◎ 축하합니다! 통과하셨습니다!

받은 성적 100% **통과 점수:** 80% 이상

Autonomous Driving (Case Study)

최근 제출물 성적 100%

1. To help you practice strategies for machine learning, in this week we'll present another scenario and ask how you would act. We think this "simulator" of working in a machine learning project will give a task of what leading a machine learning project could be like!

1/1점

You are employed by a startup building self-driving cars. You are in charge of detecting road signs (stop sign, pedestrian crossing sign, construction ahead sign) and traffic signals (red and green lights) in images. The goal is to recognize which of these objects appear in each image. As an example, the above image contains a pedestrian crossing sign and red traffic lights



1 "pedestrian crossing sign"
0 "construction ahead sign"
1 "red traffic light"

"green traffic light"

"stop sign"

Your 100,000 labeled images are taken using the front-facing camera of your car. This is also the distribution of data you care most about doing well on. You think you might be able to get a much larger dataset off the internet, that could be helpful for training even if the distribution of internet data is not the same.

You are just getting started on this project. What is the first thing you do? Assume each of the steps below would take about an equal amount of time (a few days).

- Spend a few days training a basic model and see what mistakes it makes.
- O Spend a few days checking what is human-level performance for these tasks so that you can get an accurate estimate of Bayes error.
- O Spend a few days getting the internet data, so that you understand better what data is available.
- O Spend a few days collecting more data using the front-facing camera of your car, to better understand how much data per unit time you can collect.

⊘ 맞습니다

As discussed in lecture, applied ML is a highly iterative process. If you train a basic model and carry out error analysis (see what mistakes it makes) it will help point you in more promising directions.

Your goal is to detect road signs (stop sign, pedestrian crossing sign, construction ahead sign) and traffic signals (red and green lights) in images. The goal is to recognize which of these objects appear in each image. You plan to use a deep neural network with ReLU units in the hidden layers.

1/1점

For the output layer, a softmax activation would be a good choice for the output layer because this is a multi-task learning problem. True/False?

O True

False

⊘ 맞습니다

Softmax would be a good choice if one and only one of the possibilities (stop sign, speed bump, pedestrian crossing, green light and red light) was present in each image.

3. You are carrying out error analysis and counting up what errors the algorithm makes. Which of these datasets do you think you should manually go through and carefully examine, one image at a time?

1/1점

O 500 randomly chosen images

O 10,000 randomly chosen images

O 10,000 images on which the algorithm made a mistake

500 images on which the algorithm made a mistake

Focus on images that the algorithm got wrong. Also, 500 is enough to give you a good initial sense of the error statistics. There's probably no need to look at 10,000, which will take a long time.

4. After working on the data for several weeks, your team ends up with the following data:

1/1점

- 100,000 labeled images taken using the front-facing camera of your car.
- 900,000 labeled images of roads downloaded from the internet.
- Each image's labels precisely indicate the presence of any specific road signs and traffic signals or combinations

of them. For example, $y^{(i)} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$ means the image contains a stop sign and a red traffic light.

Because this is a multi-task learning problem, you need to have all your $y^{(i)}$ vectors fully labeled. If one example is

equal to $\begin{bmatrix} ? \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ ? \end{bmatrix}$ then the learning algorithm will not be able to use that example. True/False?

- O True
- False

	契습니다 As seen in the lecture on multi-task learning, you can compute the cost such that it is not influenced by the fact that some entries haven't been labeled.					
5.	The distribution of data you care about contains images from your car's front-facing camera; which comes from a different distribution than the images you were able to find and download off the internet. How should you split the dataset into train/dev/test sets?					
	Mix all the 100,000 images with the 900,000 images you found online. Shuffle everything. Split the 1,000,000 images dataset into 980,000 for the training set, 10,000 for the dev set and 10,000 for the test set.					
	Choose the training set to be the 900,000 images from the internet along with 80,000 images from your car's front-facing camera. The 20,000 remaining images will be split equally in dev and test sets.					
	O Choose the training set to be the 900,000 images from the internet along with 20,000 images from your car's front-facing camera. The 80,000 remaining images will be split equally in dev and test sets.					
	Mix all the 100,000 images with the 900,000 images you found online. Shuffle everything. Split the 1,000,000 images dataset into 600,000 for the training set, 200,000 for the dev set and 200,000 for the test set.					
	맞습니다 Yes. As seen in lecture, it is important that your dev and test set have the closest possible distribution to "real"-data. It is also important for the training set to contain enough "real"-data to avoid having a data-mismatch problem.					
6.	Assume you've fin	ally chosen the following split between of the data:		1/1점		
	Dataset:	Contains:	Error of the algorithm:			
	Training	940,000 images randomly picked from (900,000 internet images + 60,000 car's front-facing camera images)	8.8%			
	Training- Dev	20,000 images randomly picked from (900,000 internet images + 60,000 car's front-facing camera images)	9.1%			
	Dev	20,000 images from your car's front-facing camera	14.3%			
	Test	20,000 images from the car's front-facing camera	14.8%			
	You also know that human-level error on the road sign and traffic signals classification task is around 0.5%. Which of the following are True? (Check all that apply). You have a large variance problem because your model is not generalizing well to data from the same					
	training distribution but that it has never seen before.					
	You have a large data-mismatch problem because your model does a lot better on the training-dev set than on the dev set					
	⊘ 맞습니다					
	You have a large variance problem because your training error is quite higher than the human-level error.					
	✓ You have a large avoidable-bias problem because your training error is quite a bit higher than the human-level error.					
	⊘ 맞습니다					
	Your algorith	m overfits the dev set because the error of the dev and test sets are very	close.			
7.	Based on the table from the previous question, a friend thinks that the training data distribution is much easier than the dev/test distribution. What do you think?					
	O Your friend is wrong. (I.e., Bayes error for the training data distribution is probably higher than for the dev/test distribution.)					
	dev/test distr	ibution.)				
		ibution.) ficient information to tell if your friend is right or wrong.				

