

Case study of Scalable Quantum Simulation of Molecular Energies

Shuhao Yang, James Mills, Shanice St John

June 18, 2019

1 Introduction

Richard Feynman’s words are as true today as when he spoke them: “Nature isn’t classical, dammit, and if you want to make a simulation of nature, you’d better make it quantum mechanical, and by golly it’s a wonderful problem, because it doesn’t look so easy.”

Simulation of physical systems is one of the most crucial practical applications of computation by far. On the macroscopic scale of our lives, for instance, buildings are engineered safe and cost efficient using finite element analysis and modeling. Aeronautics rely hugely on computational fluid dynamics simulations. Even nuclear weapons are tested by exhaustive computational modeling.

But even so, classical computation still has its limits, including cost and accuracy. Additionally, when it comes to simulating microscopic systems, classical technique also exhibits its downside on efficiency. In computational chemistry, each subatomic orbitals and their interactions needs to be considered to achieve an accurate and realistic simulation of the microscopic system.

Since the state of one orbital can be affected by all of the others, an exponential number of states in the basis of molecular orbitals should be computed. This is what we call ‘many body’ problems. No matter how far apart two orbitals are, their quantum states cannot be described independently, the repeated interactions between particles create quantum correlations, I.e., entanglement.

A more advanced computation technique is then employed, quantum computation. It harnesses the principles of quantum mechanics, hence solves the classically intractable problems more accurately, faster, with a fine-grained simulation.

For those information in our classical computers enough to fill up the entire memory, instead, a quantum computer can only use a few quantum bits, or qubits to store them [2]. since the classical storage unit ‘bit’ is binary system base on 0 and 1, but quantum computer encode them into two distinguishable quantum states, and one qubit can represent multiple states once by quantum phenomenon ‘superposition’. similarly, if we perform a searching algorithm on a classical string, the enumerate steps would be the number of bits within this string. comparably, our processing step will reduce a lot more as we only need to compute once in a quantum processor.

2 History

Molecules consist of various atoms and the elementary unit of an atom is electron and nucleus. When we consider atomic energy, electron-nuclei, electron-electron interactions must be examined. Molecular energy consists of interactions between multiple atoms, including interactions between electrons from different atoms, as well as nuclei. Molecular energy can be pictured as a large package that contains many small balls, and the sum of the energy of their collisions is the sum of interactions between atoms.

Obviously, it demands massive computation to find out the package energy as it contains a huge amount of particles. Therefore, some approximations need to be made to simplify our model, hence molecular mechanics is introduced.

Molecular mechanics models molecular systems using force fields in classical mechanics. Three approximations were made to reduce the computational complexity. Each atom simulated as one particle; each particle has their designated attributes including radius, Polaris ability and a net charge; and international are treated as springs with an equilibrium distance same as bond length. This theory essentially simulated every element of the molecular system as fixed particles connected by strings, which largely reduces the computational complexity.

A similar theory of reducing the amount of computation is called renormalisation group. A simple scenario is to calculate the resistance force of an iron ball in a glass of water. We can simply simulate every water molecule in the glass by inputting the real size of a single water molecule as one parameter. The sizes of the molecules can easily be another 1000 parameters as they can be quite irregular and difficult to describe. Forces from multiple directions of the iron ball could be another 1000 parameters. Inputting all parameters, modelling an iron ball and simulating 10^{26} water molecules and their interactions can give the resistance force. Let's say we have a supercomputer that allows tremendous amount of data processing and the result that this simulation gives coincides with experimental data perfectly.

But what if we want to reduce the computational complexity? One solution is to reduce the number of water molecule simulated, which is feasible given the relative bigger size of the iron ball. To make up for the loss in number of molecules, the rest parameters can be changed. Let's say we manipulated the parameters and the simulation gives slightly different solutions, but the overall trend is still the same as before.

The computation complexity can still be compressed. We can repeat the process of reducing the number of molecules and adjusting the parameters, and still generate acceptable solutions.

This process is called renormalisation group, and the results that this model generates is called low energy effective theory, in which the system size is reduced but the results is still acceptable and effective.

We now return to the theory of molecular mechanics, it is not exactly accurate since it is restricted by parameters of equations, ie difference force fields for different atoms. A similar and perhaps more comprehensible explanation can be made from the theory of renormalisation group. While decreasing the parameters of calculation and reducing computation time, the accuracy of the result is decreasing as well. Molecular mechanics does the same for force fields and interactions inside the atom.

Overall, the work on simulation molecular energies with classical methods is a trade off between precision and cost. Quantum simulation is able to contain all information relevant to the system and simulate them exactly as reality without approximations.

3 Non-technical background

In any quantum computing processes, the building blocks of the process are qubits. A qubit, or quantum bit, is the quantum analogue of the classical bit (strings of zeros and ones). So the qubit represents a quantum superposition or combination of a predetermined ‘0’ and a ‘1’ state. The quantum algorithms were run on superconducting qubits, these are a physical realisation of quantum bits based upon what are known as superconducting interference devices (SQUIDS), and attempt to find properties of the wavefunction of the molecule (in this case molecular Hydrogen).

