

Supplementary Material - Bandit Online Learning on Graphs via Adaptive Optimization

Peng Yang[†], Peilin Zhao[‡], Xin Gao[†]

[†]KAUST, Saudi Arabia; [‡]SCUT, China

yangpeng1985521@gmail.com; xin.gao@kaust.edu.sa;

peilinzhaoh@hotmail.com

April 27, 2018

1 Proof of Theorems

1.1 Proof of Theorem 1 - Adaptive Online Learning on Graphs

Theorem 1. Assume that $1 + a_T \mathbf{x}_T^\top \mathbf{A}_{T-1}^{-1} \mathbf{x}_T - a_T \leq 0$, then the optimal prediction of the min-max function $\hat{y}_T = \arg \min_{\hat{y}_T} \max_{y_T} F(\mathbf{y}_T, \mathbf{f}_T)$ is

$$\hat{y}_T = \arg \max_{i \in [K]} (\mathbf{B}_{T-1}^\top \mathbf{A}_{T-1}^{-1} \mathbf{x}_T)_i. \quad (1)$$

Proof. Since we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{tr}(\mathbf{B}_T^\top \mathbf{A}_T^{-1} \mathbf{B}_T) \\ &= \text{tr}(\mathbf{B}_{T-1}^\top \mathbf{A}_T^{-1} \mathbf{B}_{T-1}) + 2a_T \mathbf{B}_{T-1}^\top \mathbf{A}_T^{-1} \mathbf{x}_T \cdot \mathbf{y}_T + a_T^2 \mathbf{x}_T^\top \mathbf{A}_T^{-1} \mathbf{x}_T \|\mathbf{y}_T\|^2, \end{aligned}$$

we obtain the following form by omitting unrelated terms,

$$\begin{aligned} & \min_{\hat{y}_T} \max_{y_T} F(\mathbf{y}_T, \mathbf{f}_T) \\ &= \min_{\hat{y}_T} \max_{y_T} \sum_{t=1}^T (\|\mathbf{f}_t - \mathbf{y}_t\|^2 - a_t \|\mathbf{y}_t\|^2) + \text{tr}(\mathbf{B}_T^\top \mathbf{A}_T^{-1} \mathbf{B}_T) \\ &= \min_{\hat{y}_T} \max_{y_T} \alpha(a_T) \|\mathbf{y}_T\|^2 + 2\beta(a_T, \mathbf{f}_T) \cdot \mathbf{y}_T + \|\mathbf{f}_T\|^2, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\alpha(a_T) = \frac{1 + a_T \mathbf{x}_T^\top \mathbf{A}_{T-1}^{-1} \mathbf{x}_T - a_T}{1 + a_T \mathbf{x}_T^\top \mathbf{A}_{T-1}^{-1} \mathbf{x}_T}, \quad \beta(a_T, \mathbf{f}_T) = a_T \mathbf{B}_{T-1}^\top \mathbf{A}_T^{-1} \mathbf{x}_T - \mathbf{f}_T.$$

We consider two cases: (1) $1 + a_T \mathbf{x}_T^\top \mathbf{A}_{T-1}^\top \mathbf{x}_T - a_T < 0$ and (2) $1 + a_T \mathbf{x}_T^\top \mathbf{A}_{T-1}^\top \mathbf{x}_T - a_T = 0$. Starting with the first case, the function $F(\mathbf{y}_T, \mathbf{f}_T)$ is strictly-concave with respect to \mathbf{y}_T since $\alpha(a_T) < 0$. Thus, $F(\mathbf{y}_T, \mathbf{f}_T)$ attains a unique maximal value when $\mathbf{y}_T = -\frac{\beta(a_T, \mathbf{f}_T)}{\alpha(a_T)}$, i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} & \min_{\mathbf{y}_T} F\left(-\frac{\beta(a_T, \mathbf{f}_T)}{\alpha(a_T)}, \mathbf{f}_T\right) \\ &= \frac{-a_T}{1 + a_T \mathbf{x}_T^\top \mathbf{A}_{T-1}^\top \mathbf{x}_T - a_T} \|\mathbf{f}_T\|^2 + \frac{2a_T \mathbf{B}_{T-1}^\top \mathbf{A}_T^{-1} \mathbf{x}_T (1 + a_T \mathbf{x}_T^\top \mathbf{A}_{T-1}^\top \mathbf{x}_T)}{1 + a_T \mathbf{x}_T^\top \mathbf{A}_{T-1}^\top \mathbf{x}_T - a_T} \cdot \mathbf{f}_T - \gamma(a_T). \end{aligned}$$

