

# V5A4 – HABIRO COHOMOLOGY

## SUMMER SEMESTER 2025

WERN JUIN GABRIEL ONG

### PRELIMINARIES

These notes roughly correspond to the course **V5A4 – Habiro Cohomology** taught by Prof. Peter Scholze at the Universität Bonn in the Summer 2025 semester. These notes are L<sup>A</sup>T<sub>E</sub>X-ed after the fact with significant alteration and are subject to misinterpretation and mistranscription. Use with caution. Any errors are undoubtedly my own and any virtues that could be ascribed to these notes ought be attributed to the instructor and not the typist. Recordings of the lecture are available at the following link:

[archive.mpim-bonn.mpg.de/id/eprint/5155/](https://archive.mpim-bonn.mpg.de/id/eprint/5155/)

### CONTENTS

Preliminaries	1
1. Lecture 1 – 11th April 2025	2
2. Lecture 2 – 2nd May 2025	8
3. Lecture 3 – 9th May 2025	14
4. Lecture 4 – 23rd May 2025	21
5. Lecture 5 – 30th May 2025	25
6. Lecture 6 – 20th June 2025	30
Appendix A. Explicit Elements of the Habiro Ring (d’après Garoufalidis-Wheeler)	34
Appendix B. On Animation	35
References	38

## 1. LECTURE 1 – 11TH APRIL 2025

Recall that the construction of the Habiro ring of a number field [GS+24, Sch24] was motivated by an expectation of the instructor, circa 2017, that there exists some form of “Habiro cohomology.” Within this larger aspirational framework, the Habiro ring of a number field serves as the zero-dimensional case where the variety is a discrete collection of points. More precisely, in the case of the Habiro ring of a number field, there are certain  $q$ -series related to perturbative Chern-Simons theory giving rise to an explicit approach to Habiro rings of number fields. In particular, these  $q$ -series from perturbative Chern-Simons theory as computed by Garoufalidis and Zagier arise as elements of the abstract Habiro ring of a number field.

The goal of this course, then, is to explicate this aspirational framework of Habiro cohomology that synthesizes the concrete approach of Garoufalidis-Zagier with the instructor’s abstract approach. In particular, we will define a new explicit cohomology theory for algebraic varieties that has specializations to classical cohomology theories: de Rham cohomology as well as  $p$ -adic étale cohomology, crystalline cohomology, and prismatic cohomology for all primes  $p$ . Moreover, this cohomology theory will extend to the rigid-analytic setting of Berkovich spaces.

Let recall a modern definition of Weil-type cohomology theories for algebraic varieties: functors

$$\mathrm{Sch}_k^{\mathrm{sft}} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Pr}_A^{\mathrm{L}}$$

where  $\mathrm{Sch}_k^{\mathrm{sft}}$  is the category of separated finite type schemes over  $k$  and  $\mathrm{Pr}_A^{\mathrm{L}}$  the category of presentable  $A$ -linear categories with a six-functor formalism and satisfying the Künneth formula. In particular this excludes some cohomology theories such as motivic cohomology.

The state of the art of Weil-type cohomology theories for algebraic varieties can be summarized in the following diagram.

The instructor remarks that this is his favorite diagram.



FIGURE 1. Cohomology theories for algebraic varieties. Or: the instructor’s favorite diagram.

- Betti cohomology  $X \mapsto \mathcal{D}(X(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Z}) \otimes (-)$  produces a cohomology theory for complex schemes. But coefficients can be taken in any field by base change.
- de Rham cohomology  $X \mapsto \mathbf{DMod}(X)$  associating to a scheme its category of  $D$ -modules produces a cohomology theory for  $k$ -schemes (modulo technicalities). This produces a  $k$ -vector space for a  $k$ -scheme, hence has coefficients equal to the characteristic of the scheme.
- Étale cohomology as defined by Grothendieck  $X \mapsto \mathcal{D}_{\text{ét}}(X_{\text{ét}}, \mathbb{Z}/\ell^n \mathbb{Z})$  produces for a  $k$ -scheme  $X$ , a cohomology theory with  $\mathbb{Z}/\ell^n \mathbb{Z}$ -coefficients with  $\ell$  of characteristic distinct from that of  $k$ . That étale cohomology is able to produce cohomology in coefficients modulo powers of  $\ell$  is represented by the thickening of the horizontal. Note that étale cohomology satisfies the Künneth formula, but not its categorical variant.
- Crystalline cohomology after Grothendieck, Berthelot, Caro, et. al. that associates to a  $k$ -scheme where  $k$  is of positive characteristic a cohomology theory  $X \mapsto \mathbf{DMod}(X)$  that associates to  $X$  its category of arithmetic  $D$ -modules and which satisfies the categorical Künneth formula. This produces a module over the Witt vectors  $W(k)$  of  $k$  for a  $k$ -scheme, and is represented by vertical thickenings at the characteristic.
- Prismatic cohomology was defined by Bhatt-Scholze [BS22] as a universal cohomology theory at the  $(p, p)$ -point by computing the structure sheaf cohomology of the prismatic site  $X \mapsto R\Gamma_{\Delta}(X)$  where  $X$  is a scheme over  $\mathcal{O}_K$  where  $K$  is a mixed characteristic local field which has coefficients valued in prisms.<sup>1</sup>

FIGURE 2. Prismatic cohomology at the  $(p, p)$ -point.

Moreover, the diagram reflects several important comparison phenomena between the abovementioned cohomology theories:

<sup>1</sup>It would be more precise to state this using “derived category of sheaves” associated to prismatic cohomology, namely the category of  $F$ -gauges à la Bhatt-Lurie [Bha22], but we do not comment on this further.

- The intersection of the lines corresponding to Betti and de Rham cohomology at the  $(\infty, \infty)$ -point is substantiated by the comparison isomorphism between singular cohomology with  $\mathbb{C}$ -coefficients and de Rham cohomology via the Riemann-Hilbert correspondence.
- The intersection of the lines corresponding to étale and Betti cohomology at the  $(\infty, p)$ -points are substantiated by the Artin's comparison isomorphism between étale and Betti cohomology.
- The intersection of the thickenings of crystalline cohomology meeting de Rham cohomology along the diagonal at the  $(p, p)$ -point is substantiated by the isomorphism between crystalline cohomology reduced modulo  $p$  and de Rham cohomology.
- Prismatic cohomology as depicted in Figure 1 admits specializations to de Rham, crystalline, and étale cohomology. Prismatic cohomology is additionally compatible with the structures of the various cohomology theories around the  $(p, p)$ -point, specializing to the action of the Frobenius in crystalline cohomology, the Hodge-Tate filtration in the case of de Rham cohomology, and the action of the absolute Galois group  $\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}/\mathbb{Q}_p)$  in the case of étale cohomology.
- The “prismatization” at the  $(\infty, \infty)$ -point is the content of classical complex Hodge theory, which considers Hodge filtrations on de Rham cohomology and associated objects.

Observe, then, that de Rham cohomology is the unifying cohomology theory on the diagonal, while prismatic cohomology only exists at a fixed prime. One then wonders if there is a way to unify the cohomology theories along the diagonal. This is provided by Habiro cohomology, at least in the positive characteristic case.

The instructor remarks that he is unsure how to unify Habiro cohomology with classical Hodge theory.



FIGURE 3. The role of Habiro cohomology highlighted in blue generalizing prismatic cohomology at all primes. Compare Figure 1.

That is to say that Habiro cohomology, covering a neighborhood of the de Rham diagonal, specializes to prismatic cohomology at each prime, and spreads out further than prismatic cohomology along the horizontal étale branches in an appropriate sense.

The starting point of Habiro cohomology is the example of the  $q$ -de Rham prism, the definition of which we now recall.

**Example 1.1.** The  $q$ -de Rham prism is the prism  $(\mathbb{Z}_p[[q-1]], [p]_q)$  where  $[p]_q = \frac{1-p^n}{1-q}$  is the  $q$ -deformation of  $p$  with a Frobenius action by  $q \mapsto q^p$ . The quotient  $\mathbb{Z}_p[[q-1]]/([p]_q)$  is precisely the quotient by the  $p$ -th cyclotomic polynomial and hence isomorphic to the cyclotomic extension  $\mathbb{Z}_p[\zeta_p]$ .

Computing the prismatic cohomology of  $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Z}_p[\zeta_p]}^1$  relative to the  $q$ -de Rham prism, one finds that this is computed by an obvious  $q$ -deformation of the de Rham complex. The cohomological comparisons of the preceding discussion suggest that there is a deformation of the de Rham complex given by

$$\nabla_q : \mathbb{Z}_p[\zeta_p][x][[q-1]] \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p[\zeta_p][x][[q-1]]$$

by  $x^n \mapsto [n]_q x^{n-1}$ . It is not *a priori* clear why  $q$ -deformations appear in this setting. Moreover, the construction of prismatic cohomology over the  $q$ -de Rham prism is expected to be functorial in automorphisms of  $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Z}_p[\zeta_p]}^1$  but it is unclear if (and how) this construction is invariant under change of coordinates. Additionally, the  $q$ -deformation suggests that by removing  $p$  everywhere, one can find a construction independent that works for all primes  $p$ . In particular, the instructor conjectures in [Sch17] the following:

**Conjecture 1.2** (Scholze; [Sch17, Conj. 1.1]). If  $R$  is a smooth  $\mathbb{Z}$ -algebra equipped with an étale map  $\mathrm{Spec}(R) \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Z}}^d$ , there is a cohomology theory for smooth proper varieties over  $R$  valued in finitely generated  $R[[q-1]]$ -modules with a  $q$ -connection.

The  $q$ -connection captures precisely the difficulties with coordinate transformations articulated above, and the specialization at  $q = 1$  recovers the de Rham cohomology of  $X$  with a Gauss-Manin connection. This suggests that algebraic varieties have a canonical  $q$ -deformation with connection compatible with the Gauss-Manin connection on classical de Rham cohomology, and was proven after  $p$ -adic completion in [BS22] and in general by Ferdinand Wagner in [Wag24] using the machinery of adelic gluing.

**Theorem 1.3** (Wagner; [Wag24, Thm. 1.7]). Let  $R$  be a smooth framed  $\mathbb{Z}$ -algebra. There is an isomorphism between the  $(q-1)$ -adic completion of the  $q$ -de Rham–Witt complex and the cohomology of the quotient of the  $q$ -Hodge complex by  $(q^m - 1)$ .

Let us consider an example of this phenomenon.

**Example 1.4.** Consider the Legendre family of elliptic curves  $X$  with affine model  $y^2 = x(x-1)(x-\lambda)$  over  $R = \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}, \lambda, \frac{1}{\lambda(1-\lambda)}]$ . We have  $H_{\mathrm{dR}}^1(X)$  free of rank 2, containing the Hodge filtration  $\mathrm{Fil}_{\mathrm{Hdg}}^1 = H^0(X, \Omega_{X/R}^1)$  with canonical differential

$\omega = \frac{dx}{y}$ . Denoting  $\nabla$  the connection on  $H_{\text{dR}}^1(X)$ , we have  $\omega, \nabla(\omega)$  a basis of  $H_{\text{dR}}^1(X)$  and

$$\nabla^2(\omega) = \frac{1}{4\lambda(1-\lambda)} + \frac{2\lambda-1}{\lambda(1-\lambda)}\nabla(\omega).$$

A horizontal section is  $f(\lambda) \cdot \lambda(1-\lambda) - f'(\lambda)\lambda(1-\lambda)\nabla(\omega)$  for a certain hypergeometric function  $f(\lambda) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} \left( \frac{i+\frac{1}{2}}{i+1} \right)^2 \lambda^n$ .

There is a  $q$ -analogue of hypergeometric functions.

**Example 1.5.** The  $q$ -hypergeometric function

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} \left( \frac{[i + \frac{1}{2}]_q}{[i+1]_q} \right)^2 \lambda^n$$

satisfies a second order  $q$ -difference equation that deforms the Picard-Fuchs equation whose solutions describe periods of elliptic curves [nLab-a].

The example suggests that there is a possible connection between  $q$ -hypergeometric functions – the  $q$ -analogue of hypergeometric functions – and  $q$ -deformations of de Rham cohomology.

In the case of de Rham cohomology as in Example 1.4, there is not only a connection  $\nabla$ , but also a choice of canonical vector  $\omega = \frac{dx}{y}$  obtained by the filtration. Then considering the differential equation the class satisfies produces the desired differential equation – the module and connection alone are insufficient to produce the differential equation. The main barrier to considering the  $q$ -analogue, then, was the lack of choice of such a class.

Recent computations of Shirai [Shi20] and work of Garoufalidis-Wheeler remedy this by producing explicit classes in  $q$ -de Rham cohomology, allowing the procedure above to be repeated.

This course will consider what happens to these  $q$ -deformations when  $q$  approaches a root of unity  $\zeta_m$ , knowing that it recovers the classical construction at  $q = 1$ . Working over the Habiro ring

$$\mathcal{H} = \lim_{m,n \geq 1} \mathbb{Z}[q]/(1-q^n)^m = \lim_n \mathbb{Z}[q]/(q; q)_n$$

allows us to consider specializations at different roots of unity.

One issue that arises in trying to naïvely generalize Habiro cohomology to schemes of higher dimension is that the specialization of prismatic cohomology over the  $q$ -de Rham prism at  $q = 1$  recovers de Rham cohomology, but at other roots of unity recovers only Hodge cohomology – this does not put all roots of unity on equal footing. But if the  $q$ -de Rham cohomology could be modified to be Hodge cohomology in an appropriate manner. This was shown by Meyer-Wagner in [MW24].

**Theorem 1.6** (Meyer-Wagner; [MW24, Thm. 1.7]). Let  $R$  be a  $p$ -torsion free  $p$ -complete ring which is a quasiregular quotient over  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  and such that the Frobenius on  $R/p$  is semiperfect. If  $R$  admits a lift to a  $p$ -complete  $\mathbb{E}_1$  ring spectrum  $\mathbb{S}_R$  such that  $R \simeq \mathbb{S}_R \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{S}_p} \mathbb{Z}_p$  then the  $q$ -Hodge filtration on the  $p$ -complete derived

$q$ -de Rham complex is a  $q$ -deformation of the Hodge filtration on the (ordinary)  $p$ -complete derived de Rham complex.

The proof of Meyer-Wagner once again leverages highly technical machinery, in particular the relationship between prismatic cohomology and topological cyclic homology. However, there is a more computational way of achieving the same goal.

**Theorem 1.7** (Scholze). There is an explicit ring stack over an analytic version of the Habiro ring yielding a full six-functor formalism.

**Remark 1.8.** This in particular yields a sheaf theory.

These are related to the constructions of the ring stacks for prismatic cohomology following Drinfeld [Dri20] and Bhatt-Lurie [BL22].

Here “ring stack” and “analytic” are to be taken in the sense of condensed mathematics [CS23].

While multiplication is easy to define in this ring, addition is not: in particular, the instructor remarks that he spent a whole day computing what  $1 + 1$  is in this ring.

## 2. LECTURE 2 – 2ND MAY 2025

The goal of this course is to develop a theory of Habiro cohomology, a functor that associates to a smooth  $\mathbb{Z}$ -scheme  $X$  its Habiro cohomology – a module over the Habiro ring, or more generally its “category of constructible sheaves” which in this case we tentatively denote  $\mathcal{D}_{\text{Hab}}(X)$  of “variations of Habiro structure.”

We begin with an exploration of what these structures are in terms of coordinates, and we will later show that the constructions we discuss are in fact independent of these coordinates. Let us make the notion of coordinates precise.

**Definition 2.1** (Framed Algebra). A framed algebra is a pair  $(R, \square)$  where  $R$  is a smooth  $\mathbb{Z}$ -algebra and an étale map  $\square : \text{Spec}(R) \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Z}}^d$  or  $\square : \text{Spec}(R) \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m^d$ .

**Remark 2.2.** It is often simpler to consider the case where the coordinates are invertible, that is, the case of  $\mathbb{G}_m^d$ .

As a first pass, let us contemplate these constructions in the case where  $X$  is affine and equal to either  $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Z}}^d$  or  $\mathbb{G}_m^d$  and only later consider the generalization to the case where  $X$  is étale over one of these spaces. Moreover, under these assumptions, we need not make any completions and one can work over  $\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm}]$ .

Recall Habiro cohomology subsumes de Rham cohomology in an appropriate sense, and takes the  $q$ -derivative – the Gaussian  $q$ -analogue of the derivative – as an input. These  $q$ -derivatives were first investigated by Jackson [Jac10].

**Definition 2.3** ( $q$ -Derivative). Let  $R$  be  $\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm}][T_1, \dots, T_d]$  or  $\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm}][T_1^{\pm}, \dots, T_d^{\pm}]$ . The  $q$ -derivative  $\nabla_i^q : R \rightarrow R$  for  $1 \leq i \leq d$  is defined by

$$\nabla_i^q(f(T_1, \dots, T_d)) = \frac{f(T_1, \dots, qT_i, \dots, T_d) - f(T_1, \dots, T_i, \dots, T_d)}{qT_i - T_i}.$$

**Remark 2.4.** More explicitly, this operation is given on monomials by

$$\nabla_i^q(T_1^{n_1} \dots T_d^{n_d}) = [n_i]_q \cdot T_1^{n_1} \dots T_i^{n_i-1} \dots T_d^{n_d}$$

where  $[n]_q = \frac{1-q^n}{1-q}$  is the Gaussian  $q$ -analogue of  $n$ .

**Remark 2.5.**  $\nabla_i^q$  is closely related  $\gamma_i : R \rightarrow R$  the automorphism by

$$T_j \mapsto \begin{cases} T_j & j \neq i \\ qT_i & j = i \end{cases}$$

allowing us to write  $\nabla_i^q(f) = \frac{\gamma_i(f) - f}{(q-1)T_i}$ .

The  $q$ -derivative does not satisfy the Leibniz rule on the nose, but does so up to a twist by the automorphism  $\gamma_i$  of Remark 2.5.

**Lemma 2.6.** Let  $R$  be  $\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm}][T_1, \dots, T_d]$  or  $\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm}][T_1^{\pm}, \dots, T_d^{\pm}]$ . Then for  $f, g \in R$  we have equalities

$$\nabla_i^q(fg) = \gamma_i(f) \cdot \nabla_i^q(g) + g \cdot \nabla_i^q(f) = f \cdot \nabla_i^q(g) + \gamma_i(g) \cdot \nabla_i^q(f).$$



*Proof.* We first show the second equality. We use Remark 2.5 to observe that the latter two terms are given by

$$\gamma_i(f) \cdot \frac{\gamma_i(g) - g}{(q-1)T_i} + g \cdot \frac{\gamma_i(f) - f}{(q-1)T_i} = \frac{\gamma_i(f)\gamma_i(g) - \gamma_i(f)g + \gamma_i(f)g - fg}{(q-1)T_i} = \frac{\gamma_i(f)\gamma_i(g) - fg}{(q-1)T_i}$$

and

$$f \cdot \frac{\gamma_i(g) - g}{(q-1)T_i} + \gamma_i(g) \frac{\gamma_i(f) - f}{(q-1)T_i} = \frac{\gamma_i(g)f - fg + \gamma_i(f)\gamma_i(g) - \gamma_i(g)f}{(q-1)T_i} = \frac{\gamma_i(f)\gamma_i(g) - fg}{(q-1)T_i}$$

respectively, which are evidently equal.

We now show the first equality. Note that  $\gamma_i$  is an automorphism  $R \rightarrow R$ , and in particular a homomorphism so  $\gamma_i(fg) = \gamma_i(f)\gamma_i(g)$  in which case we have

$$\frac{\gamma_i(fg) - fg}{(q-1)T_i} = \frac{\gamma_i(f)\gamma_i(g) - fg}{(q-1)T_i}$$

whence the claim. ■

We can now define the  $q$ -de Rham complex following Aomoto [Aom90].

**Definition 2.7** ( $q$ -de Rham Complex of  $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Z}}^d$  and  $\mathbb{G}_m^d$ ). Let  $R = \mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm}][\underline{T}]$  be  $\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm}][T_1, \dots, T_d]$  or  $\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm}][T_1^{\pm}, \dots, T_d^{\pm}]$ . The  $q$ -de Rham complex  $q\text{-}\Omega_{R/\mathbb{Z}}^{\bullet}$  of  $\text{Spec}(R)$  is the complex

$$(2.1) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm}][\underline{T}] \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm}][\underline{T}]^{\oplus d} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm}][\underline{T}]^{\oplus \binom{d}{2}} \longrightarrow \dots \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm}][\underline{T}] \longrightarrow 0$$

with differentials given by the differentials for the Koszul complex of commuting operators  $\nabla_1^q, \dots, \nabla_n^q$ .

**Remark 2.8.** Recall that these are precisely the differentials for the classical de Rham complex. See [Stacks, Tag 0FKF] for an explicit description via equations.

**Remark 2.9.** Since the first differential  $\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm}][\underline{T}] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm}][\underline{T}]^{\oplus d}$  by  $(\nabla_1^q, \dots, \nabla_d^q)$  does not satisfy the ordinary Leibniz rule, the complex (2.1) is not a differential graded algebra. Later, we will see that working in the derived  $(\infty)$ -category, one can endow this with the structure of a commutative ring.

The complex (2.1) computes  $q$ -de Rham cohomology, or Aomoto-Jackson cohomology of  $\text{Spec}(R)$ . But to compute Habiro cohomology, we use a closely related variant based on a modified  $q$ -derivative.

**Definition 2.10** (Modified  $q$ -Derivative). Let  $R$  be  $\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm}][T_1, \dots, T_d]$  or  $\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm}][T_1^{\pm}, \dots, T_d^{\pm}]$ . The modified  $q$ -derivative is given by

$$\tilde{\nabla}_i^q(f(T_1, \dots, T_d)) = \frac{f(T_1, \dots, qT_i, \dots, T_d) - f(T_1, \dots, T_i, \dots, T_d)}{T_i}.$$

**Remark 2.11.** In other words,  $\tilde{\nabla}_i^q(f) = (q-1)\nabla_i^q(f) = \frac{\gamma_i(f) - f}{T_i}$ .

Recomputing everything using this modified derivative gives the  $q$ -Hodge complex.

**Definition 2.12** ( $q$ -Hodge Complex of  $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Z}}^d$  and  $\mathbb{G}_m^d$ ). Let  $R = \mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm}][\underline{T}]$  be  $\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm}][T_1, \dots, T_d]$  or  $\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm}][T_1^{\pm}, \dots, T_d^{\pm}]$ . The  $q$ -Hodge complex  $q\text{-Hdg}_R$  of  $\text{Spec}(R)$  is the complex

$$(2.2) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm}][\underline{T}] \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm}][\underline{T}]^{\oplus d} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm}][\underline{T}]^{\oplus \binom{d}{2}} \longrightarrow \dots \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm}][\underline{T}] \longrightarrow 0$$

with differentials given by the differentials for the Koszul complex of commuting operators  $\tilde{\nabla}_1^1, \dots, \tilde{\nabla}_d^q$ .

**Remark 2.13.** The nomenclature of Definitions 2.7 and 2.12 are justified by the fact that they recover the ordinary de Rham and Hodge complexes at  $q = 1$ .

**Remark 2.14.** An automorphism of  $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Z}}^d$  or  $\mathbb{G}_m^d$  would give rise to an automorphism of the complexes (2.1) and (2.2), at least as an object in the derived category, but it is extremely difficult to understand these automorphisms from this explicit perspective.

In parallel to the correspondence between algebraic  $D$ -modules and modules with flat connection, one would expect the existence of a category of modules with an appropriate connection to play the role of  $\mathcal{D}_{\text{Hab}}(X)$  alluded to earlier. To make this precise, we consider modules with  $q$ -connection. To simplify matters, we make these considerations on the Abelian and not  $\infty$ -categorical level.

**Definition 2.15** ( $q$ -Connections on Modules). Let  $R = \mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm}][\underline{T}]$  be  $\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm}][T_1, \dots, T_d]$  or  $\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm}][T_1^{\pm}, \dots, T_d^{\pm}]$ . A module with (flat)  $q$ -connection is a  $\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm}][\underline{T}]$ -module with commuting  $\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm}]$ -linear operations  $\nabla_{i,M}^q : M \rightarrow M$  which satisfy the  $q$ -Leibniz rule

$$\nabla_{i,M}^q(fm) = \gamma_i(f) \cdot \nabla_{i,M}^q(m) + \nabla_i^q(f) \cdot m$$

for  $f \in \mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm}][\underline{T}]$  and  $m \in M$ .

**Remark 2.16.** To unwind any possible confusion between the similar-looking  $\nabla_i^q : \mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm}][\underline{T}] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm}][\underline{T}]$ ,  $\nabla_{i,M}^q : M \rightarrow M$ , we have

$$\underbrace{\underbrace{\gamma_i(f)}_{\in \mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm}][\underline{T}]} \cdot \underbrace{\nabla_{i,M}^q(m)}_{\in M}}_{\in M} + \underbrace{\underbrace{\nabla_i^q(f)}_{\in \mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm}][\underline{T}]} \cdot \underbrace{m}_{\in M}}_{\in M}$$

so everything type-checks.

**Example 2.17.** If  $X = \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Z}}^1$  then recall that modules with connection are equivalent to modules over the Weyl algebra  $\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm}]\{T, \partial_q\}/(qT\partial_q - \partial_q T + 1)$  since we have the operators  $T\partial_q, \partial_q T$  take  $T^n$  to  $q[n]_q T^n, [n+1]_q T^n$ , respectively, but  $q[n]_q - [n+1]_q = q \cdot \frac{1-q^n}{1-q} - \frac{1-q^{n+1}}{1-q} = -1$ . Passing to the associated-graded of the degree filtration, one gets commuting variables with the correct  $q$ -twists.

Similarly, we can construct modules with a modified  $q$ -connection.

**Definition 2.18** (Modified  $q$ -Connections on Modules). Let  $R = \mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm}][\underline{T}]$  be  $\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm}][T_1, \dots, T_d]$  or  $\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm}][T_1^{\pm}, \dots, T_d^{\pm}]$ . A module with modified  $q$ -connection is

a  $\mathbb{Z}[q^\pm][T]$ -module with commuting  $\mathbb{Z}[q^\pm]$ -linear operations  $\tilde{\nabla}_{i,M}^q : M \rightarrow M$  which satisfy the  $q$ -Leibniz rule

$$\tilde{\nabla}_{i,M}^q(fm) = \gamma_i(f) \cdot \tilde{\nabla}_{i,M}^q(m) + \tilde{\nabla}_i^q(f) \cdot m$$

for  $f \in \mathbb{Z}[q^\pm][T]$  and  $m \in M$ .

**Remark 2.19.** For a more in-depth discussion of modules with  $q$ -connection, see Morrow-Tsuiji [MT21] and André [And01].

**Remark 2.20.** Let  $T_i$  be invertible. Unwinding the definition of the modified  $q$ -derivative, we have

$$\tilde{\nabla}_{i,M}^q(fm) = \gamma_i(f) \cdot \tilde{\nabla}_{i,M}^q(m) + (q-1)\nabla_i^q(f) \cdot m$$

where in particular we observe that the second summand has denominator  $T_i$ . Define a new operator

$$\tilde{\tilde{\nabla}}_{i,M}^q = T_i \cdot \tilde{\nabla}_{i,M}^q$$

which satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\tilde{\nabla}}_{i,M}^q(fm) &= \gamma_i(f) \cdot \tilde{\tilde{\nabla}}_{i,M}^q(m) + (\gamma_i(f) - f)m \\ &= \gamma_i(f) \left( \tilde{\tilde{\nabla}}_{i,M}^q(m) + m \right) - fm. \end{aligned}$$

In particular,

$$\left( \tilde{\tilde{\nabla}}_{i,M}^q + \text{id}_M \right) (fm) = \gamma_i(f) \left( \tilde{\tilde{\nabla}}_{i,M}^q + \text{id}_M \right) (m)$$

so denoting  $\gamma_{i,M} = \left( \tilde{\tilde{\nabla}}_{i,M}^q + \text{id}_M \right)$ , we have  $\gamma_{i,M}(fm) = \gamma_i(f)\gamma_{i,M}(m)$  simplifying the relation.

The preceding discussion of Remark 2.20 implies the following.

**Corollary 2.21.** Let  $R = \mathbb{Z}[q^\pm][T_1^\pm, \dots, T_d^\pm]$ . There is an equivalence of categories between  $R$ -modules with modified  $q$ -connection and  $R$ -modules with commuting  $\gamma_i : R \rightarrow R$ -semilinear endomorphisms  $\gamma_{i,M} : M \rightarrow M$ .

Note that for  $R = \mathbb{Z}[q^\pm][T]$ ,  $(-) \otimes_R (-)$  does not define a symmetric monoidal structure on the category of modules with  $q$ -connection: for  $(M, \nabla_{i,M}^q), (N, \nabla_{i,N}^q)$  two modules with  $q$ -connection,

$$(M \otimes_R N, \nabla_{i,M}^q \otimes_R \text{id}_N + \text{id}_M \otimes_R \nabla_{i,N}^q)$$

is not a module with  $q$ -connection. One needs instead to take the twist

$$(M \otimes_R N, \nabla_{i,M}^q \otimes_R \text{id}_N + \gamma_{i,M} \otimes_R \nabla_{i,N}^q),$$

defining  $\gamma_{i,M} : M \rightarrow M$  in an analogous way to Remark 2.20. While *a priori* appearing assymetric in  $M, N$ , there is in fact a canonical isomorphism between them.

**Proposition 2.22.** Let  $R = \mathbb{Z}[q^\pm][T_1^\pm, \dots, T_d^\pm]$ . The category of  $R$ -modules with  $q$ -connection is symmetric monoidal.

*Proof Outline.* Using the equivalence of Corollary 2.21, the latter category is symmetric monoidal, hence the former can be promoted to a symmetric monoidal category. ■

**Proposition 2.23.** Let  $R = \mathbb{Z}[q^\pm][T_1^\pm, \dots, T_d^\pm]$ . There is a fully faithful embedding from  $(q-1)$ -torsion free  $R$ -modules with  $q$ -connection and  $R$ -modules with modified  $q$ -connection by  $(M, \nabla_{i,M}^q) \mapsto (M, \tilde{\nabla}_{i,M}^q)$  with essential image those that are  $(q-1)$ -torsion free and such that  $\tilde{\nabla}_{i,M}^q \equiv 0 \pmod{(q-1)}$ .

