

8.1 Components of variance: Consider the hierarchical model where

$$\begin{aligned}\theta_1, \dots, \theta_m | \mu, \tau^2 &\sim \text{i.i.d. normal}(\mu, \tau^2) \\ y_{1,j}, \dots, y_{n_j,j} | \theta_j, \sigma^2 &\sim \text{i.i.d. normal}(\theta_j, \sigma^2).\end{aligned}$$

For this problem, we will eventually compute the following:

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Var}[y_{i,j} | \theta_j, \sigma^2], \text{Var}[\bar{y}_{\cdot,j} | \theta_j, \sigma^2], \text{Cov}[y_{i_1,j}, y_{i_2,j} | \theta_j, \sigma^2] \\ \text{Var}[y_{i,j} | \mu, \tau^2], \text{Var}[\bar{y}_{\cdot,j} | \mu, \tau^2], \text{Cov}[y_{i_1,j}, y_{i_2,j} | \mu, \tau^2]\end{aligned}$$

First, let's use our intuition to guess at the answers:

- Which do you think is bigger, $\text{Var}[y_{i,j} | \theta_j, \sigma^2]$ or $\text{Var}[y_{i,j} | \mu, \tau^2]$? To guide your intuition, you can interpret the first as the variability of the Y 's when sampling from a fixed group, and the second as the variability in first sampling a group, then sampling a unit from within the group.
- Do you think $\text{Cov}[y_{i_1,j}, y_{i_2,j} | \theta_j, \sigma^2]$ is negative, positive, or zero? Answer the same for $\text{Cov}[y_{i_1,j}, y_{i_2,j} | \mu, \tau^2]$. You may want to think about what $y_{i_2,j}$ tells you about $y_{i_1,j}$ if θ_j is known, and what it tells you when θ_j is unknown.

8.1

(a) $\text{Var}(Y_{ij} | \mu, \tau^2)$ 은 within 과 between variability를 모두 포함하고 있고, $\text{Var}(Y_{ij} | \theta_j, \sigma^2)$ 은 within variability만 있다. 그러므로 $\text{Var}(Y_{ij} | \mu, \tau^2)$ 이 더 클 것 같다.

(b) $\text{Cov}(Y_{i_1,j}, Y_{i_2,j} | \theta_j, \sigma^2)$: $Y_{i_1,j}, Y_{i_2,j}, \dots, Y_{n_j,j}$ 는 θ_j, σ^2 이 given 일때 conditionally i.i.d. 를 만족한다. 그러므로 $\text{Cov}(Y_{i_1,j}, Y_{i_2,j} | \theta_j, \sigma^2) = 0$ 일 것이다. $\text{Cov}(Y_{i_1,j}, Y_{i_2,j} | \mu, \tau^2) > 0$ positive 일 것이다.

θ_j 가 known 일때는 $Y_{i_1,j}, Y_{i_2,j}$ 의 cov가 0 이므로, $Y_{i_1,j}$ 가 $Y_{i_2,j}$ 에 대해 정보를 많이 주지 못할 것이다. 하지만 θ_j 가 unknown 일때는 $Y_{i_1,j}$ 는 $Y_{i_2,j}$ 에 대해 정보를 주 것이다.

where σ_j is unknown.

- c) Now compute each of the six quantities above and compare to your answers in a) and b).
 d) Now assume we have a prior $p(\mu)$ for μ . Using Bayes' rule, show that

$$p(\mu | \theta_1, \dots, \theta_m, \sigma^2, \tau^2, \mathbf{y}_1, \dots, \mathbf{y}_m) = p(\mu | \theta_1, \dots, \theta_m, \tau^2).$$

c)
$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Var}[y_{i,j} | \theta_i, \sigma^2], \text{Var}[\bar{y}_{\cdot,j} | \theta_i, \sigma^2], \text{Cov}[y_{i_1,j}, y_{i_2,j} | \theta_j, \sigma^2] \\ & \text{Var}[y_{i,j} | \mu, \tau^2], \text{Var}[\bar{y}_{\cdot,j} | \mu, \tau^2], \text{Cov}[y_{i_1,j}, y_{i_2,j} | \mu, \tau^2] \end{aligned}$$