This objective is strictly-convex with respect to \mathbf{f}_T , and it is easy to obtain the optimal predictor,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{f}_T &= \mathbf{B}_{T-1}^\top \mathbf{A}_T^{-1} \mathbf{x}_T (1 + a_T \mathbf{x}_T^\top \mathbf{A}_{T-1}^\top \mathbf{x}_T) \\ &= \mathbf{B}_{T-1}^\top \mathbf{A}_T^{-1} \mathbf{x}_T + \mathbf{B}_{T-1}^\top \mathbf{A}_T^{-1} (\mathbf{A}_T - \mathbf{A}_{T-1}) \mathbf{A}_{T-1}^{-1} \mathbf{x}_T \\ &= \mathbf{B}_{T-1}^\top \mathbf{A}_{T-1}^{-1} \mathbf{x}_T. \end{aligned}$$

Considering the second case for which, $1 + a_T \mathbf{x}_T^\top \mathbf{A}_{T-1}^\top \mathbf{x}_T - a_T = 0$, the problem can be formulated as $\min_{\hat{\mathbf{y}}_T} \max_{\mathbf{y}_T} 2(a_T \mathbf{B}_{T-1}^\top \mathbf{A}_T^{-1} \mathbf{x}_T - \mathbf{f}_T) \cdot \mathbf{y}_T + \|\mathbf{f}_T\|^2$. If $\mathbf{f}_T \neq a_T \mathbf{B}_{T-1}^\top \mathbf{A}_T^{-1} \mathbf{x}_T$, the objective value is not-bounded. Thus, the optimal min-max prediction is $\mathbf{f}_T = a_T \mathbf{B}_{T-1}^\top \mathbf{A}_T^{-1} \mathbf{x}_T = \mathbf{B}_{T-1}^\top \mathbf{A}_{T-1}^{-1} \mathbf{x}_T$, given $a_T = \frac{1}{1 - \mathbf{x}_T^\top \mathbf{A}_{T-1}^{-1} \mathbf{x}_T}$, and $\mathbf{A}_t^{-1} = \mathbf{A}_{t-1}^{-1} - \mathbf{A}_{t-1}^{-1} \mathbf{x}_t \mathbf{x}_t^\top \mathbf{A}_{t-1}^{-1}$. \square

1.2 Proof of Theorem 2 - Error-driven Algorithm

Theorem 2. Assume $\{(\mathbf{x}_1, y_1), \dots, (\mathbf{x}_T, y_T)\}$ is a node sequence, an online algorithm predicts with $\hat{\mathbf{y}}_T = \arg \max_{i \in [K]} (\mathbf{B}_{T-1}^\top \mathbf{A}_{T-1}^{-1} \mathbf{x}_T)_i$, and updates \mathbf{A}_T and \mathbf{B}_T with Eq. (6). Let $\mathcal{N} = \{t | \hat{\Delta}_t = \mathbf{f}_t \cdot \mathbf{y}_t \leq 0\}$ be updated trials, then the following inequality holds for any $\mathbf{U} \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times K}$,

$$M \leq \sum_{t \in \mathcal{N}} a_t \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbf{y}_t \cdot \mathbf{U}^\top \mathbf{x}_t) + \frac{1}{2} \text{tr}(\mathbf{U}^\top \mathbf{A}_{\mathcal{N}} \mathbf{U}) + \frac{b}{2(b-1)} \log \left| \frac{1}{b} \mathbf{A}_{\mathcal{N}} \right|.$$

