Natural Language Processing & Word Embeddings

최근 제출물 성적 100%

| 1. | Suppose you learn a word embedding for a vocabulary of 10000 words. Ther 10000 dimensional, so as to capture the full range of variation and meaning | _ | 1/1점 | |
|----|--|------------------------------------|------|--|
| | ○ True | | | |
| | False | | | |
| | 맞습니다 The dimension of word vectors is usually smaller than the size of the vocabulary. Most common sizes for word vectors range between 50 and 400. | | | |
| | | | | |
| 2. | What is t-SNE? | | 1/1점 | |
| | A non-linear dimensionality reduction technique | | | |
| | An open-source sequence modeling library | | | |
| | A linear transformation that allows us to solve analogies on word vector | S | | |
| | A supervised learning algorithm for learning word embeddings | | | |
| | 맞습니다 Yes | | | |
| | | | | |
| 3. | Suppose you download a pre-trained word embedding which has been trained on a huge corpus of text. You then use this word embedding to train an RNN for a language task of recognizing if someone is happy from a short snippet of text, using a small training set. | | 1/1점 | |
| | x (input text) | y (happy?) | | |
| | I'm feeling wonderful today! I'm bummed my cat is ill. | 0 | | |
| | Really enjoying this! | 1 | | |
| | Then even if the word "ecstatic" does not appear in your small training set, your RNN might reasonably be expected to recognize "I'm ecstatic" as deserving a label $y=1$. | | | |
| | 맞습니다 Yes, word vectors empower your model with an incredible ability to generalize. The vector for "ecstatic" would contain a positive/happy connotation which will probably make your model classify the sentence as a "1". | | | |
| | | | | |
| 4. | 4. Which of these equations do you think should hold for a good word embedding? (Check all that apply) | | | |
| | $igsqcup e_{boy} - e_{girl} pprox e_{sister} - e_{brother}$ | | | |
| | $ ightharpoonup e_{boy} - e_{brother} pprox e_{girl} - e_{sister}$ | | | |
| | 맞습니다 Yes! | | | |
| | $lacksquare$ $e_{boy} - e_{brother} pprox e_{sister} - e_{girl}$ | | | |
| | $ ightharpoonup e_{boy} - e_{girl} pprox e_{brother} - e_{sister}$ | | | |
| | 맞습니다 Yes! | | | |
| | | | | |
| 5. | Let E be an embedding matrix, and let o_{1234} be a one-hot vector corresponded embedding of word 1234, why don't we call $E*o_{1234}$ in Python? | ling to word 1234. Then to get the | 1/1점 | |
| | $igcup$ The correct formula is $E^T*o_{1234}.$ | | | |
| | This doesn't handle unknown words (<unk>).</unk> | | | |
| | O None of the above: calling the Python snippet as described above is fine | | | |
| | It is computationally wasteful. | | | |
| | | | | |

| 6. | When learning word embeddings, we create an artificial task of estimating $P(target \mid context)$. It is okay if we do poorly on this artificial prediction task; the more important by-product of this task is that we learn a useful set of word embeddings. | 1/1점 |
|----|--|------|
| | O False | |
| | True | |
| | ⊘ 맞습니다 | |
| | | |
| 7. | In the word2vec algorithm, you estimate $P(t\mid c)$, where t is the target word and c is a context word. How are t and c chosen from the training set? Pick the best answer. | 1/1점 |
| | $\bigcirc \ c$ is the one word that comes immediately before $t.$ | |
| | igcup c is the sequence of all the words in the sentence before $t.$ | |
| | igcup c is a sequence of several words immediately before $t.$ | |
| | lacktriangledown c and t are chosen to be nearby words. | |
| | | |
| | | |
| 8. | Suppose you have a 10000 word vocabulary, and are learning 500-dimensional word embeddings. The word2vec | 1/1점 |
| | model uses the following softmax function: $e^{\theta_T^T e_c}$ | |
| | $P(t \mid c) = \frac{e^{\theta_t^T e_c}}{\sum_{t'=1}^{10000} e^{\theta_t^T e_c}}$ | |
| | Which of these statements are correct? Check all that apply. | |
| | $lacksquare$ After training, we should expect $	heta_t$ to be very close to e_c when t and c are the same word. | |
| | $lacksquare$ $	heta_t$ and e_c are both 500 dimensional vectors. | |
| | ♥ 맞습니다 | |
| | $\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ $ | |
| | $mec{mec{e}}$ and e_c are both trained with an optimization algorithm such as Adam or gradient descent. | |
| | ♥ 맞습니다 | |
| | | |
| 9. | Suppose you have a 10000 word vocabulary, and are learning 500-dimensional word embeddings. The GloVe model minimizes this objective: | 1/1점 |
| | $\min \sum_{i=1}^{10,000} \sum_{j=1}^{10,000} f(X_{ij}) (heta_i^T e_j + b_i + b_j' - log X_{ij})^2$ | |
| | Which of these statements are correct? Check all that apply. | |
| | $\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ $ | |
| | lacksquare The weighting function $f(.)$ must satisfy $f(0)=0.$ | |
| | 맞습니다 The weighting function helps prevent learning only from extremely common word pairs. It is not necessary that it satisfies this function. | |
| | $igwedge X_{ij}$ is the number of times word j appears in the context of word i. | |
| | ⊘ 맞습니다 | |
| | $mec{martheta}_i$ and e_j should be initialized randomly at the beginning of training. | |
| | ♥ 맞습니다 | |
| | | |
| 10 | You have trained word embeddings using a text dataset of m_1 words. You are considering using these word embeddings for a language task, for which you have a separate labeled dataset of m_2 words. Keeping in mind that using word embeddings is a form of transfer learning, under which of these circumstances would you expect the word embeddings to be helpful? | 1/1점 |
| | $\bigcap m_1 \ll m_2$ | |
| | (a) $m_1 >> m_2$ | |
| | ♥ 맞습니다 | |
| | U XUIII | |