The discussion thus far has been done entirely in terms of coordinates. This prompts:

**Question 2.24.** To what extent are the cohomologies and categories discussed thus far independent of coordinates?

Let us consider the following example.

**Example 2.25.** Let  $X = \mathbb{G}_m^d$ . The modules with modified  $q$ -connection are quasicoherent sheaves on  $(\mathbb{G}_m/q^\mathbb{Z})^d$  – the  $\gamma_i$ ’s act by multiplication by  $q$  on the coordinates so the data of the endomorphisms  $\gamma_{i,M}$  on the modules prescribe descent data to the quotient stack (ie. as an fpqc quotient).

Let us relate the discussion of complexes Definitions 2.7 and 2.12, their cohomologies, and these categories of modules with (modified)  $q$ -connections.

**Proposition 2.26.** Let  $R = \mathbb{Z}[q^\pm][T_1^\pm, \dots, T_d^\pm]$ .

- (i) The  $q$  de Rham complex  $q\text{-}\Omega_{R/\mathbb{Z}}^\bullet$  computes  $R\text{Hom}_{q\text{-Mod}_R}(\mathbb{1}, \mathbb{1})$  in the derived category of modules with  $q$ -connection  $q\text{-Mod}_R$  on  $\text{Spec}(R)$ .
- (ii) The  $q$ -Hodge complex  $q\text{-Hdg}_R$  computes  $R\text{Hom}_{\widetilde{q\text{-Mod}_R}}(\mathbb{1}, \mathbb{1})$  in the derived category of modules with modified  $q$ -connection  $\widetilde{q\text{-Mod}_R}$  on  $\text{Spec}(R)$ .

*Proof Outline of (i).* Using the equivalence between modules with  $q$ -connection and modules over the Weyl algebra, we compute a resolution of the symmetric monoidal unit  $\mathbb{Z}[q^\pm][T]$  in the category of modules over the Weyl algebra – which precisely recovers the de Rham complex, whence the claim. ■

**Example 2.27.** Consider the case of  $\mathbb{A}_\mathbb{Z}^1$  taking  $R = \mathbb{Z}[q^\pm][T]$ . We compute  $R\text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}[q^\pm][T], \mathbb{Z}[q^\pm][T])$  as  $R\text{Hom}(-, \mathbb{Z}[q^\pm][T])$  of a free resolution of  $\mathbb{Z}[q^\pm][T]$  in the category of modules over the Weyl algebra  $\mathbb{Z}[q^\pm]\{T, \partial_q\}/(qT\partial_q - \partial_q T + 1)$  (vis. Example 2.17). This produces

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[q^\pm][T] \xrightarrow{\nabla_1^q} \mathbb{Z}[q^\pm][T] \rightarrow 0$$

which is the  $q$ -de Rham complex (after passing back to modules with  $q$ -connection along the equivalence).

Moreover, in the setting of higher algebra, these promote canonically to commutative algebra objects.

**Corollary 2.28.** The  $q$ -de Rham complex and  $q$ -Hodge complex have canonical structures as  $\mathbb{E}_\infty$ -rings.

## 3. LECTURE 3 – 9TH MAY 2025

In Lecture 2, we constructed the  $q$ -de Rham and  $q$ -Hodge complexes for  $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Z}}^d, (\mathbb{G}_m)^d$  using the  $q$ -derivatives  $\nabla_i^q$  and modified  $q$ -derivatives  $\tilde{\nabla}_i^q$ , respectively.

We now consider the construction of the  $q$ -de Rham and  $q$ -Hodge complexes more generally in the case where the  $T_i$  are invertible and using the logarithmic  $q$ -derivative  $\nabla_i^{q, \log} = T_i \nabla_i^q$ . We first define these in the case  $R = \mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm}][\underline{T}^{\pm}] = \mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm}][T_1^{\pm}, \dots, T_d^{\pm}]$ .

**Definition 3.1** (Logarithmic  $q$ -Derivative). Let  $R = \mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm}][T_1^{\pm}, \dots, T_d^{\pm}]$ . The logarithmic  $q$ -derivative  $\nabla_i^{q, \log} : R \rightarrow R$  for  $1 \leq i \leq d$  is defined by

$$\nabla_i^q(f) = \frac{\gamma_i(f) - f}{q - 1}.$$

**Definition 3.2** (Modified Logarithmic  $q$ -Derivative). Let  $R = \mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm}][T_1^{\pm}, \dots, T_d^{\pm}]$ . The modified logarithmic  $q$ -derivative  $\tilde{\nabla}_i^{q, \log} : R \rightarrow R$  for  $1 \leq i \leq d$  is defined by

$$\tilde{\nabla}_i^q(f) = \gamma_i(f) - f.$$

**Remark 3.3.** The commutation relation for the ordinary logarithmic  $q$ -derivative are given by  $\gamma_i T_i = q T_i \gamma_i$  since multiplying by  $T_i$  and applying the map  $T_i \mapsto q T_i$  is the same as applying the map  $T_i \mapsto q T_i$  and multiplying by  $q T_i$ .

**Example 3.4.** Using Remark 3.3, we deduce that category of logarithmic  $q$ -connections on  $\mathbb{G}_m$  are modules over the ring  $\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm}]\{T^{\pm}, \gamma\}/(\gamma T - q T \gamma)$  (cf. Example 2.17).

We undertake the task of constructing the  $q$ -de Rham and  $q$ -Hodge complexes for general smooth  $\mathbb{Z}$ -schemes  $X$  locally admitting an étale framing. For simplicity, we will restrict our attention to the case where  $X = \text{Spec}(R)$  with  $R$  a smooth  $\mathbb{Z}$ -algebra and  $\square : X \rightarrow (\mathbb{G}_m)^d$  is étale (equivalently,  $\mathbb{Z}[T_1^{\pm}, \dots, T_d^{\pm}] \rightarrow R$  étale).

If we were to mirror the constructions of Definitions 2.15 and 2.18, we would want to produce  $R[q^{\pm}]$ -modules with commuting semilinear endomorphisms  $\gamma_{i, M} : M \rightarrow M$  (used to produce  $\nabla_{i, M}^q, \tilde{\nabla}_{i, M}^q$ ). This semilinearity ought be defined in terms of  $\gamma_{i, R} : R[q^{\pm}] \rightarrow R[q^{\pm}]$  which extend  $\gamma_i$  on  $\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm}][\underline{T}^{\pm}]$ , but there is no reason such maps should exist. Put in other – more geometric – terms, the automorphisms  $\gamma_i$  on  $(\mathbb{G}_m)^d$  need not lift along the map  $\square : X \rightarrow (\mathbb{G}_m)^d$ .

Completion allows us to resolve this issue: after  $(q-1)$ -adic completion, there are unique such  $\gamma_{i, R} : R[[q-1]] \rightarrow R[[q-1]]$  restricting to the identity modulo  $(q-1)$ . This is a consequence of the infinitesimal lifting property for (formally) étale maps [Stacks, Tag 00UP]:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{Z}[[q-1]][\underline{T}^{\pm}] & \xrightarrow{\square \circ \gamma_i} & R[[q-1]] \\ \square \downarrow & \searrow \exists! \gamma_{i, R} & \downarrow (\text{mod } (q-1)) \\ R[[q-1]] & \xrightarrow{(\text{mod } (q-1))} & R. \end{array}$$

More formally,  $\square : \mathbb{Z}[\underline{T}^\pm] \rightarrow R$  is étale, and étaleness is preserved under base change, so  $\square : \mathbb{Z}[[q-1]][\underline{T}^\pm] \rightarrow R[[q-1]]$  is étale and  $R[[q-1]] \rightarrow R$  is an infinitesimal thickening, so the desired lift exists rendering the entire diagram commutative. Geometrically,  $(q-1)$ -adic completion the automorphisms  $\gamma_i$  on  $(\mathbb{G}_m)^d$  are infinitesimally close to the identity, hence lift uniquely along the framing map (that is, the framing map on schemes  $\square : \text{Spec}(R[[q-1]]) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[[q-1]][\underline{T}^\pm]$ ). This allows us to define (modified/logarithmic)  $q$ -derivatives and the notion of modules with (modified/logarithmic)  $q$ -connection. This notion is illustrated in the following equivalence of categories.

**Lemma 3.5.** There is an equivalence of categories

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{c} \text{étale } \mathbb{Z}[q^\pm][\underline{T}^\pm]/(q-1)^n \\ \text{algebras} \end{array} \right\} \simeq \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \text{étale } \mathbb{Z}[\underline{T}^\pm] \\ \text{algebras} \end{array} \right\}.$$

*Proof.* See [Stacks, Tag 039R]. ■

**Theorem 3.6** (Bhatt-Scholze, [BS22, §16]; Wagner, [Wag24, Thm. 1.5]). Let  $(R, \square)$  be a smooth framed  $\mathbb{Z}$ -algebra. The  $q$ -de Rham complex  $q\text{-}\Omega_{(R, \square)/\mathbb{Z}[[q-1]]}^\bullet$  given by

$$R[[q-1]] \xrightarrow{(\nabla_i^q)_{i=1}^d} \bigoplus_{i=1}^d R[[q-1]] \longrightarrow \dots$$

as an object of  $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{Z}[[q-1]])$  is canonically independent of the choice of coordinates.

Such coordinate independence is somewhat easy to deduce in the case where  $R$  is a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -algebra.

**Example 3.7** ([Sch17, Lem. 4.1]; [BMS18, Lem. 12.4]). Consider the case of a smooth framed  $\mathbb{Q}$ -algebra  $(R, \square)$  where  $\square : \text{Spec}(R) \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m$ . We can use Taylor's theorem to write

$$f(qT) = f(T) + \log(q)(\nabla^{\log} f)(T) + \frac{1}{2} \log(q)^2 ((\nabla^{\log})^2 f)(T) + \dots$$

where  $\log(q) = \sum_{n \geq 0} (-1)^{n-1} \frac{(q-1)^n}{n} \in \mathbb{Q}[[q-1]]$  so taking the difference of  $f(qT)$  and  $f(T)$ , we find the operators  $\nabla^{q, \log}, \tilde{\nabla}^{q, \log}$  are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla^{q, \log} &= \frac{\log(q)}{(q-1)} (\nabla^{\log} f)(T) + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\log(q)^2}{(q-1)} ((\nabla^{\log})^2 f)(T) + \dots \\ \tilde{\nabla}^{q, \log} &= \log(q) (\nabla^{\log} f)(T) + \frac{1}{2} \log(q)^2 ((\nabla^{\log})^2 f)(T) + \dots \end{aligned}$$

Using that  $\tilde{\nabla}^{\log} = \log(q) \nabla^{\log}$  we get

$$\tilde{\nabla}^{q, \log} = \tilde{\nabla}^{\log} + \frac{1}{2} (\tilde{\nabla}^{\log})^2 + \dots$$

we get that  $\tilde{\nabla}^{q, \log} = \exp(\tilde{\nabla}^{\log}) + 1$ . In particular, for smooth framed  $\mathbb{Q}$ -algebras, the data of modified logarithmic  $q$ -connections are equivalent to modified logarithmic connections up to a transformation, and allow us to interpolate between the two structures.

Example 3.7 yields the following more general result.

**Proposition 3.8.** Let  $(R, \square)$  be a smooth framed  $\mathbb{Q}$ -algebra. There is an symmetric monoidal equivalence of categories

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} (q-1)\text{-adically complete } R[[q-1]]\text{-modules} \\ \text{with } q\text{-connection} \end{array} \right\} \simeq \left\{ \begin{array}{l} (q-1)\text{-adically complete } R[[q-1]]\text{-modules} \\ \text{with connection} \end{array} \right\}.$$

Moreover, these categories are independent of choice of coordinates on  $R[[q-1]]$ .

*Proof Outline.* The computation of Example 3.7 in several variables (cf. [Sch17, Lem. 4.1]) shows an equivalence of data between modified logarithmic  $q$ -connections and modified logarithmic connections, and since we are working over  $\mathbb{Q}$  and the torus, these are the same as ordinary  $(q-1)$ -adically complete  $R[[q-1]]$ -module  $M$  with  $q$ -connection, there is a unique ordinary connection with which it can be endowed, and conversely.

The latter statement follows from the observation that the latter category of  $(q-1)$ -adically complete  $R[[q-1]]$ -modules with connection are visibly coordinate independent.  $\blacksquare$

As in the case of  $(\mathbb{G}_m)^d$  in Proposition 2.26 (i), we have in this case the following result.

**Corollary 3.9.** Let  $(R, \square)$  be a framed  $\mathbb{Q}$ -algebra and denote the category of  $(q-1)$ -adically complete  $R[[q-1]]$ -modules with  $q$ -connection by  $q\text{-Mod}_{R[[q-1]]}$ . The  $q$ -de Rham complex  $q\text{-}\Omega_{(R, \square)/\mathbb{Q}}^\bullet$  computes  $R\text{Hom}_{q\text{-Mod}_{R[[q-1]]}}(\mathbb{1}, \mathbb{1})$  and is canonically independent of coordinates.

We seek to treat the case of modified  $q$ -connections expressing logarithmic connections in terms of ordinary ones, but the case of modified  $q$ -connections is more subtle as the convergence of the exponential becomes problematic.

To that end, we introduce the following notion.

**Definition 3.10** ( *$h$ -Connections*). Let  $R$  be a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -algebra. A  $h$ -connection over  $R[h]$  is an  $R[h]$ -module  $M$  with a map  $\tilde{\nabla}_M : M \rightarrow M \otimes_R \Omega_{R/\mathbb{Q}}^1$  satisfying  $(\tilde{\nabla}_M)^2 : M \rightarrow M \otimes_R \Omega_{R/\mathbb{Q}}^2$  the zero map and

$$\tilde{\nabla}_M(fm) = h \cdot \nabla(f) \cdot m + f \cdot \tilde{\nabla}_M(m).$$

**Remark 3.11.** Such constructions are known as  $\lambda$ -connections in the literature and appear in Hodge and twistor theory. Specialization at  $h = 1$  recovers the ordinary notion of a connection, while specialization at  $h = 0$  gives a Higgs field (cf. [MT21, §2.3]).

We would like to see an analogue of Proposition 3.8.

**Proposition 3.12.** Let  $(R, \square)$  be a smooth framed  $\mathbb{Q}$ -algebra. There is an symmetric monoidal equivalence of categories

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} (q-1)\text{-adically complete } R[[q-1]]\text{-modules} \\ \text{with modified } q\text{-connection s.t. } \tilde{\nabla}_{i,M}^{q,\log}\text{'s are top. nil.} \end{array} \right\} \simeq \left\{ \begin{array}{l} h\text{-adically complete } R[[h]]\text{-modules} \\ \text{with } h\text{-connection s.t. } \tilde{\nabla}_M \text{ is top. nil.} \end{array} \right\}$$



$$\left( M, (\tilde{\nabla}_{i,M}^{q,\log})_{i=1}^d \right) \longleftarrow \left( M, (\tilde{\nabla}_{i,M}^{\log})_{i=1}^d \right)$$

where  $h = (q - 1)$  and  $\tilde{\nabla}_{i,M}^{q,\log} = \exp(\tilde{\nabla}_{i,M}^{\log}) - 1$ . Moreover, these categories are independent of choice of coordinates on  $R[[q - 1]] \cong R[[h]]$ .

*Proof Outline.* Observing that the topological nilpotence of the operators imply convergence of the exponential, the proof outline of Proposition 3.8 goes through verbatim.  $\blacksquare$

**Remark 3.13.** The topological nilpotence condition is typically satisfied in practice. Regardless, this is likely the best construction one can hope for – it is unlikely that one can produce an equivalence on larger categories.

Once again, observing that the right hand side is coordinate independent, we get coordinate independence for modules with modified  $q$ -connections.

**Corollary 3.14.** Let  $(R, \square)$  be a framed  $\mathbb{Q}$ -algebra and denote the category of  $(q - 1)$ -adically complete  $R[[q - 1]]$ -modules with modified  $q$ -connection where the operators  $\tilde{\nabla}_{i,M}^{q,\log}$  are topologically nilpotent by  $q\text{-}\widetilde{\text{Mod}}_{R[[q-1]]}$ . The  $q$ -Hodge complex  $q\text{-Hdg}_{(R,\square)/\mathbb{Q}}$  given by

$$R[[q - 1]] \xrightarrow{(\tilde{\nabla}_i^q)_{i=1}^d} \bigoplus_{i=1}^d R[[q - 1]] \longrightarrow \dots$$

computes  $R\text{Hom}_{q\text{-}\widetilde{\text{Mod}}_{R[[q-1]]}}(\mathbb{1}, \mathbb{1})$  and is canonically independent of coordinates.

**Remark 3.15.** The operators are topologically nilpotent on the symmetric monoidal unit as they are defined by multiplying the ordinary operator by  $(q - 1)$ . Moreover, the Ext-terms classify extensions, which remain topologically nilpotent. Thus the  $R\text{Hom}(-, -)$  computation in this case remains unaffected by passage to the subcategory where the operations are topologically nilpotent.

Deferring the discussion of coordinate independence integrally – which can be done by similarly isolating subcategories of modules with convergence conditions on their  $q$ -connections – we seek to understand the preceding constructions of modules with (modified)  $q$ -connections not just in the  $(q - 1)$ -adically complete but more generally in the Habiro case, namely at all roots of unity.

In the preceding discussion,  $(q - 1)$ -adic completion allowed us to leverage étaleness of the map to produce a unique lift of the endomorphism on  $\mathbb{Z}[q^\pm][\underline{T}^\pm]$  since  $\gamma_i$  was infinitesimally close to the identity after  $(q - 1)$ -adic completion. But noticing that  $\zeta_p$  is  $p$ -adically close to 1, we can attempt a similar approach using  $(q - \zeta_p)$ -adic completion.

**Example 3.16.** Let  $(R, \square)$  be a framed  $\mathbb{Z}$ -algebra with  $\square : \text{Spec}(R) \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m$ . This gives a map

$$\mathbb{Z}[T^\pm]_p^\wedge[[q - 1]] \longrightarrow R_p^\wedge[[q - 1]]$$

which on specialization to  $q = \zeta_p$  yields

$$\mathbb{Z}_p[\zeta_p]\langle T^\pm \rangle \longrightarrow R_p^\wedge[\zeta_p]$$

where using that  $\zeta_p$  is close to 1  $p$ -adically,  $\gamma : \mathbb{Z}_p[\zeta_p]\langle T^\pm \rangle \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p[\zeta_p]\langle T^\pm \rangle$  by  $T \mapsto \zeta_p T$  lifts uniquely to an endomorphism  $\gamma_R : R_p^\wedge[\zeta_p] \rightarrow R_p^\wedge[\zeta_p]$ . However,  $R[\zeta_p] \hookrightarrow R_p^\wedge[\zeta_p]$  may not have image stable under  $\gamma_R$ , for example,  $\mathbb{G}_m \setminus \{1\}$  is not stable under multiplication by  $\zeta_p$ .

So as seen in the example above, we will require an alternative description. For this, we produce an endomorphism of  $R_p^\wedge[\zeta_p]$  that does globalize to all of  $R$  using the Frobenius.

**Example 3.17.** The Frobenius map  $\varphi : \mathbb{Z}[T^\pm] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[T^\pm]$  by  $T \mapsto T^p$  lifts uniquely to  $R_p^\wedge$  and reduces to the  $p$ th power map  $\varphi_{R/(p)} : R/(p) \rightarrow R/(p)$  modulo  $(p)$ . Via the Frobenius, we get an isomorphism

$$R_p^\wedge \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[T^\pm]} \mathbb{Z}[T^{\pm 1/p}] \xrightarrow{\varphi} R_p^\wedge$$

$$T^{1/p} \longmapsto T$$

since after  $p$ -completion  $R_p^\wedge$  is finite free over itself –  $R_p^\wedge$  contains itself as a subring where “only  $p$ -powers are allowed.”

**Example 3.18.** Building on Example 3.17, we similarly have an isomorphism

$$R_p^\wedge \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[T^\pm]} \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p][T^{\pm 1/p}] \xrightarrow{\varphi} R_p^\wedge[\zeta_p]$$

$$T^{1/p} \longmapsto T$$

where the automorphism  $\gamma_{R_p^\wedge[\zeta_p]} : R_p^\wedge[\zeta_p] \rightarrow R_p^\wedge[\zeta_p]$  by  $T \mapsto \zeta_p T$  lifts to the automorphism  $\text{id}_{R_p^\wedge} \otimes [T^{1/p} \mapsto \zeta_p T^{1/p}]$  on  $R_p^\wedge \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[T^\pm]} \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p][T^{\pm 1/p}]$ . Observe that since  $T \in R_p^\wedge \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[T^\pm]} \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p][T^{\pm 1/p}]$  is sent to  $T^p \in R_p^\wedge[\zeta_p]$ , it is fixed by  $\gamma_{R_p^\wedge[\zeta_p]}$  as  $\gamma_{R_p^\wedge[\zeta_p]}(T^p) = (\zeta_p T)^p = T^p$ . Evidently we have an inclusion into the  $p$ -completion

$$R \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[T^\pm]} \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p][T^{\pm 1/p}] \hookrightarrow R_p^\wedge \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[T^\pm]} \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p][T^{\pm 1/p}]$$

but since  $\gamma_{R_p^\wedge[\zeta_p]}$  is given by the identity on the  $R_p^\wedge$  factor, it is stable under the automorphism, resolving the issue posed at the end of Example 3.16.

This motivates the following definition.

**Definition 3.19** (Root of Unity Algebra). Let  $(R, \square)$  be a smooth framed  $\mathbb{Z}$ -algebra and  $m \geq 1$ . Define the  $m$ th root of unity algebra  $R^{(m)}$  to be the étale  $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_m, \underline{T}^\pm]$ -algebra

$$R^{(m)} = R \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[\underline{T}^\pm]} \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_m][\underline{T}^{\pm 1/m}]$$

where  $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_m, \underline{T}^\pm]$ -algebra structure on  $R^{(m)}$  is by  $T_i \mapsto T_i^{1/m}$  and equipped with the automorphism  $\gamma_i^{(m)} = \text{id}_R \otimes [T_i \mapsto \zeta_m T_i]$  lifting  $T_i \mapsto \zeta_m T_i$  on  $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_m, \underline{T}^\pm]$ .

This gives a well-defined construction.

**Example 3.20.** Let  $X = \mathbb{G}_m \setminus \{1\} = \text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}[\underline{T}^\pm, \frac{1}{1-\underline{T}}])$ . The associated root of unity algebra  $R^{(m)}$  is given by  $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_m][\underline{T}^\pm, \frac{1}{1-\underline{T}^m}]$  and the Zariski spectrum is visibly stable under  $T \mapsto \zeta_m T$ .

By unique deformations of étale algebras, the construction of Definition 3.19 extends from the specialization at  $q = \zeta_m$  to  $\Phi_m(q)$ -adic completion, where  $\Phi_m(q)$  is the  $m$ th cyclotomic polynomial. More formally:

**Definition 3.21** (Completed Root of Unity Algebra). Let  $(R, \square)$  be a smooth framed  $\mathbb{Z}$ -algebra and  $m \geq 1$ . Define the completed  $m$ th root of unity algebra  $R_m$  to be the formally étale  $\mathbb{Z}[q^\pm][\underline{T}^\pm]_{\Phi_m(q)}^\wedge$ -algebra  $(R^{(m)}[q^\pm])_{\Phi_m(q)}^\wedge$  and equipped with the automorphism  $\gamma_{i,R_m} : R_m \rightarrow R_m$  lifting  $\gamma_i : \mathbb{Z}[q^\pm][\underline{T}^\pm]_{\Phi_m(q)}^\wedge \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[q^\pm][\underline{T}^\pm]_{\Phi_m(q)}^\wedge$ .

**Remark 3.22.** The lifting diagram is given by

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{Z}[q^\pm][\underline{T}^\pm]_{\Phi_m(q)}^\wedge & \xrightarrow{\square \circ \gamma_i} & R_m \\ \square \downarrow & \nearrow \exists! \gamma_{i,R_m} & \downarrow (\text{mod } \Phi_m(q)) \\ R_m & \xrightarrow{(\text{mod } \Phi_m(q))} & R. \end{array}$$

Having produced these étale algebras  $R_m$  and their endomorphisms  $\gamma_{i,R_m}$  for each root of unity  $\zeta_m$ , we can similarly define derivatives and modules with (modified) connections over these rings. *A priori*, these give distinct constructions for each  $m \geq 0$ , but we can seek to combine them using a “Habiro ring”-like construction in a by gluing where roots of unity meet in positive characteristic. In particular,  $\zeta_{pm} = \zeta_m$  in characteristic  $p$ , so the Frobenius gives an isomorphism

$$(3.1) \quad R^{(pm)}/(p) \cong R/(p) \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p[\underline{T}^\pm]} \mathbb{F}_p[\zeta_{pm}, \underline{T}^{\pm 1/pm}] \longrightarrow R/(p) \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p[\underline{T}^\pm]} \mathbb{F}_p[\zeta_m, \underline{T}^{\pm 1/m}] \cong R^{(m)}/(p)$$

$$T^{1/pm} \longmapsto T^{1/m}.$$

Moreover, these constructions deform uniquely over the  $\Phi_m(q)$ -adic completions allowing us to define the Habiro ring of a framing and repeat the process to define categories of modules with (modified)  $q$ -connections over the Habiro ring of a framing  $\mathcal{H}_{(R, \square)}$  which is an algebra over the Habiro ring of the torus  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}[\underline{T}^\pm]}$ , where we have defined the latter to be as follows.

The notation here is that of the author, not of the instructor.

Once again, author's notation.

**Definition 3.23** (Habi-ro Ring of  $(\mathbb{G}_m)^d$ ). The Habi-ro ring of  $(\mathbb{G}_m)^d$  is given by the completion  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}[\underline{T}^\pm]} = \lim_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{Z}[q^\pm][\underline{T}^\pm]_{\Phi_n(q)}^\wedge$  where  $\Phi_n(q)$  is the  $n$ th cyclotomic polynomial.

## 4. LECTURE 4 – 23RD MAY 2025

Using the gluing procedure of (3.1) allows us to correct for the overspecification of prescribing a local algebra  $R^{(m)}$  for each positive integer  $m$  in characteristic  $p$  – that is, gluing  $R^{(m)}, R^{(m')}$  where  $m_0$  is coprime to  $p$  and  $m = m_0 p^a, m' = m_0 p^b$  using the Frobenius.

More generally, we can define the Habiro ring of a smooth framed  $\mathbb{Z}$ -algebra  $(R, \square)$  by passing to the limit of the rings  $R_n$  where there are surjective transition maps  $R_{pm} \rightarrow R_m$  given by the (necessarily unique) lift of the isomorphism (3.1) along the (necessarily unique) deformation of étale algebras  $R^{(m)}$  to  $R_m$ .

**Definition 4.1** (Habiro Ring of Framed Algebra). Let  $(R, \square)$  be a smooth framed  $\mathbb{Z}$ -algebra. The Habiro ring  $\mathcal{H}_{(R, \square)}$  is given by the limit

$$\mathcal{H}_{(R, \square)} = \lim_{n \in \mathbb{N}} R_n$$

where  $R_n$  is the completed root of unity algebra of Definition 3.21.

**Proposition 4.2.** Let  $(R, \square)$  be a smooth framed  $\mathbb{Z}$ -algebra. The Habiro ring  $\mathcal{H}_{(R, \square)}$  of  $(R, \square)$  is given by

$$(4.1) \quad \mathcal{H}_{(R, \square)} = \left\{ (f_m)_{m \geq 1} \in \prod_{m \geq 1} R^{(m)}[[q - \zeta_m]] : \varphi_p(f_{pm}) = f_m \in (R^{(m)})_{\hat{p}}^{\wedge}[[q - \zeta_m]] \cong (R^{(pm)})_{\hat{p}}^{\wedge}[[q - \zeta_{pm}]] \right\}$$

where  $\varphi_p$  lifts the Frobenius on  $R^{(m)}/(p)$  by raising each variable to the  $p$ -th power and fixes  $q$  and  $\zeta_m$ .

**Remark 4.3.** There is an obvious map from the Habiro ring of the torus Definition 3.23  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}[\underline{T}^{\pm}]} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{(R, \square)}$  endowing the Habiro ring of  $(R, \square)$  with the structure of a  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}[\underline{T}^{\pm}]}$ -algebra.

Let us consider some explicit elements of the Habiro ring.

**Example 4.4.** Let  $R = \mathbb{Z}[T_1, \dots, T_d, \frac{1}{1 - T_1 - \dots - T_d}]$  with framing  $\square : \mathbb{Z}[T_1, \dots, T_d] \rightarrow R$ . The element

$$\sum_{k_1, \dots, k_d \geq 0} \begin{bmatrix} k_1 + \dots + k_d \\ k_1 \dots k_d \end{bmatrix}_q T_1^{k_1} \dots T_d^{k_d} \in \mathbb{Z}[q][[\underline{T}]]$$

is an element of the Habiro ring  $\mathcal{H}_{(R, \square)}$  where

$$\begin{bmatrix} k_1 + \dots + k_d \\ k_1 \dots k_d \end{bmatrix}_q = \frac{(q; q)_{k_1 + \dots + k_d}}{(q; q)_{k_1} \dots (q; q)_{k_d}}$$

is the  $q$ -deformation of the multinomial  $\binom{k_1 + \dots + k_d}{k_1 \dots k_d}$ . More generally, explicit elements of the Habiro ring can be constructed by considering  $q$ -deformations of rational functions (vis. Example 1.4 and surrounding discussion).

Returning to a discussion of Habiro cohomology of a smooth  $\mathbb{Z}$ -algebra with framing  $\square : \text{Spec}(R) \rightarrow (\mathbb{G}_m)^d$ , we recall that there are lifts of the automorphism  $\gamma_i$  to  $\mathcal{H}_{(R, \square)}$ : more explicitly, for a section  $(f_m)_{m \geq 0}$ , the action  $\gamma_i$  acts by  $(f_m)_{m \geq 1} \mapsto (\gamma_i^{(m)}(f_m))_{m \geq 1}$  where  $\gamma_i^{(m)}$  is the automorphism given in Definition 3.19.

The lecture contained a fairly substantive sketch of the proof Example 4.4, which the author has deferred to Appendix A for continuity of exposition.

This produces a  $\mathbb{Z}^d$ -action on  $\mathcal{H}_{(R,\square)}$ , and we can define Habiro-Hodge cohomology to be the group cohomology of the action of  $\mathbb{Z}^d$  on  $\mathcal{H}_{(R,\square)}$ .

