FWO DMP Template

Project supervisors (from application round 2018 onwards) and fellows (from application round 2020 onwards) will, upon being awarded their project or fellowship, be invited to develop their answers to the data management related questions into a DMP. The FWO expects a **completed DMP no later than 6 months after the official start date** of the project or fellowship. The DMP should not be submitted to FWO but to the research co-ordination office of the host institute; FWO may request the DMP in a random check.

At the end of the project, the **final version of the DMP** has to be added to the final report of the project; this should be submitted to FWO by the supervisor-spokesperson through FWO's e-portal. This DMP may of course have been updated since its first version. The DMP is an element in the final evaluation of the project by the relevant expert panel. Both the DMP submitted within the first 6 months after the start date and the final DMP may use this template.

1. General Information	
Name applicant	Koen Poesen
FWO Project Number & Title	Immune profiling of CIDP (ImPoC), 18B2622N
Affiliation	
	☐ Universiteit Antwerpen
	☐ Universiteit Gent
	☐ Universiteit Hasselt
	☐ Vrije Universiteit Brussel
	☐ Other:
2. Data description	
Will you generate/collect new data and/or make	☐ ☑ Generate new data
use of existing data?	☐ Reuse existing data

Describe the origin, type and format of the data (per dataset) and its (estimated) volume

If you **reuse** existing data, specify the **source** of these data.

Distinguish data **types** (the kind of content) from data **formats** (the technical format).

Serum samples from patients included in the project will be processed and analyzed on an orbitrap mass spectrometer (situated at the SyBioMa facility of the KU Leuven). This will result in .Raw data files (mass spectrometry format used by the vendor Thermo Fisher) which contain for each sample info regarding the mass spectrometric analysis such as m/z values, charge and retention times of detected peaks. Each sample analysed will generate a .Raw file of approximately 1GB. Raw data files will be processed by dedicated software (PEAKS or Progenesis Qi for Proteomics; both available at the SyBioMa facility) after which data will be exported from the software in excel format type. These excel files contain a list of all measured peptides with the related information per peptide (m/z value, retention time, charge, abundance per sample,...) (without containing confidential patient information). The volume of these excel files is dependent on the number of samples analysed (up to 250MB for a 200-sample analysis). Statistical analysis will be performed on data reported in these excel files via statistical programs such as SPSS, Statistica and graphpad prism. Statistical results will be stored in the result files of the respective statistical software programs. Clinical data obtained during the project such as demographics will be stored in the secure Online data repository REDCap (use of REDCap for registering clinical data is mandatory per regulations of the UZ Leuven Ethical Committee).

3. Ethical and legal issues

Will you use personal data? If so, shortly describe the kind of personal data you will use AND add the reference to your file in your host institution's privacy register.

In case your host institution does not (yet) have a privacy register, a reference is not yet required of course; please add the reference once the privacy register is in place in your host institution.

⊠ Yes

□ No

If yes:

Privacy Registry Reference: /

Short description of the kind of personal data that will be used:

Personal data used will be e.g. diagnosis, date of birth, sex, date of serum sampling, which therapy patient received at time of sampling, a patient's response to that therapy, a patient's score on disability and impairment scales (e.g. INCAT and MRC sum-score scale), EMG results (to determine whether a patient fulfills diagnostic criteria for CIDP), disease progression over time,... All clinical data will be registered in REDCap. Name of participants will be pseudonymized in REDCap.

Are there any ethical issues concerning the	⊠ Yes
creation and/or use of the data (e.g.	□ No
experiments on humans or animals, dual use)? If	If yes:
so, add the reference to the formal approval by	- Reference to ethical committee approval: S62265
the relevant ethical review committee(s).	
Does your work possibly result in research data	⊠ Yes
with potential for tech transfer and valorisation?	□ No
Will IP restrictions be claimed for the data you	If yes, please comment: Yes, the research project may result in promising biomarker peptides which could
created? If so, for what data and which	hold diagnostic or prognostic value in the clinical management of CIDP. As such, IP restrictions will be
restrictions will be asserted?	created regarding the identity and characteristics of these peptides. Restrictions comprise the use of non-
	disclosure agreements to be signed for all external parties involved in the project and a delay in
	communicating research outcomes (e.g. via publications or congresses) until the priority date (the first
	date of filing a patent application).
Do existing 3 rd party agreements restrict	☐ Yes
dissemination or exploitation of the data you	⊠ No
(re)use? If so, to what data do they relate and	If yes, please comment:
what restrictions are in place?	

What documentation will be provided to enable understanding and reuse of the data collected/generated in this project? Data generated in this project follows standard operating procedures (outlined in the manuals of e.g. the PEAKS and Progenesis QI for Proteomics software) and can hence be interpreted with minimal knowledge regarding mass spectrometry and mass spectrometry dedicated software. Data regarding mass spectrometer settings are stored centrally at the SyBioMa facility of the KU Leuven. Protocols regarding sample preparation or the settings for dedicated mass spectrometry software can be deposited in the KU Leuven Research Data Repository (RDR). All clinical data will be registered via the use of REDCap software ensuring traceability for all obtained samples and their related clinical information.