8. You decide to focus on the dev set and check by hand what are the errors due to. Here is a table summarizing your discoveries:

1/1점

The algorithm does better on the distribution of data it trained on. But you don't know if it's because it trained on that no distribution or if it really is easier. To get a better sense, measure human-level error

Overall dev set error	15.3%
Errors due to incorrectly labeled data	4.1%
Errors due to foggy pictures	8.0%
Errors due to rain drops stuck on your car's front-facing camera	2.2%
Errors due to other causes	1.0%

In this table, 4.1%, 8.0%, etc. are a fraction of the total dev set (not just examples your algorithm mislabeled). For example, about 8.0/15.3 = 52% of your errors are due to foggy pictures.

The results from this analysis implies that the team's highest priority should be to bring more foggy pictures into the training set so as to address the 8.0% of errors in that category. True/False?

Additional Note: there are subtle concepts to consider with this question, and you may find arguments for why some answers are also correct or incorrect. We recommend that you spend time reading the feedback for this quiz, to understand what issues that you will want to consider when you are building your own machine learning project.

First start with the sources of error that are least costly to fix.

맞습니다

separately on both distributions.

this is the correct answer. You should consider the tradeoff between the data potential improvement of your model trained on this additional data. 1138 declared windsheld wiger that help wige off some of the criodrogs on the front-foring able from the previous question, within of the following statements do you agree with associate estimate of the maximum amount this windsheld wiper could improve associate estimate of how much this windsheld wiper could worsen performance. associate estimate of the minimum amount this windsheld wiper could improve associate estimate of the minimum amount this windsheld wiper could worsen performance in the associate estimate of the minimum amount this windsheld wiper could worsen performance in the associate estimate of the minimum amount this windsheld wiper could worsen performance in the infinitely big 2.2% would be a perfect estimate of the improvement you can achieve by cally designed windsheld wiper that removes the raindrops. In improve performance by more than 2.5% by solving the raindrops problem. If infinitely big 2.2% would be a perfect estimate of the improvement you can achieve by cally designed windsheld wiper that removes the raindrops. In ingress that look like real rogory incurses the following the control of the internet. In opposition, you want to the human eye, you can be confident that the synthesized performance in the problem, you've decided to cornect the incorrectly labeled data on the devised with the devised with the problem, you've decided to cornect the incorrectly labeled data on the test sets continue to redistribution or your agree with Chick at that apply. In the problem, you've decided to cornect the incorrectly labeled data on the devised with the devis	this will make the best use of the t					
this is the correct answer. You should consider the tradeoff between the data potential improvement of your mode trained on this additional data. It is a second to be a second or the formation of the raindrops on the formation of the problem of the raindrops on the formation of the problem of the raindrops on the formation of the problem of the raindrops on the formation of the problem of the maximum amount this windshield wiper could improve assonable estimate of how much this windshield wiper will improve performance, assonable estimate of the minimum amount this windshield wiper could worsen performance in the assonable estimate of the minimum amount this windshield wiper could worsen performance in the assonable estimate of the minimum amount this windshield wiper could worsen performance in the assonable estimate of the minimum amount this windshield wiper could worsen performance in the assonable estimate of the minimum amount this windshield wiper could worsen performance in the assonable estimate of the minimum amount this windshield wiper could worsen performance in the assonable estimate of the minimum amount this windshield wiper could worsen performance in the assonable estimate of the minimum amount this windshield wiper could worsen performance in the infinitely the 2.2% by solving the sainties of the performance in the infinitely the performance in the infinitely the good of the infinitely the performance in the infinitely the good of the infinitely the performance in the infinitely the performance in the infinitely the performance in the infinitely the infinitely the good of the infinitely the infinitely the good of the infinitely the infini	True because it is greater than the		ze the largest category of error as			
designed windshield wiper that help wipe off some of the raindrops on the front-foring able from the previous question, which of the following statements do you agree width associate estimate of the maximum amount this windshield wiper could improve associate estimate of the maximum amount this windshield wiper could improve performance. associate estimate of flow much this windshield wiper could worsen performance in the associate estimate of the improve performance by more than 22% by solving the paindrops problem. If infinitely this 22 houself be agreed to the problem of the improvement you can achieve thy daily designed windshield wiper that removes the raindrops. 1128 Individually designed windshield wiper that removes the raindrops. Individually designed windshield wiper that removes the raindrops of the problem of the removes the remov	50.000	e other error categories added together	(8.0 > 4.1+2.2+1.0).			
asonable estimate of the maximum amount this windshield wiper could improve asonable estimate of the maximum amount this windshield wiper will improve performance. asonable estimate of the maximum amount this windshield wiper could worsen performance in the asonable estimate of the minimum amount this windshield wiper could worsen performance in the asonable estimate of the minimum amount this windshield wiper could improve asonable estimate of the minimum amount this windshield wiper could improve asonable estimate of the minimum amount this windshield wiper could improve performance by more than 2.2% by solving the raindrops problem. If infinitely by 8,2% would be a perfect estimate of the improvement you can achieve by could yield be good windshield wiper that removes the raindrops. It is a solving the perfect of the perfect estimate of the improvement you can achieve by could yield be good yield with the internet on the perfect of googy image. It is neglected to synthesize diggs days, the this: It is neglected to synthesize diggs yield the internet of googy image from synthesized appropriate the perfect of googy image. It images that look like real floggy pictures taken from the front-facing camera of your car to mit help the model improve because it will introduce avoidable-bus. It images that looks like real floggy pictures taken from the front-facing camera of your car to mit help the model improve because it will introduce avoidable-bus. Integer book realistic then the model will just see them as if you had added useful add signs and traffic signals in a floggy weather. I will very likely help. In the problem, you've decided to correct the incorrectly labeled data on the devised. Which of u agree with riched, all that opply, In the problem, you've decided to correct the incorrectly labeled data on the devised of the incorrectly labeled data in the test set, so that the deviand test sets continue to the distribution for your or your carns iterative development process is efficient. In operation						
asonable estimate of how much this windshield wiper could worsen performance, asonable estimate of how much this windshield wiper could worsen performance in the asonable estimate of the minimum amount this windshield wiper could improve asonable estimate of the minimum amount this windshield wiper could improve the property of the	, , , ,		, –	1/1점		
