6.1 a) {S1, S2, S3, S4} C) {S1, S2, S3, S4} d){s1, s2, s3, s4} e) {s1} 6.3 a) If s = 300, then s = VOa. Consider: -> (51) (03) The assertion is incorrect. b) If S=VDa, then S= 3Da The asserblan is correct. Given VIJa, there are infinitely many paths where Daholds, therefore there exists a state where Da holds. C) If SF Y Oav Wb, then SF Y (aub) The advertion is correct. If all paths eventually reach a , or all paths eventually reach b, or both, then all paths must eventually reach a or b. The reverse of this assertion is not correct, however. 6.4 a) Incorrect 5) Correct C) correct 2) correct e) Correct. GIJ D= YO (a N ] Oa), by removing all path quantifiers, we obtain P= (anoa) / B) p (S) ( Consider: SIF \$ but SI \$ (aroa) due to the trace  $\pi = S_7, S_2, ... Therefore <math>\Phi \neq \emptyset$ , there there does not exist ony equivalent LTL formula for Q.