#### **DMP** title

**Project Name** Comparing the knee joint loading profile of early post-traumatic and non-traumatic knee osteoarthritis: towards prevention of progression with more personalized rehabilitation - DMP title

**Project Identifier** S66034

Grant Title 3M210557

Principal Investigator / Researcher Sabine Verschueren

Description Osteoarthritis (OA) is the most common chronic joint disease with the knee as the most frequently affected joint. Knee OA is prevalent in more than 10% of the population above 50 years and radiographic knee OA is evident in 33% of the population above 65 years. Patients with knee OA often complain of pain, muscle weakness, stiffness and instability, as well as limitations in physical activities. This leads to a reduction in quality of life and eventually to a loss of independence. A report on the global burden of disease indicated knee OA as one of the leading causes of disability, related with high economic costs. Given the current absence of disease modifying treatments for knee OA, developing personalized strategies to prevent progression and to maintain function and quality of life, is thus of utmost importance but requires a thorough understanding of the factors associated with disease progression. This is of particular interest in the group of early OA patients, with only beginning signs of joint degeneration (radiographic Kellgren and Lawrence grade of 0 or 1), where there is still a window of opportunity to arrest or reverse the OA disease process. The progression of OA and more specifically localized cartilage degeneration is known to depend on the knee joint pathomechanics (KJPM). However, it is still not fully understood to which extent the KJPM differ in early post-traumatic OA (PTOA) and non-traumatic OA (NTOA) and how KJPM contribute to the progression of the disease. The physical activity (PA) levels of both subgroups will also differ, thereby affecting the cumulative exposure to KJPM and rate of progression. Establishing this insight would require longitudinal follow-up of thoroughly measured KIPM in early PTOA and NTOA (assessed by knee contact forces (KCF) and contact pressure distributions (KCPd), not just by knee moments). This has to be combined with a measure of cumulative exposure to these KIPM, which is currently unavailable. This research project addresses these gaps in the existing scientific knowledge. The first aim is to define the KIPM in detail, longitudinally for 2 years in a group of early PTOA and NTOA subjects. We relate these KIPM, combined with the cumulative mechanical exposure, to changes in articular cartilage/joint surface morphology and quality. The second aim is to explore in both subtypes if abnormal load distribution on the articular surfaces can be altered through real-time KJPM feedback, providing evidence of its applicability in rehabilitation and prevention of progression.

**Institution** KU Leuven

## 1. General Information Name of the project lead (PI)

Sabine Verschueren

#### **Internal Funds Project number & title**

3M210557 - Comparing the knee joint loading profile of early post-traumatic and non-traumatic knee osteoarthritis: towards prevention of progression with more personalized rehabilitation

#### 2. Data description

#### 2.1. Will you generate/collect new data and/or make use of existing data?

- Generate new data
- Reuse existing data

# 2.2. What data will you collect, generate or reuse? Describe the origin, type and format of the data (per dataset) and its (estimated) volume. This may be easiest in a numbered list or table and per objective of the project.

Type of data	Format	Volume	How created
--------------	--------	--------	-------------

Biomechanical data during gait, running and stair negotiation.  The following data will be collected:  • Marker trajectories • Ground reaction force data • Muscle activation of lower limb muscles	.c3d	+/- 45 GB	We will use a motion capture system that consists of  • 10 MX-T20 optoelectronic cameras (VICON, Oxford, UK) • 3 OR6-7 force plates (AMTI, Watertown, MA) • a wireless EMG system, type: Cometa Mini Wave (Zerowire, Aurion, Milan, Italy)  All these devices are synchronized and the data is stored in 1 c3d file.
Strength of thigh muscles	.txt	+/- 50 MB	Participants perform an isokinetic strength test (Biodex IV, Shirley, New York). The raw data (e.g. torque angle) is exported as text file.

Patient Reported Outcome Measures The following validated questionnaires will be administered:  • Knee Injury and Osteoarthritis Outcome Score (KOOS) • Lysholm Knee Scoring Scale • numerical rating scale (NRS) • Central Sensitization Inventory (CSI) • Measure of Intermittent and Constant Osteoarthritis Pain (ICOAP) • Pain Coping Inventory (PCI) • Pain Catastrophizing Scale (PCS) • Tampa Scale for Kinesiophobia (TSK) • Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS) • Treatment beliefs in knee and hip OsteoArthritis (TOA) • Modified Baecke questionnaire • Tegner Activity Level Scale • SF-36 • Maastricht Social Participation Profile (MSPP) • Self-Administered Comorbidity Questionnaire (SCQ)	.xls	+/- 300 KB	All questionnaires will be administered digitally via the survey option in RedCap. The responses are exported as xls format.
Physical activity monitoring	.CSV	10.5GB	All participants will wear an ActiGraph on their waist for 2 weeks. The data is exported with the ActiGraph software (ActiLife).

Medical imaging of knee  1) MRI: to determine cartilage thickness and proteoglycan and collagen content  2) EOS full leg radiography: to determine lower limb alignment  3) Rx knee: to determine Kellgren and Lawrence score	.DCM .stl	120GB	Imaging will be performed according to the standardized protocol of the University Hospitals Leuven. For the MRI additional T1rho and T2 sequences will be performed as described in Van Rossom et al. (2017, PLOS One). Raw MRI imaging is exported as DICOM-files. Afterwards segmentation is performed in mimics (.stl-files).
Blood sample  1) Detection of biomarkers for OA  2) Inflammation parameters	Source file: patient files of the University Hospitals Leuven Afterwards documented in RedCap	<1GB	The standard procedures of the University Hospitals Leuven for blood collection will be followed.

Data from a healthy control group without previous acute cruciate ligament injury, population matched for average age, gender and activity levels, will be available as tested in another project (S65257 - Profiling of abnormal knee joint loading to reduce risk of post-traumatic Knee Osteoarthritis following Anterior Cruciate Ligament reconstruction) at 2 time point with 2 years in between.

#### 3. Ethical and legal issues

3.1. Will you use personal data? If so, shortly describe the kind of personal data you will use. Add the reference to the file in KU Leuven's Record of Processing Activities. Be aware that registering the fact that you process personal data is a legal obligation.

Two types of personal data will be gathered:

- 1. **Personal information for contact purpose** (e.g. name, address, phone number, email) which will not be used in any further analysis. This personal information is recorded in a seperate file (patient identification form) and stored seperately from other research data. Only the persons who are in charge for recruitment and planning of the study visits (PhD student, postdoc and CTA) have access to this patient identification form.
- 2. **Personal information for research purpose** consisting of physical characteristics of the body, medical history, clinical parameters taken as part of the study via the study-related informed consent form in agreement with the General Data Protection Regulation such as blood results, radiological data, biomechanical data (muscle activity, kinetics, kinematics) and clinical examination data. This data will be pseudonymisized and stored on a protected folder on the KU Leuven L-drive. All members of the study team have access to this folder.

PRET reference number: G-2021-3747

3.2. Are there any ethical issues concerning the creation and/or use of the data (e.g. experiments on humans or animals, dual use)? If so, add the reference to the formal approval by the relevant ethical review committee(s).

3.3. Does your research possibly result in research data with potential for tech transfer and valorisation? Will IP restrictions be claimed for the data you created? If so, for what data and which restrictions will be asserted?

Nο

3.4. Do existing 3rd party agreements restrict dissemination or exploitation of the data you (re)use? If so, to what data do they relate and what restrictions regarding reuse and sharing are in place?

No

#### 4. Documentation and metadata

# 4.1. What documentation will be provided to enable understanding and reuse of the data collected/generated in this project?

The following documentation will be provided to enable reuse of the data:

- 1. In every subfolder of the database a **ReadMe file** will be added that contains information on the context in which the data were gathered, the origin of the data, and the content of the dataset.
- 2. Standard operating procedures (**SOPs**) are provided for the biomechanical assessment, strength assessment and activity monitoring. These SOPs document the parameters and instrument settings, provide a description of how to perform the assessment as well as how the raw data is exported and processed.
- 3. For the medical imaging and blood analyses the **SOPs of the University Hospitals Leuven** will be followed. These can be found on Muzilidoc and intranet of UZ Leuven.
- 4. The **research protocol** provides a detailed overview of all the variables that are longitudinally collected and stored in the database.
- 5. A **data dictionary** will be developed. This data dictionary provides detailed information about the variables collected within the project as well as their metadata such as standard definitions of variables, allowable values, formats, origin, etc

# 4.2. Will a metadata standard be used? If so, describe in detail which standard will be used. If not, state in detail which metadata will be created to make the data easy/easier to find and reuse.

The Biodex (muscle strenght measurements) will collect metadata such as:

- The date and time at which the data is collected
- The settings for the measurement device (angle, which limb, which session ...).

Questionnaires: REDCap offers the possibility to download a XML file of the metadata, which consists of the following information: User Roles, Data Access Groups, Data Quality Rules, Surveys and survey settings, order of survey queue.

REDCap also keeps a log of when the questionnaires/surveys are filled in, when someone makes adjustments to the instruments or data. Also, metadata (e.g. timestamp, electronic instructions) are automatically captured during survey completion in REDCap.

MRI and RX images will be saved in DICOM format.

Actigraph, the force plates and EMG generate some metadata automatically such as: time and date of the measurements.

In the data dictionary we will describe:

- the allowable formats of the data (ex. DICOM for the images, txt. for the biodex...)
- the range of the values that are allowed/ expected (ex. in Newtons/m for the Biodex, the concentration in the blood for the inflammatory measurements...)
- the definition of each variable that was measured (specify which movement/ exercise corresponds to which value)

#### 5. Data storage and backup during the project

### 5.1. Where will the data be stored?

- 1. We will use **OneDrive** for active use of the data during the project: this entails processed and raw data (but always in pseudonimized from).
- 2. The time-stamped master copy of the data will be stored on a Large Volume Storage (L-

- **drive**) of the KU Leuven, specifically developed to store large amounts of data for long periods of time.
- 3. Also on the secured L-drive: the patiënt identification log will be stored here. This file is only accessible by the members of this research project who have been granted access to this file by the PI.
- 4. **RedCap** will be used to administer and store patient reported outcome measures (PROMS) and clinical data (blood analysis, knee examination). If Redcap data is exported, it will be stored on the L-drive in a project-specific folder, only the research team has access to.
- 5. The **RedCap app** (offline use) will also be used to administer questionnaires on a KU Leuven tablet. Data of the other subjects is protected by the fact that a participant can only access his/her own data in RedCap. We ensure this by downloading only the relevant subject's questionnaires/ file on the tablet beforehand. After the administration of the questionnaires, the researcher uploads the data to the server and deletes the data that was stored locally on the tablet.

