Inverse Faraday Effect Induced by the Quantum Geometry

Hiroki Yoshida¹ and Takehito Yokoyama¹

¹Department of Physics, Institute of Science Tokyo, 2-12-1 Ookayama, Meguro-ku, Tokyo 152-8551, Japan (Dated: April 14, 2025)

We propose a novel mechanism of the inverse Faraday effect arising from a quantum geometric origin, characterized by the quantum metric dipole. Within a semiclassical framework based on the Boltzmann transport theory, we establish a general formalism describing light-induced magnetization in electronic systems as a second-order nonlinear response to the electric field of light. We show that this effect is activated by a spatially non-uniform electric field component and, in contrast to the conventional inverse Faraday effect, persists even under linearly polarized illumination. Model calculations reveal that the magnitude of the resulting magnetization is comparable to that induced in conventional inverse Faraday effect by circularly polarized light, indicating its experimental realizability. Our results highlight a direct manifestation of the quantum metric dipole in nonlinear optical responses and suggest a viable pathway for its experimental detection.

The Faraday effect is one of the most prominent magneto-optical phenomena, characterized by the rotation of the polarization plane of light as it propagates through a magnetized medium [1]. Its counterpart, the inverse Faraday effect (IFE), refers to the generation of magnetization in a material in response to light. The IFE has been both theoretically predicted [2, 3] and experimentally confirmed [4], and is typically described as a second-order optical response, with the induced magnetization being proportional to the cross product of the electric field and its complex conjugate. As a consequence, the IFE is conventionally associated with circularly polarized light. Both the Faraday and inverse Faraday effects now play central roles in the optical manipulation and probing of magnetization in condensed matter systems.

Nonlinear optical effects—which arise from higher-order responses (second order or above) to the electric and magnetic fields of light-have garnered growing theoretical interest in recent years. This attention is largely driven by their profound connection to quantum geometry. A paradigmatic example is the bulk photovoltaic effect [5– 10, a second-order response to the electric field, where the nonlinear conductivity can be expressed in terms of geometric quantities such as the Berry curvature [11–14]. Other nonlinear transport phenomena, such as the nonlinear Hall effect is known to be related with the Berry curvature dipole [15]. Also, different geometric quantity known as a quantum metric dipole can appear in nonlinear Hall effect [16–19]. Nevertheless, the influence of quantum geometry on the inverse Faraday effect remains largely unexplored.

In this letter, we unveil a novel mechanism of light-induced magnetization in electronic systems, mediated by quantum geometric effects. We consider spatially non-uniform light, characterized by an electric field with explicit position dependence. This spatial variation modifies the semiclassical equation of motion for electrons [20], which in turn alters the second-order current response derived from the Boltzmann transport equation. The resulting current, arising from the spatial inhomogeneity

of the electric field, can be interpreted as a magnetization current, allowing us to derive an expression for light-induced magnetization as a second-order response to the electric field. This effect originates from Fermi surface contributions and is therefore relevant only in metallic systems. Remarkably, unlike the conventional inverse Faraday effect, it can be induced even by linearly polarized light. We further demonstrate the magnitude of this effect through a model calculation based on a simple Dirac Hamiltonian.

I. DERIVATION

We start from the semiclassical equation of motion of an electron under non-uniform electric field [20]. We expand the position-dependent electric field $\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{x})$ around origin as

$$E_{\mu}(\mathbf{x}) = e_{\mu} + e_{\mu\nu}x_{\nu},\tag{1}$$

where $\mu=x,y,z$ is the cartesian coordinate, $e_{\mu}=E_{\mu}(\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{0})$ and $e_{\mu\nu}=\partial E_{\mu}/\partial x_{\nu}\big|_{\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{0}}$. Then, in Ref. [20], the equation of motion (EOM) of an electron is derived as

$$\dot{x}_{\mu} = \frac{1}{\hbar} \frac{\partial \varepsilon}{\partial k_{\mu}} - \Omega_{\mu\nu} \dot{k}_{\nu} - \frac{1}{2\hbar} Q e_{\nu\lambda} \frac{\partial g_{\nu\lambda}}{\partial k_{\mu}}, \tag{2}$$

$$\dot{k_{\mu}} = \frac{1}{\hbar} Q E_{\mu}(\mathbf{x}). \tag{3}$$

Here, ε is energy of the electron, $\Omega_{\mu\nu} :=$ is the Berry curvature, $g_{\nu\lambda} :=$ is the quantum metric.