assonable estimate of how much this windshield wiper could worsen performance in the assonable estimate of the minimum amount this windshield wiper could improve performance by more than 2.2% by solving the raindrops problem. If infinitely by 8.2% would be a perfect estimate of the improvement you can achieve by cally designed windshield wiper that removes the raindrops. If overfitting to a didness foggy images. You find 1.000 pictures of fog off the internet, and ages to synthesize foggy days, like this: If overfitting to the 1.000 pictures of fog 50 long as you are combining it with a much larger non-foggy images. It images that look like real foggy pictures taken from the front-facing camera of your car to mit help the model improve because it will introduce avoidable-bas. It images that look like real foggy pictures taken from the front-facing camera of your car to mit help the model improve because it will introduce avoidable-bas. It images that look like real foggy limages (or a subset of fit, since human vision is very obtain you're solving. It is displayed to the call that apply limages (or a subset of fit, since human vision is very obtain you're solving. It is a subset of the call that apply. If the problem, you've decided to correct the incorrectly labeled data on the deviset. Which of us agree with (Check all that apply). If the problem, you've decided data in the test set, so that the dev and test sets continue to the distribution. If the problem is testing development process is efficient, incorrectly labeled data in the training set as well so as to avoid your training set now fifteen from your deviset. If the incorrectly labeled data in the test set, so that the dev and test sets continue to the distribution. If you complices red and green traffic lights on the devise that it is important that the devise and test distribution. In a gligorithms are quite robust to having slightly different train and dev distributions. It is distribution.	 2.2% would be a reasonable estim performance. 	nate of the maximum amount this winds	hield wiper could improve			
substynot improve performance by more than 2.2% by solving the raindrops problem. If infinitely big. 2.3% would be a perfect estimate of the improvement you can achieve by cally designed windchield wiper that removes the raindrops. **Title** **Title**	O 2.2% would be a reasonable estim	nate of how much this windshield wiper	will improve performance.			
ability not improve performance by more than 2.2% by solving the raindrops problem. If infinitely hig. 2.3% would be a perfect estimate of the improvement you can achieve by cally designed windshield wiper that removes the raindrops. 1126 augmentation to address foggy images. You find 1.000 pictures of fog off the internet, and ages to synthesize foggy days, like this: on foggy image from synthesize foggy images. You find 1.000 pictures of fog off the internet foggy image from the internet foggy image from the internet foggy image. 1126 To certifiting to the 1.000 pictures of fog so long as you are combining it with a much larger on foggy images. It images that look like real foggy pictures taken from the front-facing camera of your car to int help the model improve because it will introduce avoidable-blus. The size of looks realistic to the human eye, you can be confident that the synthesized appluring the distribution of real foggy images (or a subset of ft), since human vision is very oblem you're solving. It is images that look like real foggy yearsher. I will very likely help. It is images that signals in a foggy weather. I will very likely help. It is images that for the signals in a foggy weather. I will very likely help. It is the problem, you've decided to correct the incorrectly labeled data on the deviset. Which of u agree with? (Check all that apply). It is the problem, you've decided do to react the incorrectly labeled data on the deviset. Which of u agree with? (Check all that apply). It is important that the deviset and test sets will not be set of the similar performance of the similar performance of the incorrectly labeled data in the test set so that the deviand test sets continue to the distribution. It is important that the deviset and test distributions. It is important that the deviset and test distributions. It is important that the deviset and test distributions. It is important that the deviset and test sets continue to the distribution. It is important that the devise	 2.2% would be a reasonable estim worst case. 	nate of how much this windshield wiper	could worsen performance in the			
unintely by, 2.2% would be a perfect estimate of the improvement you can achieve by cally designed windshield wiper that removes the raindrops. 1118' augmentation to address foggy images. You find 1.000 pictures of fog off the internet, and get to synthesize foggy disp. like this: foggy image from synthesize foggy disp. like this: foggy image from the internet loggy image attements do you agree with? foverfitting to the 1.000 pictures of fog so long as you are combining it with a much larger non-foggy images. It images that look like real foggy pictures taken from the front-facing camera of your car to both help the model improve because it will introduce avoidable-bias. It images that look like real foggy pictures taken from the front-facing camera of your car to both help the model improve because it will introduce avoidable-bias. Intelled fog looks realistic to the human eye, you can be conflident that the synthesized apturing the distribution of real foggy images (or a subset of rit, since human vision is very obbien you're solving. It images that look like real foggy pictures taken from the front-facing camera of your car to both the distribution of real foggy images (or a subset of rit, since human vision is very obbien you're solving. It images that look like real foggy weather. I will very likely help. It is a large to the distribution of real foggy weather. I will very likely help. It is problem, you've decided to correct the incorrectly labeled data on the dev set. Which of u agree with (Creck all that apply). It is problem, you've decided to correct the incorrectly labeled data on the dev set. Which of u agree with (Creck all that apply). It is proving the problem of the distribution for your team's iterative development process is efficient. Incorrectly labeled data in the training set as well so as to avoid your training set now ifferent from your dev set. It is important that the dev set and test distribution. It is a proving the problem of the distribution of the distribution.	2.2% would be a reasonable estimate of the minimum amount this windshield wiper could improve performance.					
foggy image from synthesize foggy days, like this: In foggy image from synthesized foggy image the internet foggy image to write the the third	your dataset was infinitely big, 2.	2.2% would be a perfect estimate of the i	mprovement you can achieve by			
amera the internet foggy image the internet foggy image four-fitting to the 1,000 pictures of fog so long as you are combining it with a much larger non-foggy images. If images that look like real foggy pictures taken from the front-facing camera of your car to onthelp the model improve because it will introduce avoidable-blas. The provided of t	. You decide to use data augmentation t "add" them to clean images to synthesi		pictures of fog off the internet, and	1/1점		
the statements do you agree with? foverfitting to the 1,000 pictures of fog so long as you are combining it with a much larger non-foggy images. Ji mages that look like real foggy pictures taken from the front-facing camera of your car to onthelp the model improve because it will introduce avoidable-blas. hesized fog looks realistic to the human eye, you can be confident that the synthesized apturing the distribution of real foggy images (or a subset of fit), since human vision is very oblem you're solving. sized images look realistic, then the model will just see them as if you had added useful add signs and traffic signals in a foggy weather. I will very likely help. In the problem, you've decided to correct the incorrectly labeled data on the dev set. Which of u agree with? (Check all that apply). The problem, you've decided to correct the incorrectly labeled data on the dev set. Which of u agree with? (Check all that apply). The problem is the status of the set of the same distribution for your eye your team's iterative development process is efficient. Lincorrectly labeled data in the training set as well so as to avoid your training set now ifferent from your dev set. serily need to fix the incorrectly labeled data in the training set, because it's okay for the titlon to differ from the dev and test sets. Note that it is important that the dev set and test distribution. In algorithms are quite robust to having slightly different train and dev distributions. The the incorrectly labeled data in the test set, so that the dev and test sets continue to ne distribution. Lincorrectly labeled data in the test set, so that the dev and test sets continue to ne distribution. Lincorrectly labeled data in the test set, so that the dev and test sets continue to ne distribution. Lincorrectly labeled data in the test set, so that the dev and test sets continue to ne distribution. Lincorrectly labeled data in the test set, so that the dev and test sets continue to ne distribution. Lincorrectly labeled data	image from front-facing camera					
