Exercise: Project 2 Critique

Your Name: Kyler McQuillan

# Overview

In this exercise, you’ll be forming groups of 4. As a team, look over the project 2 sites of all of your members, and provide **verbal** feedback and suggestions for improvement. Use the following questions as a guide, and fill in your answers. Be sure to enter the name of each student you’re critiquing, *including yourself*. Submit this file (one per team member) to the myCourses dropbox when you’re done.

# Project for: calise

1. **Functionality:** How useful/entertaining is the web app? Does it do something interesting with the API, and have all the controls it needs?  
     
   Search an anime name and it finds people related to it, such as voice actors

If there is no results it will remain on the loading image

1. **Design and Interaction:** Is the visual design pleasing? Is the interface sensible? Is the app’s *state* always apparent? Is it responsive?  
     
   Looks like a copy of the giphy hw, just with another API
2. **Above and Beyond:** How does this project go beyond the base requirements? Does it offer something that users can’t get somewhere else?  
     
     
   Doesn’t really, looks like a simple rework of the giphy API hw
3. **Code:** Is the code clean and easy to read? If you were asked to work on modifying the site, would it be documented well enough for you to do so?  
     
     
   Code looks well organized
4. **Other Comments:** Anything else to say to help improve the site?

Make it look different from the API, as it looks quite similar. It functions well, just change the css to make it more individualized

T

# Project for: Leah

1. **Functionality:** How useful/entertaining is the web app? Does it do something interesting with the API, and have all the controls it needs?  
     
   Functions very well, didn’t know there were that many breeds of dogs
2. **Design and Interaction:** Is the visual design pleasing? Is the interface sensible? Is the app’s *state* always apparent? Is it responsive?  
     
     
   Css needs modifying, looks like the giphy finder but basics look very nice.
3. **Above and Beyond:** How does this project go beyond the base requirements? Does it offer something that users can’t get somewhere else?  
     
   There is an insane amount of dog breeds on there
4. **Code:** Is the code clean and easy to read? If you were asked to work on modifying the site, would it be documented well enough for you to do so?  
     
   Code is well written and organized
5. **Other Comments:** Anything else to say to help improve the site?

As long as the css gets reworked and sizing issues fixed, will be a very nice site

# Project for: Brady/Youki

1. **Functionality:** How useful/entertaining is the web app? Does it do something interesting with the API, and have all the controls it needs?  
     
   Funtions very well, has clickable links that bring you to the product’s site. If you search one that gets no results then nothing happens
2. **Design and Interaction:** Is the visual design pleasing? Is the interface sensible? Is the app’s *state* always apparent? Is it responsive?  
     
   Design is very well done and simplistic. The links next to each one seem a bit strange
3. **Above and Beyond:** How does this project go beyond the base requirements? Does it offer something that users can’t get somewhere else?  
     
   Has a very nice menu system
4. **Code:** Is the code clean and easy to read? If you were asked to work on modifying the site, would it be documented well enough for you to do so?  
     
     
   The code is pretty clean, although some comments would help
5. **Other Comments:** Anything else to say to help improve the site?

No