The wavefunction of a quantum system is a mathematical construct describing the state, it provides probabilities for results of particular measurements made on the state. In quantum chemistry the electronic structure of a molecule is described by the movement of the electrons of a collection of fixed atomic nuclei. And so a comprehensive description of the electronic structure encompasses the wavefunctions of all of these electrons, along with their respective energies. The idea that the motions of the electrons and nuclei may be considered separately is known as the Born-Oppenheimer approximation. It is a very useful assumption that simplifies electronic structure calculations appreciably.

One of the reasons that developing new approaches to tackling electronic structure calculations are of importance is that they are extremely computationally expensive. Indeed one of the original motivations for the field of quantum computing was the desire to simulate quantum systems in nature by using quantum systems. A prominent driving factor in the interest in and development of quantum computing is the aim to create quantum hardware and software that will allow for efficient quantum simulations of chemical systems. A problem of tantamount importance in quantum chemistry is that of finding the lowest energy eigenvalue of molecular electronic structures. These energy eigenvalues define a great deal of properties of interest in the molecule. This is of great interest in for example the development of pharmaceuticals where companies have an extremely laborious testing and trials process, if a significant part of the initial testing process could be done in silico - this would represent significant savings and would better ensure that the pharmaceutical under development behaved as required prior to starting trials.

4 Techniques in paper

If I asked you how to make a cup of coffee, your response would go a little something like this; prepare a cup (clean of course), put a number of tea-spoons of coffee (depends on how strong you like your coffee), boil the kettle, pour the hot water into the cup and lastly stir. You have now made your cup of instant coffee by following a set of instructions.

Now, imagine that I asked you to compute the energy surface of a given molecule; how would you carry out this task? You would execute an algorithm that produces a numerical value. In Scalar Quantum of Molecular Energies two techniques used to determine the energy surface for a hydrogen molecule are discussed as follows:

- The Variational Quantum Eigensolver (VQE)
- The Quantum Phase Estimation Algorithm (PEA)

which constitutes of the ‘original algorithm for quantum chemistry’.

4.1 The VQE Algorithm

Before we run our algorithm, it is imperative to understand that in quantum mechanics, the properties of a system are characterised by a state. Thus, we first need to prepare an initial state of the system (think of how you prepared the cup for the coffee following boiling water to be poured in). This is done by representing the state in a chosen vector v_0 . The vectors chosen are trial solution (ansatz) since solutions are not chosen in reference to any specific value as such.

Once a variable is selected, we can find an estimate value of the energy corresponding to the chosen variable by measuring the average energy. The method utilised predicts the ground state, the lowest possible energy level of an atom. The value of the variable and estimate energy are then fed

through a programme; this proposes settings for a new variable – let us call this variable v_1 . Comparing to our coffee example, it has been decided that either a new blend of coffee is necessary as the current coffee might be perhaps too strong!

This process is repeated a number of times until the estimate energy approaches a specific value. The final result is an approximation the smallest eigenenergy.

4.2 The Quantum Phase Estimation Algorithm (PEA)

Similarly, to the VQE algorithm, one must ensure that the system is prepared in an initial state, but with the exception that the state must have a sufficient overlap with the ground state. Once the state is prepared in our desired state, an approximation is applied to the operator. Consequently, the initial state is mapped onto a superposition state of various qubits, which is called the ancilla qubits. After that, the state is acted on in turn inducing a phase on the system. Since the way in which the system evolves is controlled, it is now entangled with the initialised state. Next, we measure the phase obtained corresponding to each value of energy. The system as a result collapses to a single state with an associated probability. We repeat this algorithm for a higher number of ‘special’ qubits until the lowest eigenenergy is obtained, or more precisely the energy of the ground state.

PEA

The molecular energies can be obtained by the time evolution of molecular Hamiltonian, which is a unitary operator $U = e^{-iHt}$. We can first prepare an initial state that strongly overlaps the ground state of molecular hydrogen. As any state $|\psi(t)\rangle$ evolved by unitary U can be represent by applying phase difference onto initial state $e^{-iEt} |\psi(0)\rangle$. Phase estimation algorithm (PEA) is used then to find this relative phase and convert it into energy. In order to preform PEA we need to prepare two parts of qubits. First n qubits of superposition state $\frac{|0\rangle+|1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}$, second, our initial state. Since we can evolve states by unity operator. By inputting both parts of qubits onto a multiple controlled-U gate, the eigenvalue of each unitary U will transform to each one of qubits in first part.

