FWO DMP Template - Flemish Standard Data Management Plan

Version KU Leuven

Project supervisors (from application round 2018 onwards) and fellows (from application round 2020 onwards) will, upon being awarded their project or fellowship, be invited to develop their answers to the data management related questions into a DMP. The FWO expects a **completed DMP no later than 6 months after the official start date** of the project or fellowship. The DMP should not be submitted to FWO but to the research co-ordination office of the host institute; FWO may request the DMP in a random check.

At the end of the project, the **final version of the DMP** has to be added to the final report of the project; this should be submitted to FWO by the supervisor-spokesperson through FWO's e-portal. This DMP may of course have been updated since its first version. The DMP is an element in the final evaluation of the project by the relevant expert panel. Both the DMP submitted within the first 6 months after the start date and the final DMP may use this template.

The DMP template used by the Research Foundation Flanders (FWO) corresponds with the Flemish Standard Data Management Plan. This Flemish Standard DMP was developed by the Flemish Research Data Network (FRDN) Task Force DMP which comprises representatives of all Flemish funders and research institutions. This is a standardized DMP template based on the previous FWO template that contains the core requirements for data management planning. To increase understanding and facilitate completion of the DMP, a standardized **glossary** of definitions and abbreviations is available via the following link.

| | 1. General Project Information |
|--|---|
| Name Grant Holder & ORCID | Guillem Blanco (0000-0002-6073-4175) |
| Contributor name(s) (+ ORCID) & roles | Nero Budur (0000-0002-0181-9988): supervisor |
| Project number ¹ & title | 1289824N; Bernstein-Sato polynomial and geometry of singularities |
| Funder(s) GrantID ² | FWO |
| Affiliation(s) | ⊠ KU Leuven |
| | ☐ Universiteit Antwerpen |
| | ☐ Universiteit Gent |
| | ☐ Universiteit Hasselt |
| | ☐ Vrije Universiteit Brussel |
| | ☐ Other: |
| | ROR identifier KU Leuven: 05f950310 |
| Please provide a short project description | The theory of D-modules and Bernstein-Sato polynomials are fundamental tools to study algebraic singularities. This proposal consists of three projects to expand the understanding of this interaction. Specifically: (1) the study of the Bernstein-Sato polynomial of a locally complete intersection and its minimal exponent, (2) some open problems regarding the roots of the Bernstein-Sato polynomial of non-degenerate singularities, and (3) the determination of the analytic description of the Hodge ideals. While each project deals with different corners of singularity theory they are all connected by D-modules and the Bernstein-Sato polynomial. In summary, this proposal tries to contribute to the answer to the following question: how much do D-modules shape the geometry of singularities? |

¹ "Project number" refers to the institutional project number. This question is optional. Applicants can only provide one project number.

² Funder(s) GrantID refers to the number of the DMP at the funder(s), here one can specify multiple GrantIDs if multiple funding sources were used.

2. Research Data Summary

List and describe all datasets or research materials that you plan to generate/collect or reuse during your research project. For each dataset or data type (observational, experimental etc.), provide a short name & description (sufficient for yourself to know what data it is about), indicate whether the data are newly generated/collected or reused, digital or physical, also indicate the type of the data (the kind of content), its technical format (file extension), and an estimate of the upper limit of the volume of the data ³.

| | | | | ONLY FOR DIGITAL DATA | ONLY FOR DIGITAL DATA | ONLY FOR DIGITAL DATA | ONLY FOR PHYSICAL DATA |
|---------|--------------------------|------------------|------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|
| Dataset | Description | New or Reused | Digital or | Digital Data Type | Digital Data | Digital Data | Physical Volume |
| Name | | | Physical | | Format | Volume (MB, GB, | |
| | | | | | | TB) | |
| Proofs | The data | ☑ Generate new | ⊠ Digital | ☐ Audiovisual | .pdf | ⊠<1 GB | |
| | produced in the | data | ☐ Physical | ☐ Images | | □ < 100 GB | |
| | project amounts | ☐ Reuse existing | | \square Sound | | □ < 1 TB | |
| | to theorems and | data | | ☐ Numerical | | □ < 5 TB | |
| | proofs thereof | | | ⊠ Textual | | □ > 5 TB | |
| | within the | | | ☐ Model | | \square NA | |
| | theory of | | | ☐ Software | | | |
| | singularities of | | | \square Other: | | | |
| | hyperplane arrangements. | | | | | | |
| | These will be | | | | | | |
| | documented | | | | | | |
| | first in publicly | | | | | | |
| | (free, open) | | | | | | |
| | available | | | | | | |
| | preprints and | | | | | | |
| | later in peer | | | | | | |
| | reviewed | | | | | | |
| | journals. | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

