

CS251 Homework 1

Handed out: Feb 20, 2017

Due date: Feb 27, 2017 at 11:59pm (This is a **FIRM** deadline, solutions will be released immediately after the deadline)

Question	Topic	Point Value	Score
1	True / False	5	
2	Match the Columns	7	
3	Short Answers	22	
4	Programming Questions	22	
5	Symbol Tables	4	
Total		60	

1. True/False [5 points]

1. Amortized analysis is used to determine the worst case running time of an algorithm. **T**
2. An algorithm using $5n^3 + 12n \log n$ operations is a $\Theta(n \log n)$ algorithm. **F**
3. An array is partially sorted if the number of inversions is linearithmic. **T**
4. Shellsort is an unstable sorting algorithm. **T**
5. Some inputs cause Quicksort to use a quadratic number of compares. **T**

2. Match the columns [7 points]

A. Mergesort	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/> 1. Works well with duplicates
B. Quicksort	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/> 2. Optimal time and space
C. Shellsort	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/> 3. Works well with order
D. Insertion sort	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/> 4. Not analyzed
E. Selection sort	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/> 5. Stable and fast
F. 3-way quicksort	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/> 6. Optimal data movement
G. Heapsort	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/> 7. Fast general-purpose sort

3. Short Answers [22 points]

- (a) Suppose that the running time $T(n)$ of an algorithm on an input of size n satisfies $T(n) = T(\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil) + T(\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor) + cn$ for all $n > 2$, where c is a positive constant. Prove that $T(n) \sim cn \log_2 n$. **[4 points]**

$$\begin{aligned}
 T(n) &\geq T(\text{celi}(n/2)) + T(\text{floor}(n/2)) + cn \\
 \text{Say } n &= 2^k, \text{ floor}(n/2) = \text{ceiling}(n/2) = 2^{k-1} \\
 T(2^k)/2^k &= 2T(2^{k-1})/2^{k-1} + c2^k/2^k \\
 T(2^k)/2^k &= T(2^{k-1})/2^{k-1} + c = T(2^{k-2})/2^{k-2} + 1 + c \\
 T(2^k)/2^k &= (2^0)/(2^0) + ck \\
 T(n) = T(2^k) &= kc2^k = cn \log_2 n \\
 T(n) &\sim cn \log_2 n
 \end{aligned}$$

(b) Rank the following functions in increasing order of their asymptotic complexity class. If some are in the same class indicate so. **[4 points]**

- $n \log n$
 - $n^2/201$
 - n
 - $\log^7 n$
 - $2^{n/2}$
 - $n(n-1) + 3n$
- $\log^7 n < n < n \log n < n^2/201 < n(n-1) + 3n < 2^{n/2}$

(c) Consider the following code fragment for an array of integers:

```
int count = 0;
int N = a.length;
Arrays.sort(a);
for (int i = 0; i < N; i++)
    for (int j = i+1; j < N; j++)
        for (int k = j+1; k < N; k++)
            if (a[i] + a[j] + a[k] == 0)
                count++;
```

Give a formula in tilde notation that expresses its running time as a function of N. If you observe that it takes 500 seconds to run the code for N=200, predict what the running time will be for N=10000. **[5 points]**

$$n(n-1)(n-2)/6 + n \log n \sim N^3$$

$$500 = a * (200(200-1)(200-2)/6 + n \log n) = 500 / (200(200-1)(200-2)/6) = a$$


$$a * (10000 * 9999 * 9998 / 6 + 10000 \log 10000) =$$

$$6.33777 * 10^7 \text{ seconds}$$

(d) In Project 2 you were asked to use `Arrays.sort(Object o)` because this sort is stable. What sorting algorithm seen in class is used in this case? What sorting algorithm would you use if instead of dealing with `Point` objects you were handling `float` values? Justify your answer. **[4 points]**

ANSWER : Merge sort because it is efficient to use it on object. I will use quicksort because float is primitive type, so stability of sort is meaningless. Also, it has faster average case, and even if it has n^2 worst case, it is very easy to avoid the worst case. And it works as in-place.

(e) Convert the following (*Infix*) expressions to *Postfix* and *Prefix* expressions
(To answer this question you may find helpful to think of an expression "a + b" as the tree below.) **[5 points]**



(i) $(a + b) * (c / d)$

(ii) $a * (b / c) - d * e$

(iii) $a + (b * c) / d - e$

(iv) $a * b + c * (d / e)$

(v) $a * (b / c) + d / e$

i) post : $ab+cd/*$ pre : $*+ab/cd$

ii) post : $abc/*de*-$ pre : $-*/abc*de$

iii) post : $abc*d/+e-$ pre : $-+a/*bcde$

iv) post : $ab*cde/*+pre : +*abc*c/de$

v) post : $abc/*de/+$ pre : $+*a/bc/de$

4. Programming Questions [22 points]

(a) Give the pseudocode to convert a fully parenthesized expression (*i.e.*, an INFIX expression) to a POSTFIX expression and then evaluate the POSTFIX expression.
[5 points]

Program infixToPost:

s = stack initialize

while (i = 0 to i < length of infix as char[]) // start of while

switch(infix[i])

case number:

result += infix[i]

break;

case '(':

s.push(infix[i])

break;

case ')':

while(s.top() is not '(')

result = s.pop();

s.pop()

break;

case operator(+, -, *, /):

while (s is not empty, s.top() is not '(', and
infix[i] has less or equal precedence than s.top())

// while start

result += s.pop();

//end of while

s.push(infix[i])

break;

//end of while

while(s is not empty) //start of while

result += s.pop()

//end of while

(b) Given two sets A and B represented as sorted sequences, give Java code or pseudocode of an efficient algorithm for computing $A \oplus B$, which is the set of elements that are in A or B, but not in both. Explain why your method is correct.
[5 points]

ANSWER TO (b)

```
//assume that a and b has same size

import java.util.HashMap;
public class computeXor {
    HashMap<Integer, Integer> a;
    int b[];
    boolean xor[];
    public computeXor(int a[], int b[]) {
        this.a = new HashMap<Integer, Integer>();
        this.b = b.clone();
        for(int i = 0; i < a.length; i++) {
            this.a.put(a[i],a[i]);
        }
        xor = new boolean[b.length];
    }

    public void compute(HashMap a,int b[]) {
        for(int i = 0 ; i < b.length; i++) {
            if(a.containsKey(b[i])) {
                xor[i] = false;
            }else {
                xor[i] = true;
            }
        }
    }

    public HashMap<Integer, Integer> getA() {
        return a;
    }

    public int[] getB() {
        return b;
    }

    public boolean[] getXor() {
        return xor;
    }

    public static void main(String[] args) {
        int[] a = {0,0,1};
        int[] b = {0,1,2};
        computeXor x = new computeXor(a,b);
        x.compute(x.getA(),x.getB());
        for(int i = 0; i < x.getXor().length;i++) {
            System.out.println(x.getXor()[i]);
        }
    }
}
```

I put all a value into hashmap, therefore If there is key(b) contained in hashmap, then it means a has same value with b, so it is false. But if nothing found with key, then it is true because it means it has no same value.

(c) Let A be an unsorted array of integers $a_0, a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{n-1}$. An inversion in A is a pair of indices (i, j) with $i < j$ and $a_i > a_j$. Modify the merge sort algorithm so as to count the total number of inversions in A in time $\mathcal{O}(n \log n)$. [5 points]

```
public class Merge {
    public static int sort(int[] a) {
        return sort(a, 0, a.length-1);
    }

    public static int sort(int[] a, int lo, int hi) {
        if(hi <= lo) return 0;
        int mid = lo + (hi-lo)/2;
        int inversion = sort(a, lo, mid);
        inversion += sort(a, mid+1, hi);
        inversion += merge(a, lo, mid, hi);
        return inversion;
    }
}
```

```
static int merge(int[] arr, int lo, int mid, int hi) {
    int n1 = mid - lo + 1;
    int n2 = hi - mid;
    int i = 0, j = 0, k = lo, invCount = 0;
    int[] t1 = new int[n1];
    int[] t2 = new int[n2];

    for( i = 0; i < n1; i++)
        t1[i] = arr[lo + i];
    for( j = 0; j < n2; j++)
        t2[j] = arr[mid + j + 1];
    i = 0;
    j = 0;
    while (i < n1 && j < n2) {
        if(t1[i] <= t2[j])
            arr[k++] = t1[i++];
        else {
            arr[k++] = t2[j++];
            invCount += mid - i + 1 - lo;
        }
    }
}
```

```
while(j < n2)
    arr[k++] = t2[j++];
while(i < n1)
    arr[k++] = t1[i++];
return invCount;
}

public static void main(String args[]) {
    int[] a = {2, 4, 1, 3, 5};
    System.out.println("inversion : "+ sort(a));
}
}
```

(d) Let $A[1 \dots n]$, $B[1 \dots n]$ be two arrays, each containing n numbers in sorted order. Devise an $\mathcal{O}(\log n)$ algorithm that computes the k -th largest number of the $2n$ numbers in the union of the two arrays. Do not just give pseudocode — explain your algorithm and analyze its running time.

For full credit propose a solution using constant space. **[7 points]**

DOUBLE CLICK

$A[1,2,3,\dots,N]$, $B[1,2,3,\dots,N]$

Program kthLargest(int kth) {

 let $s1 = A$'s length, $s2 = B$'s length

$k = s1 + s2 - kth + 1$ //convert kth to k so we can find k'th smallest, which //is same as kth'th largest!

 indexA, indexB, step = 0

 while(indexA + indexB < k-1)

 step = $(k - \text{indexA} - \text{indexB}) / 2$

 stepA = indexA + step

 stepB = index2 + step

 if($s1 > \text{stepA} - 1$ and ($s2 \leq \text{stepB} - 1$ or $A[\text{stepA} - 1] < B[\text{stepB} - 1]$)) indexA = stepA

 else

 indexB = stepB

 //while end

 if($s1 > \text{indexA}$ and ($s2 \leq \text{indexB}$ or $A[\text{indexA}] < B[\text{indexB}]$))

 return $A[\text{indexA}]$

 else

 return $B[\text{index2}]$

}

It is actually implementation of finding kth smallest, but we know that it is ordered and $(\text{length} - k)$ th smallest is kth largest. And because it is modified binary search, so it is $\mathcal{O}(\log k)$

5. Symbol Tables [4 points]

Draw the Red-Black LL BST obtained by inserting following keys in the given order:
H O M E W O R K S.