**Definition 4.5** ( *$q$ -Habiro-Hodge Cohomology*). Let  $(R, \square)$  be a smooth framed  $\mathbb{Z}$ -algebra. The  $q$ -Habiro-Hodge cohomology is the cohomology of the  $q$ -Habiro-Hodge complex  $q\text{-}\mathcal{H}\text{dg}_{(R,\square)}$  given by

$$(4.2) \quad \mathcal{H}_{(R,\square)} \xrightarrow{(\gamma_i-1)_{i=1}^d} \bigoplus_{i=1}^d \mathcal{H}_{(R,\square)} \xrightarrow{(\gamma_i-1)_{i=1}^d} \bigoplus_{i < j} \mathcal{H}_{(R,\square)} \longrightarrow \dots$$

For this to be functorial, we would expect this to be coordinate independent, at least at the level of derived categories. As a first step, we study the cohomology of the complex modulo  $(1 - q^m)$  – that is, at specializations to roots of unity.

If  $m = 1$ , then  $\mathcal{H}_{(R,\square)}/(1 - q) \cong R$  and all differentials are zero, so

$$(4.3) \quad H^i \left( q\text{-}\mathcal{H}\text{dg}_{(R,\square)}/(1 - q) \right) \cong R^{\oplus \binom{d}{i}} \cong \Omega_{R/\mathbb{Z}}^i$$

and is therefore independent of coordinates since the middle term is so.

**Remark 4.6.** While *a priori* we only have an isomorphism to a free module of a certain rank, there is additional structure that allows us to identify this with the module of Kähler differentials: the Bockstein map associated to the triangle

$$q\text{-}\mathcal{H}\text{dg}_{(R,\square)}/(1 - q) \xrightarrow{\times(1-q)} q\text{-}\mathcal{H}\text{dg}_{(R,\square)}/(1 - q)^2 \longrightarrow q\text{-}\mathcal{H}\text{dg}_{(R,\square)}/(1 - q) \longrightarrow \left( q\text{-}\mathcal{H}\text{dg}_{(R,\square)}/(1 - q) \right) [1]$$

inducing

$$H^i \left( q\text{-}\mathcal{H}\text{dg}_{(R,\square)}/(1 - q) \right) \longrightarrow H^{i+1} \left( q\text{-}\mathcal{H}\text{dg}_{(R,\square)}/(1 - q) \right)$$

which gives a derivation

$$H^0 \left( q\text{-}\mathcal{H}\text{dg}_{(R,\square)}/(1 - q) \right) \longrightarrow H^1 \left( q\text{-}\mathcal{H}\text{dg}_{(R,\square)}/(1 - q) \right)$$

and hence an isomorphism  $H^1 \left( q\text{-}\mathcal{H}\text{dg}_{(R,\square)}/(1 - q) \right) \rightarrow \Omega_{R/\mathbb{Z}}^1$ . In addition, the ring structure on cohomology induces the structure of a commutative differential graded algebra on  $H^\bullet \left( q\text{-}\mathcal{H}\text{dg}_{(R,\square)}/(1 - q) \right)$  and this structure is in fact independent of coordinates on the nose and not just up to quasi-isomorphism.

For general  $m$ ,  $H^\bullet \left( q\text{-}\mathcal{H}\text{dg}_{(R,\square)}/(1 - q^m) \right)$  has the structure of a commutative differential graded algebra that is coordinate independent.

**Theorem 4.7** (Wagner; [Wag22, Prop. 5.7]). Let  $R$  be a smooth framed  $\mathbb{Z}$ -algebra. There is a canonical surjection

$$W_m(R)[q]/(1 - q^m)^\bullet \longrightarrow H^0 \left( q\text{-}\mathcal{H}\text{dg}_{(R,\square)}/(1 - q^m) \right)$$

inducing

$$\Omega_{W_m(R)[q]/(1-q^m)} \longrightarrow H^\bullet \left( q\text{-}\mathcal{H}\text{dg}_{(R,\square)}/(1 - q) \right)$$

which is coordinate independent, degreewise surjective, and with kernel independent of coordinates.

*Proof Outline.* For every commutative differential graded algebra  $B$  receiving a map from a commutative ring  $A$  in 0th cohomology, there is an induced map from the initial commutative differential graded algebra generated by  $A$  to  $B$  – the latter being the de Rham complex. ■

This produces a description of  $H^i \left( q\text{-}\mathcal{H}\text{dg}_{(R,\square)}/(1-q) \right)$  that is visibly independent of coordinates, being the quotient of coordinate-independent objects.

In fact we can do better. For any  $R$ , there is a notion of  $q$ -Witt vectors  $q\text{-}W_m(R)$  and  $q$ -de Rham-Witt complexes  $q\text{-}W_m\Omega_R$  which is a commutative differential graded algebra with first term  $q\text{-}W_m(R)$  isomorphic to  $H^\bullet \left( q\text{-}\mathcal{H}\text{dg}_{(R,\square)}/(1-q^m) \right)$ .

**Theorem 4.8** (Wagner; [Wag22, Thm. 5.7]). Let  $R$  be a smooth framed  $\mathbb{Z}$ -algebra. There is an isomorphism

$$q\text{-}W_m\Omega_R^\bullet \longrightarrow H^\bullet \left( q\text{-}\mathcal{H}\text{dg}_{(R,\square)}/(1-q) \right).$$

**Remark 4.9.** This is related to the classical construction of the de Rham-Witt complex, though the sense in which the preceding constructions are  $q$ -deformations are quite subtle.

**Remark 4.10.** One can often reduce to the case of computing on the torus, since many of the constructions “commute with étale maps” in the sense that they are preserved under étale base change.

Based on this, one might hope that these complexes are independent of coordinates.

**Example 4.11.** Let  $R = \mathbb{Z}[T^\pm]$ . The  $q$ -Habiro-Hodge complex is given by

$$\mathbb{Z}[q][T^\pm]/(1-q^m) \xrightarrow{\gamma-1} \mathbb{Z}[q][T^\pm]/(1-q^m)$$

by  $T^k \mapsto (q^k - 1)T^k$ . We can compute the kernel of this map – the 0th cohomology – by noting that the map preserves the degree of  $T$ , we can compute the kernel in each degree to see that it is given by

$$\bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \left( \frac{q^m - 1}{q^{\gcd(k,m)} - 1} \mathbb{Z}[q] \right) T^k \cong \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \left( \mathbb{Z}[q]/(1 - q^{\gcd(k,m)}) \mathbb{Z}[q] \right) T^k.$$

We similarly compute first cohomology to see it is also given by

$$\bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \left( \mathbb{Z}[q]/(1 - q^{\gcd(k,m)}) \mathbb{Z}[q] \right) T^k.$$

Indeed, when  $m = p$  is prime, the 0th cohomology is a subring of  $\mathbb{Z}[q][T^\pm]/(1 - q^p)$  (hence a subring of  $\mathbb{Z}[T^\pm] \times \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p][T^{\pm p}] \subseteq \mathbb{Z}[T^\pm] \times \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p][T^\pm]$ ) and is generated by  $T^p$  and  $[p]_q T^i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq p - 1$ .

The computations of Example 4.11 is suggestive of a connection to Witt vectors since the cohomology lies in the product of rings  $\mathbb{Z}[T^\pm] \times \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p][T^{\pm p}]$ . Recall that for a  $p$ -torsion free ring  $R$ , the  $p$ -th Witt vectors  $W_p(R)$  consists of elements  $(x_0, x_1, \dots)$

has ghost maps  $\text{gh}_1, \text{gh}_p : W_p(R) \rightarrow R$  by  $(x_0, x_1, \dots) \mapsto x_0$  and  $(x_0, x_1, \dots) \mapsto x_0^p + px_1$ , respectively. The image of  $(\text{gh}_1, \text{gh}_p) : W_p(R) \rightarrow R \times R$  consists precisely of those pairs  $(x, y) \in R \times R$  where  $y \equiv x^p \pmod{p}$ .

**Proposition 4.12** (Wagner). Let  $R = \mathbb{Z}[T^\pm]$  with the identity framing and  $q\text{-}\mathcal{H}\text{dg}_{(R, \square)}$  its  $q$ -Habiro-Hodge complex. There is a canonical embedding

$$W_p(R) \hookrightarrow H^0 \left( q\text{-}\mathcal{H}\text{dg}_{(R, \square)} / (1 - q^p) \right)$$

rendering the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \varphi_p(x_0) + [p]_q x_1 & & \\ \uparrow & & \\ H^0 \left( q\text{-}\mathcal{H}\text{dg}_{(R, \square)} / (1 - q^p) \right) & \xhookrightarrow{\quad} & \mathbb{Z}[T^\pm] \times \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p][T^{\pm p}] \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ W_p(R) & \xrightarrow{(\text{gh}_1, \text{gh}_p)} & R \times R \\ \downarrow & & \\ (x_0, x_1) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & (x_0, x_0^p + px_1) \end{array}$$

commutative.

**Remark 4.13.** On the  $q$ -Habiro-Hodge cohomologies, we can relate the different specializations by Frobenii and Verschiebungen

$$H^i \left( q\text{-}\mathcal{H}\text{dg}_{(R, \square)} / (1 - q^{mk}) \right) \xrightleftharpoons[V_k = \times \frac{1 - q^{mk}}{1 - q^m}]{F_k} H^i \left( q\text{-}\mathcal{H}\text{dg}_{(R, \square)} / (1 - q^m) \right).$$

More generally, we have the following.

**Proposition 4.14.** Let  $R$  be a flat  $\mathbb{Z}$ -algebra. There is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & W_m(R) & \xhookrightarrow{(\text{gh}_d)_{d|m}} & \prod_{d|m} R \\ & \swarrow & \downarrow & & \downarrow (R)_d \rightarrow (R[\zeta_m/d])_{m/d} \\ W_m(R)[q]/(1 - q^m) & \longrightarrow & q\text{-}W_m(R) & \xhookrightarrow{(q\text{-}\text{gh}_d)_{d|m}} & \prod_{d|m} R[\zeta_d] \end{array}$$

where the Frobenii and Verschiebungen are defined on  $q\text{-}W_m(R)$ .

**Remark 4.15.** On the right, the map takes the  $d$ th factor of the product  $\prod_{d|m} R$  to the  $\frac{m}{d}$ th factor of the product  $\prod_{d|m} R[\zeta_d]$ .

**Remark 4.16.** There are no restriction maps on the  $q$ -Witt vectors  $q\text{-}W_m(R)$ .

This shows that on the level of cohomology, the  $q$ -Habiro-Hodge complex is coordinate independent after specialization. However, due to a theorem of Wagner, this is the best we can do: there is no way to make the  $q$ -Habiro-Hodge complex itself coordinate independent in the derived category in such a way that remains coordinate independent on specialization.



## 5. LECTURE 5 – 30TH MAY 2025

Recall from Definition 4.5 that the  $q$ -Habiro-Hodge cohomology is defined to be the cohomology of the  $q$ -Habiro-Hodge complex  $q\text{-}\mathcal{H}\mathbf{dg}_{(R,\square)}$  of (4.2), or equivalently, the group cohomology of  $\mathbb{Z}^d$  on the  $\mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}^d]$ -module  $\mathcal{H}_{(R,\square)}$ . More explicitly, for each  $m \geq 1$  we have the commutative differential graded algebra

$$(5.1) \quad \left( H^\bullet \left( q\text{-}\mathcal{H}\mathbf{dg}_{(R,\square)} / (1 - q^m) \right), \times (1 - q^m) \right)$$

with the Bockstein operator of multiplication by  $(1 - q^m)$  as in Remark 4.6.

Let us consider the case of rational coefficients as an example.

**Example 5.1.** Note that  $\mathbb{Q}[q^\pm]/(1 - q^m) \cong \prod_{d|m} \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_d)$  by  $q \mapsto (\zeta_d)_{d|m}$ . After base change to  $\mathbb{Q}$ , we the commutative differential graded algebra of (5.1) splits as a product of commutative differential graded algebras. A factor of this product is

$$H^\bullet \left( q\text{-}\mathcal{H}\mathbf{dg}_{(R,\square)} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[q^\pm]} \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_d) \right)$$

where by construction we have that

$$(5.2) \quad q\text{-}\mathcal{H}\mathbf{dg}_{(R,\square)} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[q^\pm]} \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_d) \cong R \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[\underline{T}^\pm]} \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_d)[\underline{T}^\pm]$$

where the  $\mathbb{Z}[\underline{T}^\pm]$ -algebra structure on  $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_d)[\underline{T}^\pm]$  is by  $T_i \mapsto T_i^d$  and the operators are given by  $\text{id}_R \otimes [T_i \mapsto \zeta_d T_i]$  (cf. Definition 3.19). Recalling that 0th group cohomology recovers invariants, we observe that the invariants under this action consists of polynomials with  $d$ th roots, and the action does not extract additional roots of the coordinates giving a canonical isomorphism

$$H^0 \left( q\text{-}\mathcal{H}\mathbf{dg}_{(R,\square)} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[q^\pm]} \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_d) \right) \cong R \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_d).$$

Having explicitly determined  $H^0$ , the universal property (cf. Proof of Theorem 4.7) implies that there is a morphism of commutative differential graded algebras

$$\Omega_{R \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_d)/\mathbb{Z}}^\bullet \longrightarrow H^\bullet \left( q\text{-}\mathcal{H}\mathbf{dg}_{(R,\square)} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[q^\pm]} \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_d) \right).$$

This map can be shown to be an isomorphism.

**Proposition 5.2.** Let  $(R, \square)$  be a smooth framed  $\mathbb{Z}$ -algebra and fix  $m \geq 0$ . For each  $d|m$ , there is an isomorphism of commutative differential graded algebras

$$\Omega_{R \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_d)/\mathbb{Z}}^\bullet \xrightarrow{\sim} H^\bullet \left( q\text{-}\mathcal{H}\mathbf{dg}_{(R,\square)} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[q^\pm]} \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_d) \right).$$

*Proof.* Using the identification of (5.2) above, we note that the action by the commuting operators is trivial. So both modules are in each degree free of the same rank and are isomorphic in degree 0. Observing that the complex maps on source and target agree yields the claim.  $\blacksquare$

In other words, rationally,  $q$ -Habiro-Hodge cohomology at a fixed root of unity  $m$  is entirely determined by the algebraic de Rham cohomology at each of its factors.

**Proposition 5.3.** Let  $(R, \square)$  be a smooth framed  $\mathbb{Z}$ -algebra and fix  $m \geq 0$ . Each  $H^i(q\text{-}\mathcal{H}\text{dg}_{(R, \square)}/(1 - q^m))$  is  $\mathbb{Z}$ -torsion free and there exists an injection

$$(5.3) \quad H^i \left( q\text{-}\mathcal{H}\text{dg}_{(R, \square)}/(1 - q^m) \right) \xhookrightarrow{-\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}} \prod_{d|m} \Omega_{R \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_d)}^i / \mathbb{Z}.$$

The proof is fairly elementary, albeit computational, and hence omitted. Moreover, the target is manifestly canonically independent of coordinates, and we can show that  $H^i(q\text{-}\mathcal{H}\text{dg}_{(R, \square)}/(1 - q^m))$  is independent of coordinates by showing its image is so.