Proof: Since a update is issued when an error occurs, the update trials are defined as $\mathcal{N} = \{t : \hat{\Delta}_t \leq 0, \hat{\mathbf{y}}_t \neq y_t\}$ with $Z = |\mathcal{N}|$ includes the indices on which an error occurs. Given $\ell_t(\text{alg}) = \|\mathbf{y}_t - \mathbf{f}_t\|^2$, we derive when $t \in \mathcal{N}$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \ell_t(\text{alg}) + \inf_{\mathbf{U}} (b \|\mathbf{U}\|^2 + L_{t-1}^{\mathbf{a}}(\mathbf{U})) - \inf_{\mathbf{U}} (b \|\mathbf{U}\|^2 + L_t^{\mathbf{a}}(\mathbf{U})) \\ &= \|\mathbf{f}_t - \mathbf{y}_t\|^2 - a_t \|\mathbf{y}_t\|^2 - \text{tr}(\mathbf{B}_{t-1}^\top \mathbf{A}_{t-1}^{-1} \mathbf{B}_{t-1}) + \text{tr}(\mathbf{B}_t^\top \mathbf{A}_t^{-1} \mathbf{B}_t) \\ &= (1 - a_t) \|\mathbf{y}_t\|^2 - 2\mathbf{y}_t \cdot \mathbf{f}_t + \|\mathbf{f}_t\|^2 - \text{tr}(\mathbf{B}_{t-1}^\top \mathbf{A}_{t-1}^{-1} \mathbf{B}_{t-1}) + \text{tr}(\mathbf{B}_t^\top \mathbf{A}_t^{-1} \mathbf{B}_t) \\ &= (1 - a_t) \|\mathbf{y}_t\|^2 - 2\mathbf{y}_t \cdot (a_t \mathbf{B}_{t-1}^\top \mathbf{A}_t^{-1} \mathbf{x}_t) + \text{tr}((\mathbf{B}_{t-1} + a_t \mathbf{x}_t \mathbf{y}_t^\top)^\top \mathbf{A}_t^{-1} (\mathbf{B}_{t-1} + a_t \mathbf{x}_t \mathbf{y}_t^\top)) \\ &\quad + \text{tr}(\mathbf{B}_{t-1}^\top \mathbf{A}_{t-1}^{-1} \mathbf{x}_t \mathbf{x}_t^\top \mathbf{A}_{t-1}^{-1} \mathbf{B}_{t-1}) - \text{tr}(\mathbf{B}_{t-1}^\top \mathbf{A}_{t-1}^{-1} \mathbf{B}_{t-1}) \\ &= \text{tr}(\mathbf{B}_{t-1}^\top (\mathbf{A}_{t-1}^{-1} \mathbf{x}_t \mathbf{x}_t^\top \mathbf{A}_{t-1}^{-1} - \mathbf{A}_{t-1}^{-1} + \mathbf{A}_t^{-1}) \mathbf{B}_{t-1}) + \text{tr}(a_t^2 \mathbf{y}_t \mathbf{x}_t^\top \mathbf{A}_t^{-1} \mathbf{x}_t \mathbf{y}_t^\top) + (1 - a_t) \|\mathbf{y}_t\|^2 \\ &= (a_t^2 \mathbf{x}_t^\top \mathbf{A}_t^{-1} \mathbf{x}_t + 1 - a_t) \text{tr}(\mathbf{y}_t \mathbf{y}_t^\top) = a_t^2 \mathbf{x}_t^\top \mathbf{A}_t^{-1} \mathbf{x}_t - a_t + 1. \end{aligned}$$

