

Fix-Margin and Adaptive-Margin Theoretical Comparison

March 21, 2018

1 Fix-Margin Algorithm Theorem

Lemma 1. *Given an arbitrary node sequence $(\mathbf{x}_1, y_1), \dots, (\mathbf{x}_T, y_T)$, an online algorithm predicts with $\hat{y}_T = \arg \max_{i \in [K]} (\mathbf{B}_{T-1}^\top \mathbf{A}_{T-1}^{-1} \mathbf{x}_T)_i$ where \mathbf{A}_T and \mathbf{B}_T are defined in Eq. (6), and update model when an error occurs, i.e., $\hat{\Delta}_t = \mathbf{f}_t \cdot \mathbf{y}_t \leq 0$, then the following inequality holds,*

$$M \leq \sum_{t \in \mathcal{M}} a_t \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbf{y}_t \cdot \mathbf{U}^\top \mathbf{x}_t) + \frac{1}{2} \text{tr}(\mathbf{U}^\top \mathbf{A}_{\mathcal{M}} \mathbf{U}) + \frac{b}{2(b-1)} \log |\frac{1}{b} \mathbf{A}_{\mathcal{M}}|.$$

Proof: Since a update is issued when an error occurs, the update trials are defined as $\mathcal{M} = \{t : \hat{\Delta}_t \leq 0, \hat{y}_t \neq y_t\}$ with $M = |\mathcal{M}|$ includes the indices on which an error occurs. Given $\ell_t(\text{alg}) = \|\mathbf{y}_t - \mathbf{f}_t\|^2$, we derive when $t \in \mathcal{M}$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \ell_t(\text{alg}) + \inf_{\mathbf{U}} (b \|\mathbf{U}\|^2 + L_{t-1}^{\mathbf{a}}(\mathbf{U})) - \inf_{\mathbf{U}} (b \|\mathbf{U}\|^2 + L_t^{\mathbf{a}}(\mathbf{U})) \\ &= \|\mathbf{f}_t - \mathbf{y}_t\|^2 - a_t \|\mathbf{y}_t\|^2 - \text{tr}(\mathbf{B}_{t-1}^\top \mathbf{A}_{t-1}^{-1} \mathbf{B}_{t-1}) + \text{tr}(\mathbf{B}_t^\top \mathbf{A}_t^{-1} \mathbf{B}_t) \\ &= (1 - a_t) \|\mathbf{y}_t\|^2 - 2\mathbf{y}_t \cdot \mathbf{f}_t + \|\mathbf{f}_t\|^2 - \text{tr}(\mathbf{B}_{t-1}^\top \mathbf{A}_{t-1}^{-1} \mathbf{B}_{t-1}) + \text{tr}(\mathbf{B}_t^\top \mathbf{A}_t^{-1} \mathbf{B}_t) \\ &= (1 - a_t) \|\mathbf{y}_t\|^2 - 2\mathbf{y}_t \cdot (a_t \mathbf{B}_{t-1}^\top \mathbf{A}_t^{-1} \mathbf{x}_t) + \text{tr}((\mathbf{B}_{t-1} + a_t \mathbf{x}_t \mathbf{y}_t^\top)^\top \mathbf{A}_t^{-1} (\mathbf{B}_{t-1} + a_t \mathbf{x}_t \mathbf{y}_t^\top)) \\ &\quad + \text{tr}(\mathbf{B}_{t-1}^\top \mathbf{A}_{t-1}^{-1} \mathbf{x}_t \mathbf{x}_t^\top \mathbf{A}_{t-1}^{-1} \mathbf{B}_{t-1}) - \text{tr}(\mathbf{B}_{t-1}^\top \mathbf{A}_{t-1}^{-1} \mathbf{B}_{t-1}) \\ &= \text{tr}(\mathbf{B}_{t-1}^\top (\mathbf{A}_{t-1}^{-1} \mathbf{x}_t \mathbf{x}_t^\top \mathbf{A}_{t-1}^{-1} - \mathbf{A}_{t-1}^{-1} + \mathbf{A}_t^{-1}) \mathbf{B}_{t-1}) + \text{tr}(a_t^2 \mathbf{y}_t \mathbf{x}_t^\top \mathbf{A}_t^{-1} \mathbf{x}_t \mathbf{y}_t^\top) + (1 - a_t) \|\mathbf{y}_t\|^2 \\ &= (a_t^2 \mathbf{x}_t^\top \mathbf{A}_t^{-1} \mathbf{x}_t + 1 - a_t) \text{tr}(\mathbf{y}_t \mathbf{y}_t^\top) = a_t^2 \mathbf{x}_t^\top \mathbf{A}_t^{-1} \mathbf{x}_t - a_t + 1. \end{aligned}$$

When no error occurs, $\mathbf{U}_t = \mathbf{U}_{t-1}$ yields $\inf_{\mathbf{U}} G_t(\mathbf{U}) = \inf_{\mathbf{U}} G_{t-1}(\mathbf{U})$. When an error occurs, we conclude that

$$\inf_{\mathbf{U}} G_t(\mathbf{U}) - \inf_{\mathbf{U}} G_{t-1}(\mathbf{U}) = \ell_t(\text{alg}) - a_t^2 \mathbf{x}_t^\top \mathbf{A}_t^{-1} \mathbf{x}_t + a_t - 1,$$

holds for all trial $t \in \mathcal{M}$, which is similar to the proof of [1]. Summing over

$t = 1, \dots, T$ with $\|\mathbf{y}_t\|^2 = 1$, we obtain with expanding the square,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{t \in \mathcal{M}} (a_t \|\mathbf{y}_t\|^2 - 2\mathbf{y}_t \cdot \mathbf{f}_t - a_t^2 \mathbf{x}_t^\top \mathbf{A}_t^{-1} \mathbf{x}_t + \|\mathbf{f}_t\|^2) \\ &= \inf_{\mathbf{U}} (b \|\mathbf{U}\|^2 + \sum_t a_t \|\mathbf{y}_t - \mathbf{U}^\top \mathbf{x}_t\|^2) - (\inf_{\mathbf{U}} (b \|\mathbf{U}\|^2 + L_0^{\mathbf{a}}(\mathbf{U}))) \\ &\leq \sum_{t \in \mathcal{M}} a_t (\|\mathbf{y}_t\|^2 - 2\mathbf{y}_t \cdot \mathbf{U}^\top \mathbf{x}_t) + \text{tr}(\mathbf{U}^\top (b\mathbf{I} + \sum_{t \in \mathcal{M}} a_t \mathbf{x}_t \mathbf{x}_t^\top) \mathbf{U}). \end{aligned}$$

Assume that $\mathbf{A}_{\mathcal{M}} = b\mathbf{I} + \sum_{t \in \mathcal{M}} a_t \mathbf{x}_t \mathbf{x}_t^\top$, and $\sigma_t = \frac{1}{2} a_t^2 \mathbf{x}_t^\top \mathbf{A}_t^{-1} \mathbf{x}_t$ we obtain,

$$\sum_{t \in \mathcal{M}} (-\mathbf{f}_t \mathbf{y}_t - \sigma_t) \leq - \sum_{t \in \mathcal{M}} a_t \mathbf{y}_t \cdot \mathbf{U}^\top \mathbf{x}_t + \frac{1}{2} \text{tr}(\mathbf{U}^\top \mathbf{A}_{\mathcal{M}} \mathbf{U}),$$

where we omit $\|\mathbf{f}_t\|^2$ since it does not affect the upper bound. We add $\sum_t a_t$ on the both sides with $a_t = \frac{1}{1 - \mathbf{x}_t^\top \mathbf{A}_{t-1}^{-1} \mathbf{x}_t} \geq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{t \in \mathcal{M}} (1 - \mathbf{f}_t \mathbf{y}_t - \sigma_t) \leq \sum_{t \in \mathcal{M}} (a_t - \mathbf{f}_t \mathbf{y}_t - \sigma_t) \\ &\leq \sum_{t \in \mathcal{M}} a_t (1 - \mathbf{y}_t \cdot \mathbf{U}^\top \mathbf{x}_t) + \frac{1}{2} \text{tr}(\mathbf{U}^\top \mathbf{A}_{\mathcal{M}} \mathbf{U}) \leq \sum_{t \in \mathcal{M}} a_t \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbf{y}_t \cdot \mathbf{U}^\top \mathbf{x}_t) + \frac{1}{2} \text{tr}(\mathbf{U}^\top \mathbf{A}_{\mathcal{M}} \mathbf{U}), \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

where the last inequality holds due to hinge loss $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}(x) = \max(0, 1 - x) \geq 1 - x$. Here, update trials are the ones when an error occurs, i.e., $t \in \mathcal{M}$ and $-\mathbf{f}_t \mathbf{y}_t \geq 0$,

$$\sum_{t \in \mathcal{M}} (1 - \mathbf{f}_t \mathbf{y}_t - \sigma_t) \geq M - \sum_{t \in \mathcal{M}} \sigma_t;$$

