Functional Programming

Lecture 10: Input and output

Twan van Laarhoven

21 November 2022

Outline

- Side-effects and I/O
- The I/O interface
- Case study: Haskinator
- References
- Summary

IO in Haskell



Side-effects

- A function has a side effect if it
 - modifies a mutable data structure or variable
 - draws something on the screen
 - asks for keyboard input
 - wipes out your hard disk
 - lauches a cruise missile
 - ...
- Functions with side-effects (sometimes called procedures) can be unpredictable depending on the state of the system.
- Functions without side-effects can be executed anytime. They will always give the same result when given the same input.



Side-effects in Haskell?

- A pure functional language such as Haskell is referentially transparent: A reference can be replaced by its definition anywhere.
- Imagine a function

```
putStr :: String \rightarrow ()
```

What is the value and what is the effect of

```
let x = putStr "hello" in [x,x]
```

What about

```
[putStr "hello",putStr "hello"]
```

```
let x = putStr "hello" in take 0 [x,x]
```

To-do lists of actions

Idea:

- New type IO a of actions
- putStr "hello" is an action, but has no side effect
- 10 a is type of computation that, when executed, may do 1/0, then returns an element of type a.
- Executing putStr "hello" does I/O, then returns ()

Execute an action:

- With the interactive prompt in Ghci
- The main function
- As part of a larger action



Basic I/O actions

Console I/O putStr :: String \rightarrow IO () $putStrLn :: String \rightarrow IO ()$ getLine :: IO String Console I/O via Show and Read print :: (Show a) \Rightarrow a \rightarrow IO () readLn :: (Read a) \Rightarrow IO a File I/O type FilePath = String writeFile :: FilePath \rightarrow String \rightarrow IO () readFile :: FilePath → IO String

Many, many more

Combining I/O actions

Sequence of actions can be combined into a composite action using the do-notation

```
welcome :: IO ()
welcome = do
  putStr "Please enter your name.\n"
  s \leftarrow getLine
  putStr ("Welcome " ++ s ++ "!\n")
```

 $x \leftarrow a$ gives a name to the result of an action a. Read as "Execute a and call the result x". or "bind x to the result of a" Note that a has type IO t. whereas x has type t.

Combining I/O actions

 Sequence of actions can be combined into a composite action using the do-notation.

- x ← a gives a name to the result of an action a.
 Read as "Execute a and call the result x", or "bind x to the result of a"
 Note that a has type IO t, whereas x has type t.
- Syntax: layout-sensitive versus braces and semicolons

Combining I/O actions (continued)

• $m \gg n$ first executes m and then n

```
(\gg) :: IO a \rightarrow IO b \rightarrow IO b
```

• $m \gg n$ additionally feeds the result of the first computation into the second

(>>=) :: IO
$$a \rightarrow (a \rightarrow IO b) \rightarrow IO b$$

Example

```
welcome :: IO ()
welcome
= putStr "Please enter your name.\n" >>>
    getLine >>>= \s ->
    putStr ("Welcome " +++ s ++ "!\n")
```

Combining I/O actions (continued)

m ≫ n first executes m and then n

```
(\gg) :: IO a \rightarrow IO b \rightarrow IO b
```

• m >>= n additionally feeds the result of the first computation into the second

$$(>>=)$$
 :: IO a \rightarrow (a \rightarrow IO b) \rightarrow IO b

Example, with sugar

```
welcome :: IO ()
welcome = do
    putStr "Please enter your name.\n"
    s ← getLine
    putStr ("Welcome " ++ s ++ "!\n")
```

Pure actions

Turning *pure* values into impure actions

```
pure :: a \rightarrow IO a
```

As a to do list: "do nothing, just return this value".

Note: pure used to be called return.

Note 2: return does not end the execution like in other languages.