If overfitting to the 1,000 pictures of fog so long as you are combining it with a much larger non-foggy images. If images that look like real foggy pictures taken from the front-facing camera of your car to onthelp the model improve because it will introduce avoidable-bias. In the problem with the problem of real foggy images (or a subset of it), since human vision is very oblem you're solving. It is distribution of real foggy images (or a subset of it), since human vision is very oblem you're solving. It is problem, you've decided to correct the incorrectity labeled data on the dev set. Which of us agree with? (check all that apply). If the problem, you've decided to correct the incorrectity labeled data on the dev set. Which of us agree with? (check all that apply). If the incorrectly labeled data in the test set, so that the dev and test sets continue to ne distribution. If the incorrectity labeled data in the test set is officient. Incorrectly labeled data in the training set as well so as to avoid your training set now ifferent from your dev set. In incorrectly labeled data in the training set as well so as to avoid your training set now ifferent from your dev set. In incorrectly labeled data in the training set as well so as to avoid your training set now ifferent from the dev and test sets. Note that it is important that the dev set and test distribution. In galgorithms are quite robust to having slightly different train and dev distributions. In galgorithms are quite robust to having slightly different train and dev distributions. In precognizes red and green traffic lights. One of your colleagues in the startup is starting to eliow traffic light. (Some countries call it an orange light rather than a yellow light well use litting it yellow, Images containing yellow lights are quite rare, and she doesn't have enough debt. She hopes you can help her out using transfer learning. In the fount of the form your dataset and put together. This prevents your dataset from "swamping" the yellow ligh	TION Idollig Garriera		loggy image			
If overfitting to the 1,000 pictures of fog so long as you are combining it with a much larger non-foggy images. If images that look like real foggy pictures taken from the front-facing camera of your car to onthelp the model improve because it will introduce avoidable-bias. In the problem model improve because it will introduce avoidable bias. In the problem seal foggy images (or a subset of it), since human vision is very oblem you're solving. It is distribution of real foggy images (or a subset of it), since human vision is very oblem you're solving. It is dispass and traffic signals in a foggy weather. I will very likely help. In the problem, you've decided to correct the incorrectly labeled data on the dev set. Which of u agree with? (check all that apply). In the problem, you've decided to correct the incorrectly labeled data on the dev set. Which of u agree with? (check all that apply). In the problem is the set of the test set, so that the dev and test sets continue to ne distribution. In the problem you've decided to correct the incorrectly labeled data on the dev and test sets continue to ne distribution. In the problem you've decided to correct the incorrectly labeled data in the test set, so that the dev and test sets continue to ne distribution of the deviation to differ from the dev and test data come from the same distribution for your eyour team's iterative development process is efficient. In correctly labeled data in the training set as well so as to avoid your training set now ifferent from your dev set. In the problem you've deviate the process is efficient. In the problem you've deviate the process is efficient. In the problem you've deviate the process is efficient. In the problem you've deviate the process is efficient. In the problem you've deviate the process is efficient. In the problem you've deviate the process is efficient. In the problem you've deviate the process is efficient. In the problem you have the development process is efficient. In the problem you have	-					
If the problem, you've decided to correct the incorrectly labeled data on the dev set. Which of usigns and traffic signals in the test set, so that the dev and test sets continue to the distribution. If the problem were the time that your dev and test sets. Note that it is important that the dev set and test distribution. Incorrectly labeled data in the training set as well so as to avoid your training set now ifferent from the dev set and test distribution. If you need to fix the incorrectly labeled data in the test set. So that the dev and test sets continue to the distribution. In the problem same that your dev and test sets. Note that it is important that the dev set and test distribution. In the problem same that your dev and test sets. Note that it is important that the dev set and test distribution. In the problem same that your dev and test sets. Note that it is important that the dev set and test distribution. In the problem same that your dev set. In the problem same that your dev and test sets. Note that it is important that the dev set and test distribution. In the problem same that your dev set. In the problem same distribution for your even the same distribution for your even your teams iterative development process is efficient. In the problem same distribution for your dev set. In the problem same distribution for your dev set. In the problem same distribution for your dev set. In the problem same distribution for your dev set. In the problem same distribution for your dev set. In the problem same same distribution for your development process is efficient. In the problem same distribution for your development process is efficient. In the problem same distribution for your development process is efficient. In the problem same distribution for your development process is efficient. In the problem same same same same same distribution for your development proc						
hesized fog looks realistic to the human eye, you can be confident that the synthesized apturing the distribution of real foggy images (or a subset of it), since human vision is very oblem you're solving. Sized images look realistic, then the model will just see them as if you had added useful bad signs and traffic signals in a foggy weather. I will very likely help. In the problem, you've decided to correct the incorrectly labeled data on the dev set. Which of u agree with? (Check all that apply). Irrect the incorrectly labeled data in the test set, so that the dev and test sets continue to nee distribution. Want to make sure that your dev and test data come from the same distribution for your eyour team's iterative development process is efficient. Incorrectly labeled data in the training set as well so as to avoid your training set now lifferent from your dev set. Sarily need to fix the incorrectly labeled data in the training set, because it's okay for the ution to differ from the dev and test sets. Note that it is important that the dev set and test distribution. In algorithms are quite robust to having slightly different train and dev distributions. In algorithms are quite robust to having slightly different train and deviation to differ from the deviation to differ from the deviation to a distribution. In algorithms are quite robust to having slightly different train and deviation to distributions. In a labeled data in the test set, so that the deviation that the startup is starting to receive the incorrectly labeled data in the test set, so that the deviation to distributions. In a labeled data in the test set, so that the deviation that the startup is starting to receive the incorrectly labeled data in the test set, so that the deviation deviation to distribution.	(>>1,000) of clean/non-foggy image	ges.				