Combining two cases with the upper bound (1), and substituting the inequality $\sum_{t \in \mathcal{M}} \sigma_t \leq \frac{b}{2(b-1)} \log(\frac{1}{b} \mathbf{A}_{\mathcal{M}})$ inspired by [2], we finish the proof. \square

2 Adaptive-Margin Algorithm Theorem

Theorem 1. *Algorithm 1 runs on an arbitrary node sequence $(\mathbf{x}_1, y_1), \dots, (\mathbf{x}_T, y_T)$. Let $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}(x) = \max(0, 1 - x)$ be hinge loss, for any $\mathbf{U} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times K}$, the following inequality holds,*

$$M \leq \sum_{t \in \mathcal{Z}} a_t \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbf{y}_t \cdot \mathbf{U}^\top \mathbf{x}_t) + \frac{1}{2} \text{tr}(\mathbf{U}^\top \mathbf{A}_{\mathcal{Z}} \mathbf{U}) + \frac{b}{b-1} \log \left| \frac{1}{b} \mathbf{A}_{\mathcal{Z}} \right| - D.$$

Proof: In Algorithm 1, the update trials are partitioned into two disjoint sets, $\mathcal{M} = \{t : \hat{\Delta}_t \leq 0, \hat{y}_t \neq y_t\}$ with $M = |\mathcal{M}|$ includes the indices on which an update is issued when an error occurs, and $\mathcal{D} = \{t : 0 < \hat{\Delta}_t < \sigma_t, \hat{y}_t = y_t\}$ with $D = |\mathcal{D}|$ includes the indices on which an aggressive update is issued, even if the prediction is correct. Let $\mathcal{Z} = \{t : Z_t = 1\}$ with $Z = |\mathcal{Z}|$ be the update trials

containing $Z = M + D$. The algorithm updates the model whenever adaptive margin $\Theta_t = \hat{\Delta}_t - \sigma_t$ is less than 0. Similar with Eq. (1) in lemma 1, we derive for $t \in \mathcal{Z}$,

$$\sum_{t \in \mathcal{Z}} (1 - \mathbf{f}_t \mathbf{y}_t - \sigma_t) \leq \sum_{t \in \mathcal{Z}} a_t \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbf{y}_t \cdot \mathbf{U}^\top \mathbf{x}_t) + \frac{1}{2} \text{tr}(\mathbf{U}^\top \mathbf{A}_{\mathcal{Z}} \mathbf{U}), \quad (2)$$

There are two types of update trials: (I) when an error occurs, i.e., $t \in \mathcal{M}$ and $-\mathbf{f}_t \mathbf{y}_t \geq 0$,

$$\sum_t (1 - \mathbf{f}_t \mathbf{y}_t - \sigma_t) \geq M - \sum_{t \in \mathcal{M}} \sigma_t;$$

and (II) when no error occurs, i.e., $t \in \mathcal{D}$ and $0 \leq \mathbf{f}_t \mathbf{y}_t \leq \sigma_t \Rightarrow -\mathbf{f}_t \mathbf{y}_t + \sigma_t \geq 0$,

$$\sum_t (1 - \mathbf{f}_t \mathbf{y}_t + \sigma_t - 2\sigma_t) \geq D - 2 \sum_{t \in \mathcal{D}} \sigma_t.$$

Combining two cases with the upper bound (2), and substituting the inequality $\sum_{t \in \mathcal{Z}} \sigma_t \leq \frac{b}{2(b-1)} \log(\frac{1}{b} \mathbf{A}_{\mathcal{Z}})$ inspired by [2], we finish the proof. \square

Conclusion: Due to the deduction of the aggressive update trials $|\mathcal{D}|$, the error bound of Algorithm 1 can be lower than that of the weighted min-max algorithm using error-driven update rules (as shown in Lemma 1).

References

- [1] Jürgen Forster. On relative loss bounds in generalized linear regression. In *Fundamentals of Computation Theory*, pages 269–280, 1999.
- [2] Edward Moroshko and Koby Crammer. Weighted last-step min–max algorithm with improved sub-logarithmic regret. *Theoretical Computer Science*, 558:107–124, 2014.