| ranging from raw data to processed and analysed data valuable, difficult to replace and/or ethical issues are a | IP, so make sure it is detailed and complete. It includes digital and physical data and encompasses the whole spectrum a including analysis scripts and code. Physical data are all materials that need proper management because they are associated. Materials that are not considered data in an RDM context include your own manuscripts, theses and aur datasets and should described under documentation/metadata. |
|---|--|
| If you reuse existing data, please specify the | N/A |
| source, preferably by using a persistent | |
| identifier (e.g. DOI, Handle, URL etc.) per | |
| dataset or data type. | |
| Are there any ethical issues concerning the creation and/or use of the data (e.g. experiments on humans or animals, dual use)? If so, refer to specific datasets or data types when appropriate and provide the relevant ethical approval number. | Yes, human subject data; provide SMEC or EC approval number: Yes, animal data; provide ECD reference number: Yes, dual use; provide approval number: No Additional information: |
| Will you process personal data ⁴ ? If so, please refer to specific datasets or data types when appropriate and provide the KU Leuven or UZ Leuven privacy register number (G or S number). | ⊠ No |

Add rows for each dataset you want to describe.
 See Glossary Flemish Standard Data Management Plan

| Does your work have potential for commercial | ☐ Yes |
|---|-------------------------|
| valorization (e.g. tech transfer, for example spin- | ⊠No |
| offs, commercial exploitation,)? | If yes, please comment: |
| If so, please comment per dataset or data type | |
| where appropriate. | |
| Do existing 3rd party agreements restrict | ☐ Yes |
| exploitation or dissemination of the data you | ⊠No |
| (re)use (e.g. Material/Data transfer agreements, | If yes, please explain: |
| research collaboration agreements)? | |
| If so, please explain to what data they relate and | |
| what restrictions are in place. | |
| Are there any other legal issues, such as | □ Yes |
| intellectual property rights and ownership, to be | ⊠No |
| managed related to the data you (re)use? | If yes, please explain: |
| If so, please explain to what data they relate and | |
| which restrictions will be asserted. | |

3. Documentation and Metadata

Clearly describe what approach will be followed I will follow standard practices for mathematical manuscripts. I will also enlist colleagues to read to capture the accompanying information preliminary versions to guarantee the proofs presented are readable while being sufficiently necessary to keep data understandable and detailed. I will also use the Mathematics Subject Classification system as well as codewords to make the manuscripts (both preprint and published versions) easily searchable and findable for usable, for yourself and others, now and in the future (e.g. in terms of documentation levels and fellow researchers. types required, procedures used, Electronic Lab Notebooks, README.txt files, Codebook.tsv etc. where this information is recorded). RDM auidance on documentation and metadata. Will a metadata standard be used to make it ✓ Yes easier to find and reuse the data? □ No If yes, please specify (where appropriate per dataset or data type) which metadata standard will be used: I will use the Mathemacs Subject Classificaon system as well as codewords to facilitate easy exposure and If so, please specify which metadata standard searchabilityofmyarcle will be used. If not, please specify which metadata will be created to make the data If no, please specify (where appropriate per dataset or data type) which metadata will be created: easier to find and reuse. REPOSITORIES COULD ASK TO DELIVER METADATA IN A CERTAIN FORMAT, WITH SPECIFIED ONTOLOGIES AND VOCABULARIES, I.E. STANDARD LISTS WITH UNIQUE IDENTIFIERS.

4. Data Storage & Back-up during the Research Project

| Where will the data be stored? Consult the interactive KU Leuven storage quide to find the most suitable storage solution for your data. | □ Shared network drive (J-drive) □ Personal network drive (I-drive) ☑ OneDrive (KU Leuven) □ Sharepoint online □ Sharepoint on-premis □ Large Volume Storage □ Digital Vault □ Other: |
|--|--|
| How will the data be backed up? What storage and backup procedures will be in place to prevent data loss? | ✓ Standard back-up provided by KU Leuven ICTS for my storage solution ☐ Personal back-ups I make (specify) ☐ Other (specify) |
| Is there currently sufficient storage & backup capacity during the project? If yes, specify concisely. If no or insufficient storage or backup capacities are available, then explain how this will be taken care of. | ☑ Yes ☐ No If no, please specify: |
| How will you ensure that the data are securely stored and not accessed or modified by unauthorized persons? CLEARLY DESCRIBE THE MEASURES (IN TERMS OF PHYSICAL SECURITY, NETWORK SECURITY, AND SECURITY OF COMPUTER SYSTEMS AND FILES) THAT WILL BE TAKEN TO ENSURE THAT STORED AND TRANSFERRED DATA ARE SAFE. Guidance on security for research data | I will use a password system to secure any manuscripts stored in electronically accessible theaters. The password will be changed regularly. As for physical external hard drives, these will be stored in a locked area of my office. Again: as the data is of the content of produced or being produced manuscripts, modification by bad actors is not expected. |

