta^T x_k$$

$$k_{0,2,\theta} = \arg \min_{k \in I_0} \theta^T x_k$$

$$k_{1,1,\theta} = \arg \max_{k \in I_1} \theta^T x_k$$

$$k_{1,2,\theta} = \arg \min_{k \in I_1} \theta^T x_k$$

$\Rightarrow (\theta^T x_{k_{0,1,\theta}}, \theta^T x_{k_{0,2,\theta}}, \theta^T x_{k_{1,1,\theta}}, \theta^T x_{k_{1,2,\theta}})$  continue

Soit  $O_0 = \{\theta \in S(0, 1) \mid \theta^T x_{k_{0,1,\theta}} - \theta^T x_{k_{0,2,\theta}} < 0\} \Rightarrow O_0, O_1$  ouvert

$O_1 = \{\theta \in S(0, 1) \mid \theta^T x_{k_{1,1,\theta}} - \theta^T x_{k_{1,2,\theta}} < 0\}$

Par l'hypothèse,  $O_0 \cup O_1 = S^p$

$\Rightarrow \exists F_0 \subset O_0, F_1 \subset O_1$  t.q  $\begin{cases} F_1, F_0 \text{ fermé, compact} \\ F_1 \cup F_0 = S^p \end{cases}$

On choisit  $\xi = \min \left\{ \min_{\theta \in F_0} \theta^T x_{k_{0,1,\theta}} - \max_{\theta \in F_0} \theta^T x_{k_{0,2,\theta}}, \min_{\theta \in F_1} \theta^T x_{k_{1,1,\theta}} - \max_{\theta \in F_1} \theta^T x_{k_{1,2,\theta}} \right\}$

$\forall M > 0, \exists \lambda_M$  t.q  $\forall \theta \in S(0, 1), \forall \lambda > \lambda_M: \ln(\lambda \theta) \leq -M$

Car  $\ln(\varphi(-\lambda \xi)) \xrightarrow{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} -\infty$ , on peut choisir  $\lambda_M$  t.q  $\ln(\varphi(-\lambda \xi)) \leq -M \quad \forall \lambda > \lambda_M$

Soit  $\theta \in S(0, 1)$ ,  $\exists k_1, k_2$  t.q  $\theta^T x_{k_1, \theta} > \xi, \theta^T x_{k_2, \theta} < -\xi$  et  $\gamma_{k_1} = \gamma_{k_2}$

+ Si  $\gamma_{k_1} = \gamma_{k_2} = 0$ , on a:  $\gamma_{k_1} \ln(\varphi(\lambda \theta^T x_{k_1})) + (1 - \gamma_{k_1}) \ln(\varphi(-\lambda \theta^T x_{k_1}))$

$$= \ln(\varphi(-\lambda \theta^T x_{k_1})) \leq \ln(\varphi(-\lambda \xi)) \leq -M \quad \forall \lambda > \lambda_M$$



+ Si  $\gamma_{k_1} = \gamma_{k_2} = 1$ , on a :  $\gamma_{k_2} \ln \varphi(\lambda \theta^T x_{k_2}) + (1 - \gamma_{k_2}) \ln \varphi(-\lambda \theta^T x_{k_2})$   
 $= \ln \varphi(\lambda \theta^T x_{k_2}) \leq \ln \varphi(-\lambda \xi) \leq -M \quad \forall \lambda > \lambda_M$

• On a aussi  $\gamma_k \ln \varphi(\lambda \theta^T x_k) + (1 - \gamma_k) \ln \varphi(-\lambda \theta^T x_k) \leq 0 \quad \forall k$ .

En tous cas, on a  $\ln(\lambda \theta) \leq -M, \quad \forall \theta \in S(0,1), \lambda > \lambda_M$

• On choisit  $M > -\ln(0)$ , on a  $\sup_{\overline{B(0,M)}} \ln(\theta) > \ln(0) > -M \geq \sup_{\|\theta\| > M} \ln(\theta)$   
 $\Rightarrow \ln(\theta)$  atteint son maximum dans  $\overline{B(0,M)}$  ( $\ln(\theta)$  continue,  $\overline{B(0,M)}$  compacte)

• Car  $\ln \theta$  est strictement concave, il n'existe qu'un point maximal de  $\ln(\theta)$ .

