



Grades

Print

[Add to ePortfolio](#)

Grade Item	Points	Weight Achieved	Grade	Feedback
Project Milestone I	5 / 5	5 / 5	100 %	
List A Paper Presentation and Answers	93.25 / 100	18.65 / 20	93.25 %	<p>Individual Feedback</p> <p>Presentation Feedback-> 92.50 -----</p> <p>Good use of examples to clarify concepts. Links to external resources were added for the audience interested in learning more details. Good effort was made to understand the technical details of the paper.</p> <p>----</p> <p>Well explained in the limited time. -----</p> <p>Answer Quality Feedback-> 95.00 -----</p> <p>Clear answers. In Q2, you could also have described the scenario of what happens to $p(q_i d)$ when the word does not occur in the document. Essentially the case when its unigram propability is zero for that document.</p>
List A Paper Critique and Questions	15.7 / 17	15.7 / 17	92.35 %	<p>Individual Feedback</p> <p>Explains how aspect based sentiments are different from the overall sentiment. Token number and rating vector not clearly defined. Evaluation metric section could have been shorter and could have concentrated more on results.</p>

Good questions

List B Paper Critique	90 / 100	11.7 / 13	90 %	Individual Feedback Good critique. The tasks used for evaluation should have been described in more detail. Minor typos.
Project Poster Presentation	5 / 5	5 / 5	100 %	
Final Project Report	37 / 40	37 / 40	92.5 %	Individual Feedback The paper describes LSTM models with conditional encoding and attention mechanism. The student has put good effort in coding the network. Some minor issues - It is not a good practice to use "I" in technical writing. When using figures from other's work, please cite the source. The literature review on stance detection needs to be more elaborate. The student has attempted at running the model under different configurations and hyper parameter settings.