Fix-Margin and Adaptive-Margin Theoretical Comparison

March 23, 2018

1 Fix-Margin Algorithm Theorem

Lemma 1. Given an arbitrary node sequence $(\mathbf{x}_1, y_1), \dots, (\mathbf{x}_T, y_T)$, an online algorithm predicts with $\hat{y}_T = \arg \max_{i \in [K]} (\mathbf{B}_{T-1}^{\top} \mathbf{A}_{T-1}^{-1} \mathbf{x}_T)_i$, where \mathbf{A}_T and \mathbf{B}_T are defined in Eq. (6), and updates model when an error occurs, i.e., $\hat{\Delta}_t = \mathbf{f}_t \cdot \mathbf{y}_t \leq 0$ (called Fixed Margin), then the following inequality holds,

$$M \leq \sum_{t \in \mathcal{M}} a_t \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbf{y}_t \cdot \mathbf{U}^{\top} \mathbf{x}_t) + \frac{1}{2} tr(\mathbf{U}^{\top} \mathbf{A}_{\mathcal{M}} \mathbf{U}) + \frac{b}{2(b-1)} \log |\frac{1}{b} \mathbf{A}_{\mathcal{M}}|.$$

Proof: Since a update is issued when an error occurs, the update trials are defined as $\mathcal{M} = \{t : \hat{\Delta}_t \leq 0, \hat{y}_t \neq y_t\}$ with $M = |\mathcal{M}|$ includes the indices on which an error occurs. Given $\ell_t(alg) = \|\mathbf{y}_t - \mathbf{f}_t\|^2$, we derive when $t \in \mathcal{M}$,

$$\begin{split} &\ell_{t}(alg) + \inf_{\mathbf{U}}(b\|\mathbf{U}\|^{2} + L_{t-1}^{\mathbf{a}}(\mathbf{U})) - \inf_{\mathbf{U}}(b\|\mathbf{U}\|^{2} + L_{t}^{\mathbf{a}}(\mathbf{U})) \\ = &\|\mathbf{f}_{t} - \mathbf{y}_{t}\|^{2} - a_{t}\|\mathbf{y}_{t}\|^{2} - \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{B}_{t-1}^{\top}\mathbf{A}_{t-1}^{-1}\mathbf{B}_{t-1}) + \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{B}_{t}^{\top}\mathbf{A}_{t}^{-1}\mathbf{B}_{t}) \\ = &(1 - a_{t})\|\mathbf{y}_{t}\|^{2} - 2\mathbf{y}_{t} \cdot \mathbf{f}_{t} + \|\mathbf{f}_{t}\|^{2} - \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{B}_{t-1}^{\top}\mathbf{A}_{t-1}^{-1}\mathbf{B}_{t-1}) + \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{B}_{t}^{\top}\mathbf{A}_{t}^{-1}\mathbf{B}_{t}) \\ = &(1 - a_{t})\|\mathbf{y}_{t}\|^{2} - 2\mathbf{y}_{t} \cdot (a_{t}\mathbf{B}_{t-1}^{\top}\mathbf{A}_{t}^{-1}\mathbf{x}_{t}) + \operatorname{tr}((\mathbf{B}_{t-1} + a_{t}\mathbf{x}_{t}\mathbf{y}_{t}^{\top})^{\top}\mathbf{A}_{t}^{-1}(\mathbf{B}_{t-1} + a_{t}\mathbf{x}_{t}\mathbf{y}_{t}^{\top})) \\ + &\operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{B}_{t-1}^{\top}\mathbf{A}_{t-1}^{-1}\mathbf{x}_{t}\mathbf{x}_{t}^{\top}\mathbf{A}_{t-1}^{-1}\mathbf{B}_{t-1}) - \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{B}_{t-1}^{\top}\mathbf{A}_{t-1}^{-1}\mathbf{B}_{t-1}) \\ = &\operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{B}_{t-1}^{\top}(\mathbf{A}_{t-1}^{-1}\mathbf{x}_{t}\mathbf{x}_{t}^{\top}\mathbf{A}_{t-1}^{-1} - \mathbf{A}_{t-1}^{-1} + \mathbf{A}_{t}^{-1})\mathbf{B}_{t-1}) + \operatorname{tr}(a_{t}^{2}\mathbf{y}_{t}\mathbf{x}_{t}^{\top}\mathbf{A}_{t}^{-1}\mathbf{x}_{t}\mathbf{y}_{t}^{\top}) + (1 - a_{t})\|\mathbf{y}_{t}\|^{2} \\ = &(a_{t}^{2}\mathbf{x}_{t}^{\top}\mathbf{A}_{t}^{-1}\mathbf{x}_{t} + 1 - a_{t})\operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{y}_{t}\mathbf{y}_{t}^{\top}) = a_{t}^{2}\mathbf{x}_{t}^{\top}\mathbf{A}_{t}^{-1}\mathbf{x}_{t} - a_{t} + 1. \end{split}$$

