

# Polymorphic Typing (I)

Sjaak Smetsers

27 February 2025

# Semantic Analysis

Semantic analysis is more than type checking

- Happens between parsing and code generation

- Builds/Uses a symbol table, mapping identifiers to their declaration

Semantic analysis may include

- Type inference, Type checking

- Strictness analysis

- Uniqueness analysis

- Reachability analysis

- Dataflow analysis

# Semantics

Syntax: Grammatical structure

Semantics: Meaning

**Operational** How the effect of a program is produced.

Natural Semantics

Structural Operational Semantics

**Denotational** What the effect of a program is.

**Axiomatic** Which properties a program has.

# What is a Type?

A type is a description of a *set of values* (and a set of allowed operations on those values).

Examples

**Int** is the set of all integers

**Float** is the set of all floats

**Bool** is the set **{true, false}**

More examples

**List Int** is the set of all lists of integers

**List** is a *type constructor*: A mapping from types to types

**Foo**, in Java, is the set of all objects of class **Foo**

**Int**  $\rightarrow$  **Int** is the set of functions mapping an integer to an integer.

E.g., increment, decrement, and many others

## Formal type systems

- Constitute the precise, mathematical characterisation of informal type systems (such as occur in the manuals of most typed languages.)
- Basis for type soundness theorems: ‘any well-typed program cannot produce run-time errors (of some specified kind)’.
- Can decouple specification of typing aspects of a language from algorithmic concerns: the formal type system can define typing independently of particular implementations of type-checking algorithms.

# Typing

Why?

Safety

Efficiency

(Type driven) Development

What?

Formally: Programs of type  $\tau$  compute values of type  $\tau$

Intuitively: prevents us from calculating 3 Volt + 2 Ampère

How?

Type checking

Type inference

# $\lambda$ -calculus: Syntax

$$\begin{array}{lcl} M & ::= & x \\ & | & M_1 M_2 \\ & | & \lambda x. M \end{array}$$

## In Haskell

```
data Lambda = Var String
           | App Lambda Lambda
           | Abs String Lambda
```

# $\lambda$ -calculus: Semantics

Standard operational semantics

- $\beta$ -reduction, based on **substitution**

$$(\lambda x.M)N \rightarrow_{\beta} M[x \mapsto N]$$

- Reduction strategy indicates **redexes**
- Gives rise to the notion of **normalization** and **laziness**



# $\lambda$ -calculus: Ingredients for the Type System

## Types

$b \in B$  (base types)

$\sigma ::= b \mid \sigma_1 \rightarrow \sigma_2$

## Environments

$\Gamma : \text{Variables} \rightarrow \sigma$

Example:  $\Gamma = [\langle x, \text{int} \rangle, \langle y, \text{bool} \rangle]$

Notation:  $x:\text{int}, y:\text{bool}$

## Typing judgements

$\Gamma \vdash M : \sigma$

this should be read as  $M$  has type  $\sigma$  in context  $\Gamma$

# Derivation Rules

Derivations (proofs) are **trees** made up from gluing together **derivation rules**

$$\frac{\vdash A \quad \vdash B \quad \vdash C}{\vdash D}$$

A derivation rule can be read in two ways

Top-down: If we have proofs for  $A$ ,  $B$  and  $C$  then we have a proof for  $D$

Bottom-up: To prove  $D$  we have to prove  $A$ ,  $B$  and  $C$

# The Type System $\lambda^{\rightarrow}$

$$\frac{x:\sigma \in \Gamma}{\Gamma \vdash x : \sigma} \text{ (Variable)}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash M : \sigma \rightarrow \tau \quad \Gamma \vdash N : \sigma}{\Gamma \vdash (MN) : \tau} \text{ (}\rightarrow\text{-Elimination)}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, x:\sigma \vdash M : \tau}{\Gamma \vdash (\lambda x.M) : \sigma \rightarrow \tau} \text{ (}\rightarrow\text{-Introduction)}$$

$\Gamma, x:\sigma$  stands for “ $\Gamma$  extended by  $x:\sigma$ ”. Formally:

$$\{y:t \mid y:t \in \Gamma, y \neq x\} \cup \{x:\sigma\}$$

# Syntax of SL

$$\begin{array}{lcl} e & ::= & x \text{ (variables)} \\ & | & \lambda x.e \\ & | & e_1 e_2 \\ & | & \text{if } e_c \text{ then } e_t \text{ else } e_e \\ & | & e_1 \text{ op } e_2 \\ & | & i \text{ (integers)} \\ & | & b \text{ (booleans)} \\ op & ::= & + \mid \leq \mid \&\& \\ \sigma & ::= & \sigma_1 \rightarrow \sigma_2 \\ & | & int \\ & | & bool \end{array}$$

Expressions of the forms

- $\lambda x.e$
- $i$
- **True, False**

are called **values**.