**Theorem 5.4** (Wagner). Let  $(R, \square)$  be a smooth framed  $\mathbb{Z}$ -algebra and fix  $m \geq 0$ . The image of the map (5.3)

$$H^i \left( q\text{-}\mathcal{H}\text{dg}_{(R, \square)}/(1 - q^m) \right) \xhookrightarrow{-\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}} \prod_{d|m} \Omega_{R \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_d)}^i / \mathbb{Z}.$$

is given by the degree  $i$  piece of the  $q$ -de Rham-Witt complex  $q\text{-}W_m \Omega_{R/\mathbb{Z}}^i$  and hence independent of coordinates.

*Proof Outline.* Recall that the  $q$ -de Rham-Witt complex  $q\text{-}W_m \Omega_{R/\mathbb{Z}}^\bullet$  of Proposition 4.12 is a commutative differential graded algebra whose degree zero piece is the  $q$ -Witt vectors  $q\text{-}W_m(R)$ . By a universal property argument, there is a surjection

$$\Omega_{q\text{-}W_m(R)/\mathbb{Z}}^\bullet \twoheadrightarrow H^\bullet \left( q\text{-}\mathcal{H}\text{dg}_{(R, \square)}/(1 - q^m) \right)$$

which factors over the  $q$ -de Rham-Witt complex. By explicitly identifying the relations of the surjection  $\Omega_{q\text{-}W_m(R)/\mathbb{Z}}^\bullet \twoheadrightarrow q\text{-}W_m \Omega_{R/\mathbb{Z}}^\bullet$ , the relations on  $\Omega_{q\text{-}W_m(R)/\mathbb{Z}}^\bullet$  can be seen to coincide with the relations of the image of (5.3) in  $\prod_{d|m} \Omega_{R \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_d)}^i / \mathbb{Z}$  producing the desired isomorphism

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Omega_{q\text{-}W_m(R)/\mathbb{Z}}^\bullet & \xrightarrow{\quad} & H^\bullet \left( q\text{-}\mathcal{H}\text{dg}_{(R, \square)}/(1 - q^m) \right) \\ & \searrow & \nearrow \sim \\ & q\text{-}W_m \Omega_{R/\mathbb{Z}}^\bullet & \end{array}$$

■

**Remark 5.5.** Note that the  $q$ -de Rham-Witt complex  $q\text{-}W_m \Omega_{R/\mathbb{Z}}^\bullet$  is distinct from the initial free commutative differential graded algebra over the  $q$ -Witt vectors  $q\text{-}W_m(R)$ , the (ordinary) de Rham complex of the  $q$ -Witt vectors  $\Omega_{q\text{-}W_m(R)}^\bullet$ .

**Remark 5.6.** The  $q$ -Witt vectors are not  $q$ -analogues of the Witt vectors, in the sense that specialization at  $q = 1$  does not recover the ordinary construction. Regardless, these are closely related constructions as exhibited in Proposition 4.12.

While the preceding constructions show the richness of specializations of  $q$ -Habiro-Hodge cohomology at roots of unity, we show that this construction does not globalize. In particular, we show (an variant of) Wagner's theorem [Wag24, Thm. 5.1]:

a no-go result showing that the framing is a necessary part of the definition of the  $q$ -Habi-Hodge complex.

**Theorem 5.7** (Wagner; [Wag24, Thm. 5.1]). There is no functor from smooth  $\mathbb{Z}$ -algebras to the commutative algebra objects of the derived  $\infty$ -category  $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{Z}[q^\pm])$

$$\mathrm{Alg}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathrm{sm}} \longrightarrow \mathrm{CAlg}(\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{Z}[q^\pm])) \quad ; \quad R \longmapsto q\text{-}\mathcal{H}\mathrm{dg}_R$$

such that the following hold:

- (i) For all étale framings  $\square : \mathbb{Z}[\underline{T}^\pm] \rightarrow R$  there is an isomorphism of  $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{Z}[q^\pm])$  commutative algebras  $q\text{-}\mathcal{H}\mathrm{dg}_R \simeq (\mathcal{H}_{(R, \square)})^{h\mathbb{Z}^d}$ .
- (ii) The isomorphism of (i) induces an isomorphism  $H^0(q\text{-}\mathcal{H}\mathrm{dg}_R \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[q^\pm]} \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_d)) \cong R \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_d)$ .

**Remark 5.8.** In the statement of the theorem, the commutative algebra object  $q\text{-}\mathcal{H}\mathrm{dg}_R$  is not the  $q$ -Habi-Hodge complex  $q\text{-}\mathcal{H}\mathrm{dg}_{(R, \square)}$  of Definition 4.5 which depends on the framing  $\square : \mathbb{Z}[\underline{T}^\pm] \rightarrow R$ .

**Remark 5.9.** It is likely that the theorem holds true without condition (ii), but it may be possible to write down for any  $R$  some arbitrarily complicated framing  $\square : \mathbb{Z}[\underline{T}^\pm] \rightarrow R$  and some arbitrarily complicated isomorphism  $q\text{-}\mathcal{H}\mathrm{dg}_R \simeq (\mathcal{H}_{(R, \square)})^{h\mathbb{Z}^d}$  for algebras in sufficiently many variables. Condition (ii) prescribes the additional data needed to avoid the situation of the preceding discussion by requiring that the putative object  $q\text{-}\mathcal{H}\mathrm{dg}_R$  at least has degree 0 cohomology that agrees with what we have constructed thus far.

**Remark 5.10.** The statement of Theorem 5.7 differs from [Wag24, Thm. 5.1] in several ways: Wagner works only with specializations modulo  $(1 - q^m)$  in terms of  $q$ -de Rham Witt forms, but is also able to conduct the proof using only the module structure.

The proof of Theorem 5.7 will require significant  $\infty$ -categorical machinery, in particular the language of animation, which we recall in Appendix B. For the proof, we will require some results about the cotangent complex.

**Lemma 5.11.** Let  $R$  be a perfect  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -algebra. Then  $\mathbb{L}_{R/\mathbb{F}_p} = 0$ .

*Proof.* Since  $R$  is perfect, we compute that for any  $x \in R$ ,

$$dx = dx^p = px^{p-1}dx = 0.$$

Moreover, the Frobenius map gives an isomorphism  $\Omega_{R/\mathbb{F}_p}^1 \rightarrow \Omega_{R/\mathbb{F}_p}^1$  which is zero by the computation above. The animation of the Frobenius map  $\mathbb{L}_{R/\mathbb{F}_p} \rightarrow \mathbb{L}_{R/\mathbb{F}_p}$  remains an isomorphism, and is zero since the animation of the zero functor is zero. In particular, the zero map gives an isomorphism  $\mathbb{L}_{R/\mathbb{F}_p} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{L}_{R/\mathbb{F}_p}$ , showing that  $\mathbb{L}_{R/\mathbb{F}_p} = 0$ . ■

Note that this only gives vanishing of the cotangent complex over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ . However, in the case of  $\mathbb{Z}$ -algebras, we can show the following.

The instructor attributes this result as one of the inspiration for the tilting construction in prismatic cohomology.

**Lemma 5.12.** Let  $R$  be a flat  $\mathbb{Z}$ -algebra such that  $R/(p)$  is a perfect  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -algebra. Then for  $q\text{-}\mathcal{H}\text{dg}_R$  as in Theorem 5.7,  $(q\text{-}\mathcal{H}\text{dg}_R/L(p, 1 - q)) \cong R/(p)[0]$ .

*Proof.* Observe that  $q\text{-}\mathcal{H}\text{dg}_R/(1 - q)$  has a canonical exhaustive filtration by

$$\tau^{\leq i}(q\text{-}\mathcal{H}\text{dg}_R/(1 - q))$$

with  $i$ th associated graded  $\Omega_{R/\mathbb{Z}}^i[-i]$  as in (4.3). So animating the functor  $R \mapsto q\text{-}\mathcal{H}\text{dg}_R/(p, 1 - q)$  we observe that  $(q\text{-}\mathcal{H}\text{dg}_R/L(p, 1 - q))$  has an exhaustive filtration with associated graded  $(\mathbb{L}_{R/\mathbb{Z}}^i(p))[-i]$ , but we have  $\mathbb{L}_{R/\mathbb{Z}}^i(p) \cong \mathbb{L}_{(R/L(p))/\mathbb{F}_p}^i$  which vanishes for all strictly positive  $i$  by the factorization of the functor  $\mathbb{L}_{(-/L(p))/\mathbb{F}_p}^i$  as

$$\text{Ani} \left( \bigwedge^i (-) \right) \circ \text{Ani} \left( \Omega_{(-/L(p))/\mathbb{F}_p}^1 \right)$$

and we just observe that in degree 0 we simply recover the quotient  $R/(p)$ .  $\blacksquare$

**Corollary 5.13.** Let  $R$  be a flat  $\mathbb{Z}$ -algebra such that  $R/(p)$  is a perfect  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -algebra. Then for  $q\text{-}\mathcal{H}\text{dg}_R$  as in Theorem 5.7,

$$(q\text{-}\mathcal{H}\text{dg}_R/L(1 - q))_p^\wedge \cong (R_p^\wedge)[0].$$

*Proof.* The  $p$ -adic completion  $(q\text{-}\mathcal{H}\text{dg}_R/L(1 - q))_p^\wedge$  is the unique lift of the quotient  $(q\text{-}\mathcal{H}\text{dg}_R/L(p, 1 - q))$  to characteristic zero, and the unique lift of  $R/(p)[0]$  computed in Lemma 5.12 is precisely  $(R_p^\wedge)[0]$ .  $\blacksquare$

We now proceed with the proof of Theorem 5.7.

*Proof Outline of Theorem 5.7.* Pick  $R$  admitting some étale framing  $\square : \mathbb{Z}[T^\pm] \rightarrow R$  and consider  $(R, \square)$  as a smooth framed  $\mathbb{Z}$ -algebra. By (i), there exists an isomorphism

$$(5.4) \quad H^0(q\text{-}\mathcal{H}\text{dg}_R/(1 - q^m)) \cong (\mathcal{H}_{(R, \square)}/(1 - q^m))^{h\mathbb{Z}^d}.$$

Thus  $H^0(q\text{-}\mathcal{H}\text{dg}_R/(1 - q^m))$  is  $\mathbb{Z}$ -torsion free, as the right hand side – which by unwinding the definitions is  $H^0(q\text{-}\mathcal{H}\text{dg}_{(R, \square)}/(1 - q^m))$  – is  $\mathbb{Z}$ -torsion free by Proposition 5.3 so there is an embedding into  $\prod_{d|m} H^0(q\text{-}\mathcal{H}\text{dg}_R \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[q^\pm]} \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_d))$  by rationalization inducing the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^0(q\text{-}\mathcal{H}\text{dg}_R/(1 - q^m)) & \xleftarrow{-\otimes \mathbb{Q}} & \prod_{d|m} H^0(q\text{-}\mathcal{H}\text{dg}_R \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[q^\pm]} \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_d)) \\ & \searrow & \downarrow \text{(ii)} \\ & & \prod_{d|m} R \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_d) \end{array}$$

with the isomorphism on the right by assumption (ii) of the theorem. The image of the map  $H^0(q\text{-}\mathcal{H}\text{dg}_R/(1 - q^m)) \hookrightarrow \prod_{d|m} R \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_d)$  is necessarily the  $q$ -Witt vectors  $q\text{-}W_m(R)$  after using the identification of (5.4) and applying Theorem 5.4. This shows

$$H^0(q\text{-}\mathcal{H}\text{dg}_R/(1 - q^m)) \cong q\text{-}W_m(R)$$

and is further compatible with specializations at  $\zeta_d$  for  $d$  dividing  $m$ . The universal property then induces a surjective map of commutative differential graded algebras

$$\Omega_{q-W_m(R)/\mathbb{Z}}^\bullet \longrightarrow (H^\bullet(q\text{-}\mathcal{H}\mathbf{d}\mathbf{g}_R/(1-q^m)), \times(1-q^m))$$

which is surjective, inducing an isomorphism  $q-W_m\Omega_{R/\mathbb{Z}}^i \xrightarrow{\sim} H^i(q\text{-}\mathcal{H}\mathbf{d}\mathbf{g}_R/(1-q^m))$  after a choice of framing – note that after taking the quotient by  $(1-q^m)$ , the we have  $H^i(q\text{-}\mathcal{H}\mathbf{d}\mathbf{g}_R/(1-q^m)) \cong H^i(q\text{-}\mathcal{H}\mathbf{d}\mathbf{g}_{(R,\square)}/(1-q^m)) \cong q-W_m\Omega_{R/\mathbb{Z}}^i$ , the first isomorphism by the framing-independence at specializations result of Theorem 4.7 and the second as discussed in the proof of Theorem 5.4.

We show the failure at the trivial root of unity, and other roots of unity can be treated by a similar argument using a Frobenius twist of the element. By Corollary 5.13 and uniqueness of deformations of étale algebras, we have an isomorphism

$$(R_p^\wedge[[q-1]])[0] \xrightarrow{\sim} (q\text{-}\mathcal{H}\mathbf{d}\mathbf{g}_R)_{(p,1-q)}^\wedge$$

giving us an explicit description of  $q\text{-}\mathcal{H}\mathbf{d}\mathbf{g}_R$  after  $(p, q-1)$ -adic completion. To get a contradiction, let  $R = \mathbb{Z}_p\langle t^{1/p^\infty} \rangle / (t-p)$  and we have  $(\mathbb{L}_{R/\mathbb{Z}})_p^\wedge \cong R[1]$ . So  $(\mathbb{L}_{R/\mathbb{Z}})_p^\wedge \cong R[i]$  so  $(q\text{-}\mathcal{H}\mathbf{d}\mathbf{g}_R)_{(p,1-q)}^\wedge$  is  $R$  concentrated in degree 0. In particular, there must exist a map

$$\left( q\text{-}\mathcal{H}\mathbf{d}\mathbf{g}_{\mathbb{Z}_p\langle t^{1/p^\infty} \rangle} \right)_{(p,q-1)}^\wedge \longrightarrow (q\text{-}\mathcal{H}\mathbf{d}\mathbf{g}_R)_{(p,1-q)}^\wedge$$

by functoriality. The source is given by  $(\mathbb{Z}_p\langle t^{1/p^\infty} \rangle[[q-1]])[0]$  by the above, and  $(q\text{-}\mathcal{H}\mathbf{d}\mathbf{g}_R)_{(p,1-q)}^\wedge$  is given by adjoining  $q$ -divided powers of  $(t-p)$  to the source. The element  $t-p$  in the source must map to the unique element of the form  $\frac{t-p}{q-1}$  as the source is  $(q-1)$ -torsion free.

Similarly, at other roots of unity,  $t-p$  in the source maps to the unique element  $\frac{\varphi_p(t-p) - [p]_q \delta_p(t-p)}{1-q^p}$  where here we have used the  $\delta$ -ring structure on the  $q$ -de Rham-Witt complex. From here, we can observe that  $\delta(t-p)$  is a unit vanishing in  $R/(p)$  so  $R \cong 0$  by applying the derived Nakayama lemma, a contradiction. ■

The instructor remarks that multiple proofs in this lecture were statements about the empty set, which may very well be the author's favorite set.

## 6. LECTURE 6 – 20TH JUNE 2025

Despite Wagner's negative result in Theorem 5.7, things can still be made to work in certain situations. The general idea around these positive results is that things work after inverting small primes. The following is the subject of work in progress by Wagner.

