

Plan of action for the numerical smoothing project

December 25, 2018

1 The goal and outline of the project

The first goal of the project is to approximate $E[f(X(t))]$, using multi-index stochastic collocation(MISC) method, proposed in [2], where

- The payoff $f : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ has either jumps or kinks. Possible choices of f that we wanted to test are:
 - hockey-stick function, i.e., put or call payoff functions;
 - indicator functions (both relevant in finance (binary option,...) and in other applications of estimation of probabilities of certain events);
 - delta-functions for density estimation (and derivatives thereof for estimation of derivatives of the density).

More specifically, f should be the composition of one of the above with a smooth function. (For instance, the basket option payoff as a function of the log-prices of the underlying.)

- The process X is simulated via a time-stepping scheme. Possible choices that we wanted to test are
 - The one/multi dimensional discretized Black-Scholes(BS) process where we compare different ways to identify the location of the kink, such as:
 - * Exact location of the continuous problem
 - * Exact location of the discrete problem by root finding of a polynomial in y .
 - * Newton iteration.
 - A relative simple interest rate model or stochastic volatility model, for instance CIR or Heston models: In fact, the impact of the Brownian bridge will disappear in the limit, which may make the effect of the smoothing, but also of the errors in the kink location difficult to identify. For this reason, we suggest to study a more complicated 1-dimensional problem next. We suggest to use a CIR process. To avoid complications at the boundary, we suggest "nice" parameter choices, such that the discretized process is very unlikely to hit the boundary (Feller condition).

- The multi dimensional discretized Black-Scholes(BS) process: Here, we suggest to return to the Black-Scholes model, but in multi-dimensional case. In this case, linearizing the exponential, suggest that a good variable to use for smoothing might be the sum of the final values of the Brownian motion. In general, though, one should probably eventually identify the optimal direction(s) for smoothing via the duals algorithmic differentiation.

The desired outcome is a paper including

- Theoretical results including: i) an analiticity proof for the integrand in the time stepping setting, ii) a numerical analysis of the schemes involved, such as Newton iteration, etc.
- Applications that tests the examples above.

What has beed achieved so far:

1. Numerical outputs:

- **Example 1:** Tests for the basket option with the smoothing trick as in [1]: in that example we checked the performance of MISC without time stepping scheme and also compare the results with reference [1]. (Done).
- **Example 2:** The one dimensional binary option under discretized BS model. The results are promising(Done).
- **Example 3:** The one dimensional call option under discretized BS model. The results are promising(Done).
- **Example 4:** The multi dimensional basket call option under discretized BS model (Under process).
- **Example 5:** The best of call option under discretized BS model and two dimensional Heston model (To-DO).

2. Theoretical outputs:

- Heuristic proof of analiticity (Done).
- Theoretical motivation of our work (under process).
- Discussion of the error and some numerical analysis of our scheme (to-Do).

References Cited

- [1] CHRISTIAN BAYER, MARKUS SIEBENMORGEN, and RAUL TEMPONE. Smoothing the payoff for efficient computation of basket option pricing.
- [2] Abdul-Lateef Haji-Ali, Fabio Nobile, Lorenzo Tamellini, and Raul Tempone. Multi-index stochastic collocation for random pdes. *Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering*, 306:95–122, 2016.