When no error occurs, $\mathbf{U}_t = \mathbf{U}_{t-1}$ yields $\inf_{\mathbf{U}} G_t(\mathbf{U}) = \inf_{\mathbf{U}} G_{t-1}(\mathbf{U})$. When an error occurs, we conclude that

$$\inf_{\mathbf{U}} G_t(\mathbf{U}) - \inf_{\mathbf{U}} G_{t-1}(\mathbf{U}) = \ell_t(alg) - a_t^2 \mathbf{x}_t^{\top} \mathbf{A}_t^{-1} \mathbf{x}_t + a_t - 1,$$

holds for all trial $t \in \mathcal{M}$, which is similar to the proof of [1]. Summing over

 $t=1,\ldots,T$ with $\|\mathbf{y}_t\|^2=1$, we obtain with expanding the square,

$$\begin{split} & \sum_{t \in \mathcal{M}} (a_t \|\mathbf{y}_t\|^2 - 2\mathbf{y}_t \cdot \mathbf{f}_t - a_t^2 \mathbf{x}_t^{\top} \mathbf{A}_t^{-1} \mathbf{x}_t + \|\mathbf{f}_t\|^2) \\ &= \inf_{\mathbf{U}} (b \|\mathbf{U}\|^2 + \sum_t a_t \|\mathbf{y}_t - \mathbf{U}^{\top} \mathbf{x}_t\|^2) - (\inf_{\mathbf{U}} (b \|\mathbf{U}\|^2 + L_0^{\mathbf{a}}(\mathbf{U}))) \\ &\leq \sum_{t \in \mathcal{M}} a_t (\|\mathbf{y}_t\|^2 - 2\mathbf{y}_t \cdot \mathbf{U}^{\top} \mathbf{x}_t) + \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{U}^{\top} (b \mathbf{I} + \sum_{t \in \mathcal{M}} a_t \mathbf{x}_t \mathbf{x}_t^{\top}) \mathbf{U}). \end{split}$$

Assume that $\mathbf{A}_{\mathcal{M}} = b\mathbf{I} + \sum_{t \in \mathcal{M}} a_t \mathbf{x}_t \mathbf{x}_t^{\top}$, and $\sigma_t = \frac{1}{2} a_t^2 \mathbf{x}_t^{\top} \mathbf{A}_t^{-1} \mathbf{x}_t$ we obtain,

$$\sum_{t \in \mathcal{M}} (-\mathbf{f}_t \mathbf{y}_t - \sigma_t) \le -\sum_{t \in \mathcal{M}} a_t \mathbf{y}_t \cdot \mathbf{U}^\top \mathbf{x}_t + \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{U}^\top \mathbf{A}_{\mathcal{M}} \mathbf{U}),$$

where we omit $\|\mathbf{f}_t\|^2$ since it does not affect the upper bound. We add $\sum_t a_t$ on the both sides with $a_t = \frac{1}{1-\mathbf{x}_t^\top \mathbf{A}_{t-1}^{-1} \mathbf{x}_t} \geq 1$,

$$\sum_{t \in \mathcal{M}} (1 - \mathbf{f}_t \mathbf{y}_t - \sigma_t) \le \sum_{t \in \mathcal{M}} (a_t - \mathbf{f}_t \mathbf{y}_t - \sigma_t)$$

$$\le \sum_{t \in \mathcal{M}} a_t (1 - \mathbf{y}_t \cdot \mathbf{U}^\top \mathbf{x}_t) + \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{U}^\top \mathbf{A}_{\mathcal{M}} \mathbf{U}) \le \sum_{t \in \mathcal{M}} a_t \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbf{y}_t \cdot \mathbf{U}^\top \mathbf{x}_t) + \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{U}^\top \mathbf{A}_{\mathcal{M}} \mathbf{U}),$$
(1)

where the last inequality holds due to hinge loss $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}(x) = \max(0, 1-x) \ge 1-x$. Here, update trials are the ones when an error occurs, i.e., $t \in \mathcal{M}$ and $-\mathbf{f}_t \mathbf{y}_t \ge 0$,

$$\sum_{t \in \mathcal{M}} (1 - \mathbf{f}_t \mathbf{y}_t - \sigma_t) \ge M - \sum_{t \in \mathcal{M}} \sigma_t;$$

Combining two cases with the upper bound (1), and substituting the inequality $\sum_{t \in \mathcal{M}} \sigma_t \leq \frac{b}{2(b-1)} \log(\frac{1}{b}\mathbf{A}_{\mathcal{M}})$ inspired by [2], we finish the proof. \square

2 Adaptive-Margin Algorithm Theorem

Theorem 1. Algorithm 1 runs on an arbitrary node sequence $(\mathbf{x}_1, y_1), \dots, (\mathbf{x}_T, y_T)$ and update model when $\Theta_t = \hat{\Delta}_t - \sigma_t \leq 0$. Let $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}(x) = \max(0, 1 - x)$ be hinge loss, for any $\mathbf{U} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times K}$, the following inequality holds,

$$M \leq \sum_{t \in \mathcal{Z}} a_t \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbf{y}_t \cdot \mathbf{U}^\top \mathbf{x}_t) + \frac{1}{2} tr(\mathbf{U}^\top \mathbf{A}_{\mathcal{Z}} \mathbf{U}) + \frac{b}{b-1} \log |\frac{1}{b} \mathbf{A}_{\mathcal{Z}}| - D.$$

Proof: In Algorithm 1, the update trials are partitioned into two disjoint sets, $\mathcal{M} = \{t : \hat{\Delta}_t \leq 0, \hat{y}_t \neq y_t\}$ with $M = |\mathcal{M}|$ includes the indices on which an update is issued when an error occurs, and $\mathcal{D} = \{t : 0 < \hat{\Delta}_t < \sigma_t, \hat{y}_t = y_t\}$ with $D = |\mathcal{D}|$ includes the indices on which an aggressive update is issued for low-confident prediction, even if the prediction is correct. Let $\mathcal{Z} = \{t : Z_t = 1\}$

with $Z = |\mathcal{Z}|$ be the update trials containing Z = M + D. Similar with Eq. (1) in lemma 1, we derive for $t \in \mathcal{Z}$,

$$\sum_{t \in \mathcal{Z}} (1 - \mathbf{f}_t \mathbf{y}_t - \sigma_t) \le \sum_{t \in \mathcal{Z}} a_t \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbf{y}_t \cdot \mathbf{U}^\top \mathbf{x}_t) + \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{U}^\top \mathbf{A}_{\mathcal{Z}} \mathbf{U}),$$
(2)

There are two types of update trials: (I) when an error occurs, i.e., $t \in \mathcal{M}$ and $-\mathbf{f}_t \mathbf{y}_t \geq 0$,

$$\sum_{t} (1 - \mathbf{f}_{t} \mathbf{y}_{t} - \sigma_{t}) \ge M - \sum_{t \in \mathcal{M}} \sigma_{t};$$

and (II) when no error occurs, i.e., $t \in \mathcal{D}$ and $0 \le \mathbf{f}_t \mathbf{y}_t \le \sigma_t \Rightarrow -\mathbf{f}_t \mathbf{y}_t + \sigma_t \ge 0$,

$$\sum_{t} (1 - \mathbf{f}_{t} \mathbf{y}_{t} + \sigma_{t} - 2\sigma_{t}) \ge D - 2 \sum_{t \in \mathcal{D}} \sigma_{t}.$$

Combining two cases with the upper bound (2), and substituting the inequality $\sum_{t\in\mathcal{Z}} \sigma_t \leq \frac{b}{2(b-1)} \log(\frac{1}{b}\mathbf{A}_{\mathcal{Z}})$ inspired by [2], we finish the proof. \square

Conclusion: Although Z=M+D, empirically, the update number of adaptive-margin method Z can be comparable with or smaller than that of error-driven algorithm M, i.e., $|\mathcal{Z}| \leq |M|$, due to a fast convergence of adaptive-margin learning. Due to the deduction of the low-confident update trials $|\mathcal{D}|$, the error bound of Algorithm 1 can be lower than that of the weighted min-max algorithm using error-driven update rules (Shown in Lemma 1).

References

- [1] Jürgen Forster. On relative loss bounds in generalized linear regression. In Fundamentals of Computation Theory, pages 269–280, 1999.
- [2] Edward Moroshko and Koby Crammer. Weighted last-step min-max algorithm with improved sub-logarithmic regret. *Theoretical Computer Science*, 558:107–124, 2014.