9] On a  $\|F_n(\theta) - F_n(\vartheta)\| = \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n [h(\theta^T x_i) - h(\vartheta^T x_i)] x_i x_i^T \right\| \quad (*)$

On a  $h$  est 1-lipschitzienne  $\Rightarrow (*) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n |h(\theta^T x_i) - h(\vartheta^T x_i)| \|x_i x_i^T\|$   
 $\leq \sum_{i=1}^n \|\theta - \vartheta\| \|x_i\| \|x_i x_i^T\| \leq n \|\theta - \vartheta\| \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \|x_i\|^3 \right)$   
 $\leq C n \|\theta - \vartheta\|$  (Hypothèse H3)

10]  $\exists \bar{\theta}_n \in [\theta, \hat{\theta}_n^{MV}]$  tq  $\nabla \ln(\hat{\theta}_n^{MV}) - \nabla \ln(\theta) = -F_n(\bar{\theta}_n) \cdot (\hat{\theta}_n^{MV} - \theta)$   
 $\Leftrightarrow \frac{\nabla \ln(\hat{\theta}_n^{MV}) - \nabla \ln(\theta)}{\sqrt{n}} = - \frac{F_n(\bar{\theta}_n)}{\sqrt{n}} \sqrt{n} (\hat{\theta}_n^{MV} - \theta)$

$- \frac{F_n(\bar{\theta}_n)}{n} = - \underbrace{\frac{F_n(\bar{\theta}_n) - F_n(\theta)}{n}}_{R_n} - \frac{F_n(\theta)}{n}$   
 $R_n$  où  $\|R_n\| \leq \frac{C n \|\bar{\theta}_n - \theta\|}{n} \leq c \|\bar{\theta}_n - \theta\|$

On a  $\hat{\theta}_n^{MV} \xrightarrow{P_{n,\theta} \text{ proba}} \theta \Rightarrow \bar{\theta}_n \xrightarrow{P_{n,\theta} \text{ proba}} \theta \Rightarrow R_n \xrightarrow{P_{n,\theta} \text{ proba}} 0$  (Par Slutsky)

Donc  $\frac{\nabla \ln(\hat{\theta}_n^{MV}) - \nabla \ln(\theta)}{\sqrt{n}} = \left( - \frac{F_n(\theta)}{n} + R_n \right) \sqrt{n} (\hat{\theta}_n - \theta)$  avec  $R_n \xrightarrow{P_{n,\theta} \text{ proba}} 0$

11] D'après q5, on a :  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \nabla \ln(\theta) = \sum_{i=1}^n \underbrace{\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} (\gamma_i - \varphi(\theta^T x_i)) x_i}_{T_{n,i}}$

Vu que  $\{T_{n,i}\}_{i,n}$  est un tableau triangulaire de variables aléatoires définies sur le même espace de probabilité.

On vérifie les conditions du théorème L.F. :

•  $E[T_{n,i}] = 0$



$$\cdot \|T_{n,i}\|^2 = \frac{1}{n} \|x_i\|^2 |y_i - \varphi(\theta^T x_i)|^2$$

$$\text{Donc } E[\|T_{n,i}\|^2] = \frac{1}{n} \text{Var}(y_i) \|x_i\|^2 = \frac{1}{n} \|x_i\|^2 h(\theta^T x_i) \leq \infty$$

$$\cdot \text{Par l'hypothèse 1 on a: } \sum_{i=1}^n \text{Var}(T_{n,i}) = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{n} x_i h(\theta^T x_i) x_i^T = \frac{1}{n} F_n(\theta) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} Q(\theta)$$

$$\text{Soit } \varepsilon > 0 \quad \{\|T_{n,i}\| > \varepsilon\} = \left\{ \frac{\sqrt{n} \varepsilon}{\|x_i\|} < |y_i - \varphi(\theta^T x_i)| \right\} \quad \text{C'est improbable car}$$

$$|y_i - \varphi(\theta^T x_i)| < 1 \Rightarrow \mathbb{1}_{\{\|T_{n,i}\| > \varepsilon\}} = 0 \text{ presque partout}$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^n E[\|T_{n,i}\|^2 \mathbb{1}_{\{\|T_{n,i}\| > \varepsilon\}}] = 0$$

$$\cdot \text{Donc, D'après théorème Lindeberg, Feller: } \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \nabla \ln \theta \xrightarrow{P_{n,\theta}} N(0, Q(\theta))$$

