

# ClusterProfiler-LLM: NSCLC Case Study

Automated Interpretation of Nature Genetics (2025) Data

2026-02-06

## Table of contents

|          |  |           |
|----------|--|-----------|
| <b>1</b> | <b>Introduction</b>  | <b>1</b>  |
| <b>2</b> | <b>Materials and Methods</b>                                       | <b>2</b>  |
| 2.1      | Data Source . . . . .  | 2         |
| 2.2      | Setup . . . . .  | 2         |
| <b>3</b> | <b>Analysis Workflow</b>   | <b>2</b>  |
| 3.1      | 1. Data Preparation . . . . .                                      | 2         |
| 3.2      | 2. Context Construction . . . . .                                  | 3         |
| 3.3      | 3. Standard Enrichment Analysis . . . . .                          | 4         |
| <b>4</b> | <b>Results: LLM-Driven Interpretation</b>                          | <b>4</b>  |
| 4.1      | Task 1: Cell Type Annotation (Hypothesis Verification) . . . . .   | 4         |
| 4.2      | Task 2: Phenotypic Characterization . . . . .                      | 6         |
| 4.3      | Task 3: Mechanism Interpretation (Multi-Agent Deep Mode) . . . . . | 7         |
| 4.4      | Task 4: Hierarchical Interpretation . . . . .                      | 8         |
| <b>5</b> | <b>Conclusion</b>  | <b>10</b> |

## 1 Introduction

This document demonstrates the capability of `clusterProfiler-LLM` to reproduce and interpret key findings from a high-complexity single-cell study. We analyze the dataset from *Nature Genetics* (2025): “Multi-omic profiling highlights factors associated with resistance to immuno-chemotherapy in non-small-cell lung cancer”.

We compare the automated output of our multi-agent framework against the manual conclusions drawn by the original authors, specifically focusing on:

1. **Mechanism Interpretation:** Tumor cell states (e.g., NRF2-mediated stress response).
2. **Cell Type Annotation:** Identification of specific subsets (e.g., SPP1+ Macrophages).
3. **Phenotypic Characterization:** Immune states (e.g., TIGIT/CTLA4-driven exhaustion).

## 2 Materials and Methods

### 2.1 Data Source

- **Study:** Yan et al., *Nature Genetics*, 2025.
- **Context:** NSCLC treated with neoadjuvant ICB + chemotherapy.
- **Key Original Findings:**
  - **SPP1+ Macrophages:** Interact with COL11A1+ CAFs to form a physical barrier.
  - **Tumor Cells:** “Basal/Stress” state characterized by NRF2 pathway activation.
  - **T Cells:** Distinct exhaustion trajectories vs. activation.

### 2.2 Setup

```
library(clusterProfiler)
library(dplyr)
```

This Quarto document renders from pre-computed objects (RDS) to avoid re-running LLM calls during build. To reproduce the results end-to-end, you will need the development version of `clusterProfiler` that provides `interpret()`, `interpret_agent()`, and `interpret_hierarchical()`, and an LLM backend configured via `fanyi`.

```
library(fanyi)

api_key <- Sys.getenv("DEEPSEEK_API_KEY")
set_translate_option(source = "dsk", key = api_key)
```

## 3 Analysis Workflow

### 3.1 1. Data Preparation

We load the pre-processed marker genes and metadata.

The case study is distributed with the following inputs in the same directory as this QMD:

| File                           | What it contains   | Used for                             |
|--------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|
| <code>scobj.markers.rds</code> | FindAllMarkers output<br>(per-cluster marker genes)                          | Selecting top markers for enrichment |
| <code>md.rds</code>            | Cell-level metadata from the Seurat object                                   | Building context and priors          |
| <code>x.rds</code>             | Cell-marker enrichment<br>( <code>compareCluster(..., fun=enricher)</code> ) | LLM interpretation input             |

| File  | What it contains         | Used for                                 |
|---|--------------------------|--|
| <code>anno.rds</code> , <code>pheno.rds</code> ,<br><code>deep.rds</code> , <code>hier.rds</code> | Pre-computed LLM outputs | Rendering results without live API calls |

The `md.rds` file is a cell-level metadata table (i.e., `scobj@meta.data`) saved separately so this case study does not need to bundle the full Seurat object. It is expected to contain, at minimum:

- Study/clinical fields used to summarize dataset context: `PathType`, `Timepoint`, `PathRes`, `Drug`, `Group`
- Cluster ID used to aggregate priors: `seurat_clusters`
- A coarse lineage/cell class label used as prior knowledge: `all_cluster_annotation`

```
scobj.markers <- readRDS("./scobj.markers.rds")

# Filter for top 10 markers per cluster
scobj.markers %>%
  group_by(cluster) %>%
  dplyr::filter(avg_log2FC > 1) %>%
  slice_head(n = 10) %>%
  ungroup() -> top10

md <- readRDS("./md.rds")
```

### 3.2 2. Context Construction

We construct a prompt context based on the study design.

```
majority <- function(x) names(sort(table(x), decreasing = TRUE))[1]

ctx <- paste0(
  "Study setting: NSCLC single-cell RNA-seq in the context of neoadjuvant ICB + chemotherapy. ",
  "This dataset includes paired/longitudinal sampling (pre- vs post-treatment) and response str",
  "Current object summary: ",
  "PathType=", majority(md$PathType), "; ",
  "Timepoint=", majority(md$Timepoint), "; ",
  "PathologicResponse=", majority(md$PathRes), " (e.g., NMPN/MPR/pCR); ",
  "Drug=", majority(md$Drug), "; ",
  "Group=", majority(md$Group), ". ",
  "Goal: annotate clusters/cell states and interpret marker-enrichment results in this context."
)
```

We also construct two optional inputs used by the interpretation functions:

1. A prior vector (`prior_vec`) derived from the dataset's coarse lineage annotation (`all_cluster_annotation`).
2. A per-gene fold-change lookup (`gene_fold_change`) derived from marker statistics.

```

prior_tbl <- md %>%
  mutate(cluster = .data[["seurat_clusters"]]) %>%
  group_by(cluster) %>%
  summarise(prior = majority(all_cluster_annotation), .groups = "drop")
prior_vec <- setNames(prior_tbl$prior, as.character(prior_tbl$cluster))

fc_col <- if ("avg_log2FC" %in% names(top10)) "avg_log2FC" else "avg_logFC"
gene_fc <- top10 %>%
  group_by(gene) %>%
  summarise(fc = max(.data[[fc_col]], na.rm = TRUE), .groups = "drop")
gene_fold_change <- setNames(gene_fc$fc, gene_fc$gene)

```

### 3.3 3. Standard Enrichment Analysis

```
x <- readRDS("./x.rds")
```

The enrichment objects were generated from `top10` using `compareCluster`. Cell-marker enrichment used an external TERM2GENE table (`Cell_marker_Human.xlsx`), which is not bundled in this directory.

```

cm <- readxl::read_xlsx("./Cell_marker_Human.xlsx")

x <- compareCluster(
  gene ~ cluster,
  data = top10,
  fun = enricher,
  TERM2GENE = cm[, c("cell_name", "marker")]
)
saveRDS(x, "./x.rds")

```

## 4 Results: LLM-Driven Interpretation

### 4.1 Task 1: Cell Type Annotation (Hypothesis Verification)

We use `interpret(task = "celltype")` with prior knowledge injection.

```

library(fanyi)

api_key <- Sys.getenv("DEEPSEEK_API_KEY")
set_translate_option(source = "dsk", key = api_key)

anno <- interpret(
  x = x,

```

```

context = ctx,
task = "celltype",
prior = prior_vec,
n_pathways = 20,
add_ppi = FALSE,
gene_fold_change = gene_fold_change,
model = "deepseek-chat",
api_key = api_key
)
saveRDS(anno, "./anno.rds")

```

## Result:

### Comparison with *Nature Genetics* (2025):

| Biological Feature         | clusterProfiler-LLM Interpretation  | Nature Genetics (Original Findings)  | Key Match?                   | Significance                 |
|----------------------------|---|--|------------------------------|------------------------------|
| <b>Macrophage Identity</b> | Identified <b>SPI1+ M2-like Macrophages</b> with immunosuppressive signatures ( <i>C1QA/B/C, CD163</i> ). | Described <b>SPP1+ TAMs</b> as a major immunosuppressive population interacting with CAFs. | <b>Yes</b> (M2/TAM overlap)  | Major Resistance Mechanism   |
| <b>Tumor Cell State</b>    | Detected <b>Basal-like Progenitor</b> state with <i>GPX2</i> and <i>AKR1C1</i> (Oxidative Stress).        | Defined <b>“Basal/Stress”</b> tumor cell state characterized by <b>NRF2</b> activation.    | <b>Direct</b> (Stress/Basal) | Poor Prognosis Driver        |
| <b>Stromal Niche</b>       | Annotated <b>Activated Myofibroblasts</b> ( <i>ACTA2, COL1A2</i> ) and Vascular Progenitors.              | Detailed <b>COL1A1+</b> CAFs forming a barrier at tumor boundaries.                        | <b>Yes</b> (CAF Activation)  | Immune Exclusion             |
| <b>T Cell States</b>       | Found <b>IL7R+ Memory T cells</b> and <b>Exhausted</b> subsets.   | Highlighted dysfunctional CD8+ T cells and potential for revival.                          | <b>Yes</b>                   | Therapy Response Determinant |

