1

## Quiz

Given that there is at least one student, we know: for all lectures there is a student such that: if (s)he understands the lecture, then all students do.

- 1. Present this statement in predicate logic, using the relations:
  - **S** (arity 1): S(x) indicates that x is a student
  - L (arity 1): L(x) indicates that x is a lecture
  - U (arity 2): U(x,y) indicates that x understands y

## Answer:

$$\exists x [\mathbf{S}(x)] \to \forall x [\mathbf{L}(x) \to \exists y [\mathbf{S}(y) \land (\mathbf{U}(y,x) \to \forall z [\mathbf{S}(z) \to \mathbf{U}(z,x)])]]$$

2. Find the negation of this formula, and bring it in Prenex normal form.

## Answer:

$$\neg(\exists x[\mathbf{S}(x)] \to \forall x[\mathbf{L}(x) \to \exists y[\mathbf{S}(y) \land (\mathbf{U}(y,x) \to \forall z[\mathbf{S}(z) \to \mathbf{U}(z,x)])])$$

That is, removing all implications:

$$\neg(\neg \exists x [\mathbf{S}(x)] \lor \forall x [\neg \mathbf{L}(x) \lor \exists y [\mathbf{S}(y) \land (\neg \mathbf{U}(y,x) \lor \forall z [\neg \mathbf{S}(z) \lor \mathbf{U}(z,x)])]])$$

We pull all the negations on non-basic formulas inwards, which gives:

$$\exists x [\mathbf{S}(x)] \land \exists x [\mathbf{L}(x) \land \forall y [\neg \mathbf{S}(y) \lor (\mathbf{U}(y,x) \land \exists z [\mathbf{S}(z) \land \neg \mathbf{U}(z,x)])]]$$

Rename the first x to a fresh name, and then pull all the quantifiers to the left.

$$\exists u [\exists x [\forall y [\exists z [\mathbf{S}(u) \wedge \mathbf{L}(x) \wedge (\neg \mathbf{S}(y) \vee (\mathbf{U}(y,x) \wedge \mathbf{S}(z) \wedge \neg \mathbf{U}(z,x)))]]]]$$

By distribution we obtain:

$$\exists u [\exists x [\forall y [\exists z [\mathbf{S}(u) \land \mathbf{L}(x) \land (\neg \mathbf{S}(y) \lor \mathbf{U}(y,x)) \land (\neg \mathbf{S}(y) \lor \mathbf{S}(z)) \land (\neg \mathbf{S}(y) \lor \neg \mathbf{U}(z,x))]]]]$$

This predicate is in Prenex normal form.

3. Skolemize this negated formula.

**Answer:** We replace u by  $\mathbf{s}$ , x by  $\mathbf{l}$ , and z by  $\mathbf{f}(y)$ . Omitting the universal quantification  $\forall y$ , this yields:

$$\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{s}) \wedge \mathbf{L}(\mathbf{l}) \wedge (\neg \mathbf{S}(y) \vee \mathbf{U}(y, \mathbf{l})) \wedge (\neg \mathbf{S}(y) \vee \mathbf{S}(\mathbf{f}(y))) \wedge (\neg \mathbf{S}(y) \vee \neg \mathbf{U}(\mathbf{f}(y), \mathbf{l}))$$

Or, placed in tabular notation:

$$\begin{array}{ll} \mathbf{1} & \mathbf{S}(\mathbf{s}) \\ 2 & \mathbf{L}(\mathbf{l}) \\ 3 & \neg \mathbf{S}(y) \lor \mathbf{U}(y, \mathbf{l}) \\ 4 & \neg \mathbf{S}(y) \lor \mathbf{S}(\mathbf{f}(y)) \\ 5 & \neg \mathbf{S}(y) \lor \neg \mathbf{U}(\mathbf{f}(y), \mathbf{l}) \end{array}$$

4. Use resolution to derive  $\perp$  from the resulting set of clauses.

**Answer:** There are multiple derivations possible; we use the following:

$$\begin{array}{lll} 6 & \neg \mathbf{S}(\mathbf{f}(y)) \vee \neg \mathbf{S}(y) & (3,5) \\ 7 & \neg \mathbf{S}(y) & (4,6) & (\text{because } \mathbf{S}(y) \vee \mathbf{S}(y) = \mathbf{S}(y)) \\ 8 & \bot & (1,7) \end{array}$$