FWO DMP Template - Flemish Standard Data Management Plan

Version KU Leuven

Project supervisors (from application round 2018 onwards) and fellows (from application round 2020 onwards) will, upon being awarded their project or fellowship, be invited to develop their answers to the data management related questions into a DMP. The FWO expects a **completed DMP no later than 6 months after the official start date** of the project or fellowship. The DMP should not be submitted to FWO but to the research co-ordination office of the host institute; FWO may request the DMP in a random check.

At the end of the project, the **final version of the DMP** has to be added to the final report of the project; this should be submitted to FWO by the supervisor-spokesperson through FWO's e-portal. This DMP may of course have been updated since its first version. The DMP is an element in the final evaluation of the project by the relevant expert panel. Both the DMP submitted within the first 6 months after the start date and the final DMP may use this template.

The DMP template used by the Research Foundation Flanders (FWO) corresponds with the Flemish Standard Data Management Plan. This Flemish Standard DMP was developed by the Flemish Research Data Network (FRDN) Task Force DMP which comprises representatives of all Flemish funders and research institutions. This is a standardized DMP template based on the previous FWO template that contains the core requirements for data management planning. To increase understanding and facilitate completion of the DMP, a standardized **glossary** of definitions and abbreviations is available via the following link.

	1. General Project Information	
Name Grant Holder & ORCID	Silke Goubin 0000-0002-6264-6224	
Contributor name(s) (+ ORCID) & roles	Tine van Regenmortel (supervisor) (0000-0003-0233-6213)	
	Marc Hooghe (co-supervisor) (0000-0002-7677-5094)	
	Anna Ruelens (co-supervisor) (0000-0003-0539-4398)	
Project number ¹ & title	12AZH24N Concerned about inequality? The political salience of economic inequality among voters and	
	political parties	
Funder(s) GrantID ²		
Affiliation(s)	□ KU Leuven	
	☐ Universiteit Antwerpen	
	☐ Universiteit Gent	
	☐ Universiteit Hasselt	
	□ Vrije Universiteit Brussel	
	□ Other:	
	ROR identifier KU Leuven: 05f950310	

¹ "Project number" refers to the institutional project number. This question is optional. Applicants can only provide one project number.

² Funder(s) GrantID refers to the number of the DMP at the funder(s), here one can specify multiple GrantIDs if multiple funding sources were used.

Economic inequality is rising in most democracies: the gap between the incomes of the wealthiest part of the population and the rest is widening. Despite high and rising inequality, and its pressure on redistributive welfare states, there is no systematic evidence that inequality leads to redistributive policies or public demands for such policies. We are confronted with a paradox: Most citizens and politicians realise that inequality exists and is not desirable, yet little is done about it. Further, we lack clear knowledge about when and how citizens and politicians react to inequality between countries and over time. In this project, I therefore examine the inequality concerns of citizens and political parties based on three objectives. The project investigates (1) if (changes) in inequality levels shape citizens' inequality perceptions and preferences over time, (2) when and how political parties discuss inequality, and its repercussions for public opinion, and (3) the influence of inequality on citizens' voting behaviour. To study these objectives, I collect and analyse survey and experimental data on citizens' inequality perceptions and preferences, and party manifesto and social media data on political parties' inequality concerns. By building on insights from cleavage theory, and by using a variety of cutting-edge methods, this project sheds an original light on the interplay between citizens, political parties, and inequality across liberal democracies and over time.

2. Research Data Summary

List and describe all datasets or research materials that you plan to generate/collect or reuse during your research project. For each dataset or data type (observational, experimental etc.), provide a short name & description (sufficient for yourself to know what data it is about), indicate whether the data are newly generated/collected or reused, digital or physical, also indicate the type of the data (the kind of content), its technical format (file extension), and an estimate of the upper limit of the volume of the data ³.