Example: reading a string from the keyboard:

pure (x:xs)

```
getLine :: IO String
getLine = do
  x \leftarrow getChar
  if x == \frac{n}{n} then
    pure []
  else do xs ← getLine
```

Example: file I/O

```
processFile :: (String \rightarrow String) \rightarrow FilePath \rightarrow FilePath \rightarrow IO () processFile f inFile outFile = do s \leftarrow readFile inFile let s' = f s writeFile outFile s'
```

Pattern: Keep your logic and computation pure

Example: file I/O

```
processFile :: (String \rightarrow String) \rightarrow FilePath \rightarrow FilePath \rightarrow IO () processFile f inFile outFile = do s \leftarrow readFile inFile let s' = f s writeFile outFile s'
```

Pattern: Keep your logic and computation pure

A pure core in a small impure shell

Actions are not values

Given x :: IO Int, how do you get the Int out?

Actions are not values

```
Given x :: IO Int, how do you get the Int out?
This question makes no sense!
Consider
  grandma'sRecipe :: IO Cake
  grandma'sRecipe = do
    wisk eggs
    add flour (Gram 250)
    add sugar (Gram 175)
    bake (Celsius 180) (Minutes 45)
A recipe doesn't "contain" a cake.
```

Actions vs. values

Which of these is correct?

```
f_1 = putStrLn \times where \times = getLine
f_2 = putStrLn (getLine ++ getLine)
f_3 = getLine
f_A = do
 x \leftarrow getLine
  v ← getLine
  z \leftarrow x + y
  putStrLn z
f_5 = length . getLine
f_6 = getLine \gg length
```

I/O actions as first-class citizens

```
You can freely mix IO actions with, say, lists
  printNumbers :: [IO ()]
  printNumbers = [print i | i \leftarrow [0..9]]
This is a list of actions.
We can combine the actions in sequence
  main :: IO ()
  main = sequence printNumbers
Use the familiar list design pattern
  sequence :: [IO ()] \rightarrow IO ()
  sequence [] = pure ()
  sequence_ (a : as) = a \gg sequence_ as
```

I/O actions as first-class citizens (cont.)

IO actions as function arguments:

```
when :: Bool \rightarrow IO () \rightarrow IO ()
 when True act = act
 when False _ = pure ()
Usage:
  main = do
    args ← getArgs
    when (lenght args < 2) $ do
      putStrLn "This program needs 2 arguments"
      exitFailure
    doThings
```

map for IO

16

```
\begin{array}{l} \mathsf{mapM} \ :: \ (\mathsf{a} \ \to \ \mathsf{IO} \ \mathsf{b}) \ \to \ [\mathsf{a}] \ \to \ \mathsf{IO} \ [\mathsf{b}] \\ \mathsf{mapM} \ :: \ (\mathsf{a} \ \to \ \mathsf{IO} \ \mathsf{b}) \ \to \ [\mathsf{a}] \ \to \ \mathsf{IO} \ () \end{array}
```

map for IO

```
\begin{array}{l} \text{mapM} :: (a \rightarrow \text{IO b}) \rightarrow [a] \rightarrow \text{IO [b]} \\ \text{mapM} :: (a \rightarrow \text{IO b}) \rightarrow [a] \rightarrow \text{IO ()} \\ \text{With recursion} \\ \text{mapM f []} &= \text{pure []} \\ \text{mapM f (x:xs)} &= \textbf{do} \\ \text{y} \leftarrow \text{f x} \\ \text{ys} \leftarrow \text{mapM f xs} \\ \text{pure (y:ys)} \end{array}
```

map for IO

```
mapM :: (a \rightarrow IO b) \rightarrow [a] \rightarrow IO [b]
  mapM :: (a \rightarrow IO b) \rightarrow [a] \rightarrow IO ()
With recursion
  mapM f [] = pure []
  map M f (x:xs) = do
     v \leftarrow f x
     ys \leftarrow mapM f xs
     pure (y:ys)
With sequence
  mapM f xs = sequence (map f xs)
```