| What are the expected costs for data storage | I expect essentially zero additional costs. |
|--|---|
| and backup during the research project? How | |
| will these costs be covered? | |
| | |

| | 5. Data Preservation after the end of the Research Project |
|---|--|
| Which data will be retained for at least five | ☑ All data will be preserved for 10 years according to KU Leuven RDM policy |
| years (or longer, in agreement with other | ☐ All data will be preserved for 25 years according to CTC recommendations for clinical trials with |
| retention policies that are applicable) after the end of the project? In case some data cannot be | medicinal products for human use and for clinical experiments on humans Certain data cannot be kept for 10 years (explain) |
| preserved, clearly state the reasons for this | |
| (e.g. legal or contractual restrictions, | |
| storage/budget issues, institutional policies). | |
| Guidance on data preservation | |
| Where will these data be archived (stored and | ⊠ KU Leuven RDR |
| curated for the long-term)? | ☐ Large Volume Storage (longterm for large volumes) |
| Dedicated data repositories are often the best place | ☐ Shared network drive (J-drive) |
| to preserve your data. Data not suitable for | ☐ Other (specifiy): |
| preservation in a repository can be stored using a KU | |
| Leuven storage solution, consult the <u>interactive KU</u> <u>Leuven storage guide</u> . | |
| | |
| What are the expected costs for data preservation during the expected retention | Essentially zero costs are expected. |
| period? How will these costs be covered? | |
| | |
| | |

| | 6. Data Sharing and Reuse |
|---|--|
| Will the data (or part of the data) be made available for reuse after/during the project? Please explain per dataset or data type which data will be made available. Note that 'available' does not necessarily mean that the data set becomes openly available, conditions for access and use may apply. Availability in this question thus entails both open & restricted access. For more information: https://wiki.surfnet.nl/display/standards/info-eu-repo/#infoeurepo-AccessRights | ☐ Yes, as open data ☐ Yes, as embargoed data (temporary restriction) ☐ Yes, as restricted data (upon approval, or institutional access only) ☐ No (closed access) ☐ Other, please specify: |
| If access is restricted, please specify who will be able to access the data and under what conditions. | Any subscriber to the journal in question will be able to access the articles published therein. |
| Are there any factors that restrict or prevent the sharing of (some of) the data (e.g. as defined in an agreement with a 3rd party, legal restrictions)? Please explain per dataset or data type where appropriate. | Yes, privacy aspects Yes, intellectual property rights Yes, ethical aspects Yes, aspects of dual use Yes, other No If yes, please specify: |

| Where will the data be made available? If already known, please provide a repository per dataset or data type. | ⊠ KU Leuven RDR □ Other data repository (specify) □ Other (specify) |
|---|---|
| When will the data be made available? | ☐ Upon publication of research results ☐ Specific date (specify) ☐ Other (specify) |
| Which data usage licenses are you going to provide? If none, please explain why. A DATA USAGE LICENSE INDICATES WHETHER THE DATA CAN BE REUSED OR NOT AND UNDER WHAT CONDITIONS. IF NO LICENCE IS GRANTED, THE DATA ARE IN A GREY ZONE AND CANNOT BE LEGALLY REUSED. DO NOTE THAT YOU MAY ONLY RELEASE DATA UNDER A LICENCE CHOSEN BY YOURSELF IF IT DOES NOT ALREADY FALL UNDER ANOTHER LICENCE THAT MIGHT PROHIBIT THAT. Check the RDR guidance on licences for data and software sources code or consult the License selector tool to help you choose. | □ CC-BY 4.0 (data) □ Data Transfer Agreement (restricted data) □ MIT licence (code) □ GNU GPL-3.0 (code) ☒ Other (specify) Creave Commons Aribuon-Noncommercial License (CC BY-NC), Creave Commons Aribuon-No Derivaves License (CC BY-ND) or the Creave Commons Aribuon License. |
| Do you intend to add a PID/DOI/accession number to your dataset(s)? If already available, please provide it here. INDICATE WHETHER YOU INTEND TO ADD A PERSISTENT AND UNIQUE IDENTIFIER IN ORDER TO IDENTIFY AND RETRIEVE THE DATA. | ☐ Yes, a PID will be added upon deposit in a data repository ☐ My dataset already has a PID ☒ No |
| What are the expected costs for data sharing? How will these costs be covered? | I expect essentially zero data sharing costs. |

| | 7. Responsibilities |
|---|---------------------|
| Who will manage data documentation and | Guillem Blanco |
| metadata during the research project? | Guilletti Blatteo |
| Who will manage data storage and backup | Guillem Blanco |
| during the research project? | |
| Who will manage data preservation and | Guillem Blanco |
| sharing? | |
| Who will update and implement this DMP? | Guillem Blanco |