We first calculate electric current density of this system using the Boltzmann formalism. Boltzmann equation with relaxation time approximation can be written as [reference]

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + \dot{\mathbf{x}} \cdot \frac{\partial f}{\partial \mathbf{x}} + \dot{\mathbf{k}} \cdot \frac{\partial f}{\partial \mathbf{k}} = -\frac{f - f_0}{\tau},\tag{4}$$

where f is the distribution function of the system, f_0 is the equilibrium Fermi distribution function, and τ is the

relaxation time of an electron. We calculate the change of the distribution function $f - f_0$ up to a first order of τ . In this case, f in the left hand side can be approximated to be f_0 and $\frac{\partial f_0}{\partial t} = 0$ and $\frac{\partial f_0}{\partial \mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{0}$. We get

$$f \approx f_0 + \tau \dot{k}_\mu \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial k_\mu}$$
$$= f_0 + \frac{\tau Q}{\hbar} E_\mu(\mathbf{x}) \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial k_\mu}.$$
 (5)

This distribution function has the same form as the one under uniform electric field. Using this distribution function, the electric current can be calculated as

$$j_{\mu} \coloneqq Q \int [d\mathbf{k}] f \dot{x}_{\mu}$$
 caused by the magnetization. We get
$$= Q \int [d\mathbf{k}] \left(f_{0} + \frac{\tau Q}{\hbar} E_{\mu}(\mathbf{x}) \frac{\partial f_{0}}{\partial k_{\mu}} \right) \times \left(\frac{1}{\hbar} \frac{\partial \varepsilon}{\partial k_{\mu}} - \frac{Q}{\hbar} \Omega_{\mu\nu} E_{\nu}(\mathbf{x}) - \frac{Q}{2\hbar} e_{\nu \partial_{\mu}} \frac{\partial g_{\nu\lambda}}{\partial k_{\mu}^{0}} \right) = \frac{\tau Q^{3}}{4\hbar^{2}} \int [d\mathbf{k}] \frac{\partial f_{0}}{\partial k_{\gamma}} \frac{\partial g_{\nu\lambda}}{\partial k_{\mu}} E_{\gamma} e_{\nu\lambda}.$$

$$= \frac{Q}{\hbar} \int [d\mathbf{k}] f_{0} \frac{\partial \varepsilon}{\partial k_{\mu}}$$
 Thus, we get a formula for the coefficient
$$+ \left(\frac{\tau Q^{2}}{\hbar^{2}} \int [d\mathbf{k}] \frac{\partial f_{0}}{\partial k_{\nu}} \frac{\partial \varepsilon}{\partial k_{\mu}} - \frac{Q^{2}}{\hbar} \int [d\mathbf{k}] f_{0} \Omega_{\mu\nu} \right) E_{\nu}(\mathbf{x}) - \frac{Q^{2}}{2\hbar} \int [d\mathbf{k}] f_{0} \frac{\partial g_{\nu\lambda}}{\partial k_{\mu}} e_{\nu\lambda} \int [d\mathbf{k}] \frac{\partial f_{0}}{\partial k_{\alpha}} \frac{\partial g_{\delta\beta}}{\partial k_{\nu}}.$$
From quantum metric. Christoffel symbol can be seen as a constant of the control of the control of the caused by the magnetization. We get
$$= \frac{Q}{\hbar} \int [d\mathbf{k}] f_{0} \frac{\partial g_{\nu\lambda}}{\partial k_{\mu}} - \frac{\tau Q^{3}}{\hbar} \int [d\mathbf{k}] \frac{\partial f_{0}}{\partial k_{\nu}} \frac{\partial g_{\nu\lambda}}{\partial k_{\mu}} e_{\nu\lambda}.$$

$$= \frac{Q}{\hbar} \int [d\mathbf{k}] f_{0} \frac{\partial g_{\nu\lambda}}{\partial k_{\mu}} e_{\nu\lambda} \int [d\mathbf{k}] \frac{\partial f_{0}}{\partial k_{\nu}} \frac{\partial g_{\delta\beta}}{\partial k_{\nu}}.$$
From quantum metric. Christoffel symbol can be