apturing the distribution of real foggy images (or a subset of it), since human vision is very oblem you're solving. Sized images look realistic, then the model will just see them as if you had added useful bad signs and traffic signals in a foggy weather. I will very likely help. In the problem, you've decided to correct the incorrectly labeled data on the dev set. Which of a u agree with? (Check all that apply). If the incorrectly labeled data in the test set, so that the dev and test sets continue to ne distribution. Want to make sure that your dev and test data come from the same distribution for your ey our team's iterative development process is efficient. Incorrectly labeled data in the training set as well so as to avoid your training set now different from your dev set. Sarily need to fix the incorrectly labeled data in the training set, because it's okay for the ution to differ from the dev and test sets. Note that it is important that the dev set and test distribution. Ing algorithms are quite robust to having slightly different train and dev distributions. In algorithms are quite robust to having slightly different train and deviation to distribution. In algorithms are quite robust to having slightly different train and test sets continue to ne distribution. In algorithms are quite robust to having slightly different train and deviation to the distribution. In algorithms are quite robust to having slightly different train and deviation to the distribution. In algorithms are quite robust to having slightly different train and deviation to the distribution.	0					
In the problem, you've decided to correct the incorrectly labeled data on the deviset. Which of u agree with? (check all that apply). If the incorrectly labeled data in the test set, so that the deviand test sets continue to ne distribution. Want to make sure that your deviand test data come from the same distribution for your eyour team's iterative development process is efficient. Incorrectly labeled data in the training set as well so as to avoid your training set now different from your deviant to differ from the deviand test sets. Note that it is important that the deviset and test distribution. In galgorithms are quite robust to having slightly different train and deviation to differ from the deviation to having slightly different train and deviations. In galgorithms are quite robust to having slightly different train and deviation to distributions. In galgorithms are quite robust to having slightly different train and test sets continue to ne distribution. In galgorithms are quite robust to having slightly different train and test sets continue to ne distribution. In galgorithms are quite robust to having slightly different train and test sets continue to ne distribution. In galgorithms are quite robust to having slightly different train and test sets continue to ne distribution.						
rect the incorrectly labeled data in the test set, so that the dev and test sets continue to ne distribution. want to make sure that your dev and test data come from the same distribution for your learn's iterative development process is efficient. Incorrectly labeled data in the training set as well so as to avoid your training set now lifferent from your dev set. arily need to fix the incorrectly labeled data in the training set, because it's okay for the attorn to differ from the dev and test sets. Note that it is important that the dev set and test distribution. In algorithms are quite robust to having slightly different train and dev distributions. In algorithms are quite robust to having slightly different train and dev distributions. In algorithms are quite robust to having slightly different train and test sets continue to ne distribution. In algorithms are quite robust to having slightly different train and set sets continue to ne distribution. In algorithms are quite robust to having slightly different train and dev distributions. In algorithms are quite robust to having slightly different train and dev distributions. In algorithms are quite robust to having slightly different train and dev distributions. In algorithms are quite robust to having slightly different train and dev distributions. In algorithms are quite robust to having slightly different train and dev distributions. In algorithms are quite robust to having slightly different train and dev distributions. In algorithms are quite robust to having slightly different train and dev distributions.	•	istribution of real foggy images (or a sub				
want to make sure that your dev and test data come from the same distribution for your e your team's iterative development process is efficient. Lincorrectly labeled data in the training set as well so as to avoid your training set now lifferent from your dev set. Larily need to fix the incorrectly labeled data in the training set, because it's okay for the ution to differ from the dev and test sets. Note that it is important that the dev set and test distribution. In algorithms are quite robust to having slightly different train and dev distributions. In algorithms are quite robust to having slightly different train and dev distributions. In algorithms are quite robust to having slightly different train and test sets continue to ne distribution. In algorithms are quite robust to having slightly different train and test sets continue to ne distribution. Lincorrectly labeled data in the test set, so that the dev and test sets continue to ne distribution.	data is accurately capturing the disaccurate for the problem you're so > 맞습니다 Yes. If the synthesized images lo	istribution of real foggy images (or a sub olving. ook realistic, then the model will just see	set of it), since human vision is very them as if you had added useful			
the your team's iterative development process is efficient. Incorrectly labeled data in the training set as well so as to avoid your training set now ifferent from your dev set. Arily need to fix the incorrectly labeled data in the training set, because it's okay for the action to differ from the dev and test sets. Note that it is important that the dev set and test distribution. In algorithms are quite robust to having slightly different train and dev distributions. In algorithms are quite robust to having slightly different train and dev distributions. In algorithms are quite robust to having slightly different train and dev distributions. In algorithms are quite robust to having slightly different train and dev distributions. In algorithms are quite robust to having slightly different train and dev distributions. In a set of the incorrectly labeled data in the test set, so that the dev and test sets continue to the distribution. In a set of the incorrectly labeled data in the test set, so that the dev and test sets continue to the distribution. In a set of the incorrectly labeled data in the test set, so that the dev and test sets continue to the distribution. In a set of the incorrectly labeled data in the test set, so that the dev and test sets continue to the distributions. In a set of the incorrectly labeled data in the test set, so that the dev and test sets continue to the distributions. In a set of the incorrectly labeled data in the test set, so that the dev and test sets continue to the distributions. In a set of the incorrectly labeled data in the test set, so that the dev and test sets continue to the distributions. In a set of the incorrectly labeled data in the training set, because it's okay for the distribution.	data is accurately capturing the disaccurate for the problem you're so 文 맞습니다 Yes. If the synthesized images lood data to identify road signs and tr	istribution of real foggy images (or a sub olving. book realistic, then the model will just see traffic signals in a foggy weather. I will veryou've decided to correct the incorrectly	set of it), since human vision is very them as if you had added useful ry likely help.	1/1점		
arily need to fix the incorrectly labeled data in the training set, because it's okay for the ution to differ from the dev and test sets. Note that it is important that the dev set and test distribution. In algorithms are quite robust to having slightly different train and dev distributions. In algorithms are quite robust to having slightly different train and dev distributions. In the incorrectly labeled data in the test set, so that the dev and test sets continue to the distribution. In the recognizes red and green traffic lights. One of your colleagues in the startup is starting to reallow traffic light. (Some countries call it an orange light rather than a yellow light; we'll use alling it yellow.) Images containing yellow lights are quite rare, and she doesn't have enough odel. She hopes you can help her out using transfer learning. In the recognizes from your dataset and put together. This prevents your dataset from "swamping" the yellow lights dataset.	data is accurately capturing the disaccurate for the problem you're so executate for the synthesized images look data to identify road signs and true. After working further on the problem, you agree with? (Continue)	istribution of real foggy images (or a sub olving. book realistic, then the model will just see traffic signals in a foggy weather. I will very you've decided to correct the incorrectly (Check all that apply).	set of it), since human vision is very them as if you had added useful ry likely help.	1/1점		
ution to differ from the dev and test sets. Note that it is important that the dev set and test distribution. Ing algorithms are quite robust to having slightly different train and dev distributions. Ingert the incorrectly labeled data in the test set, so that the dev and test sets continue to ne distribution. Inly recognizes red and green traffic lights. One of your colleagues in the startup is starting to rellow traffic light. (Some countries call it an orange light rather than a yellow light; we'll use silling it yellow.) Images containing yellow lights are quite rare, and she doesn't have enough odel. She hopes you can help her out using transfer learning. In 1/1점 of the province of yellow lights, randomly sample 10,000 images from your dataset and put together. This prevents your dataset from "swamping" the yellow lights dataset.	data is accurately capturing the disaccurate for the problem you're so executate for the problem you're so executate for the problem you're so executate for the problem you're so execute for the synthesized images look data to identify road signs and tree the statements do you agree with? (Of you should also correct the incorrect come from the same distribution.	istribution of real foggy images (or a sub olving. book realistic, then the model will just see traffic signals in a foggy weather. I will veryou've decided to correct the incorrectly (Check all that apply). rectly labeled data in the test set, so that the surrection of the complete set of the complete set.	them as if you had added useful ry likely help. The dev and test sets continue to the dev same distribution for your	1/1점		
rect the incorrectly labeled data in the test set, so that the dev and test sets continue to ne distribution. Inly recognizes red and green traffic lights. One of your colleagues in the startup is starting to yellow traffic light. (Some countries call it an orange light rather than a yellow light; we'll use alling it yellow.) Images containing yellow lights are quite rare, and she doesn't have enough odel. She hopes you can help her out using transfer learning. Olleague? Ollo images of yellow lights, randomly sample 10,000 images from your dataset and put together. This prevents your dataset from "swamping" the yellow lights dataset.	data is accurately capturing the disaccurate for the problem you're so executed for the problem you're so executed for the problem you're so data to identify road signs and tree these statements do you agree with? (© ✓ You should also correct the incorrect come from the same distribution. ② 맞습니다 Yes because you want to make salgorithm to make your team's it	istribution of real foggy images (or a sub olving. book realistic, then the model will just see traffic signals in a foggy weather. I will veryou've decided to correct the incorrectly (Check all that apply). rectly labeled data in the test set, so that iterative development process is efficient belief data in the training set as well so as selected data in the training set as well so as	them as if you had added useful ry likely help. I labeled data on the dev set. Which of the dev and test sets continue to om the same distribution for your to	1/1점		
nly recognizes red and green traffic lights. One of your colleagues in the startup is starting to vellow traffic light. (Some countries call it an orange light rather than a yellow light; we'll use alling it yellow.) Images containing yellow lights are quite rare, and she doesn't have enough odel. She hopes you can help her out using transfer learning. Olleague? Olleagues of yellow lights, randomly sample 10,000 images from your dataset and put together. This prevents your dataset from "swamping" the yellow lights dataset.	data is accurately capturing the disaccurate for the problem you're so accurate for the problem you're so executed for the problem you're so data to identify road signs and treation. After working further on the problem, you should also correct the incorrect come from the same distribution. You should also correct the incorrect come from the same distribution. Problem Yes because you want to make so algorithm to make your team's it You should correct incorrectly labely being even more different from your you do not necessarily need to fix	istribution of real foggy images (or a sub olving. book realistic, then the model will just see traffic signals in a foggy weather. I will very you've decided to correct the incorrectly (Check all that apply). rectly labeled data in the test set, so that iterative development process is efficient the peled data in the training set as well so as our dev set.	them as if you had added useful ry likely help. I labeled data on the dev set. Which of the dev and test sets continue to the same distribution for your to avoid your training set now ing set, because it's okay for the	1/1점		
vellow traffic light. (Some countries call it an orange light rather than a yellow light; we'll use alling it yellow.) Images containing yellow lights are quite rare, and she doesn't have enough odel. She hopes you can help her out using transfer learning. olleague? olleague? ollow lights, randomly sample 10,000 images from your dataset and put together. This prevents your dataset from "swamping" the yellow lights dataset.	data is accurately capturing the disaccurate for the problem you're so accurate for the problem you're so accurate for the problem you're so accurate for the problem you're so data to identify road signs and trouble data to identify road signs and trouble statements do you agree with? (Of You should also correct the incorrect come from the same distribution. ② 맞습니다 Yes because you want to make so algorithm to make your team's it You should correct incorrectly labely being even more different from your you do not necessarily need to fix training set distribution to differ from set have the same distribution.	istribution of real foggy images (or a sub olving. book realistic, then the model will just see traffic signals in a foggy weather. I will very you've decided to correct the incorrectly (Check all that apply). rectly labeled data in the test set, so that it is sure that your dev and test data come from the development process is efficient the eled data in the training set as well so as our dev set. If the incorrectly labeled data in the training from the dev and test sets. Note that it is	them as if you had added useful ry likely help. I labeled data on the dev set. Which of the dev and test sets continue to the same distribution for your to avoid your training set now and set, because it's okay for the important that the dev set and test	1/1점		
000 images of yellow lights, randomly sample 10,000 images from your dataset and put together. This prevents your dataset from "swamping" the yellow lights dataset.	data is accurately capturing the disaccurate for the problem you're so accurate for the problem you're so defined accurate for the problem you're so defined accurate for the problem you agree with? (Or data to identify road signs and the statements do you agree with? (Or you should also correct the incorrect come from the same distribution. ② 맞습니다 Yes because you want to make so algorithm to make your team's it You should correct incorrectly labely being even more different from your you do not necessarily need to fix training set distribution to differ from your deep learning algorithms are they deep learning algorithms are deep learning algorithms are deep learning algorithms.	istribution of real foggy images (or a sub- olving. book realistic, then the model will just see traffic signals in a foggy weather. I will ve you've decided to correct the incorrectly (Check all that apply). rectly labeled data in the test set, so that iterative development process is efficient the led data in the training set as well so as our dev set. It the incorrectly labeled data in the training from the dev and test sets. Note that it is are quite robust to having slightly difference ectly labeled data in the test set, so that ectly labeled data in the test set, so that	them as if you had added useful ry likely help. I labeled data on the dev set. Which of the dev and test sets continue to the same distribution for your t. Is to avoid your training set now and set, because it's okay for the important that the dev set and test out train and dev distributions.	1/1점		
together. This prevents your dataset from "swamping" the yellow lights dataset.	data is accurately capturing the disaccurate for the problem you're so accurate for the problem yes. If the synthesized images lood data to identify road signs and trout these statements do you agree with? (of you should also correct the incorrect come from the same distribution. ② 맞습니다 Yes because you want to make so algorithm to make your team's it You should correct incorrectly labe being even more different from your you do not necessarily need to fix training set distribution to differ from set have the same distribution. ② 맞습니다 True, deep learning algorithms and you should not correct the incorrect come from the same distribution. So far your algorithm only recognizes row work on recognizing a yellow traffic light the US convention of calling it yellow.)	istribution of real foggy images (or a sub olving. book realistic, then the model will just see traffic signals in a foggy weather. I will very you've decided to correct the incorrectly (Check all that apply). rectly labeled data in the test set, so that it is sure that your dev and test data come from the development process is efficient and the incorrectly labeled data in the training set as well so as our dev set. It the incorrectly labeled data in the training from the dev and test sets. Note that it is are quite robust to having slightly different eactly labeled data in the test set, so that it is are quite robust to having slightly different eactly labeled data in the test set, so that it is are quite robust to having slightly different eactly labeled data in the test set, so that it is are quite robust to having slightly different eactly labeled data in the test set, so that it is are quite robust to having slightly different eactly labeled data in the test set, so that it is are quite robust to having slightly different eactly labeled data in the test set, so that it is are quite robust to having slightly different eactly labeled data in the test set.	them as if you had added useful ry likely help. I labeled data on the dev set. Which of the dev and test sets continue to to avoid your training set now and test sets and test important that the dev set and test important that the dev set and test the dev and test sets continue to training and dev distributions.			
	data is accurately capturing the disaccurate for the problem you're so accurate for the problem yes. If the synthesized images lood data to identify road signs and trout these statements do you agree with? (of you should also correct the incorrect come from the same distribution. ② 맞습니다 Yes because you want to make so algorithm to make your team's it You should correct incorrectly labe being even more different from your you do not necessarily need to fix training set distribution to differ from set have the same distribution. ② 맞습니다 True, deep learning algorithms and you should not correct the incorrect come from the same distribution. So far your algorithm only recognizes row work on recognizing a yellow traffic light the US convention of calling it yellow.)	istribution of real foggy images (or a sub olving. book realistic, then the model will just see traffic signals in a foggy weather. I will very you've decided to correct the incorrectly (Check all that apply). rectly labeled data in the test set, so that it is sure that your dev and test data come from the development process is efficient and the incorrectly labeled data in the training set as well so as our dev set. It the incorrectly labeled data in the training from the dev and test sets. Note that it is are quite robust to having slightly different eactly labeled data in the test set, so that it is are quite robust to having slightly different eactly labeled data in the test set, so that it is are quite robust to having slightly different eactly labeled data in the test set, so that it is are quite robust to having slightly different eactly labeled data in the test set, so that it is are quite robust to having slightly different eactly labeled data in the test set, so that it is are quite robust to having slightly different eactly labeled data in the test set, so that it is are quite robust to having slightly different eactly labeled data in the test set.	them as if you had added useful ry likely help. I labeled data on the dev set. Which of the dev and test sets continue to to avoid your training set now and test sets and test important that the dev set and test important that the dev set and test the dev and test sets continue to training and dev distributions.			
A MANAGEM REPORTED FOR THE STATE OF THE STAT	data is accurately capturing the dis accurate for the problem you're so decurate for the problem you're so death to identify road signs and transfer for these statements do you agree with? (Or You should also correct the incorrect come from the same distribution. ② 맞습니다 Yes because you want to make so algorithm to make your team's it to being even more different from you you do not necessarily need to fix training set distribution to differ from set have the same distribution. ② 맞습니다 True, deep learning algorithms and You should not correct the incorrect come from the same distribution. So far your algorithm only recognizes round work on recognizing a yellow traffic light the US convention of calling it yellow.) If she has (say) 10,000 images of your lift she had the	istribution of real foggy images (or a sub olving. book realistic, then the model will just see traffic signals in a foggy weather. I will veryou've decided to correct the incorrectly (Check all that apply). rectly labeled data in the test set, so that it is sure that your dev and test data come from the development process is efficient and the incorrectly labeled data in the training set as well so as our dev set. If the incorrectly labeled data in the training from the dev and test sets. Note that it is are quite robust to having slightly different ectly labeled data in the test set, so that it is are quite robust to having slightly different ectly labeled data in the test set, so that it is are quite robust to having slightly different entire that it is a supplied to the first of the process of the	them as if you had added useful ry likely help. I labeled data on the dev set. Which of the dev and test sets continue to om the same distribution for your to avoid your training set now Ing set, because it's okay for the important that the dev set and test Int train and dev distributions. Ithe dev and test sets continue to colleagues in the startup is starting to t rather than a yellow light; we'll use the rare, and she doesn't have enough rining.			
THE STATE OF THE PROPERTY OF T	data is accurately capturing the disaccurate for the problem you're so accurate for the problem you agree with? (If these statements do you	istribution of real foggy images (or a sub olving. book realistic, then the model will just see traffic signals in a foggy weather. I will veryou've decided to correct the incorrectly (Check all that apply). rectly labeled data in the test set, so that it is sure that your dev and test data come from the development process is efficient about device the incorrectly labeled data in the training set as well so as our dev set. If the incorrectly labeled data in the training from the dev and test sets. Note that it is are quite robust to having slightly different ectly labeled data in the test set, so that it is are quite robust to having slightly different ectly labeled data in the test set, so that it is are quite robust to having slightly different ectly labeled data in the test set, so that it is are quite robust to having slightly different ectly labeled data in the test set, so that it is a set of the process of the test set, so that it is a set of the process of the test set, so that it is a set of the process of the pr	them as if you had added useful ry likely help. I labeled data on the dev set. Which of the dev and test sets continue to the avoid your training set now and set, because it's okay for the important that the dev set and test and test the dev and test sets continue to colleagues in the startup is starting to			