When no error occurs, $\mathbf{U}_t = \mathbf{U}_{t-1}$ yields $\inf_{\mathbf{U}} G_t(\mathbf{U}) = \inf_{\mathbf{U}} G_{t-1}(\mathbf{U})$. When an error occurs, there is a parameter update:

$$\inf_{\mathbf{U}} G_t(\mathbf{U}) - \inf_{\mathbf{U}} G_{t-1}(\mathbf{U}) = \ell_t(\text{alg}) - a_t^2 \mathbf{x}_t^\top \mathbf{A}_t^{-1} \mathbf{x}_t + a_t - 1,$$

holds for all trial $t \in \mathcal{N}$, which is similar to the proof of [2]. Summing over $t = 1, \dots, T$ with $\|\mathbf{y}_t\|^2 = 1$, we obtain with expanding the square,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{t \in \mathcal{N}} (a_t \|\mathbf{y}_t\|^2 - 2\mathbf{y}_t \cdot \mathbf{f}_t - a_t^2 \mathbf{x}_t^\top \mathbf{A}_t^{-1} \mathbf{x}_t + \|\mathbf{f}_t\|^2) \\ &= \inf_{\mathbf{U}} (b \|\mathbf{U}\|^2 + \sum_t a_t \|\mathbf{y}_t - \mathbf{U}^\top \mathbf{x}_t\|^2) - (\inf_{\mathbf{U}} (b \|\mathbf{U}\|^2 + L_0^{\mathbf{a}}(\mathbf{U}))) \\ &\leq \sum_{t \in \mathcal{N}} a_t (\|\mathbf{y}_t\|^2 - 2\mathbf{y}_t \cdot \mathbf{U}^\top \mathbf{x}_t) + \text{tr}(\mathbf{U}^\top (b\mathbf{I} + \sum_{t \in \mathcal{N}} a_t \mathbf{x}_t \mathbf{x}_t^\top) \mathbf{U}). \end{aligned}$$

Assume that $\mathbf{A}_{\mathcal{N}} = b\mathbf{I} + \sum_{t \in \mathcal{N}} a_t \mathbf{x}_t \mathbf{x}_t^\top$, and $\sigma_t = \frac{1}{2} a_t^2 \mathbf{x}_t^\top \mathbf{A}_t^{-1} \mathbf{x}_t$ we obtain,

$$\sum_{t \in \mathcal{N}} (-\mathbf{f}_t \mathbf{y}_t - \sigma_t) \leq - \sum_{t \in \mathcal{N}} a_t \mathbf{y}_t \cdot \mathbf{U}^\top \mathbf{x}_t + \frac{1}{2} \text{tr}(\mathbf{U}^\top \mathbf{A}_{\mathcal{N}} \mathbf{U}),$$

where we omit $\|\mathbf{f}_t\|^2$ since it does not affect the upper bound. We add $\sum_t a_t$ on the both sides with $a_t = \frac{1}{1 - \mathbf{x}_t^\top \mathbf{A}_{t-1}^{-1} \mathbf{x}_t} \geq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{t \in \mathcal{N}} (1 - \mathbf{f}_t \mathbf{y}_t - \sigma_t) \leq \sum_{t \in \mathcal{N}} (a_t - \mathbf{f}_t \mathbf{y}_t - \sigma_t) \\ & \leq \sum_{t \in \mathcal{N}} a_t (1 - \mathbf{y}_t \cdot \mathbf{U}^\top \mathbf{x}_t) + \frac{1}{2} \text{tr}(\mathbf{U}^\top \mathbf{A}_{\mathcal{N}} \mathbf{U}) \\ & \leq \sum_{t \in \mathcal{N}} a_t \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbf{y}_t \cdot \mathbf{U}^\top \mathbf{x}_t) + \frac{1}{2} \text{tr}(\mathbf{U}^\top \mathbf{A}_{\mathcal{N}} \mathbf{U}), \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

where the last inequality holds due to hinge loss $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}(x) = \max(0, 1 - x) \geq 1 - x$. Here, update trials are the ones when an error occurs, i.e., $t \in \mathcal{N}$ with $M = |\mathcal{N}|$ and $-\mathbf{f}_t \mathbf{y}_t \geq 0$,

$$\sum_{t \in \mathcal{N}} (1 - \mathbf{f}_t \mathbf{y}_t - \sigma_t) \geq M - \sum_{t \in \mathcal{N}} \sigma_t;$$