 $-\frac{\tau Q^3}{\hbar^2} \int [\mathrm{d}\mathbf{k}] \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial k_{\nu}} \Omega_{\mu\gamma} E_{\nu} E_{\gamma} - \frac{\tau Q^3}{2\hbar^2} \int [\mathrm{d}\mathbf{k}] \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial k_{\gamma}} \frac{\partial g_{\nu\lambda}}{\partial k_{\mu}} E_{\gamma} \mathcal{E}_{\nu\lambda}.$ Magnetization and the current density are related by the

$$\mathbf{j} = \mathbf{\nabla} \times \mathbf{H} - \frac{\partial \mathbf{D}}{\partial t},\tag{8}$$

where

Maxwell equations:

$$\mathbf{H} = \frac{1}{\mu_0} \mathbf{B} - \mathbf{M},\tag{9}$$

$$\mathbf{D} = \varepsilon_0 \mathbf{E} + \mathbf{P}.\tag{10}$$

Here, we only consider the case where the external magnetic field is zero $\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{0}$ and the system is in a steady state $\frac{\partial \mathbf{D}}{\partial t} = 0$. Thus,

$$\mathbf{j} = -\nabla \times \mathbf{M}.\tag{11}$$

We focus on a magnetization that is induced as a secondorder response to the electric field of light and assume that

$$M_{\mu} = a_{\mu\alpha\beta} E_{\alpha}(\mathbf{x}) E_{\beta}(\mathbf{x}). \tag{12}$$

Then, the current can be calculated as

$$j_{a} = -\varepsilon_{abc}\partial_{b}M_{c}$$

$$= -\varepsilon_{abc}\partial_{b}(a_{c\alpha\beta}E_{\alpha}(\mathbf{x})E_{\beta}(\mathbf{x}))$$

$$= -2\varepsilon_{abc}a_{c\alpha\beta}E_{\alpha}(\mathbf{x})\partial_{b}E_{\beta}(\mathbf{x})$$

$$\approx -2\varepsilon_{abc}a_{c\alpha\beta}E_{\alpha}(\mathbf{x})e_{\beta b}$$
(13)

By comparing this expression with Eq. (7), we find that the last term of Eq. (7) is responsible for the current caused by the magnetization. We get

$$F_{\nu}(\mathbf{x}) - \frac{Q}{2\hbar} e_{\nu \partial_{\mu}} \frac{\partial g_{\nu\lambda}}{\partial k_{\mu}^{Q} \gamma_{\nu}} = \frac{\tau Q^{3}}{4\hbar^{2}} \int [d\mathbf{k}] \frac{\partial f_{0}}{\partial k_{\gamma}} \frac{\partial g_{\nu\lambda}}{\partial k_{\mu}} E_{\gamma} e_{\nu\lambda}.$$
(14)

Thus, we get a formula for the coefficient

$$\frac{Q^2}{2\hbar} \int [d\mathbf{k}] f_0 \frac{\partial g_{\mu\alpha}}{\partial k_{\mu}} e_{\nu\lambda}^{\beta} = \frac{\tau Q^3}{\hbar^2} \varepsilon_{\mu\nu\delta} \int [d\mathbf{k}] \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial k_{\alpha}} \frac{\partial g_{\delta\beta}}{\partial k_{\nu}} . \tag{15}$$

From quantum metric, Christoffel symbol can be defined

$$\Gamma_{\alpha\beta\gamma} := \frac{1}{2} (\partial_{k_{\gamma}} g_{\alpha\beta} + \partial_{k_{\beta}} g_{\alpha\gamma} - \partial_{k_{\alpha}} g_{\beta\gamma}). \tag{16}$$

Then, it is easy to see

$$\partial_{k_{\alpha}} g_{\alpha\beta} = \Gamma_{\alpha\beta\gamma} + \Gamma_{\beta\alpha\gamma}. \tag{17}$$

Using this relation, the coefficient can also be written as

$$a_{\mu\alpha\beta} = \frac{\tau Q^3}{\hbar^2} \varepsilon_{\mu\nu\delta} \int [d\mathbf{k}] \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial k_\alpha} (\Gamma_{\delta\beta\nu} + \Gamma_{\beta\delta\nu}). \tag{18}$$

