

Introduction to **Information Retrieval**

Evaluation IR systems

Measures for a search engine

- How fast does it index
 - Number of documents/hour
 - (Average document size)
 - How fast does it search
 - Latency as a function of index size
 - Quality of results
 - Precision
 - Recall
 - F-measure
 - Expressiveness of query language
 - Ability to express complex information needs
-
- Efficiency**
- Effectiveness**
- Usability**

Evaluating an IR system

- Note: the **information need** is translated into a **query**
- Relevance is assessed relative to the **information need** *not* the **query**
- E.g., Information need: *I'm looking for information on whether travelling by train from Cairo to Assuit is more effective than flying.*
- Query: ***travelling by train from Cairo to Assuit effective***
- Evaluate whether the doc addresses the information need, not whether it has these words

Standard relevance benchmarks

- TREC - National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) has run a large IR test bed for many years
- Reuters and other benchmark doc collections used
- “Retrieval tasks” specified
 - sometimes as queries
- Human experts mark, for each query and for each doc, Relevant or Nonrelevant
 - or at least for subset of docs that some system returned for that query

Unranked retrieval evaluation: Precision and Recall

- **Precision:** fraction of retrieved docs that are relevant
= (relevant retrieved / retrieved)
- **Recall:** fraction of relevant docs that are retrieved
= (relevant retrieved/relevant)

	Relevant	Nonrelevant
Retrieved	tp	fp
Not Retrieved	fn	tn

- Precision $P = tp / (tp + fp)$
- Recall $R = tp / (tp + fn)$

Should we instead use the accuracy measure for evaluation?

- Given a query, an engine classifies each doc as “Relevant” or “Nonrelevant”
- The **accuracy** of an engine: the fraction of these classifications that are correct
 - $(tp + tn) / (tp + fp + fn + tn)$
- **Accuracy** is a commonly used evaluation measure in machine learning classification work

Precision/Recall

- You can get **high recall** (but low precision) by **retrieving all docs for all queries!**
- Recall is a non-decreasing function of the number of docs retrieved
- In a good system, precision decreases as either the number of docs retrieved or recall increases
 - This is not a theorem, but a result with strong empirical confirmation

A combined measure: F

- Combined measure that assesses precision/recall tradeoff is **F measure** (weighted harmonic mean):

$$F = \frac{1}{a \frac{1}{P} + (1 - a) \frac{1}{R}}$$

- People usually use balanced F_1 measure
 - i.e., with $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$

$$F_1 = \frac{2 PR}{P + R}$$

Evaluating ranked results

- Evaluation of ranked results:
 - The system can return any number of results
 - By taking various numbers of the top returned documents (levels of recall), the evaluator can produce a *precision-recall curve*

Averaging over queries

- A precision-recall graph for one query isn't a very sensible thing to look at
- You need to average performance over a whole bunch of queries.
- But there's a technical issue:
 - Precision-recall calculations place some points on the graph

Typical (good) 11 point precisions