Utilities

```
liftM :: (a \rightarrow b) \rightarrow IO a \rightarrow IO b
liftM f act = do
   x \leftarrow act
   pure (f act)
liftM2 :: (a \rightarrow b \rightarrow c) \rightarrow IO \ a \rightarrow IO \ b \rightarrow IO \ c
foldM :: (b \rightarrow a \rightarrow IO b) \rightarrow b \rightarrow [a] \rightarrow IO b
filterM :: (a \rightarrow IO Bool) \rightarrow [a] \rightarrow IO [a]
replicateM :: Int \rightarrow IO a \rightarrow IO [a]
```

Stand-alone programs

- Entry point: main :: IO ()
- Compilation: ghc --make MyProgram.hs
- Typically multiple modules, main is in module Main
- In real-life you use a package/build manager (cabal, stack)
 - cabal install FancyLibrary
 - cabal init
 - cabal build



Our first real program

Case study: Akinator

Think about a real or fictional character... I will try to guess who it is.

iGuessTheCelebrity :: IO ()

Think of number between a and b... I will try to guess the number.

 $iGuessTheNumber :: Integer \rightarrow Integer \rightarrow IO$ ()



A game tree

Goal: separate the game logic from the underlying data.

```
data Tree a b = Tip a | Node b (Tree a b) (Tree a b)
  deriving (Show)
```

The type is parametric in the type of labels of external nodes (i.e. tips) and in the type of labels of internal nodes.

```
\begin{array}{lll} \text{bimap} & :: & (a_1 \rightarrow a_2) \rightarrow (b_1 \rightarrow b_2) \rightarrow (\mathsf{Tree}\ a_1\ b_1 \rightarrow \mathsf{Tree}\ a_2\ b_2) \\ \text{bimap} & f \ g \ (\mathsf{Tip}\ a) & = \mathsf{Tip}\ (f\ a) \\ \text{bimap} & f \ g \ (\mathsf{Node}\ b\ l\ r) = \mathsf{Node}\ (g\ b)\ (\mathsf{bimap}\ f\ g\ l)\ (\mathsf{bimap}\ f\ g\ r) \end{array}
```

The function bimap is a binary variant of map.

The game logic

```
guess :: Tree String String \rightarrow IO ()
guess (Tip s) =
  putStrLn s
guess (Node q l r) = do
  b \leftarrow vesOrNo a
  if b then guess I
        else guess r
yesOrNo :: String \rightarrow IO Bool
yesOrNo question = do
  putStrLn question
  answer ← getLine
  pure (map toLower answer 'isPrefixOf' "yes")
```

I guess the celebrity

```
iGuessTheCelebrity = do
  putStrLn ("Think of a celebrity.")
  guess (bimap (\slashs ++ "!")
                (\ag{a} \rightarrow a ++ "?") celebrity)
celebrity :: Tree String String
celebrity = Node "Female"
               (Node "Actress"
                  (Tip "Emilia Clarke")
                  (Tip "Adele"))
               (Node "Actor"
                  (Tip "Peter Dinklage")
                  (Tip "Max Verstappen"))
```



I guess the number

```
iGuessTheNumber a b = do
   putStrLn ("Think of number between " ++ show a ++ " and "
                                                 ++ show b ++ ".")
   guess (bimap (n \rightarrow \text{show n} + "!")
                   (\mbox{m} \rightarrow "< " ++ \text{show m} ++ "?")
           (nest a b))
nest :: Integer \rightarrow Integer \rightarrow Tree Integer Integer
nest a b
  | a == b = Tip a
   otherwise = \frac{\text{Node m}}{\text{m}} (nest a m) (nest (m + 1) b)
  where m = (a + b) 'div' 2
```

Other effects

More IO goodies

The IO type offers a lot more:

- exception handling
- threads
- environment variables
- updatable variables (aka references or pointers)
- updatable arrays
- ...

IO is Haskell's sin bin



Random numbers

Is generating a random number a side-effect?

Random numbers

Is generating a random number a side-effect?

