X Try again once you are ready.

Required to pass: 80% or higher

You can retake this quiz up to 3 times every 8 hours.

Back to Week 3

Retake



0/1 points

1.

For which of the following situations would a 0/1 loss function make the most sense?



Your prediction as to whether it will rain tomorrow.

This should not be selected

A 0/1 loss function is only appropriate here if a false prediction of rain incurs the same loss as a false prediction of sunshine. It can be argued that carrying an umbrella when it doesn't rain is less of an inconvenience than forgetting an umbrella when it does rain.

This question refers to the following learning objective(s):

- Understand the concept of loss functions and how they relate to Bayesian decision making.
- Your prediction for the number of bikes sold this year by a local bike shop.
 Your answer choice on a Coursera multiple choice quiz.
 Your estimate of the market price of your house.

c. 11

2. Fill in the blank: Under a quadratic loss function , the summary statistic that minimizes the posterior expected loss is the of the posterior.			
	Mode		
0	Mean		
Correct Correct Answer. The mean is the summary statistic that minimizes the posterior expected loss under the quadratic loss function.			
This question refers to the following learning objective(s):			
 Understand the concept of loss functions and how they relate to Bayesian decision making 			
	Median		
~	1/1 points		
and are in the determent to follow	e employed in the Human Resources department of a sked to predict the number of employees that will coming year. Based on a Bayesian analysis of historication the posterior predictive distribution of the number a Poisson($\lambda=10$) distribution. Given a 0/1 loss furediction that minimizes posterior expected loss?	quit their jobs al data, you er of quitters	
	a. 9		
	b. 10		

Correct

Correct Answer. Both 9 and 10 are modes of Poisson(\$\lambda = 10\$). Since the loss function is 0/1, the mode of the posterior distribution minimizes posterior expected loss.

This question refers to the following learning objective(s):

 Make optimal decisions given a posterior distribution and a loss function.



1/1 points

4.

Suppose that you are trying to decide whether a coin is biased towards heads (p=0.75) or tails (p=0.25). If you decide incorrectly, you incur a loss of 10. Flipping another coin incurs a cost of 1. If your current posterior probability of a head-biased coin is 0.6, should you make the decision now or flip another coin and then decide?

- Flip another coin, since the minimum posterior expected loss of making the decision now is 4, while the minimum posterior expected loss of making the decision after seeing another coin flip is between 2 and 3.
- Flip another coin, since the minimum posterior expected loss of making the decision now is 4, while the minimum posterior expected loss of making the decision after seeing another coin flip is between 3 and 4.

Correct

This question refers to the following learning objective(s):

 Decide between hypotheses given a loss function: Make optimal decisions given a posterior distribution and a loss function.

	Make the decision now, since the minimum posterior expected loss of making the decision now is 4, while the minimum posterior expected loss of making the decision after seeing another coin flip is between 5 and 6.
	Make the decision now, since the minimum posterior expected loss of making the decision now is 4, while the minimum posterior expected loss of making the decision after seeing another coin flip is between 4 and 5.
~	1 / 1 points
using E guideli	e testing a hypothesis H_1 against an alternative hypothesis H_2 Bayes Factors. You calculate $BF[H_1:H_2]$ to be 42.7. According to thes first given by Jeffreys (and presented in the lecture), what sion can be drawn from the data?
	The data provides strong evidence against $H_1.$
	The data provides decisive evidence against $H_1.$
0	The data provides strong evidence against H_2 .
Corr This	ect question refers to the following learning objective(s):
• C	compare multiple hypotheses using Bayes Factors.
	The data provides decisive evidence against $H_2.$
×	0 / 1 points

6.

Suppose that you are trying to estimate the true proportion p of male births in the United States. Starting with a strong prior (Beta(500,500)) on the proportion, you randomly sample 10,000 birth certificates, observing 5029 males and 4971 females. What is the posterior probability that p is less than or equal to 0.5? (Hint: use function(s) in R to answer this question)

0.27

0.28

This should not be selected

Recall the conjugacy of the Beta-Binomial model. Use the pbeta function in R to calculate the posterior probability that p is less than 0.5.

This question refers to the following learning objective(s):

- Conceptualize Lindley's paradox and how the Bayes Factor depends on prior elicitation
- Make inferences about a proportion using a conjugate Beta prior.

0.29

Week 3 Quiz 0.30

7/10 points (70.00%)

Quiz, 10 questions



1/1 points

7.

True or False: A Bayesian hypothesis test for a mean $\mu=0$ using a concentrated prior on μ will yield nearly identical results to a hypothesis test with a high-variance prior μ ?

True

False

Correct

When testing hypotheses using Bayes Factors, prior specification is highly important. If the prior puts most of its mass on implausible values, the null model will be disproportionately favored.

This question refers to the following learning objective(s):

- Conceptualize Lindley's paradox and how the Bayes Factor depends on prior elicitation
- Compare multiple hypotheses using Bayes Factors.



1/1 points

8.

Suppose you are trying to infer the difference in the proportion of yellow M&Ms between two bags of M&Ms. Each bag is estimated to contain roughly 30 M&Ms. To make inferences about the proportion, you draw 10 M&Ms from each bag **with** replacement and record the number of yellow M&Ms in each bag.

Is there a problem with the experimental design? If so, what is it?



There is no problem with the experimental design.

Correct

This question refers to the following learning objective(s):

- Identify assumptions relating to a statistical inference.
- Yes, the probability of drawing a yellow M&M is not independent within groups.
- Yes, the probability of drawing a yellow M&M is not independent between groups.

9.

Suppose that when testing $H_0: \mu=0$ versus $H_1: \mu\neq 0$ using Bayes Factors, we get the posterior probability $P(H_0\mid {\rm data})=0.6$. Conditional on H_1 , the posterior mean of μ is 1.25. Under **quadratic** loss, what is the point estimate for μ that minimizes expected posterior loss?

- 0
- 0.5
- 1
- 1.25

This should not be selected

Under quadratic loss, the mean minimizes expected posterior loss. Use the posterior probabilities of each hypothesis to weight their respective means. Hint - if $H_0: \mu=0$ what is the posterior mean of μ under H_0 ?

This question refers to the following learning objective(s):

- Create point estimates and credible intervals by averaging over multiple hypotheses
- Make optimal decisions given a posterior distribution and a loss function.



1/1 points

10.

True or False: The use of the reference prior Beta(1/2,1/2) has little bearing on the results of a hypothesis test comparing p=0.5 versus $p\neq 0.5$, provided that the sample size is sufficiently large.

True



Correct

This question refers to the following learning objective(s):

• Conceptualize Lindley's paradox and how the Bayes Factor depends on prior elicitation.