MODEL CALCULATION

Two-dimensional massive Dirac Hamiltonian

$$H(k_x, k_y) = k_x \sigma_x + k_y \sigma_y + M \sigma_z \tag{19}$$

- [1] M. Faraday, Experimental researches in electricity.— Nineteenth series, Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. 136, 1 (1846).
- [2] L. P. Pitaevskii, Electric Forces in a Transparent Dispersive Medium, Sov. Phys. JETP 12, 1008 (1961).
- [3] P. S. Pershan, Nonlinear Optical Properties of Solids: Energy Considerations, Phys. Rev. 130, 919 (1963).
- [4] J. P. van der Ziel, P. S. Pershan, and L. D. Malmstrom, Optically-Induced Magnetization Resulting from the Inverse Faraday Effect, Phys. Rev. Lett. 15, 190 (1965).
- [5] W. Kraut and R. von Baltz, Anomalous bulk photovoltaic effect in ferroelectrics: A quadratic response theory, Phys. Rev. B 19, 1548 (1979).
- [6] V. I. Belinicher and B. I. Sturman, The photogalvanic effect in media lacking a center of symmetry, Sov. Phys. Usp. **23**, 199 (1980).
- [7] R. von Baltz and W. Kraut, Theory of the bulk photovoltaic effect in pure crystals, Phys. Rev. B 23, 5590 (1981).

- [8] C. Aversa and J. E. Sipe, Nonlinear optical susceptibilities of semiconductors: Results with a length-gauge analysis, Phys. Rev. B 52, 14636 (1995).
- [9] J. E. Sipe and A. I. Shkrebtii, Second-order optical response in semiconductors, Phys. Rev. B 61, 5337 (2000).
- [10] V. M. Fridkin, Bulk photovoltaic effect in noncentrosymmetric crystals, Crystallogr. Rep. 46, 654 (2001).
- [11] T. Morimoto and N. Nagaosa, Topological aspects of nonlinear excitonic processes in noncentrosymmetric crystals, Phys. Rev. B 94, 035117 (2016).
- [12] T. Morimoto and N. Nagaosa, Topological nature of non-linear optical effects in solids, Sci. Adv. 2, e1501524 (2016).
- [13] Q. Ma, A. G. Grushin, and K. S. Burch, Topology and geometry under the nonlinear electromagnetic spotlight, Nat. Mater. 20, 1601 (2021).
- [14] J. Ahn, G.-Y. Guo, N. Nagaosa, and A. Vishwanath, Riemannian geometry of resonant optical responses, Nat. Phys. 18, 290 (2022).
- [15] I. Sodemann and L. Fu, Quantum Nonlinear Hall Effect Induced by Berry Curvature Dipole in Time-Reversal Invariant Materials, Phys. Rev. Lett. 115, 216806 (2015).

- [16] Y. Gao, S. A. Yang, and Q. Niu, Field Induced Positional Shift of Bloch Electrons and Its Dynamical Implications, Phys. Rev. Lett. 112, 166601 (2014).
- [17] H. Liu, J. Zhao, Y.-X. Huang, W. Wu, X.-L. Sheng, C. Xiao, and S. A. Yang, Intrinsic Second-Order Anomalous Hall Effect and Its Application in Compensated Antiferromagnets, Phys. Rev. Lett. 127, 277202 (2021).
- [18] K. Das, S. Lahiri, R. B. Atencia, D. Culcer, and A. Agarwal, Intrinsic nonlinear conductivities induced by the quantum metric, Phys. Rev. B 108, L201405 (2023).
- [19] A. Gao, Y.-F. Liu, J.-X. Qiu, B. Ghosh, T. V. Trevisan, Y. Onishi, C. Hu, T. Qian, H.-J. Tien, S.-W. Chen, M. Huang, D. Bérubé, H. Li, C. Tzschaschel, T. Dinh, Z. Sun, S.-C. Ho, S.-W. Lien, B. Singh, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, D. C. Bell, H. Lin, T.-R. Chang, C. R. Du, A. Bansil, L. Fu, N. Ni, P. P. Orth, Q. Ma, and S.-Y. Xu, Quantum metric nonlinear hall effect in a topological antiferromagnetic heterostructure, Science 381, 181 (2023).
- [20] M. F. Lapa and T. L. Hughes, Semiclassical wave packet dynamics in nonuniform electric fields, Phys. Rev. B 99, 121111 (2019).