Combining this bound with the upper bound (2), and substituting the inequality $\sum_{t \in \mathcal{N}} \sigma_t \leq \frac{b}{2(b-1)} \log(\frac{1}{b} \mathbf{A}_{\mathcal{N}})$ inspired by [3], we finish the proof. \square

1.3 Proof of Theorem 3 - MOLG-F

Theorem 3. *Algorithm 1 runs on an arbitrary node sequence $\{(\mathbf{x}_1, y_1), \dots, (\mathbf{x}_T, y_T)\}$ and update model when $\Theta_t = \hat{\Delta}_t - \sigma_t \leq 0$. Let $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}(x) = \max(0, 1 - x)$ be hinge loss, for any $\mathbf{U} \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times K}$, the following inequality holds,*

$$M \leq \sum_{t \in \mathcal{N}} a_t \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbf{y}_t \cdot \mathbf{U}^\top \mathbf{x}_t) + \frac{1}{2} \text{tr}(\mathbf{U}^\top \mathbf{A}_{\mathcal{N}} \mathbf{U}) + \frac{b}{b-1} \log \left| \frac{1}{b} \mathbf{A}_{\mathcal{N}} \right| - D.$$

Proof: In Algorithm 1, the update trials are partitioned into two disjoint sets, $\mathcal{M} = \{t : \hat{\Delta}_t \leq 0, \hat{y}_t \neq y_t\}$ with $M = |\mathcal{M}|$ includes the indices on which an update is issued when an error occurs, and $\mathcal{D} = \{t : 0 < \hat{\Delta}_t < \sigma_t, \hat{y}_t = y_t\}$ with $D = |\mathcal{D}|$ includes the indices on which an aggressive update is issued for low-confident prediction, even if the prediction is correct. Let $\mathcal{N} = \{t : N_t = 1\}$ with $N = |\mathcal{N}|$ be the update trials containing $N = M + D$. Similar with Eq. (2) in lemma 1, we derive for $t \in \mathcal{N}$,

$$\sum_{t \in \mathcal{N}} (1 - \mathbf{f}_t \mathbf{y}_t - \sigma_t) \leq \sum_{t \in \mathcal{N}} a_t \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbf{y}_t \cdot \mathbf{U}^\top \mathbf{x}_t) + \frac{1}{2} \text{tr}(\mathbf{U}^\top \mathbf{A}_{\mathcal{N}} \mathbf{U}), \quad (3)$$

There are two types of update trials: (I) when an error occurs, i.e., $t \in \mathcal{M}$ and $-\mathbf{f}_t \mathbf{y}_t \geq 0$,

$$\sum_{t \in \mathcal{M}} (1 - \mathbf{f}_t \mathbf{y}_t - \sigma_t) \geq M - \sum_{t \in \mathcal{M}} \sigma_t;$$

and (II) when no error occurs, i.e., $t \in \mathcal{D}$ and $0 \leq \mathbf{f}_t \mathbf{y}_t \leq \sigma_t \Rightarrow -\mathbf{f}_t \mathbf{y}_t + \sigma_t \geq 0$,

$$\sum_{t \in \mathcal{D}} (1 - \mathbf{f}_t \mathbf{y}_t + \sigma_t - 2\sigma_t) \geq D - 2 \sum_{t \in \mathcal{D}} \sigma_t.$$

Combining two cases with the upper bound (3), and substituting the inequality $\sum_{t \in \mathcal{M} \cup \mathcal{D}} 2\sigma_t \leq \frac{b}{b-1} \log(\frac{1}{b} \mathbf{A}_{\mathcal{N}})$, we finish the proof. \square

Conclusion: Empirically, the update number of adaptive-margin method can be comparable with or smaller than that of error-driven algorithm, due to a fast convergence of adaptive-margin learning. Due to the deduction of the low-confident update trials $|\mathcal{D}|$, the error bound of Algorithm 1 can be lower than that of the weighted min-max algorithm using error-driven update rules.