**Conjecture 6.1** (Wagner). There exists a symmetric monoidal functor

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{c} \text{smooth } \mathbb{Z}\text{-algebras } R \text{ s.t.} \\ \frac{1}{d!} \in R, d = \dim(R) \end{array} \right\} \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{H})$$

by  $R \mapsto q\text{-}\mathcal{H}\mathbf{dg}_R$  such that the following hold:

- (i) For all étale framings  $\square : \mathbb{Z}[T^\pm] \rightarrow R$  there is an isomorphism of  $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{H})$  commutative algebras  $q\text{-}\mathcal{H}\mathbf{dg}_R \simeq (\mathcal{H}_{(R, \square)})^{h\mathbb{Z}^d}$ .
- (ii) The isomorphism of (i) induces an isomorphism  $H^0(q\text{-}\mathcal{H}\mathbf{dg}_R \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[q^\pm]} \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_d)) \cong R \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_d)$ .

**Remark 6.2.** Note that the symmetric monoidal structure on the category of smooth algebras in Conjecture 6.1 above is not the naïve one – one has to invert the factorial of the dimension of  $R \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} R'$  for  $R, R'$  in this category.

Another perspective yielding positive results is the relation between  $q$ -de Rham cohomology and topological Hochschild homology relative to the complex  $K$ -theory spectrum  $\mathrm{KU}$ . We recall here the definition.

**Definition 6.3** (Topological Hochschild Homology). Let  $R$  be an  $\mathbb{E}_1$ -ring. Then

$$\mathrm{THH}(R) = R \otimes_{R \otimes_{\mathbb{S}} R^{\mathrm{opp}}} R.$$

This extends the relationship between prismatic cohomology and topological Hochschild homology. In particular Devalapurkar and Raksit has observed that relative to  $\mathrm{KU}$  these  $q$ -deformations appear naturally.

**Conjecture 6.4.** There is an equivalence of cyclotomic  $\mathbb{E}_\infty$  rings

$$\tau_{\geq 0} \mathrm{ku}^{tC_p} \simeq \mathrm{THH}(\mathbb{Z}_p[\zeta_p]/\mathbb{S}[q])_p^\wedge.$$

This was shown in Devalapurkar's thesis.

**Theorem 6.5** (Devalapurkar; [Dev25, Thm. 6.4.1 (a)]). Let  $p > 2$  and  $\mathbb{Z}_p[\zeta_p]$  an  $\mathbb{S}[[q^{1/p} - 1]]$ -algebra by  $q^{1/p} \mapsto \zeta_p$ . There is an  $S^1 \times \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$ -equivariant equivalence of cyclotomic  $\mathbb{E}_\infty$ - $\mathbb{S}[[q - 1]]$ -algebras

$$\mathrm{ku}_p^{(-1)} \simeq \mathrm{THH}(\mathbb{Z}_p[\zeta_p]/\mathbb{S}[[q^{1/p} - 1]]).$$

This connects the seemingly disparate objects of the complex  $K$ -theory spectrum with topological Hochschild homology and prismatic cohomology.

The construction here proceeds as follows. For a smooth  $\mathbb{Z}$ -algebra  $R$  admitting a flat lift  $\mathrm{ku}_R$  to  $\mathrm{ku}$ , then  $\pi_* \mathrm{THH}(\mathrm{ku}_R/\mathrm{ku})^{hS^1}$  is extremely closely related to  $(q\text{-}\mathcal{H}\mathbf{dg}_R)_{(q-1)}^\wedge$ . But  $\mathrm{THH}$  has genuine equivariance, so we can consider

$$(\mathrm{THH}(\mathrm{ku}_R/\mathrm{ku})^{C_m})^{h(S^1/C_m)}$$

The first third of this lecture was dedicated to a discussion of the proof of Theorem 5.7. We have used this to produce an improved exposition of the proof in Lecture 5 in place of repeating the content here.

The instructor remarks here on his distaste for abbreviations, and defends that  $\mathrm{KU}$  is not an abbreviation but in fact the official name for the complex  $K$ -theory spectrum.

where  $(-)^{C_m}$  are the genuine fixed points whose homotopy groups are closely related to  $(q\text{-}\mathcal{H}\text{dg}_R)_{(q^m-1)}^\wedge$ . If  $R$  was further étale, there would be a unique such flat lift which recovers the Habiro ring of  $R$ . To wit, the Habiro completion at all roots of unity is closely related to genuine equivariance under finite subgroups of  $S^1$  that is a recurring theme in the discussion of topological Hochschild homology. This gives a conceptual origin for  $q$ -Hodge cohomology. This discussion, however, still depends on the existence of the lift to  $\mathbf{ku}$  which can be awkward to work with.

**Example 6.6.** Framed algebras have a preferred lift.

**Example 6.7.** Lifts as an  $\mathbb{E}_1$ -algebra are unique up to unique homotopy after inverting small primes.

One can also leverage the machinery of refined topological Hochschild homology and its varia following Efimov and as discussed in the work of Meyer-Wagner [MW24]. This produces a version of Habiro cohomology where a prime being a unit over the ring need not imply that it is a unit in Habiro cohomology such as in the construction of Conjecture 6.1 where if  $R$  had some prime  $p$  inverted,  $p$  would also be inverted in  $q\text{-}\mathcal{H}\text{dg}_R$ , and where  $q\text{-}\mathcal{H}\text{dg}_R$  can be nontrivial modulo  $p$ .

**Remark 6.8.** This phenomena of non-inversion of primes also occurs in the analytic variant of prismatic cohomology where for a rigid space over  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  the prismatic cohomology can still contain  $p$ -torsion information.

**Remark 6.9.** In the language of the previous semester’s course on the Habiro ring of a number field, we did not produce  $p$ -adic congruences over the primes dividing the discriminant of the number field. But this construction using refined localizing invariants hopefully will allow us to understand the behavior of the Habiro ring at these bad primes and bad roots of unity.

While these are approaches that have borne fruit in the past, we will not discuss them in the remainder of this course.

Recall from Lecture 1 that the goal is to produce for any separated finite type scheme  $X$  over the integers a category  $\mathcal{D}_{\text{Hab}}(X)$  of coefficients for Habiro cohomology.

**Example 6.10.** Recall the discussion from Figure 1 of cohomology theories and their coefficients:

- The coefficients for Betti (ie. singular) cohomology are the Betti sheaves.
- The coefficients for de Rham cohomology are  $D$ -modules.
- The coefficients for étale cohomology are the constructible étale sheaves.

We will embark on a more geometric approach. Bhatt’s principle of transmutation [Bha22, Rmk. 2.3.8] – a program first initiated by Simpson – suggests that there is a functor  $\text{Sch}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\text{ft}} \rightarrow \text{AnStk}_{\mathcal{H}}$  that preserves finite limits and colimits along gluing diagrams taking a separated finite type  $\mathbb{Z}$ -scheme to an analytic stack over the Habiro ring  $\mathcal{H}$  such that  $\mathcal{D}_{\text{Hab}}(X) \simeq \mathcal{D}_{\text{QCoh}}(X^{\text{Hab}})$  – that is, the category of

The instructor remarks that it is these connections with homotopy theory that reinvigorated his hope for the existence of Habiro cohomology.

The instructor describes the approach using refined topological Hochschild homology as “more fancy.”

coefficients for Habiro cohomology is equivalent to the derived  $\infty$ -category of quasi-coherent sheaves on the putative Habiro stack  $X^{\text{Hab}}$ . This is already substantiated by known cases.

**Example 6.11.** Continuing Example 6.10, we have the following transmutation constructions:

- Betti sheaves arise as the quasicoherent sheaves on the Betti stack.
- $D$ -modules arise as the quasicoherent sheaves on the de Rham stack.

Recall now that we have already determined  $\mathbb{G}_m^{\text{Hab}}$  to be

$$\mathcal{D}_{\text{Hab}}(\mathbb{G}_m) \simeq \mathcal{D}_{\text{QCoh}}(\mathbb{G}_m^{\text{Hab}}) = \{\text{modified } q\text{-connections on } \mathbb{G}_{m,\mathcal{H}}\}$$

in Example 2.25. These are just quasicoherent sheaves on  $\mathbb{G}_{m,\mathcal{H}}/q^{\mathbb{Z}}$  as the modified  $q$ -connections merely prescribe the descent datum to the quotient by the multiplicative action of  $q^{\mathbb{Z}}$ . The upshot of this approach is that it suffices to determine  $(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Z}}^1)^{\text{Hab}}$  as a ring stack since all separated finite type  $\mathbb{Z}$ -schemes are built out of  $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Z}}^1$  from finite limits and gluing (in a sense we make more precise below). This is the content of the following proposition.

**Proposition 6.12.** Let  $F : \text{Sch}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\text{sft}} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  be a functor such that

- (1)  $F$  commutes with finite limits.
- (2)  $F$  commutes with colimits along diagrams which are frames of spectral spaces with transition maps open immersions.

Then the value of  $F$  on any object is determined completely by  $F(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Z}}^1)$ .

*Proof.* Note that any separated finite type scheme is spectral and by (2) we have

$$F(X) = F(\text{colim}_{\text{Spec}(R) \subseteq X} \text{Spec}(R)) \simeq \text{colim}_{\text{Spec}(R) \subseteq X} F(\text{Spec}(R))$$

so it suffices to determine  $F(\text{Spec}(R))$ . Since  $X$  is finite type,  $R$  is of the form  $\mathbb{Z}[T_1, \dots, T_n]/(f_1, \dots, f_r)$ . Now we observe  $F(\text{Spec}(R)) \simeq F(\text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z})) \times_{F(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Z}}^r)} F(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Z}}^n)$  by (2) as  $\text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}[T_1, \dots, T_n]/(f_1, \dots, f_r))$  is the fibered product (ie. limit) of the diagram

$$(6.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}[T_1, \dots, T_n]/(f_1, \dots, f_r)) & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Z}}^n \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}) & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Z}}^r \end{array}$$

opposite to the coCartesian square in algebras

$$(6.2) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{Z}[T_1, \dots, T_n]/(f_1, \dots, f_r) & \longleftarrow & \mathbb{Z}[T_1, \dots, T_n] \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow_{T_i \mapsto f_i} \\ \mathbb{Z} & \xleftarrow{T_i \mapsto 0} & \mathbb{Z}[T_1, \dots, T_r] \end{array}$$

and observing that each  $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Z}}^n$  is the iterated fibered product of  $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Z}}^1$ 's the assertion follows. ■



**Remark 6.13.** Considering the affine case for simplicity, if  $X = \operatorname{Spec}(R)$  we would have after passage of (6.1) through  $F(-) = (-)^{\operatorname{Hab}}$  a Cartesian square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \operatorname{Spec}(R)^{\operatorname{Hab}} & \longrightarrow & (\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Z}}^n)^{\operatorname{Hab}} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \operatorname{Spec}(\mathbb{Z})^{\operatorname{Hab}} & \longrightarrow & (\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Z}}^r)^{\operatorname{Hab}} \end{array}$$

but the map  $(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Z}}^n)^{\operatorname{Hab}} \rightarrow (\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Z}}^r)^{\operatorname{Hab}}$  is determined by the “Habiroidization” of the left vertical map on affine space of (6.1), or equivalently of the map of algebras  $T_i \mapsto f_i$  of (6.2). Thus, we need to understand addition and multiplication of  $(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Z}}^n)^{\operatorname{Hab}}$  (in particular  $(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Z}}^1)^{\operatorname{Hab}}$ ). That is, to understand  $(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Z}}^1)^{\operatorname{Hab}}$  as a ring stack.

We already understand what  $\mathbb{G}_m^{\operatorname{Hab}}$  should be –  $X$ . And we can build  $(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Z}}^1)^{\operatorname{Hab}}$  from  $\mathbb{G}_m^{\operatorname{Hab}}$  by observing that we have a coCartesian diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\mathbb{G}_m \setminus \{1\})^{\operatorname{Hab}} & \hookrightarrow & \mathbb{G}_m^{\operatorname{Hab}} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ (\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Z}}^1 \setminus \{1\})^{\operatorname{Hab}} & \longrightarrow & (\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Z}}^1)^{\operatorname{Hab}} \end{array}$$

and that we have an isomorphism  $(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Z}}^1 \setminus \{1\})^{\operatorname{Hab}} \cong \mathbb{G}_m^{\operatorname{Hab}}$  by the coordinate transformation  $x \mapsto 1 - x$ . The crux, then, is to define the map  $x \mapsto 1 - x$  (cf. the marginal note of Remark 1.8). In other words, to define a map  $(\mathbb{G}_m \setminus \{1\})^{\operatorname{Hab}} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m^{\operatorname{Hab}}$  which is “ $(x \mapsto 1 - x)^{\operatorname{Hab}}$ ” and addition can be completely built off this one map. Once we have this map we can perform the gluing. Moreover, defining the multiplication is easy as we know  $\mathbb{G}_m^{\operatorname{Hab}}$  not only as a stack but as a group stack. The explicit construction of this map via  $q$ -series will be the subject of the subsequent lectures.

**Remark 6.14.** Bhatt-Lurie [BL22] and Drinfeld [Dri20] undertake a similar approach for prismatic cohomology defining  $(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}^1)^{\Delta}$  which is somewhat easy to understand as a stack and where the multiplication operation comes readily, but where the construction of addition is also fairly involved.

APPENDIX A. EXPLICIT ELEMENTS OF THE HABIRO RING  
(D'APRÈS GAROUFALIDIS-WHEELER)

This appendix contains the proof sketch of Example 4.4. The interested reader is encouraged to consult [GW25] for further details.

We seek to show that for  $R = \mathbb{Z}[T_1, \dots, T_d, \frac{1}{1-T_1-\dots-T_d}]$  that the element

$$(A.1) \quad \sum_{k_1, \dots, k_d \geq 0} \begin{bmatrix} k_1 + \dots + k_d \\ k_1 \dots k_d \end{bmatrix}_q T_1^{k_1} \dots T_d^{k_d} \in \mathbb{Z}[q^\pm][[\underline{T}]]$$

lies in  $\mathcal{H}_{(R, \square)}$  where  $\square : \mathbb{Z}[q^\pm][\underline{T}] \rightarrow R$  is the obvious map. For this, it suffices to show that  $R^{(m)}[[q - \zeta_m]] \subseteq \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_m][[\underline{T}, q - \zeta_m]]$ .

We have that

$$R^{(m)} = \mathbb{Z} \left[ T_1, \dots, T_d, \frac{1}{1 - T_1^m - \dots - T_d^m} \right]$$

and that the Frobenius gluing is already completely determined by the injectivity  $R^{(m)}[[q - \zeta_m]] \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_m][[\underline{T}, q - \zeta_m]]$  as it can be checked after  $\underline{T}$ -adic completion. Note, furthermore, that

$$\mathbb{Z} \left[ T_1, \dots, T_d, \frac{1}{1 - T_1^m - \dots - T_d^m} \right] = \mathbb{Q} \left[ T_1, \dots, T_d, \frac{1}{1 - T_1^m - \dots - T_d^m} \right] \cap \mathbb{Z}[[T_1, \dots, T_d]]$$

as subrings of  $\mathbb{Q}[[T_1, \dots, T_d]]$ , so it suffices to verify the statement rationally. Using  $q = \zeta_m \exp(h)$ , we get an isomorphism  $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_m)[[q - \zeta_m]] \cong \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_m)[[h]]$  and seek to develop (A.1) as a power series in  $h$ . Using that

$$\begin{bmatrix} k_1 + \dots + k_d \\ k_1 \dots k_d \end{bmatrix}_q = \binom{k_1 + \dots + k_d}{k_1 \dots k_d} \cdot O(h)$$

where  $O(h)$  is a power series in  $h$  with coefficients in  $\mathbb{Q}[k_1, \dots, k_d]$ , we have that each term in the power series expansion in  $h$  at  $m = 1$  is of the form

$$(A.2) \quad \sum_{k_1, \dots, k_d \geq 0} \binom{k_1 + \dots + k_d}{k_1 \dots k_d} P(k_1, \dots, k_d) T_1^{k_1} \dots T_d^{k_d}.$$

We then use the following lemma.