				ONLY FOR DIGITAL DATA	ONLY FOR DIGITAL DATA	ONLY FOR DIGITAL DATA	ONLY FOR PHYSICAL DATA
Dataset	Description	New or Reused	Digital or	Digital Data Type	Digital Data	Digital Data	Physical Volume
Name			Physical		Format	Volume (MB, GB,	
						TB)	
Mood scores	Combining	☐ Generate new	□ Digital	☐ Audiovisual	Excel, Stata, R	□<1GB	
inequality	information of	data	☐ Physical	☐ Images		⊠ < 100 GB	
	existing surveys	☑ Reuse existing		☐ Sound		□ < 1 TB	
	on inequality	data		⊠ Numerical		□ < 5 TB	
	preferences					□ > 5 TB	
	with objective			☐ Model		□NA	
	inequality			☐ Software			
	trends			☐ Other:			
Survey	Survey, with	⊠ Generate new	□ Digital	☐ Audiovisual	Excel, Stata, R	□<1GB	
INVOLVE	questions on	data	☐ Physical	☐ Images		⊠ < 100 GB	
	trust,	☐ Reuse existing		☐ Sound		□ < 1 TB	
	participation,	data				□ < 5 TB	
	inequality and			□ Textual		□ > 5 TB	
	social policy			☐ Model		□NA	
	preferences,			☐ Software			
	which includes			☐ Other: Stata			
	vignette			and Excel file			
	experiments						
Party	Analysis of Party	☐ Generate new	□ Digital	☐ Audiovisual	Excel, Stata ,R	□<1GB	
manifesto	Manifesto data	data	☐ Physical	☐ Images		⊠ < 100 GB	

³ Add rows for each dataset you want to describe.

data	on inequality	□ Reuse existing		☐ Sound		□<1TB	
	preferences	data				□ < 5 TB	
				□ Textual		□ > 5 TB	
				☐ Model		□NA	
				☐ Software			
				☐ Other:			
Party	Web scraping of	⊠ Generate new	□ Digital	☐ Audiovisual	Excel, Stata, R	□ < 1 GB	
campaigning	party messages	data	☐ Physical	☐ Images		⊠ < 100 GB	
data	on inequality on	☑ Reuse existing		☐ Sound		□ < 1 TB	
	social media	data				□ < 5 TB	
				□ Textual		□ > 5 TB	
				☐ Model		□NA	
				☐ Software			
				☐ Other: Stata			
				and Excel file			

GUIDANCE:

The data description forms the basis of your entire DMP, so make sure it is detailed and complete. It includes digital and physical data and encompasses the whole spectrum ranging from raw data to processed and analysed data including analysis scripts and code. Physical data are all materials that need proper management because they are valuable, difficult to replace and/or ethical issues are associated. Materials that are not considered data in an RDM context include your own manuscripts, theses and presentations; documentation is an integral part of your datasets and should described under documentation/metadata.

RDM Guidance on data

If you reuse existing data, please specify the source, preferably by using a persistent identifier (e.g. DOI, Handle, URL etc.) per dataset or data type.	Party campaigning on inequality www.facebook.com/https://manifesto-project.wzb.eu/ Information of existing surveys on inequality preferences https://www.europeansocialsurvey.org/ https://issp.org/data-download/by-topic/ https://europa.eu/eurobarometer/screen/home Objective inequality trends https://stats.oecd.org/Index.aspx?DataSetCode=IDD https://code.dota.gat/
Are there any ethical issues concerning the creation and/or use of the data (e.g. experiments on humans or animals, dual use)? If so, refer to specific datasets or data types when appropriate and provide the relevant ethical approval number.	https://cpds-data.org/ www.wid.com www.eurostat.eu Yes, human subject data; provide SMEC or EC approval number: this application is not yet submitted Yes, animal data; provide ECD reference number: Yes, dual use; provide approval number: No Additional information:
Will you process personal data ⁴ ? If so, please refer to specific datasets or data types when appropriate and provide the KU Leuven or UZ Leuven privacy register number (G or S number).	□ No

⁴ See Glossary Flemish Standard Data Management Plan

Does your work have potential for commercial	☐ Yes
valorization (e.g. tech transfer, for example spin-	⊠ No
offs, commercial exploitation,)?	If yes, please comment:
If so, please comment per dataset or data type	
where appropriate.	
Do existing 3rd party agreements restrict	☐ Yes
exploitation or dissemination of the data you	⊠ No
(re)use (e.g. Material/Data transfer agreements,	If yes, please explain:
research collaboration agreements)?	
If so, please explain to what data they relate and	
what restrictions are in place.	
Are there any other legal issues, such as	☐ Yes
intellectual property rights and ownership, to be	⊠ No
managed related to the data you (re)use?	If yes, please explain:
If so, please explain to what data they relate and	
which restrictions will be asserted.	

3. Documentation and Metadata

Clearly describe what approach will be followed • Data collection methodology, standards and metadata: Existing suitable standards will be considered. to capture the accompanying information The consortium will seek ethical approval by the Research Ethics Committee (SMEC & Pret) before necessary to keep data understandable and collecting the primary data of the project. **usable**, for yourself and others, now and in the • Data exploitation and sharing (including data verification, re-use and aggregation): I will use of the KU Leuven Research Data Repository whenever possible for sharing the datasets generated and collected. For future (e.g. in terms of documentation levels and types required, procedures used, Electronic Lab primary data, following the standard of open access, no authorisation will be needed to access, mine, Notebooks, README.txt files, Codebook.tsv etc. exploit, reproduce, and disseminate data. In case parts of the datasets cannot be shared, the reasons will where this information is recorded). be clearly mentioned and explained (e.g. ethical, rules of personal data, intellectual property, privacyrelated, security-related, etc.). The Research Ethics Committee will be consulted to ensure that data RDM guidance on documentation and metadata. sharing and reusage comply with existing regulations. A README.txt will be developed for each replication code. • Data archiving and preservation: The use of KU Leuven Research Data Repository or will safeguard the preservation of openly available datasets and replication materials of the statistical analyses beyond the project lifetime. Will a metadata standard be used to make it X Yes easier to find and reuse the data? □ No If yes, please specify (where appropriate per dataset or data type) which metadata standard will be used: I will adhere to the FAIR principles and follow the requirements of the KU Leuven Research Data If so, please specify which metadata standard will be used. If not, please specify which Repository. metadata will be created to make the data easier to find and reuse. If no, please specify (where appropriate per dataset or data type) which metadata will be created: REPOSITORIES COULD ASK TO DELIVER METADATA IN A CERTAIN FORMAT, WITH SPECIFIED ONTOLOGIES AND VOCABULARIES, I.E. STANDARD LISTS WITH UNIQUE IDENTIFIERS.

4. Data Storage & Back-up during the Research Project

Where will the data be stored?	
	☐ Personal network drive (I-drive)
Consult the <u>interactive KU Leuven storage guide</u> to	☐ ☑ OneDrive (KU Leuven)
find the most suitable storage solution for your data.	☐ Sharepoint online
	☐ Sharepoint on-premis
	☐ Large Volume Storage
	☐ Digital Vault
	☑ Other: Replication materials via KU Leuven RDR
How will the data be backed up?	☑ Standard back-up provided by KU Leuven ICTS for my storage solution
	☐ Personal back-ups I make (specify)
What storage and backup procedures will be in place to	☐ Other (specify)
PREVENT DATA LOSS?	
Is there currently sufficient storage & backup	⊠ Yes
capacity during the project? If yes, specify	□ No
concisely. If no or insufficient storage or backup	
capacities are available, then explain how this	If no, please specify:
will be taken care of.	
How will you ensure that the data are securely	We will store the data on the KU Leuven network drive of HIVA. This J-drive is secured and automatically
stored and not accessed or modified by	backed up. Onedrive documents will be shared only among the supervisors of the research project. In case
unauthorized persons?	documents are shared outside of the research project (e.g. within the research group) this will be done
·	with restricted access (shared via e-mail) and editing rights (view-only).
CLEARLY DESCRIBE THE MEASURES (IN TERMS OF PHYSICAL SECURITY,	
NETWORK SECURITY, AND SECURITY OF COMPUTER SYSTEMS AND	
FILES) THAT WILL BE TAKEN TO ENSURE THAT STORED AND	
TRANSFERRED DATA ARE SAFE. Guidance on security for research data	
Guidance on Security for research data	

What are the expected costs for data storage and backup during the research project? How will these costs be covered?

As we use the KU Leuven platforms and standard procedures, we do not foresee any additional costs

	5. Data Preservation after the end of the Research Project
Which data will be retained for at least five years (or longer, in agreement with other retention policies that are applicable) after the end of the project? In case some data cannot be preserved, clearly state the reasons for this (e.g. legal or contractual restrictions, storage/budget issues, institutional policies). Guidance on data preservation	 ✓ All data will be preserved for 10 years according to KU Leuven RDM policy ☐ All data will be preserved for 25 years according to CTC recommendations for clinical trials with medicinal products for human use and for clinical experiments on humans ☐ Certain data cannot be kept for 10 years (explain)
Where will these data be archived (stored and curated for the long-term)? Dedicated data repositories are often the best place to preserve your data. Data not suitable for preservation in a repository can be stored using a KU Leuven storage solution, consult the interactive KU Leuven storage guide.	 ⊠ KU Leuven RDR □ Large Volume Storage (longterm for large volumes) □ Shared network drive (J-drive) □ Other (specifiy):
What are the expected costs for data preservation during the expected retention period? How will these costs be covered?	As we use the KU Leuven platforms and standard procedures, we do not foresee any additional costs

6. Data Sharing and Reuse		
Will the data (or part of the data) be made available for reuse after/during the project? Please explain per dataset or data type which data will be made available. Note that 'Available' does not necessarily mean that the data set becomes openly available, conditions for access and use may apply. Availability in this question thus entails both open & restricted access. For more information: https://wiki.surfnet.nl/display/standards/info-eu-repo/#infoeurepo-AccessRights	 Yes, as open data Yes, as embargoed data (temporary restriction) Yes, as restricted data (upon approval, or institutional access only) No (closed access) Other, please specify: All data (source materials and statistical codes) will be made available.	
If access is restricted, please specify who will be able to access the data and under what conditions.		
Are there any factors that restrict or prevent the sharing of (some of) the data (e.g. as defined in an agreement with a 3rd party, legal restrictions)? Please explain per dataset or data type where appropriate.	 Yes, privacy aspects Yes, intellectual property rights Yes, ethical aspects Yes, aspects of dual use Yes, other No If yes, please specify: 	
Where will the data be made available? If already known, please provide a repository per dataset or data type.	 ⊠ KU Leuven RDR □ Other data repository (specify) □ Other (specify) 	

When will the data be made available?	 ☑ Upon publication of research results ☐ Specific date (specify) ☐ Other (specify)
Which data usage licenses are you going to provide? If none, please explain why. A DATA USAGE LICENSE INDICATES WHETHER THE DATA CAN BE REUSED OR NOT AND UNDER WHAT CONDITIONS. IF NO LICENCE IS GRANTED, THE DATA ARE IN A GREY ZONE AND CANNOT BE LEGALLY REUSED. DO NOTE THAT YOU MAY ONLY RELEASE DATA UNDER A LICENCE CHOSEN BY YOURSELF IF IT DOES NOT ALREADY FALL UNDER ANOTHER LICENCE THAT MIGHT PROHIBIT THAT. Check the RDR quidance on licences for data and software sources code or consult the License selector tool to help you choose.	 □ CC-BY 4.0 (data) □ Data Transfer Agreement (restricted data) □ MIT licence (code) □ GNU GPL-3.0 (code) □ Other (specify)
Do you intend to add a PID/DOI/accession number to your dataset(s)? If already available, please provide it here. INDICATE WHETHER YOU INTEND TO ADD A PERSISTENT AND UNIQUE IDENTIFIER IN ORDER TO IDENTIFY AND RETRIEVE THE DATA.	 Yes, a PID will be added upon deposit in a data repository My dataset already has a PID No
What are the expected costs for data sharing? How will these costs be covered?	No expected costs

	7. Responsibilities
Who will manage data documentation and	Professor dr. Tine van Regenmortel, research manager Anna Ruelens and Silke Goubin will share this
metadata during the research project?	responsibility

Who will manage data storage and backup	Professor dr. Tine van Regenmortel, research manager Anna Ruelens and Silke Goubin will share this
during the research project?	responsibility
Who will manage data preservation and	Professor dr. Tine van Regenmortel, research manager Anna Ruelens and Silke Goubin will share this
sharing?	responsibility
Who will update and implement this DMP?	Silke Goubin