1.4 Proof of Theory 4 - MOLG-B

Theorem 4. Algorithm 2 runs on a node-label sequence $\{(\mathbf{x}_1, y_1), \dots, (\mathbf{x}_T, y_T)\}$. If we set $\varphi_t^2 = (\frac{b}{b-1})^2 (4(b-1) \|\mathbf{U}\|_F^2 + 4\gamma \log |\frac{1}{b} \mathbf{A}_{t-1}^i| + 144 \log \frac{t+4}{\delta})$ where $\ell_t(\mathbf{U}) \leq \gamma$, then for any $\mathbf{U} \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times K}$, such that $|\mathbf{u}^{i^\top} \mathbf{x}_t| \leq 1$, the inequality holds,

$$R_T \leq \sqrt{(\frac{b}{b-1})^3 T (\sqrt{H_1 H_2} + H_2)},$$

with probability at least $1 - \delta$ over T trials, where $H_1 = 2(b-1) \|\mathbf{U}\|_F^2 + 72 \log \frac{t+4}{\delta}$ and $H_2 = 2Kd\gamma \log(1 + \frac{T}{Kdb})$.

Proof: Note that the update rule is

$$\mathbf{B}_t = \mathbf{B}_{t-1} + a_t \mathbf{x}_t \mathbf{y}_t^\top \quad \mathbf{A}_t = \mathbf{A}_{t-1} + a_t \mathbf{x}_t \mathbf{x}_t^\top,$$

or $\mathbf{A}_t^{-1} = \mathbf{A}_{t-1}^{-1} - \mathbf{A}_{t-1}^{-1} \mathbf{x}_t \mathbf{x}_t^\top \mathbf{A}_{t-1}^{-1}$ according to Woodbury identity. Given an annotation $\mathcal{D}_t(\mathbf{U}, \mathbf{V}) = \|\mathbf{U} - \mathbf{V}\|_{\mathbf{A}_t}^2$, the following equations can be derived,

$$\begin{aligned} & a_t (\|\mathbf{y}_t - \mathbf{W}_{t-1}^\top \mathbf{x}_t\|^2 - \|\mathbf{y}_t - \mathbf{U}^\top \mathbf{x}_t\|^2) \\ &= \mathcal{D}_{t-1}(\mathbf{U}, \mathbf{W}_{t-1}) - \mathcal{D}_t(\mathbf{U}, \mathbf{W}_t) + \mathcal{D}_t(\mathbf{W}_{t-1}, \mathbf{W}_t), \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

$$a_t^2 \|\mathbf{y}_t - \mathbf{W}_{t-1}^\top \mathbf{x}_t\|^2 \mathbf{x}_t^\top \mathbf{A}_t^{-1} \mathbf{x}_t = \mathcal{D}_t(\mathbf{W}_{t-1}, \mathbf{W}_t). \quad (5)$$

Assume that $\ell_t(\mathbf{U}) = \|\mathbf{y}_t - \mathbf{U}^\top \mathbf{x}_t\|^2 \leq r, (r > 1)$ for any $\mathbf{U} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times K}$ and $a_t = \frac{1}{1 - \mathbf{x}_t^\top \mathbf{A}_{t-1}^{-1} \mathbf{x}_t} \leq \frac{b}{b-1}$ for any $t \in [T]$, the cumulative sum of Eq. (5) can be bounded,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{t=1}^T \mathcal{D}_t(\mathbf{W}_{t-1}, \mathbf{W}_t) &= \sum_{t=1}^T a_t^2 \|\mathbf{y}_t - \mathbf{W}_{t-1}^\top \mathbf{x}_t\|^2 \mathbf{x}_t^\top \mathbf{A}_t^{-1} \mathbf{x}_t \\ &\leq \frac{rb}{b-1} \sum_{t=1}^T a_t \mathbf{x}_t^\top \mathbf{A}_t^{-1} \mathbf{x}_t \leq \frac{rb}{b-1} \sum_{t=1}^T \log \frac{|\mathbf{A}_t|}{|\mathbf{A}_t - a_t \mathbf{x}_t \mathbf{x}_t^\top|} \\ &= \frac{rb}{b-1} \log \left| \frac{1}{b} \mathbf{A}_T \right|, \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

where the last inequality is similar to the proof of Theorem 5 in [3]. Equipped with the bound (6), the cumulative sum of Eq. (4) can be bounded