**Lemma A.1.** Let  $P(k_1, \dots, k_d) \in \mathbb{Q}[k_1, \dots, k_d]$  as in (A.2) lies in

$$R = \mathbb{Q} \left[ T_1, \dots, T_d, \frac{1}{1 - T_1 - \dots - T_d} \right].$$

*Proof.* Without loss of generality, we can take  $P$  to be a monomial  $k_1^{a_1} \dots k_d^{a_d}$ . We get, up to a constant, that  $(\nabla_1^{\log})^{a_1} \dots (\nabla_d^{\log})^{a_d}$  of  $\frac{1}{1-T_1-\dots-T_d}$  lies in  $R$ . ■

More generally the power series expansion at  $m$  is given by

$$(A.3) \quad \sum_{k_1, \dots, k_d \geq 0} \binom{mk_1 + \dots + mk_d}{mk_1 \dots mk_d} P(k_1, \dots, k_d) T_1^{mk_1} \dots T_d^{mk_d}$$

which by similar arguments can be shown to lie in  $R$  as well.

## APPENDIX B. ON ANIMATION

We recall the construction of animation following [Lur09, §5.5.8] and as discussed in Section 5 and the proof of Theorem 5.7.

The construction of animation, or non-Abelian derived functors, dates back to the construction of the cotangent complex, following Quillen and Illusie. We first recall the Dold-Kan correspondence.

**Theorem B.1** (Dold-Kan Correspondence; [Stacks, Tag 019G]). Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be an Abelian (1-)category. There is an equivalence of categories between simplicial objects of  $\mathcal{A}$  and the subcategory of  $\mathcal{A}$ -chain complexes concentrated in non-negative degree.

We now define the cotangent complex (cf. [Stacks, Tag 08PL]).

**Definition B.2** (Cotangent Complex). The cotangent complex is the chain complex associated to the simplicial  $R$ -module

$$\dots \rightrightarrows \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}[R]]] \rightrightarrows \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}[R]] \rightrightarrows \mathbb{Z}[R]$$

with degeneracy maps augmentation maps under the Dold-Kan correspondence.

This construction is motivated by the fact that on smooth (in particular polynomial)  $\mathbb{Z}$ -algebras  $R$ , the cotangent complex is given by  $\mathbb{L}_{R/\mathbb{Z}} \cong \Omega_{R/\mathbb{Z}}^1[0]$  which has an explicit description in terms of generators and relations.

More generally, the analogy between derived functors and their non-Abelian counterpart arises from the fact that in both situations one passes to “free resolutions,” applies the functor there, and shows the resulting construction is independent of the choices made.

Before defining animation, we make the following recollections.

**Definition B.3** (Compact Projective Objects). Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a category with 1-sifted colimits. An object  $X \in \mathcal{C}$  is compact projective if  $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, -)$  commutes with 1-sifted colimits, or equivalently, with filtered colimits and reflexive coequalizers.

**Example B.4.** We consider some examples of categories and their compact projective objects.

$\mathcal{C}$	$\mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{cp}}$
Sets	finite sets
CRing	finitely generated polynomial algebras over $\mathbb{Z}$ and retracts thereof
AbGrp	free finitely generated Abelian groups
Grp	free groups on finitely many generators

Denoting the full subcategory of  $\mathcal{C}$  spanned by the compact projective objects  $\mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{cp}}$ , we have by the Yoneda embedding fully faithful embeddings

$$\mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{cp}} \hookrightarrow \mathrm{sInd}^1(\mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{cp}}) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{C}$$

where  $\mathrm{sInd}^1(-)$  is the closure under 1-sifted colimits.

**Definition B.5** (Category Generated by Compact Projectives). Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a category with all colimits.  $\mathcal{C}$  is generated by compact projective objects if there is an equivalence of categories  $\mathbf{sInd}^1(\mathcal{C}^{\mathbf{cp}}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{C}$ .

We are now ready to define animation of a category in earnest.

**Definition B.6** (Animation of a Category). Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a category admitting all colimits. The animation  $\mathbf{Ani}(\mathcal{C})$  of  $\mathcal{C}$  is the  $\infty$ -category  $\mathbf{sInd}(\mathcal{C}^{\mathbf{cp}})$ , the closure of (the nerve of)  $\mathcal{C}^{\mathbf{cp}}$  under  $\infty$ -categorical sifted colimits.

In other words,  $\mathbf{Ani}(\mathcal{C})$  is a cocomplete  $\infty$ -category generated under filtered colimits and geometric realizations of simplicial objects by  $\mathcal{C}^{\mathbf{cp}}$ , or as the localization of the category of simplicial objects of  $\mathcal{C}$  at the weak equivalences. The animation  $\mathbf{Ani}(\mathcal{C})$  of  $\mathcal{C}$  possesses the universal property that it is initial object in the (non-full) subcategory of cocomplete  $\infty$ -categories under (the nerve of)  $\mathcal{C}^{\mathbf{cp}}$  with sifted-colimit preserving functors [CS24, §5.1.4].

**Example B.7.** We consider some examples of categories and their animations.

$\mathcal{C}$	$\mathbf{Ani}(\mathcal{C})$
<b>Sets</b>	<b>Ani</b> , the category of animae or $\infty$ -groupoids
<b>CRing</b>	<b>Ani(Ring)</b> the category of animated (commutative) rings
<b>AbGrp</b>	complexes of Abelian groups conc. in deg. $\geq 0$ , ie. $\mathcal{D}_{\geq 0}(\mathbb{Z})$
<b>A any Abelian cat.</b>	$\mathcal{D}_{\geq 0}(\mathbf{A})$ , once again by Dold-Kan

The construction of animation is furthermore functorial, and we can form the animation of functors. For  $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  a 1-sifted colimit preserving functor between cocomplete categories generated by compact projectives, restriction yields a functor  $F|_{\mathcal{C}^{\mathbf{cp}}} : \mathcal{C}^{\mathbf{cp}} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  and thus a functor to  $\mathbf{Ani}(\mathcal{D})$  by composition. This yields a solid diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathcal{C}^{\mathbf{cp}} & \xrightarrow{\quad \mathbf{Ani}(-) \quad} & \mathbf{Ani}(\mathcal{C}) \\
 F|_{\mathcal{C}^{\mathbf{cp}}} \downarrow & \searrow & \downarrow \mathbf{Ani}(F) \\
 \mathcal{D} & \xrightarrow{\quad \mathbf{Ani}(-) \quad} & \mathbf{Ani}(\mathcal{D}).
 \end{array}$$

Since the diagonal composition map  $\mathcal{C}^{\mathbf{cp}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Ani}(\mathcal{D})$  preserves (nerves of) 1-sifted colimits – the preservation of  $\infty$ -categorical sifted colimits is vacuous as the source is (the nerve of) a 1-category – the dotted factorization exists by the universal property of animation.

**Definition B.8** (Animation of a Functor). Let  $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  be a 1-sifted colimit preserving functor between cocomplete categories generated by compact projectives. The animation  $\mathbf{Ani}(F)$  of  $F$  is the unique sifted colimit preserving functor  $\mathbf{Ani}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathbf{Ani}(\mathcal{D})$  factoring  $\mathcal{C}^{\mathbf{cp}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Ani}(\mathcal{D})$ .

**Remark B.9.** Just as in the case of ordinary categories, animated functors do not necessarily compose well. See [CS24, Prop. 5.15] for the requisite hypotheses.

Let us return to the cotangent complex.

**Example B.10.** Let  $R$  be a ring and  $\Omega_{(-)/\mathbb{Z}}^1 : \mathbf{CRing} \rightarrow \mathbf{AbGrp}$  the functor taking each commutative ring to its module of Kähler differentials. The cotangent complex  $\mathbb{L}_{-/\mathbb{Z}} : \mathbf{Ani}(\mathbf{Ring}) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\geq 0}(\mathbb{Z})$  is the animation of the functor  $\Omega_{(-)/\mathbb{Z}}^1$ .

We conclude with the following result about the animation of rings and polynomial algebras.

**Proposition B.11.** The animation of the inclusion functor of polynomial  $\mathbb{Z}$ -algebras in finitely many variables into the compact projective objects of commutative rings  $\iota : \mathbf{Poly}_{\mathbb{Z}} \hookrightarrow \mathbf{CRing}^{\mathrm{cp}}$  is an equivalence  $\mathbf{Ani}(\iota) : \mathbf{Ani}(\mathbf{Poly}_{\mathbb{Z}}) \rightarrow \mathbf{Ani}(\mathbf{Ring})$ .

*Proof.* Every retract of polynomial algebras over  $\mathbb{Z}$  in finitely many variables is a quotient, and hence a 1-sifted colimit. In particular, the closure of (the nerve of)  $\mathbf{Poly}_{\mathbb{Z}}$  under sifted  $\infty$ -categorical colimits coincides with that of the analogous construction for  $\mathbf{CRing}^{\mathrm{cp}}$ . ■

## REFERENCES

- [And01] Yves André. “Noncommutative differentials and Galois theory for differential or difference equations”. French. In: *Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. (4)* 34.5 (2001), pp. 685–739. ISSN: 0012-9593. DOI: 10.1016/S0012-9593(01)01074-6. URL: <https://eudml.org/doc/82555>.
- [Aom90] Kazuhiko Aomoto. “ $q$ -analogue of de Rham cohomology associated with Jackson integrals. I”. English. In: *Proc. Japan Acad., Ser. A* 66.7 (1990), pp. 161–164. ISSN: 0386-2194. DOI: 10.3792/pjaa.66.161.
- [Bha22] Bhargav Bhatt. *Prismatic  $F$ -gauges*. Lecture notes from a course at Princeton University, Fall 2022. 2022. URL: <https://www.math.ias.edu/~bhatt/teaching/mat549f22/lectures.pdf>.
- [BL22] Bhargav Bhatt and Jacob Lurie. *Absolute prismatic cohomology*. 2022. arXiv: 2201.06120 [math.AG]. URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2201.06120>.
- [BMS18] Bhargav Bhatt, Matthew Morrow, and Peter Scholze. “Integral  $p$ -adic Hodge theory”. English. In: *Publ. Math., Inst. Hautes Étud. Sci.* 128 (2018), pp. 219–397. ISSN: 0073-8301. DOI: 10.1007/s10240-019-00102-z.
- [BS22] Bhargav Bhatt and Peter Scholze. “Prisms and prismatic cohomology”. English. In: *Ann. Math. (2)* 196.3 (2022), pp. 1135–1275. ISSN: 0003-486X. DOI: 10.4007/annals.2022.196.3.5.
- [CS24] Kestutis Česnavičius and Peter Scholze. “Purity for flat cohomology”. English. In: *Ann. Math. (2)* 199.1 (2024), pp. 51–180. ISSN: 0003-486X. DOI: 10.4007/annals.2024.199.1.2.
- [CS23] Dustin Clausen and Peter Scholze. *Lectures on Analytic Stacks*. Lecture notes from a course at the Universität Bonn, Winter 2023–24. 2023. URL: [https://youtube.com/playlist?list=PLx5f8Ie1FRgGmu6gmL-Kf\\_Rl\\_6Mm7juZ0&si=aEs5GIFUAu4PW\\_i0](https://youtube.com/playlist?list=PLx5f8Ie1FRgGmu6gmL-Kf_Rl_6Mm7juZ0&si=aEs5GIFUAu4PW_i0).
- [Dev25] Sanath Devalapurkar. “Spherochromatism in representation theory and arithmetic geometry”. PhD thesis. Harvard University, 2025. URL: <https://sanathdevalapurkar.github.io/files/thesis.pdf>.
- [Dri20] Vladimir Drinfeld. *Prismatization*. 2024. arXiv: 2005.04746 [math.AG]. URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2005.04746>.
- [GW25] Stavros Garoufalidis and Campbell Wheeler. *Explicit classes in Habiro cohomology*. 2025. arXiv: 2505.19885 [math.AG]. URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2505.19885>.
- [GS+24] Stavros Garoufalidis et al. *The Habiro ring of a number field*. 2024. arXiv: 2412.04241 [math.NT]. URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2412.04241>.
- [Jac10] F. H. Jackson. “ $q$ -difference equations.” English. In: *Am. J. Math.* 32 (1910), pp. 305–314. ISSN: 0002-9327. DOI: 10.2307/2370183.
- [Lur09] Jacob Lurie. *Higher topos theory*. English. Vol. 170. Ann. Math. Stud. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press, 2009. ISBN: 978-0-691-14049-0; 978-0-691-14048-3. DOI: 10.1515/9781400830558.
- [MW24] Samuel Meyer and Ferdinand Wagner. *Derived  $q$ -Hodge complexes and refined  $TC^-$* . 2024. arXiv: 2410.23115 [math.AT]. URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2410.23115>.
- [MT21] Matthew Morrow and Takeshi Tsuji. *Generalised representations as  $q$ -connections in integral  $p$ -adic Hodge theory*. 2021. arXiv: 2010.04059 [math.NT]. URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2010.04059>.

- [nLab-a] nLab authors. *Picard-Fuchs equation*. <https://ncatlab.org/nlab/show/Picard-Fuchs+equation>. Revision 2. Apr. 2025.
- [Sch17] Peter Scholze. “Canonical  $q$ -deformations in arithmetic geometry”. English. In: *Ann. Fac. Sci. Toulouse, Math. (6)* 26.5 (2017), pp. 1163–1192. ISSN: 0240-2963. DOI: 10.5802/afst.1563.
- [Sch24] Peter Scholze. *The Habiro Ring of a Number Field*. Lectures from a course at the Universität Bonn, Winter 2025-25. 2024. URL: <https://archive.mpim-bonn.mpg.de/id/eprint/5132/>.
- [Shi20] Ryotaro Shirai.  *$q$ -deformation with  $(\varphi, \Gamma)$  structure of the de Rham cohomology of the Legendre family of elliptic curves*. 2020. arXiv: 2006.12310 [math.AG]. URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2006.12310>.
- [Stacks] The Stacks project authors. *The Stacks project*. <https://stacks.math.columbia.edu>. 2023.
- [Wag24] Ferdinand Wagner.  *$q$ -Witt vectors and  $q$ -Hodge complexes*. 2024. arXiv: 2410.23078 [math.NT]. URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2410.23078>.
- [Wag22] Ferdinand Wagner. *On  $q$ -de Rham cohomology*. MS thesis at the Universität Bonn. 2022.

UNIVERSITÄT BONN, BONN, D-53113  
 Email address: [wgabrielong@uni-bonn.de](mailto:wgabrielong@uni-bonn.de)  
 URL: <https://wgabrielong.github.io/>