#### 5.2. How will the data be backed up?

- 1. OneDrive take automatic backups.
- 2. The university's central servers (we will store data on the L-drive) has automatic daily backup procedures.
- 3. RedCap also had a build in backup procedure, so that it is possible to restore a previous version of the database.

# 5.3. Is there currently sufficient storage & backup capacity during the project? If yes, specify concisely. If no or insufficient storage or backup capacities are available, then explain how this will be taken care of.

- 1. The OneDrive storage capacity is 2TB and provides sufficient storage for the active use of the data.
- 2. Furthermore, the data will be stored on a Large Volume Storage (L-drive) of the KU Leuven. The research group supports us in providing enough space on the L drive.

## 5.4. What are the expected costs for data storage and backup during the project? How will these costs be covered?

- 1. OneDrive is covered by KU Leuven.
- 2. The research group foresees space on the L drive.
- 3. RedCap has a cost of 80 euro per year, which will be covered by the C2 bench fee.

# 5.5. Data security: how will you ensure that the data are securely stored and not accessed or modified by unauthorized persons?

The digital pseudonymised data (i.e. coded and containing no personal information) will be stored in the university's secure environment for private data. The PI of this project will be the only one who can grant access to this network drive.

## 6. Data preservation after the end of the project

6.1. Which data will be retained for the expected 10 year period after the end of the project? If only a selection of the data can/will be preserved, clearly state why this is the case (legal or contractual restrictions, physical preservation issues, ...).

Both raw data and finally processed data will be stored on the L-Drive for 25 years after the end of the project.

#### 6.2. Where will these data be archived (= stored for the long term)?

Data will be stored on the secured university's central servers (with automatic back-up procedures) for at least 25 years, conform the recommendations of the Ethical Committee UZ/KU Leuven.

# 6.3. What are the expected costs for data preservation during these 10 years? How will the costs be covered?

After the end of the project, the database will be preserved on the servers of KU Leuven. The database will be stored on the K-drive (this is designed for archiving). In view of the expected size of the database (> 170GB), an estimated annual cost of 12 euro is foreseen. This will be paid with the C2 bench fee.

#### 7. Data sharing and re-use

# 7.1. Are there any factors restricting or preventing the sharing of (some of) the data (e.g. as defined in an agreement with a 3rd party, legal restrictions or because of IP potential)?

The existing data that will be used can only be shared if the conditions, as mentioned in the informed consent, are met.

Data sharing can only happen when it is not for commercial use or it doesn't reveal the identity of the participants. Data will always be pseudonimized.

#### 7.2. Which data will be made available after the end of the project?

The full pseudonymized dataset will be made available in RDR and will be shared upon request after approval of the PI, for clearly defined research purposes.

Importantly, only data of participants who granted their approval for re-use, either within the research group (closed data) or outside the research group (open data), will be made available.

#### 7.3. Where/how will the data be made available for reuse?

Upon request by mail

Pseudonymized data and documentation will be available on request in RDR.

Data sharing can only happen when it is not for commercial use or it doesn't reveal the identity of the participants. Data will always be pseudonimized.

#### 7.4. When will the data be made available?

• Upon publication of the research results

#### 7.5. Who will be able to access the data and under what conditions?

Sharing of pseudonymized data will be considered after a request is submitted in RDR explaining the planned reuse. Only uses for research purposes will be allowed and commercial reuse will be excluded.

All participants will be asked whether the data gathered in the context of this project can be reused for other research purposes, both within the research group (closed data) or with other researchers inside or outside KU Leuven (open data) via an informed consent form. Data of participants who granted this permission will only be shared with research groups who submitted a written request to the PI of this project. Data will only be shared if the research is approved by the ethical committee and participants will be informed regarding this secondary use.

## 7.6. What are the expected costs for data sharing? How will these costs be covered?

No costs for data sharing are expected. If any occur, it will be covered by the requesting parties.

#### 8. Responsibilities

## 8.1. Who will be responsible for the data documentation & metadata?

All researchers associated with this project will be responsible for data documentation under supervision of the PI.

### 8.2. Who will be responsible for data storage & back up during the project?

Data management, storage and back up will be performed by phd student and postdoc of this project under supervision of the PI.

### 8.3. Who will be responsible for ensuring data preservation and sharing?

The PI will be responsible for ensuring data preservation and reuse.

#### 8.4. Who bears the end responsibility for updating & implementing this DMP?

The end responsibility for updating and implementing the DMP is with the supervisor (PI).