a – c. Below is a table that breaks down the entities and multiplicity constraints for each relationship:

| Entity 1 | Multiplicity 1 | Relationship | Multiplicity 2 | Entity 2 | Type of Rel. |
|----------|----------------|--------------|----------------|-----------|--------------|
| Client | 11/1 | Requires | 0* | Service | 1:* |
| Service | 0* | Needs | 0* | Equipment | *:* |
| Employee | 1* | Assigned To | 0* | Service | *:* |

We made the following assumptions:

- Clients can be registered with the cleaning company and have zero, one, or many services, however, each service is unique to a single client. Moreover, a service can only exist if it is required by a company so there is mandatory participation for the service.
- If an employee is new or is on break it's possible they won't be actively working to deliver a service. Therefore, an employee can be assigned to none, one, or many services. A service can only be performed if it is assigned to at least one employee (otherwise no one can carry out the task). It can also be assigned to many employees.
- Services may need none, one, or many pieces of special equipment. Similarly, the company will have a registry of its special equipment but that does not mean the equipment needs to actively be in use for a service. So, a piece of special equipment may be used in none, one, or many services.
- d. The attributes of the entities can be seen below:

Client (clientNo, fName, IName, address, telNo)

Service (**serviceNo**, startDate, startTime, duration, comments)

Employee (staffNo, fName, IName, address, salary, telNo)

Equipment (equipNo, description, usage, cost)

e. The candidate and primary keys for each candidate are given below:

Client {PK}: clientNo
Service {PK}: serviceNo
Employee {PK}: staffNo
Equipment {PK}: equipNo

f. Taking the previous details into consideration, this is the Entity-Relationship diagram we developed for the conceptual data model:

