

# Homework 7

niceguy

March 15, 2023

1. Let  $A \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{C})$  be an upper triangular matrix. Show that  $A$  is normal if and only if  $A$  is diagonal.

**Solution:** If  $A$  is diagonal, then obviously the unit vectors  $e_i$  are eigenvectors with eigenvalue  $A_{ii}$ . Hence there is an orthonormal basis composed of eigenvectors, implying  $A$  is normal. Then assume  $A$  is normal. Now  $A^* = \overline{A}^t$ . So

$$AA_{ik}^* = \sum_j A_{ij} A_{jk}^* = \sum_j A_{ij} \overline{A_{kj}}$$

and

$$A^* A_{ik} = \sum_j A_{ij}^* A_{jk} = \sum_j \overline{A_{ji}} A_{jk}$$

Since  $A$  is normal,  $AA^* = A^*A$ . By induction, one can prove that the non diagonal elements of each row are all zero. For the first row, put  $i = k = 1$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_j A_{1j} \overline{A_{1j}} &= \sum_j \overline{A_{j1}} A_{j1} \\ \sum_j |A_{1j}|^2 &= |A_{11}|^2 \\ \sum_{j>1} |A_{1j}|^2 &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Since  $A$  is upper triangular, the latter terms of the sum on the right hand side vanish. So  $A_{1j} = 0$  for  $j > 1$ . The non diagonal elements of the first row vanish. Now assume this holds for  $i = k = 1, \dots, m$ . For  $i = k = m + 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_j A_{m+1,j} \overline{A_{m+1,j}} &= \sum_j \overline{A_{j,m+1}} A_{j,m+1} \\ \sum_j |A_{m+1,j}|^2 &= |A_{m+1,m+1}|^2 \\ \sum_{j>m+1} |A_{m+1,j}|^2 &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Since  $A$  is upper triangular, the terms for  $j > m + 1$  on the sum on the right hand side vanish. The terms for  $j < m + 1$  are also zero, as they are non diagonal elements of previous rows, which are assumed to be zero for  $i = k = 1, \dots, m$ . So  $A_{m+1,j} = 0$  for  $j > m + 1$ . It is also zero for  $j < m + 1$  as  $A$  is upper triangular. Hence the non diagonal elements of the  $m + 1$ th row vanish. By induction, only the diagonal elements of  $A$  can be nonzero, meaning  $A$  is diagonal.

2. Let  $V$  be a finite dimensional complex inner product space, and  $P \in \mathcal{L}(V)$  be a projection. Show that

$P$  is normal if and only if it is an orthogonal projection.

**Solution:** If  $P$  is normal, then

$$P = \sum_{\lambda} \lambda P_{\lambda}$$

and

$$P^2 = \sum_{\lambda} \lambda^2 P_{\lambda}$$

Let  $v$  be an eigenvector with eigenvalue  $\lambda$ . Then for  $P$  to be a projection,  $P^2 = P$ , so

$$P^2 v = \lambda^2 v = P v = \lambda v$$

So  $\lambda = 0$  or  $\lambda = 1$ . This holds for all eigenvalues. Then we can arrange the eigenvectors as  $v_1, \dots, v_k, v_{k+1}, \dots, v_n$  where  $v_1, \dots, v_k$  all have eigenvalues of 1, and the rest have eigenvalues of 0. Then  $P$  is an orthogonal projection on the subspace  $\text{span}\{v_1, \dots, v_k\} \subseteq V$ .

Now if  $P$  is an orthogonal projection, let  $v_1, \dots, v_k$  be an orthonormal basis for  $\text{ran}(P)$ . Then  $P v_i = v_i \forall 1 \leq i \leq k$ . Extend this to an orthonormal basis for  $V$ , namely  $v_1, \dots, v_k, v_{k+1}, \dots, v_n$ . As  $P$  is a projection,  $P v_i = 0 \forall i > k$ . Then all of  $v_i$  are eigenvectors with eigenvalues 0 or 1. Since  $V$  has an orthonormal basis of eigenvectors,  $P$  is normal.

3. Let  $V$  be a finite dimensional complex inner product space, and  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ .

- (a) Show that if  $v$  is an eigenvector of  $T^*T$ , with nonzero eigenvalue, then  $Tv$  is an eigenvector of  $TT^*$ , with the same eigenvalue.
- (b) Prove that  $TT^*$  and  $T^*T$  have the same eigenvalues, with the same multiplicities.

**Solution:** If  $v$  is an eigenvector of  $T^*T$  with eigenvalue  $\lambda$ , then

$$TT^*(Tv) = T(T^*T)v = T(\lambda v) = \lambda Tv$$

Hence  $Tv$  is an eigenvector of  $TT^*$  with eigenvalue  $\lambda$ .

Then consider  $E(\lambda, T^*T)$  where  $\lambda \neq 0$ . Let  $v_1, \dots, v_m$  be an orthonormal basis for it. Consider  $Tv_1, \dots, Tv_m$ . Obviously this set of vectors are all in  $E(\lambda, TT^*)$ . In fact, they are linearly independent. Or else

$$Tw = 0$$

for some nonzero  $w$  which is a linear combination of  $v_1, \dots, v_m$ . Then  $T^*Tw = 0$ . However, as  $v_1, \dots, v_m$  are eigenvectors, this also means  $T^*Tw = \lambda w \neq 0$  with both  $\lambda$  and  $w$  being nonzero. This yields a contradiction.

Now we show that  $Tv_1, \dots, Tv_m$  span  $E(\lambda, TT^*)$ . By contradiction, let

$$Tv_1, \dots, Tv_m, v_{m+1}$$

be a linearly independent list in  $E(\lambda, TT^*)$ . Substituting  $S = T^*$ , we can similarly show that

$$STv_1, \dots, STv_m, Sv_{m+1}$$

is a linearly independent list of length  $m + 1$  in  $E(\lambda, T^*T)$ . Then  $v_1, \dots, v_m$  with a shorter length of  $m$  cannot be a basis of  $E(\lambda, T^*T)$ , which is a contradiction. Hence we know  $TT^*$  and  $T^*T$  have the same nonzero eigenvalues with the same multiplicities.

Note that both  $TT^*$  and  $T^*T$  are self adjoint, so they are normal. This means there is an orthonormal

basis of  $V$  consisting of eigenvectors of  $TT^*$ , same for  $T^*T$ . This also means  $V$  has a direct sum decomposition of eigenspaces of  $TT^*$  for all of its eigenvalues, similar for  $T^*T$ . Let  $k$  be the sum of dimensions of all nonzero eigenspaces of  $TT^*$  or  $T^*T$  (they are the same). Then the kernel of both  $TT^*$  and  $T^*T$  are equal to  $n - k$ . If  $n = k$ , then they both do not have 0 as an eigenvalue. Or else, they both have 0 as an eigenvalue, with kernels of the same dimension. In both cases,  $TT^*$  and  $T^*T$  have the same eigenvalues (including 0) with the same multiplicities.

4. Let  $T : \mathbb{C}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^3$  be the operator give on standard basis vectors as

$$Te_1 = e_3, Te_2 = ie_1, Te_3 = -3ie_2$$

- (a) Compute  $\sqrt{T^*T}$  and  $\sqrt{TT^*}$ .
- (b) Compute  $U_1 = T(\sqrt{T^*T})^{-1}$ , and verify that it is unitary.
- (c) Compute  $U_2 = (\sqrt{TT^*})^{-1}T$ , and verify that it is unitary.

**Solution:**

$$\langle T(a_1e_1 + a_2e_2 + a_3e_3), e_1 \rangle = \langle a_2ie_1 - 3a_3ie_2 + a_1e_3, e_1 \rangle = a_2i = \langle a_1e_1 + a_2e_2 + a_3e_3, T^*e_1 \rangle$$

thus  $T^*e_1 = ie_2$ .

$$\langle T(a_1e_1 + a_2e_2 + a_3e_3), e_2 \rangle = \langle a_2ie_1 - 3a_3ie_2 + a_1e_3, e_2 \rangle = -3a_3i = \langle a_1e_1 + a_2e_2 + a_3e_3, T^*e_2 \rangle$$

thus  $T^*e_2 = -3ie_3$ .

$$\langle T(a_1e_1 + a_2e_2 + a_3e_3), e_3 \rangle = \langle a_2ie_1 - 3a_3ie_2 + a_1e_3, e_3 \rangle = a_1 = \langle a_1e_1 + a_2e_2 + a_3e_3, T^*e_3 \rangle$$

thus  $T^*e_3 = e_1$ .