Now let us recap Quantum Fourier Transformation, one transforms the tensor product of multiple states onto phase of some superposition states.

$$|j_1 j_2 \cdots j_n\rangle \rightarrow \frac{(|0\rangle + e^{2\pi i 0 \cdot j_n} |1\rangle) (|0\rangle + e^{2\pi i 0 \cdot j_{n-1} j_n} |1\rangle) \cdots (|0\rangle + e^{2\pi i 0 \cdot j_1 j_2 \cdots j_{n-1} j_n} |1\rangle)}{2^{\frac{n}{2}}}$$

Experimental realisation (SQUID)

The physical hardware used for implementing the VQE and PEA algorithms is an Xmon qubit which is a variation of the transmon qubit, a class of superconducting interference device or SQUID. It is a type of charge qubit, which is to say it is a qubit where its ‘0’ and ‘1’ basis states are charge states, and the superposition of these charge states is tuned using microwave resonators. The Xmon qubit has a number of favourable features, which include easy fabrication, fast qubit control and long qubit coherence times. Using superconducting qubits is an appealing option for quantum hardware because it provides the scalability which is lacking for some other types of qubit. This is on account of the fact that for superconductivity the conduction electrons condense to a macroscopic quantum state which facilitates the creation of large integrated quantum circuits.

The Xmon qubits are placed inside a dilution refrigerator which is at a temperature of 20mK. The inter-qubit coupling, and qubit measurement and control, are all done using microwave resonators. Single (or local) quantum gates are achieved using pulses of microwave radiation. The entanglement operation used is the controlled-Z or CZ gate, this is achieved by fixing the frequency of one qubit while adiabatically tuning the other qubit from the $|11\rangle$ state to near to the $|02\rangle$ state, which gives a relative phase shift.

5 Main Achievement of paper

As it is known, building a quantum computer comes with many challenges, one being the aspect of scalability. One of the advantages of using PEA to compute electronic structures of a molecule is better asymptotic scaling which would prove useful for larger molecules than Hydrogen. However, this is problematic as one would need to utilise more steps in the Trotterisation approximation which would in turn incur higher exponential costs. Hence, it was determined that a single Trotter step is not enough to accurately predict

chemical rates for a Hydrogen molecule. In addition, for a single trotter step, each simulation using PEA was ran using different orderings of local Hamiltonians in order to find the optimal Trotter sequence for each bond length. For larger molecules, this is deemed intractable which becomes extremely difficult for the preprocessing requirements for a classical computer.

On the contrary, VQE was proved to be more effective due to its robustness to error. This is due to its success in predicting the chemical dissociation rate below the chemical accuracy threshold. Due to its short measurement sequences, it is more viable to use VQE for quantum simulations. Consequently, the quantum coherence of the system would be preserved which would limit the quantum error correction.

Although a quantum simulation such as the VQE is almost foolproof it may still not be adequate to calculate exact numerical solutions that are characteristic of various types of molecules and their interactions. Quantum computing shows promise to eliminate such errors whilst using a larger number of qubits. However, these factors work against each other. If such a machine can be built, there would be more states and thus information to manipulate whilst withstanding error. This could revolutionise applications such as pharmaceuticals where cures and treatments for complex or incurable diseases such as Cancer, Prion disease and so forth could be engineered. If (or when should I say) this is possible, we would have a machine taking on the roles of a chemist, problem solver and designer simultaneously and combined into one entity!

6 Conclusion and outlook

As this is the first scalable simulation of molecular energies on any type of quantum hardware it represents an important step in the history of quantum computing applications. The results indicate that for near-term quantum de-

vices without effective error correction the adaptive approach represented by the Variational Quantum Eigensolver may have better error resilience than that of standard gate model approach represented by the Phase Estimation Algorithm. The expectation is that this work will pave the way for the development of further techniques for intractable calculations in quantum chemistry. And the final aim is that eventually these variational quantum chemistry techniques will allow for numerically exact simulations of chemical reaction rates, which are of great industrial commercial value. In particular, the efficiency - requiring only short state preparation and measurement procedures, and so it is without the normal substantial overhead required for quantum error correction - and robustness to error displayed by the experimental implementation of the variational quantum eigensolver technique indicates that this technique might succeed where classical techniques fail in solving traditionally intractable problems.

Late last year the US passed the new National Quantum Initiative Act pledging around \$1.2 billion in funding for quantum information science. At a similar time the EU commission launched the €1 billion Quantum Technologies Flagship which will fund over 5000 of the top European researchers in quantum technologies over the next decade. In the UK, the EPSRC-backed Quantum Technology Hubs represent part of a £270 million investment to create national networks of quantum expertise. With this extraordinary push of late across Europe and also in the US and China, aiming to fast-track quantum technologies out of university research groups and into industrial applications, the importance of this work in quantum chemistry simulations cannot be overstated. As aside from the much touted almost pop-science status of quantum encryption, the myriad opportunities afforded by more efficient scalable quantum chemistry simulations looms large in garnering serious investor interest. The ability to run quantum simulations of the behaviour of molecules and materials is hoped offer new avenues for increasing our understanding of chemistry and enable the development of new medicines

and materials in silico.

7 Technical Boxes

8 VQE

9 PEA

10 Experimental realisation (SQUID)

References

- [1] Ilya G Ryabinkin, Scott N Genin, and Artur F Izmaylov. Constrained variational quantum eigensolver: Quantum computer search engine in the fock space. *Journal of chemical theory and computation*, 15(1):249–255, 2018.
- [2] Andreas Trabesinger. Quantum simulation, 2012.