Types are

$int, bool,$   
 $int \rightarrow int,$   
 $int \rightarrow (int \rightarrow int),$   
 $(int \rightarrow int) \rightarrow int,$   
 $(bool \rightarrow int) \rightarrow (int \rightarrow bool),$   
 $\dots$

## Type Derivation Rules for $SL \rightarrow$

$$\frac{b \in \{\mathbf{True}, \mathbf{False}\}}{\Gamma \vdash b : bool} \text{ (Bool)}$$

$$\frac{i \in \{\dots, -1, 0, 1, \dots\}}{\Gamma \vdash i : int} \text{ (Int)}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 : int \quad \Gamma \vdash e_2 : int}{\Gamma \vdash e_1 + e_2 : int} \text{ (+)}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 : bool \quad \Gamma \vdash e_2 : bool}{\Gamma \vdash e_1 \ \&\& \ e_2 : bool} \text{ (&\&)}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 : int \quad \Gamma \vdash e_2 : int}{\Gamma \vdash e_1 \leq e_2 : bool} \text{ (\le)}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e_c : bool \quad \Gamma \vdash e_t : \sigma \quad \Gamma \vdash e_e : \sigma}{\Gamma \vdash \mathbf{if} \ e_c \ \mathbf{then} \ e_t \ \mathbf{else} \ e_e : \sigma} \text{ (If)}$$

## Type Derivation Rules for $SL^{\rightarrow}$ (2)

$$\frac{x:\sigma \in \Gamma}{\Gamma \vdash x : \sigma} \text{ (Var)}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 : \sigma \rightarrow \tau \quad \Gamma \vdash e_2 : \sigma}{\Gamma \vdash e_1 e_2 : \tau} \text{ (App)}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, x:\sigma \vdash e : \tau}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda x. e : \sigma \rightarrow \tau} \text{ (Abs)}$$

## Example

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \frac{x:int \in \Gamma_{xy}}{\Gamma_{xy} \vdash x : int} \quad \frac{y:int \in \Gamma_{xy}}{\Gamma_{xy} \vdash y : int} \quad \frac{y:int \in x:int, y:int}{x:int, y:int \vdash y : int} \quad \frac{x:int \in \Gamma_{xy}}{\Gamma_{xy} \vdash x : int} \\
 \hline
 \Gamma_{xy} \vdash x \leq y : bool \quad x:int, y:int \vdash \mathbf{if } x \leq y \mathbf{ then } x \mathbf{ else } y : int \\
 \hline
 x:int \vdash \lambda y. \mathbf{if } x \leq y \mathbf{ then } x \mathbf{ else } y : int \rightarrow int \\
 \hline
 \vdash \lambda x. \lambda y. \mathbf{if } x \leq y \mathbf{ then } x \mathbf{ else } y : int \rightarrow (int \rightarrow int)
 \end{array}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, x:\sigma \vdash e : \tau}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda x. e : \sigma \rightarrow \tau} \text{ (Abs)}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e_c : bool \quad \Gamma \vdash e_t : \sigma \quad \Gamma \vdash e_e : \sigma}{\Gamma \vdash \mathbf{if } e_c \mathbf{ then } e_t \mathbf{ else } e_e : \sigma} \text{ (If)}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 : int \quad \Gamma \vdash e_2 : int}{\Gamma \vdash e_1 \leq e_2 : bool} (\leq)$$

$$\frac{x:\sigma \in \Gamma}{\Gamma \vdash x : \sigma} \text{ (Var)}$$

# Common (Typing) Problems

## Type checking

Given  $M$  and  $\sigma$ , is it the case that  $\vdash M : \sigma$ ?

## Type inference aka type reconstruction

Given  $M$ , is there a type  $\sigma$  such that  $\vdash M : \sigma$ ?

## Type inhabitation

Given  $\sigma$ , is there a term  $M$  such that  $\vdash M : \sigma$ ?



## Operational Semantics for SL (numbers)

$$\frac{e_1 \rightarrow e'_1}{e_1 + e_2 \rightarrow e'_1 + e_2} \text{ (+ left)}$$

$$\frac{e_2 \rightarrow e'_2}{v + e_2 \rightarrow v + e'_2} \text{ (+ right)}$$

$$\frac{v_1 \in i \quad v_2 \in i \quad n = \llbracket v_1 \rrbracket + \llbracket v_2 \rrbracket \in \mathbb{Z}}{v_1 + v_2 \rightarrow \bar{n}} \text{ (+ eval)}$$

We don't have rules for values.

$$\frac{?}{\mathbf{True} \rightarrow ?} \text{ (True?)}$$

$$\frac{?}{i \rightarrow ?} \text{ (int?)}$$

## Operational Semantics for SL (if)

$$\frac{e_c \rightarrow e'_c}{\text{if } e_c \text{ then } e_t \text{ else } e_e \rightarrow \text{if } e'_c \text{ then } e_t \text{ else } e_e} \text{ (If)}$$

$$\frac{}{\text{if True then } e_t \text{ else } e_e \rightarrow e_t} \text{ (Then)}$$

$$\frac{}{\text{if False then } e_t \text{ else } e_e \rightarrow e_e} \text{ (Else)}$$

## Operational Semantics for SL (lambda)

$$\frac{e_f \rightarrow e'_f}{e_f e_a \rightarrow e'_f e_a} \text{ (App)}$$

$$\frac{}{(\lambda x. e_b) e_a \rightarrow e_b[x \mapsto e_a]} \text{ (Redex)}$$

No rules for abstractions and variables.

$$\frac{?}{\lambda x. e \rightarrow ?} \text{ (Abs?)}$$

$$\frac{?}{x \rightarrow ?} \text{ (Var?)}$$

## Examples

$(\lambda x. \lambda y. \text{if } x \leq y \text{ then } x \text{ else } y) 5 \ 7$   
→  $(\lambda y. \text{if } 5 \leq y \text{ then } 5 \text{ else } y) 7$   
→ **if**  $5 \leq 7$  **then** 5 **else** 7  
→ **if** **True** **then** 5 **else** 7  
→ 5  
and we're stuck

$(\lambda x. \lambda y. \text{if } x + y \text{ then } x \text{ else } y) 5 \ 7$   
→  $(\lambda y. \text{if } 5 + y \text{ then } 5 \text{ else } y) 7$   
→ **if**  $5 + 7$  **then** 5 **else** 7  
→ **if** 12 **then** 5 **else** 7  
and we're stuck

Type-safety: well-typed terms don't get stuck on non-values

# Towards Polymorphism

Consider this program

$$\begin{aligned} & (\lambda f. \mathbf{if} (f \mathbf{True}) \mathbf{then} (f \ 5) \mathbf{else} \ 7)(\lambda x. x) \\ \rightarrow & \dots \\ \rightarrow & 5 \end{aligned}$$

In a type derivation, which type do we give  $f$ ?

$$\frac{f : \textcolor{red}{bool} \rightarrow \textcolor{red}{bool} \vdash \dots \quad f : \textcolor{red}{int} \rightarrow \textcolor{red}{int} \vdash \dots}{\vdash (\lambda f. \mathbf{if} (f \mathbf{True}) \mathbf{then} (f \ 5) \mathbf{else} \ 7)(\lambda x. x) : \textcolor{red}{int}}$$

The problem

Semantically this program seems to be ok

We cannot type it

# Polymorphism

From the greek “poly” (many) “morphe” (form)

Polymorphic type system: one variable can have many types

The identity function  $\lambda x.x$  has many types

*int*  $\rightarrow$  *int*

*bool*  $\rightarrow$  *bool*

*(int*  $\rightarrow$  *int)*  $\rightarrow$  *(int*  $\rightarrow$  *int)*

*(bool*  $\rightarrow$  *int*  $\rightarrow$  *bool)*  $\rightarrow$  *(bool*  $\rightarrow$  *int*  $\rightarrow$  *bool)*

...

