

## Expert evaluation criteria

«Young Scientists Hack»

	Criteria	0	1	2
Technical Criteria	Quality of the code implementation	The code is non-functional, unstable, contains errors, or has a weak structure	The code is fully functional but has architectural or stylistic deficiencies	The code is stable, well-structured, logical, and easily readable
	Validity of the chosen architecture	The architecture contains significant inaccuracies and lacks detail	The architecture is relevant to the task, but the justification is incomplete	The architecture is designed deliberately, is logical, and is well-justified
	Scalability and flexibility of the solution	No description of scaling methods is provided	Partial scalability with existing limitations	The structure allows for functional and load expansion
Product Criteria	Relevance to the stated task	The solution does not address the task	Relevant but does not fully solve the problem	Completely solves the stated task
	Degree of solution readiness	Non-functional prototype	Partially functional prototype but not fully completed	Fully functional and complete solution
	Elaboration of user scenarios	Scenarios are missing or superficially developed	Scenarios are described but incompletely	Clear, logical and comprehensive elaboration of user scenarios that align with the role model
	Quality of the solution description	Description is partial, incomplete and doesn't provide understanding	Structure exists but doesn't cover all aspects	Complete, logical description that reflects both the concept and mechanics of operation
Presentation Criteria	Clarity and structure of the presentation	Unstructured, impossible to understand the project essence	Generally comprehensible, but not perfectly structured	Clear, confident, and structured presentation
	Readability and quality of slides	Overloaded, poor readability, lack of structure	Generally readable but has certain disadvantages	Clean, structured, easily readable slides
	Completeness of answers to questions	Incomplete or inaccurate answers	Partially complete, generally correct answers	Confident, precise and comprehensive answers