yellow label.

She should try using weights pre-trained on your dataset, and fine-tuning further with the yellow-light dataset.	
Recommend that she try multi-task learning instead of transfer learning using all the data.	
Yes. You have trained your model on a huge dataset, and she has a small dataset. Although your labels are different, the parameters of your model have been trained to recognize many characteristics of road and traffic images which will be useful for her problem. This is a perfect case for transfer learning, she can start with a model with the same architecture as yours, change what is after the last hidden layer and initialize it with your trained parameters.	
13. Another colleague wants to use microphones placed outside the car to better hear if there are other vehicles around you. For example, if there is a police vehicle behind you, you would be able to hear their siren. However, they don't have much to train this audio system. How can you help?	1/1점
Neither transfer learning nor multi-task learning seems promising.	
Either transfer learning or multi-task learning could help our colleague get going faster.	
Multi-task learning from your vision dataset could help your colleague get going faster. Transfer learning seems significantly less promising.	
Transfer learning from your vision dataset could help your colleague get going faster. Multi-task learning seems significantly less promising.	
맞습니다 Yes. The problem he is trying to solve is quite different from yours. The different dataset structures make it probably impossible to use transfer learning or multi-task learning.	
4. To recognize red and green lights, you have been using this approach:	1/1점
 (A) Input an image (x) to a neural network and have it directly learn a mapping to make a prediction as to whether there's a red light and/or green light (y). 	
A teammate proposes a different, two-step approach:	
 (B) In this two-step approach, you would first (i) detect the traffic light in the image (if any), then (ii) determine the color of the illuminated lamp in the traffic light. 	
Between these two, Approach B is more of an end-to-end approach because it has distinct steps for the input end and the output end. True/False?	
False	
O True	
맞습니다 Yes. (A) is an end-to-end approach as it maps directly the input (x) to the output (y).	
5. Approach A (in the question above) tends to be more promising than approach B if you have a (fill in the blank).	1/1점
O Large bias problem.	
Large training set	
O Problem with a high Bayes error.	
Multi-task learning problem.	
 ♡ 맞습니다 Yes. In many fields, it has been observed that end-to-end learning works better in practice, but requires a large amount of data. 	