① $\text{Var}(Y_{1j} | \theta_j, \sigma^2) = \sigma^2$

$$Y_{1j} | \theta_j, \sigma^2 \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2)$$

② $\text{Var}(\bar{Y}_{\cdot,j} | \theta_j, \sigma^2)$

$$= \text{Var}\left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n Y_{ij}}{n} | \theta_j, \sigma^2\right) = \frac{\sigma^2}{n}$$

③ $\text{Cov}(Y_{1j}, Y_{2j} | \theta_j, \sigma^2)$

$$= E[Y_{1j}, Y_{2j} | \theta_j, \sigma^2] - E(Y_{1j} | \theta_j, \sigma^2) E(Y_{2j} | \theta_j, \sigma^2) \quad (\because \text{conditionally indep.})$$

$$= 0$$

④ $\text{Var}(Y_{1j} | M, \tau^2) = \text{Var}(E(Y_{1j} | \theta_j, \sigma^2) | M, \tau^2) + E(\text{Var}(Y_{1j} | \theta_j, \sigma^2) | M, \tau^2)$

$$= \text{Var}(\theta_j | M, \tau^2) + E(\sigma^2 | M, \tau^2) = \tau^2 + \sigma^2$$

⑤ $\text{Var}(\bar{Y}_{\cdot,j} | M, \tau^2)$

$$= \text{Var}(E(\bar{Y}_{\cdot,j} | \theta_j, \sigma^2) | M, \tau^2) + E(\text{Var}(\bar{Y}_{\cdot,j} | \theta_j, \sigma^2) | M, \tau^2)$$

$$= \text{Var}(\theta_j | M, \tau^2) + E\left(\frac{\sigma^2}{n_j} | M, \tau^2\right)$$

$$= \tau^2 + \frac{\sigma^2}{n_j}$$

⑥ $\text{Cov}(Y_{1j}, Y_{2j} | M, \tau^2) = E[\text{Cov}(Y_{1j}, Y_{2j} | \theta_j, \sigma^2) | M, \tau^2]$

$$+ \text{Cov}(E(Y_{1j} | \theta_j, \sigma^2), E(Y_{2j} | \theta_j, \sigma^2) | M, \tau^2)$$

$$= E[0 | M, \tau^2] + \text{Cov}(\quad, \quad)$$

$$= \text{Cov}(\theta_j, \theta_j) = I^2$$

d)

$$p(\mu | \underbrace{\theta_1, \dots, \theta_m}_{=\theta}, \sigma^2, \tau^2, \underbrace{y_1, \dots, y_m}_{=Y}) = p(\mu | \theta_1, \dots, \theta_m, \tau^2).$$

$$\begin{aligned} p(M | Y, \theta, \sigma^2, \tau^2) &= \frac{p(M, Y, \theta, \sigma^2, \tau^2)}{\int p(M, Y, \theta, \sigma^2, \tau^2) dM} \\ &= \frac{p(M) p(\tau^2) p(\sigma^2) p(Y | \theta, \sigma^2) p(\theta | M, \tau^2)}{\int p(M) p(\tau^2) p(\sigma^2) p(Y | \theta, \sigma^2) p(\theta | M, \tau^2) dM} \\ &= \frac{p(M) p(\tau^2) p(\sigma^2) p(Y | \theta, \sigma^2) p(\theta | M, \tau^2)}{p(\tau^2) p(\sigma^2) p(Y | \theta, \sigma^2) \int p(M) p(\theta | M, \tau^2) dM} \\ &= \frac{p(M) p(\theta | M, \tau^2)}{\int p(M) p(\theta | M, \tau^2) dM} = p(M | \theta, \tau^2) \end{aligned}$$

M 는 Y, σ^2 에 dependent 하지 않음을 알 수 있다.