Then

$$T * Te_1 = e_1, T^*Te_2 = -e_2, T^*Te_3 = -9e_3$$

and

$$TT^*e_1 = -e_1, TT^*e_2 = -9e_2, TT^*e_3 = e_3$$

The square roots are then

$$\sqrt{T^*T} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & i & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 3i \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$\sqrt{TT^*} = \begin{pmatrix} i & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 3i & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{aligned} U_1 &= T(\sqrt{T^*T})^{-1} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -3i \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -i & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\frac{i}{3} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

Then  $U_1 e_1 = e_3, U_1 e_2 = e_1, U_1 e_3 = -e_2$ .  $U_1$  is obviously invertible, as its determinant is nonzero.

$$\langle a_1 e_1 + a_2 e_2 + a_3 e_3, b_1 e_1 + b_2 e_2 + b_3 e_3 \rangle = a_1 b_1 + a_2 b_2 + a_3 b_3$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \langle U_1(a_1 e_1 + a_2 e_2 + a_3 e_3), U_1(b_1 e_1 + b_2 e_2 + b_3 e_3) \rangle &= \langle a_2 e_1 - a_3 e_2 + a_1 e_3, b_2 e_1 - b_3 e_2 + b_1 e_3 \rangle \\ &= a_1 b_1 + a_2 b_2 + a_3 b_3 \\ &= \langle a_1 e_1 + a_2 e_2 + a_3 e_3, b_1 e_1 + b_2 e_2 + b_3 e_3 \rangle \end{aligned}$$

So  $U_1$  is unitary.

$$\begin{aligned} U_2 &= (\sqrt{TT^*})^{-1}T \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} -i & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\frac{i}{3} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -3i \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

Then  $U_2 e_1 = e_3, U_2 e_2 = e_1, U_2 e_3 = -e_2$ .  $U_2$  is obviously invertible, as its determinant is nonzero.

$$\begin{aligned} \langle U_2(a_1 e_1 + a_2 e_2 + a_3 e_3), U_2(b_1 e_1 + b_2 e_2 + b_3 e_3) \rangle &= \langle a_2 e_1 - a_3 e_2 + a_1 e_3, b_2 e_1 - b_3 e_2 + b_1 e_3 \rangle \\ &= a_1 b_1 + a_2 b_2 + a_3 b_3 \\ &= \langle a_1 e_1 + a_2 e_2 + a_3 e_3, b_1 e_1 + b_2 e_2 + b_3 e_3 \rangle \end{aligned}$$

So  $U_2$  is unitary.

5. Let  $V$  be a finite-dimensional complex inner product space. Are the following claims true or false? Justify your answer.

- (a) If  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$  is a positive operator, and  $S \in \mathcal{L}(V)$  with  $S^2 = T$ , then  $S$  must be self-adjoint.
- (b) If  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$  is self-adjoint, then the operator  $e^T$  (defined using functional calculus) is positive.
- (c) If  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$  is diagonalizable, then  $T$  is normal.

**Solution:** Let  $T$  be positive.  $S$  does not have to be self adjoint. Note that the zero matrix is a positive operator, as all eigenvalues are 0, which is nonnegative, and it is obviously self adjoint. Then let

$$S = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, T = S^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Then

$$\left\langle S \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 3 \\ 4 \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle = \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 3 \\ 4 \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle = 6$$

but

$$\left\langle \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}, S \begin{pmatrix} 3 \\ 4 \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle = \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 4 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle = 4 \neq \left\langle S \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 3 \\ 4 \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle$$

So  $S^* \neq S$ , and  $S$  is not self adjoint.

If  $T$  is self adjoint, then  $e^T$  is positive. Recall

$$T = \sum_{\lambda} \lambda P_{\lambda}$$

with spectrum  $\text{Spec}(T) \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ . Using functional calculus,

$$f(T) = \sum_{\lambda} f(\lambda) P_{\lambda}$$

where for  $f(T) = e^T$ ,  $f(\lambda) = e^{\lambda} > 0$  which always holds for positive  $\lambda$ . Now there is an orthonormal basis of eigenvectors of  $e^T$  (it shares the same eigenvectors as  $T$  which is normal), so  $e^T$  is normal. Since all of its eigenvalues are real and positive, it is self adjoint and positive.

Now let  $T$  be diagonalizable. It **does not** have to be normal. Consider

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix} = UDU^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Where  $U$  is invertible and  $D$  is diagonal as defined above. We see the first and third matrices in the last equality have a product of  $I$ , verifying this. Then the only eigenvectors (up to multiplication by a nonzero factor) are  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$  with eigenvalues 1 and 2 respectively. However, they are not orthonormal, as their inner product can never be zero. Then there is no orthonormal basis of eigenvectors, so  $T$  is not normal. This gives a counterexample.