

# FakeCheck Search Prototype: Search Engine for Verifying Online Claims

**Hitarth Chopra**  
Texas A&M University  
hitarth@tamu.edu  
NetID: hitarth

**David Zhao**  
Texas A&M University  
sixingzhao@tamu.edu  
NetID: sixingzhao

**Tony Vu**  
Texas A&M University  
quocduyvu6262@tamu.edu  
NetID: quocduyvu6262

## 001 1 What is the function of your tool?

002 FakeCheck Search is a prototype search engine that  
003 helps users verify factual claims. When a user inputs  
004 a statement (e.g., “Electric cars cause more pollution  
005 than gasoline cars”), the system retrieves relevant  
006 evidence from reliable news or web sources,  
007 classifies each snippet as *supporting*, *refuting*, or  
008 *neutral* toward the claim, and presents a concise  
009 summary verdict with clear visual indicators and  
010 source links. Unlike a generic search engine, it  
011 emphasizes evidence polarity and transparency.

## 012 2 Why do we need such a tool and who 013 will use it?

014 Misinformation and cherry-picked evidence are  
015 widespread online. Most users lack the time or  
016 skill to manually verify multiple sources. This tool  
017 benefits:

- 018 • General users who want to quickly check  
019 claims from social media (e.g., Reddit, X, Tik-  
020 Tok).
- 021 • Journalists and students verifying statements  
022 for reporting or research.
- 023 • Educators studying public information credi-  
024 bility.

025 It makes search results more trustworthy and inter-  
026 pretable, promoting media literacy.

## 027 3 Does this kind of tool already exist? 028 How is yours different?

029 Yes—but only partially. Existing tools such as  
030 Google Fact Check Explorer search known fact-  
031 check articles, while ClaimBuster detects factual  
032 claims but does not verify them. Human-written  
033 sites like Snopes and Full Fact provide manual fact  
034 checks for limited claims.

035 Our system differs by:

- Handling arbitrary user claims, not just known ones.
- Showing evidence polarity (support/refute/neutral) transparently.
- Allowing interactive exploration instead of static verdicts.

People will care about the difference because they can instantly see both sides of evidence rather than a single “true/false” label. The main challenge lies in balancing accuracy, explainability, and efficiency—especially when retrieving and classifying noisy, contradictory web information.

## 4 How do you plan to build it?

1. **Claim Embedding:** Convert user claim to a semantic vector using SentenceTransformer (all-MiniLM-L6-v2).
2. **Evidence Retrieval:** Use FAISS or ElasticSearch to retrieve top- $k$  related passages from Wikipedia or a NewsAPI dataset.
3. **Entailment Classification:** Use a Natural Language Inference model (roberta-large-mnli) to label retrieved evidence as support/refute/neutral.
4. **Summarization and Ranking:** Aggregate evidence and optionally summarize using a small T5-small model.
5. **Frontend:** Implement an interactive interface using Streamlit or Gradio for visualization.

## 5 What existing resources will you use?

We will use pretrained models from HuggingFace (SentenceTransformers, NLI models, T5), datasets such as Wikipedia dumps, PolitiFact, and NewsAPI.org articles, and libraries like FAISS, Transformers, and Streamlit. For evaluation, we will use the FEVER dataset for claim–evidence correctness.

071           **6 How will you demonstrate usefulness?**

- 072           • **Live demo:** User enters claim → retrieved  
073           evidence shown with polarity colors.
- 074           • **Case study:** Compare with Google search  
075           results to show improved interpretability.
- 076           • **Evaluation:** Measure entailment classifica-  
077           tion accuracy on a labeled set.
- 078           • **User feedback:** Short survey on trust and  
079           clarity of results.

080           **7 Rough timeline and milestones**

- 081           **Week 1** Define scope, collect datasets (Wikipedia, news)  
**Week 2** Implement embedding + retrieval pipeline  
**Week 3** Integrate entailment classification model  
**Week 4** Build UI with evidence polarity visualization  
**Week 5** Evaluate and refine models  
**Week 6** Record demo and finalize report