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{s=1}^{t-1} a_s (\|\mathbf{y}_s - \mathbf{W}_{s-1}^\top \mathbf{x}_s\|^2 - \|\mathbf{y}_s - \mathbf{U}^\top \mathbf{x}_s\|^2) \\ &\leq \mathcal{D}_0(\mathbf{U}, \mathbf{0}) - \mathcal{D}_{t-1}(\mathbf{U}, \mathbf{W}_{t-1}) + \frac{rb}{b-1} \log \left| \frac{1}{b} \mathbf{A}_{t-1} \right|. \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

According to the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality (dual norms), we have

$$\|\hat{\Delta}_t - \Delta_t\|^2 = \|(\mathbf{W}_{t-1}^\top - \mathbf{U}^\top) \mathbf{x}_t\|^2 \leq 2 \mathbf{x}_t^\top \mathbf{A}_{t-1}^{-1} \mathbf{x}_t \mathcal{D}_{t-1}(\mathbf{U}, \mathbf{W}_{t-1}). \quad (8)$$

Due to $a_t = \frac{1}{1 - \mathbf{x}_t^\top \mathbf{A}_{t-1}^{-1} \mathbf{x}_t} > 1$ for all t , we can infer according to the proof of Lemma 2 to Lemma 5 in [1],

$$\sum_{s=1}^{t-1} a_s (\|\mathbf{y}_s - \mathbf{W}_{s-1}^\top \mathbf{x}_s\|^2 - \|\mathbf{y}_s - \mathbf{U}^\top \mathbf{x}_s\|^2) \geq -36 \log \frac{t+4}{\delta} \quad (9)$$

holds with probability at least $1 - \delta$ over the t rounds. Substituting Eq. (7)-Eq. (9), we obtain for any $\mathbf{U} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times K}$,

$$\|\hat{\Delta}_t - \Delta_t\|^2 \leq 2 \mathbf{x}_t^\top \mathbf{A}_{t-1}^{-1} \mathbf{x}_t \left(b \|\mathbf{U}\|_F^2 + \frac{rb}{b-1} \log \left| \frac{1}{b} \mathbf{A}_{t-1} \right| + 36 \log \frac{t+4}{\delta} \right) \quad (10)$$

hold with probability at least $1 - \delta$ over the $t - 1$ rounds.

Since $\mathbf{A}_t^{-1} \preceq \mathbf{A}_{t-1}^{-1}$, we have $\mathbf{x}_t^\top \mathbf{A}_{t-1}^{-1} \mathbf{x}_t \leq \dots \leq \mathbf{x}_t^\top \mathbf{A}_0^{-1} \mathbf{x}_t = \frac{1}{b} \|\mathbf{x}_t\|^2$. Assume that $\|\mathbf{x}_t\| \leq 1$, we infer that $0 \leq \mathbf{x}_t^\top \mathbf{A}_{t-1}^{-1} \mathbf{x}_t \leq \frac{1}{b}$ where we let $b > 1$. Thus,

$$1 - \mathbf{x}_t^\top \mathbf{A}_{t-1}^{-1} \mathbf{x}_t \geq 1 - \frac{1}{b} \Rightarrow \frac{b}{b-1} (1 - \mathbf{x}_t^\top \mathbf{A}_{t-1}^{-1} \mathbf{x}_t) \geq 1.$$

Multiplying $\mathbf{x}_t^\top \mathbf{A}_{t-1}^{-1} \mathbf{x}_t$ on both sides, we obtain

$$\mathbf{x}_t^\top \mathbf{A}_{t-1}^{-1} \mathbf{x}_t \leq \frac{b}{b-1} \mathbf{x}_t^\top (\mathbf{A}_{t-1}^{-1} - \mathbf{A}_{t-1}^{-1} \mathbf{x}_t \mathbf{x}_t^\top \mathbf{A}_{t-1}^{-1}) \mathbf{x}_t = \frac{b}{b-1} \mathbf{x}_t^\top \mathbf{A}_t^{-1} \mathbf{x}_t. \quad (11)$$

Substituting Eq. (11) into Eq. (10), we obtain,

$$\begin{aligned}\|\hat{\Delta}_t - \Delta_t\|^2 &\leq \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{b}{b-1}\right)^2 \mathbf{x}_t^\top \mathbf{A}_t^{-1} \mathbf{x}_t \left(4(b-1)\|\mathbf{U}\|_F^2 + 4r \log \left|\frac{1}{b} \mathbf{A}_{t-1}\right| + \frac{b-1}{b} 144 \log \frac{t+4}{\delta}\right) \\ &\leq \sigma_t \left(\frac{b}{b-1}\right)^2 \left(4(b-1)\|\mathbf{U}\|_F^2 + 4r \log \left|\frac{1}{b} \mathbf{A}_{t-1}\right| + 144 \log \frac{t+4}{\delta}\right),\end{aligned}$$

where $\sigma_t = \frac{1}{2} a_t^2 \mathbf{x}_t^\top \mathbf{A}_t^{-1} \mathbf{x}_t$ and the last inequality holds due to $a_t \geq 1$. We assume that

$$\varphi_t^2 = \left(\frac{b}{b-1}\right)^2 \left(4(b-1)\|\mathbf{U}\|_F^2 + 4r \log \left|\frac{1}{b} \mathbf{A}_{t-1}\right| + 144 \log \frac{t+4}{\delta}\right), \quad (12)$$

and bound the cumulative sum of σ_t for $t \in [T]$,

$$\sum_{s=1}^T \sigma_s = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{s=1}^T a_s^2 \mathbf{x}_s^\top \mathbf{A}_s^{-1} \mathbf{x}_s \leq \frac{b}{2(b-1)} \log \left|\frac{1}{b} \mathbf{A}_T\right| \leq \frac{b}{2(b-1)} K n \log \left(1 + \frac{T}{K n b}\right).$$

Assume that

$$H_1 = 2(b-1)\|\mathbf{U}\|_F^2 + 72 \log \frac{t+4}{\delta}, \quad H_2 = 2K n r \log \left(1 + \frac{T}{K n b}\right)$$

we have that

$$\sum_{t=1}^T \|\hat{\Delta}_t - \Delta_t\|^2 \leq \sum_{t=1}^T \varphi_t^2 \sigma_t \leq 2(H_1 + H_2) \left(\frac{b}{b-1}\right)^2 \sum_{t=1}^T \sigma_t \leq \left(\frac{b}{b-1}\right)^3 (H_1 + H_2) H_2. \quad (13)$$

with probability at least $1 - \delta$ over T rounds. Since $\sum_{t=1}^T A_t^2 \leq M$ implies $\sum_{t=1}^T A_t \leq \sqrt{TM}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{t=1}^T (\mathbb{P}_t(y_t \neq \hat{y}_t) - \mathbb{P}_t(y_t \neq y_t^*)) \leq \sum_{t=1}^T |\Delta_t - \hat{\Delta}_t| \\ &\leq \sqrt{\left(\frac{b}{b-1}\right)^3 T \sqrt{H_1 H_2 + H_2^2}} \leq \sqrt{\left(\frac{b}{b-1}\right)^3 T (\sqrt{H_1 H_2} + H_2)},\end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

where the last inequality holds due to $\sqrt{A+B} \leq \sqrt{A} + \sqrt{B}$. \square

References

- [1] Koby Crammer and Claudio Gentile. Multiclass classification with bandit feedback using adaptive regularization. *Machine learning*, 90(3):347–383, 2013.
- [2] Jürgen Forster. On relative loss bounds in generalized linear regression. In *Fundamentals of Computation Theory*, pages 269–280, 1999.
- [3] Edward Moroshko and Koby Crammer. Weighted last-step min–max algorithm with improved sub-logarithmic regret. *Theoretical Computer Science*, 558:107–124, 2014.