But if we bind it to a variable, we must choose a single type

*for any concrete type*  $\tau$ ,  $\lambda x.x : \tau \rightarrow \tau$

$\lambda x.x : \forall \alpha. \alpha \rightarrow \alpha$

# The Polymorphic Lambda Calculus $\lambda_2$ (aka *System F*)

## Polymorphic types

$$\begin{aligned}b &\in B \text{ (base types)} \\ \alpha &\in V \text{ (type variables)} \\ \sigma &::= b \mid \alpha \mid \sigma \rightarrow \sigma \mid \forall \alpha. \sigma\end{aligned}$$

## Free type variables

$$\begin{aligned}\text{TV}(b) &= \emptyset \\ \text{TV}(\alpha) &= \{\alpha\} \\ \text{TV}(\sigma \rightarrow \tau) &= \text{TV}(\sigma) \cup \text{TV}(\tau) \\ \text{TV}(\forall \alpha. \sigma) &= \text{TV}(\sigma) - \{\alpha\}\end{aligned}$$

## Free type variables in environments

$$\text{TV}(\Gamma) = \bigcup_{x:\tau \in \Gamma} \text{TV}(\tau)$$

## $\lambda_2$ Derivation Rules

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash M : \sigma \quad \alpha \notin \text{TV}(\Gamma)}{\Gamma \vdash M : \forall \alpha. \sigma} \text{ (\forall-Introduction)}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash M : \forall \alpha. \sigma}{\Gamma \vdash M : \sigma[\alpha \mapsto \tau]} \text{ (\forall-Elimination)}$$

Does it solve our problem?

$$\frac{\begin{array}{c} \vdots \\ \Gamma_f \vdash f \mathbf{True} : \mathit{bool} \end{array} \quad \frac{\frac{\Gamma_f \vdash f : \forall \alpha. \alpha \rightarrow \alpha}{\Gamma_f \vdash f : (\alpha \rightarrow \alpha)[\alpha \mapsto \mathit{int}]} \quad \Gamma_f \vdash 5 : \mathit{int}}{\Gamma_f \vdash f : \mathit{int} \rightarrow \mathit{int}} \quad \Gamma_f \vdash 7 : \mathit{int}}{\frac{f : \forall \alpha. \alpha \rightarrow \alpha \vdash \mathbf{if} (f \mathbf{True}) \mathbf{then} (f 5) \mathbf{else} 7 : \mathit{int}}{\vdash \lambda f. \mathbf{if} (f \mathbf{True}) \mathbf{then} (f 5) \mathbf{else} 7 : (\forall \alpha. \alpha \rightarrow \alpha) \rightarrow \mathit{int}} \quad (\vdash \lambda x. x : \forall \alpha. \alpha \rightarrow \alpha)}{\vdash (\lambda f. \mathbf{if} (f \mathbf{True}) \mathbf{then} (f 5) \mathbf{else} 7)(\lambda x. x) : \mathit{int}}$$



# Decidability

Type inference for  $\lambda 2$  is undecidable

**Let-polymorphism**, a weak form of parametric polymorphism

Quantifiers can occur only on the top-level of types

Like this  $\forall \alpha. (bool \rightarrow (\alpha \rightarrow \alpha) \rightarrow int)$

But not  $bool \rightarrow (\forall \alpha. \alpha \rightarrow \alpha) \rightarrow int$

Type inference is decidable

But less programs can be typed

Haskell supports let-polymorphism

# SL Now With Let-Polymorphism

## Syntax

$$\begin{array}{lcl} e & ::= & x \\ & | & \lambda x.e \\ & | & e_1 e_2 \\ & | & \mathbf{if} \ e_c \ \mathbf{then} \ e_t \ \mathbf{else} \ e_e \\ & | & e_1 \ op \ e_2 \\ & | & i \mid \mathbf{True} \mid \mathbf{False} \\ & | & \mathbf{let} \ x = e_1 \ \mathbf{in} \ e_2 \\ & | & (e_1, e_2) \mid \mathbf{fst} \mid \mathbf{snd} \\ & | & [] \mid e_1 : e_2 \mid \mathbf{null} \mid \mathbf{head} \mid \mathbf{tail} \\ op & ::= & + \mid \leq \mid \&\& \\ i & ::= & (0 \mid 1 \mid 2 \mid \dots \mid 9)[i] \end{array}$$