2019-10-01 | FWO DMP Template

3

Will a metadata standard be used? If so,	☐ Yes
describe in detail which standard will be used. If	⊠ No
not, state in detail which metadata will be	If yes, please specify:
created to make the data easy/easier to find	If no: Metadata will be created via the use of the 'Research Data Repository' (RDR) of the KU Leuven which
and reuse.	enables researchers to upload datasets with associated metadata information such as author of the
	dataset, a description of the datasets, keywords, related publications, the subject,

5. Data storage & backup during the FWO project	
Where will the data be stored?	Data (raw mass spectrometry data, processed mass spectrometry data, statistical analyses,) will be stored both online (on KU Leuven servers, e.g. via OneDrive) as well as via offline external hard drives. raw mass spectrometry data & data obtained via dedicated mass spectrometry software (available at the SyBioMa facility) are stored by both our research group as well as by the KU Leuven SyBioMa facility. Pseudonymized clinical data will be stored in REDCap as regulated by the UZ Leuven Ethical Committee.
How will the data be backed up?	Data will be backed up by providing both offline (external hard drives) and online (OneDrive on KU Leuven servers) data storage. Moreover, mass spectrometry data (both .Raw data files as well as data generated via dedicated mass spectrometry software) are also stored by the SyBioMa KU Leuven facility. Also, at the end of the project, all processed research data can be archived at the KU Leuven Research Data Repository (RDR). The REDCap software also allows users to download a backup of the project data which can then also be stored both offline and online on KU Leuven servers.
Is there currently sufficient storage & backup capacity during the project? If yes, specify concisely. If no or insufficient storage or backup capacities are available, then explain how this will be taken care of.	 ✓ Yes: external hard drives, KU Leuven provided One Drive, KU Leuven Research Data Repository ☐ No If no, please specify:

What are the expected costs for data storage and backup during the project? How will these costs be covered? Although FWO has no earmarked budget at its disposal to support correct research data management, FWO allows for part of the allocated project budget to be used to cover the cost incurred.	Costs for data storage include the purchase of external hard drives (e.g. an 8TB external hard drive, which can contain the .raw data of 8000 samples, currently costs +- 200 euro). Online storage via OneDrive for business is provided free of charge by the KU Leuven (2TB storage per user). The REDCap software incurs an annual fee of 80 euro. Data storage costs will be covered via project funding.
Data security: how will you ensure that the data are securely stored and not accessed or modified by unauthorized persons?	Clinical data will be stored in the secure data management tool REDCap (the use of which is mandated by the UZ Leuven Ethical Committee). The REDCap tool, which contains pseudonymized clinical data, prevents unauthorized access and unauthorized modification to data. Other data such as raw or processed mass spectrometry data will only be accessible by involved parties (i.e. researchers of our research groups or collaborators such as the KU Leuven SyBioMa facility).

6. Data preservation after the end of the FWO project FWO expects that data generated during the project are retained for a period of minimally 5 years after the end of the project, in as far as legal and contractual agreements allow.	
Which data will be retained for the expected 5 year period after the end of the project? In case only a selection of the data can/will be preserved, clearly state the reasons for this (legal or contractual restrictions, physical preservation issues,).	All data generated in this project (both raw and processed data) will be stored for a minimum of 5 years.
Where will these data be archived (= stored for the long term)?	Data will be archived on both KU Leuven servers (e.g. via the KU Leuven research data repository (RDR)) as well as on external hard drives. Clinical data will be stored via the use of the REDCap software. The SyBioMa facility also stores both raw and processed mass spectrometry data.

What are the expected costs for data preservation during these 5 years? How will the costs be covered?	Expected costs include the purchase of external hard drives (e.g. +- 200 euros for a 8TB hard drive which can contain raw data for >8000 samples) and the annual fee of 80 euros for use of the REDCap software (expected cost: 400 euro for REDCap + 200-400 euro for external hard drives).
Although FWO has no earmarked budget at its disposal to support correct research data	
management, FWO allows for part of the allocated	
project budget to be used to cover the cost incurred.	

7. Data sharing and reuse	
Are there any factors restricting or preventing the sharing of (some of) the data (e.g. as defined in an agreement with a 3 rd party, legal restrictions)?	
Which data will be made available after the end of the project?	Research outcomes in terms of validated diagnostic and prognostic peptide biomarkers for CIDP.
Where/how will the data be made available for reuse?	 □ In an Open Access repository ☑ In a restricted access repository □ Upon request by mail □ Other (specify):
When will the data be made available?	The timepoint of data disclosure will depend on patentability of obtained results and hence upon the timeframe of the patent application process (e.g. after the priority date).
Who will be able to access the data and under what conditions?	Internal KU Leuven staff and external researchers upon reasonable request when also bound to discretion via non-disclosure agreements.

What are the expected costs for data sharing? How will these costs be covered?	None
Although FWO has no earmarked budget at its	
disposal to support correct research data	
management, FWO allows for part of the allocated	
project budget to be used to cover the cost incurred.	

8. Responsibilities	
Who will be responsible for the data	Koen Poesen (PI), Joris Godelaine (current PhD student of Prof. Poesen), subsequent PhD students working
documentation & metadata?	on this research project
Who will be responsible for data storage & back	Koen Poesen (PI), Joris Godelaine (current PhD student of Prof. Poesen), subsequent PhD students working
up during the project?	on this research project
Who will be responsible for ensuring data	Koen Poesen (PI)
preservation and sharing?	
Who bears the end responsibility for updating &	The PI bears the overall responsibility for updating & implementing this DMP
implementing this DMP?	
Default response: The PI bears the overall	
responsibility for updating & implementing this DMP	