A pure function always gives the same answer!

```
random :: Int
random = 4 — Chosen by a fair dice roll, guaranteed to be random.
```

Random numbers

Is generating a random number a side-effect?

A pure function always gives the same answer!

randomRIO :: Random $a \Rightarrow (a,a) \rightarrow IO$ a

```
random :: Int
random = 4 — Chosen by a fair dice roll, guaranteed to be random.

As an (IO) action

class Random a
randomIO :: Random a ⇒ IO a
```

References

Updatable variables live in the IO world

```
type IORef a
newIORef :: a \rightarrow IO (IORef a)
readIORef :: IORef a \rightarrow IO a
writeIORef :: IORef a \rightarrow a \rightarrow IO ()
modifyIORef :: IORef a \rightarrow (a \rightarrow a) \rightarrow IO ()
```

- newIORef creates a new IORef and initializes it
- readIORef reads the value of an IORef
- writelORef writes a new value into an IORef
- modifyIORef applies a function to the value of an IORef, and writes the output

References: examples

```
Copying "variables"
  copy :: IORef a \rightarrow IORef a \rightarrow IO ()
  copy \times y = do \ val \leftarrow readIORef y
                     writeIORef x val
Swapping "variables"
  swap :: IORef a \rightarrow IORef a \rightarrow IO ()
  swap x y = do a \leftarrow readIORef x
                     b \leftarrow readIORef v
                     writelORef x b
                     writeIORef y a
```

Example: Mutable linked lists

Singly-linked lists

```
type ListRef elem = IORef (List elem)
data List elem = Nil | Cons elem (ListRef elem)
```

- IORefs are first class citizens; they can mix and mingle
- The two types are mutually recursive
- Operations on singly-linked lists often also come in pairs defined by mutual recursion

Linked lists: length

The length of a singly-linked list (definition style)

```
length :: ListRef elem \rightarrow IO Int
length ref = do { list \leftarrow readIORef ref; length' list }
length' :: List elem \rightarrow IO Int
length' NiI = pure 0
length' (Cons x next) = do { n \leftarrow length next; pure (n + 1) }
```

Linked lists: length

The length of a singly-linked list (expression style)

```
\begin{array}{lll} \text{length} & :: & \text{ListRef elem} \rightarrow \text{IO Int} \\ \text{length ref} & = & \textbf{do} \\ \text{list} & \leftarrow & \text{readIORef ref} \\ \textbf{case} & \text{list of} \\ \text{Nil} & \rightarrow & \text{pure 0} \\ \text{Cons x next} & \rightarrow & \textbf{do} \; \big\{ \; n \; \leftarrow \; \text{length next}; \; \text{pure } (n+1) \; \big\} \end{array}
```

Note: layout-sensitive syntax and syntax using braces and semicolons can be mixed

Linked lists: concatenation

```
Rear of a list (last reference cell)
  rear :: ListRef elem \rightarrow IO (ListRef elem)
  rear ref = do
     list \leftarrow readIORef ref
    case list of
       Nil \rightarrow pure ref
       Cons a next \rightarrow rear next
Concatenating two singly-linked lists
  append :: ListRef elem \rightarrow ListRef elem \rightarrow IO ()
  append xref yref = do
    ref ← rear xref
    copy ref yref
```

Linked lists: a puzzle

What is printed?

```
\begin{array}{l} \text{puzzle} = \textbf{do} \\ \text{x} \leftarrow \text{fromList} \ [0..14] \\ \text{y} \leftarrow \text{fromList} \ [15..19] \\ \text{append} \ \text{x} \ \text{y} \\ \text{n1} \leftarrow \text{length} \ \text{x} \\ \text{print} \ \text{n1} \\ \text{append} \ \text{x} \ \text{y} \\ \text{n2} \leftarrow \text{length} \ \text{x} \\ \text{print} \ \text{n2} \end{array}
```

Take away

Summary

- "lazyness forces you to be pure"
- I/O actions are first-class citizens!
- In general, try to minimize the I/O part of your program