# Typing SL

## Types

$$\begin{array}{lcl} \sigma & ::= & \alpha \\ & | & \sigma_1 \rightarrow \sigma_2 \\ & | & (\sigma_1, \sigma_2) \\ & | & [\sigma] \\ & | & \textit{int} \mid \textit{bool} \end{array}$$

Type Schemes

$$\Sigma ::= \forall \vec{\alpha}. \sigma$$

Environments

$$\Gamma : \textit{Variables} \rightarrow \Sigma$$

Typing judgements:

$$\Gamma \vdash E : \sigma$$

## Type Derivation Rules for SL (constants)

$$\frac{b \in \{\mathbf{True}, \mathbf{False}\}}{\Gamma \vdash b : \mathit{bool}} \text{ (Bool)}$$

$$\frac{i \in \{\dots, -1, 0, 1, \dots\}}{\Gamma \vdash i : \mathit{int}} \text{ (Int)}$$

$$\frac{\odot : \sigma_1 \rightarrow \sigma_2 \rightarrow \tau \quad \Gamma \vdash e_1 : \sigma_1 \quad \Gamma \vdash e_2 : \sigma_2}{\Gamma \vdash e_1 \odot e_2 : \tau} \text{ (Bin op)}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e_c : \mathit{bool} \quad \Gamma \vdash e_t : \sigma \quad \Gamma \vdash e_e : \sigma}{\Gamma \vdash \mathbf{if } e_c \mathbf{ then } e_t \mathbf{ else } e_e : \sigma} \text{ (If)}$$

## Type Derivation Rules (tuples)

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 : \sigma_1 \quad \Gamma \vdash e_2 : \sigma_2}{\Gamma \vdash (e_1, e_2) : (\sigma_1, \sigma_2)} \text{ (Tuple)}$$

$$\frac{}{\Gamma \vdash \mathbf{fst} : (\sigma_1, \sigma_2) \rightarrow \sigma_1} \text{ (Fst)}$$

$$\frac{}{\Gamma \vdash \mathbf{snd} : (\sigma_1, \sigma_2) \rightarrow \sigma_2} \text{ (Snd)}$$

## Type Derivation Rules for SL (lists)

$$\frac{}{\Gamma \vdash [] : [\sigma]} \text{ (Nil)}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 : \sigma \quad \Gamma \vdash e_2 : [\sigma]}{\Gamma \vdash (e_1 : e_2) : [\sigma]} \text{ (Cons)}$$

$$\frac{}{\Gamma \vdash \mathbf{null} : [\sigma] \rightarrow \mathit{bool}} \text{ (Null)}$$

$$\frac{}{\Gamma \vdash \mathbf{head} : [\sigma] \rightarrow \sigma} \text{ (Head)}$$

$$\frac{}{\Gamma \vdash \mathbf{tail} : [\sigma] \rightarrow [\sigma]} \text{ (Tail)}$$

## Type Derivation Rules for SL (functions, let)

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 : \sigma \rightarrow \tau \quad \Gamma \vdash e_2 : \sigma}{\Gamma \vdash e_1 e_2 : \tau} \text{ (App)}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, x:\sigma \vdash e : \tau}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda x.e : \sigma \rightarrow \tau} \text{ (Abs)}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, x:\sigma \vdash e_1 : \sigma \quad \Gamma, x:\forall \vec{\alpha}.\sigma \vdash e_2 : \tau \quad \alpha_i \notin \text{TV}(\Gamma)}{\Gamma \vdash \mathbf{let} \ x = e_1 \ \mathbf{in} \ e_2 : \tau} \text{ (Let)}$$

$$\frac{x:\forall \vec{\alpha}.\sigma \in \Gamma}{\Gamma \vdash x : \sigma[\alpha_i \mapsto \tau_i]} \text{ (Var)}$$

## Examples

This expression still cannot be typed

```
(\f.if (f True) then (f 5) else 7) (\x.x)
```

But this one can be typed

```
let f = \x.x in if (f True) then (f 5) else 7
```

Try it in Haskell

Try to make the type derivation



# Bibliography

Henk Barendregt, Erik Barendsen. “Introduction to Lambda Calculus”. 2000

Benjamin Pierce. “Types and Programming Languages”. MIT Press, 2002

# Coming Up Next

Present your parser

Algorithm for polymorphic type inference