$$\underline{12]} \text{ On a: } \frac{\nabla \ln(\hat{\theta}_n^{MV}) - \nabla \ln \theta}{\sqrt{n}} = \left( -\frac{F_n(\theta)}{n} + R_n \right) \sqrt{n} (\hat{\theta}_n - \theta)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \sqrt{n} (\hat{\theta}_n^{MV} - \theta) = \frac{\nabla \ln(\theta) / \sqrt{n}}{\left( \frac{F_n(\theta)}{n} - R_n \right)} \quad \text{On a: } \frac{\nabla \ln(\theta)}{\sqrt{n}} = \left( \frac{F_n(\theta)}{n} - R_n \right) \sqrt{n} (\hat{\theta}_n^{MV} - \theta)$$

$$\text{On a } \frac{F_n(\theta)}{n} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} Q(\theta); \quad \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \nabla \ln(\theta) \xrightarrow{P_{n,\theta}} N(0, Q(\theta)); \quad R_n \xrightarrow{P_{n,\theta}} 0$$

$$\text{Lemme de Slutsky: } Q(0) \sqrt{n} (\hat{\theta}_n^{MV} - \theta) \xrightarrow{P_{n,\theta}} N(0, Q(\theta))$$

$$\Rightarrow \sqrt{n} (\hat{\theta}_n^{MV} - \theta) \xrightarrow{P_{n,\theta}} N(0, Q(\theta)^{-1})$$

$$\underline{13]} \text{ D'après question précédente: } \sqrt{n} (\hat{\theta}_{n,k}^{MV} - \theta_k) \xrightarrow{P_{n,\theta}} N(0, \beta_k)$$

$$\text{Où } \beta_k \text{ est le même coefficient de la diagonale de } \{F_n(\hat{\theta}_n^{MV})\}^{-1}$$

$$\Rightarrow \sqrt{\frac{n}{\beta_k}} (\hat{\theta}_{n,k}^{MV} - \theta_k) \xrightarrow{P_{n,\theta}} N(0, 1). \quad \text{On a aussi } \sqrt{\frac{\beta_k}{\beta_{n,k}}} \rightarrow 1 \text{ d'après H1}$$

Par Slutsky

$$\Rightarrow \sqrt{\frac{n}{\beta_{n,k}}} (\hat{\theta}_{n,k}^{MV} - \theta_k) \xrightarrow{P_{n,\theta}} N(0, 1)$$

$$\underline{14]} \text{ Soit } z_{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \text{ la quantile niveau } \alpha \text{ de } N(0, 1), \text{ on a}$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left( \left| \sqrt{\frac{n}{\beta_{n,k}}} (\hat{\theta}_{n,k}^{MV} - \theta_k) \right| < z_{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \right) = \alpha$$

$$\Rightarrow \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left( \hat{\theta}_{n,k}^{MV} - \frac{z_{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}}}{\sqrt{n/\beta_{n,k}}} \leq \theta_k \leq \hat{\theta}_{n,k}^{MV} + \frac{z_{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}}}{\sqrt{n/\beta_{n,k}}} \right) = \alpha$$

L'intervalle asymptotique pour  $\theta_k$

15  $H_0: \theta_u = 0$  contre  $H_1: \theta_u \neq 0$

On prend le test  $\mathbb{1} \left\{ \left| \sqrt{\frac{n}{\beta_{n,k}}} \cdot \hat{\theta}_{n,k}^{MV} \right| > z_{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \right\}$  est un test de niveau asymptotique  $\alpha$

16 La p-valeur asymptotique de ce test satisfait:

$$|\hat{\theta}_{n,k}^{MV}| = z_{1-\frac{\hat{\alpha}}{2}} \sqrt{\frac{\beta_{n,k}}{n}} \Rightarrow z_{1-\frac{\hat{\alpha}}{2}} = \sqrt{\frac{n}{\beta_{n,k}}} |\hat{\theta}_{n,k}^{MV}|$$

$$\Rightarrow 1 - \frac{\hat{\alpha}}{2} = \Phi \left( \sqrt{\frac{n}{\beta_{n,k}}} |\hat{\theta}_{n,k}^{MV}| \right) \quad \text{où } \Phi \text{ est la fonction répartition de } N(0,1)$$

$$\Rightarrow \hat{\alpha} = 2 \left( 1 - \Phi \left( \sqrt{\frac{n}{\beta_{n,k}}} |\hat{\theta}_{n,k}^{MV}| \right) \right)$$