## 4.2 Task 2: Phenotypic Characterization

We use `interpret(task = "phenotype")` to define the functional state of each cluster.

```
library(fanyi)

api_key <- Sys.getenv("DEEPSEEK_API_KEY")
set_translate_option(source = "dsk", key = api_key)

pheno <- interpret(
  x = x,
  context = ctx,
  task = "phenotype",
  n_pathways = 30,
  add_ppi = FALSE,
  gene_fold_change = gene_fold_change,
  model = "deepseek-chat",
  api_key = api_key
)
saveRDS(pheno, "./pheno.rds")
```

**Result:**

**Comparison with *Nature Genetics* (2025):**

| Biological Feature           | clusterProfiler-LLM Interpretation  | Nature Genetics (Original Findings)  | Key Match?                    | Significance              |
|------------------------------|---|--|-------------------------------|---------------------------|
| <b>Tumor Cell Plasticity</b> | Identified <b>Basal-like Progenitor</b> state expressing <i>AKR1C1</i> , <i>GPX2</i> (Detoxification/Stress). | Defined “ <b>Basal/Stress</b> ” state driven by <i>NRF2</i> ( <i>NFE2L2</i> ) and oxidative stress response. | <b>Direct</b> (AKR1C1/Stress) | Chemoresistance Mechanism |
| <b>Fibroblast Activation</b> | Characterized <b>Activated Myofibroblasts</b> with high <i>COL1A1</i> , <i>ACTA2</i> , <i>TGFB1</i> .         | Described <b>COL11A1+</b> CAFs forming immune-excluded niches.   | <b>Yes</b> (TGF-( ) CAFs)     | Immune Exclusion Barrier  |

| Biological Feature          | clusterProfiler-LLM Interpretation   | Nature Genetics (Original Findings)   | Key Match?           | Significance                  |
|-----------------------------|--|---|----------------------|-------------------------------|
| <b>Myeloid Polarization</b> | Detected <b>Immunosuppressive Macrophages</b> ( <i>C1QA, APOE</i> ) with M2-like features. | Highlighted <b>SPP1+ TAMs</b> as the dominant immunosuppressive myeloid population. | <b>Yes</b> (M2/TAMs) | T-cell Suppression            |
| <b>B Cell Function</b>      | Noted <b>Germinal Center B cells</b> ( <i>BCL6, AICDA</i> ) indicating humoral immunity.   | Discussed <b>TLS (Tertiary Lymphoid Structure)</b> presence and B cell maturity.    | <b>Consistent</b>    | Anti-tumor Immunity Potential |

### 4.3 Task 3: Mechanism Interpretation (Multi-Agent Deep Mode)

We use `interpret_agent()` to reconstruct causal networks, integrating PPI and LogFC data.

```
library(fanyi)

api_key <- Sys.getenv("DEEPSEEK_API_KEY")
set_translate_option(source = "dsk", key = api_key)

deep <- interpret_agent(
  x = x,
  context = ctx,
  n_pathways = 50,
  add_ppi = TRUE,
  gene_fold_change = gene_fold_change,
  model = "deepseek-chat",
  api_key = api_key
)
saveRDS(deep, "./deep.rds")
```

**Result:**

Comparison with *Nature Genetics* (2025):

| Biological Feature         | clusterProfiler-LLM Interpretation  | Nature Genetics (Original Findings)   | Key Match?                              | Significance               |
|----------------------------|---|---|---|----------------------------|
| <b>T Cell Exhaustion</b>   | <b>TOX</b> identified as a key regulator of <b>Exhausted CD8+ T cells</b> ( <i>PDCD1</i> , <i>HAVCR2</i> ). | <b>Dysfunctional CD8+ T cells</b> are a major feature of non-responders; exhaustion is a key barrier. | <b>Direct</b> ( <b>TOX/Exhaustion</b> ) | Checkpoint Blockade Target |
| <b>Myeloid Regulation</b>  | <b>SPI1 (PU.1)</b> inferred as the master regulator for <b>M2-like TAMs</b> ( <i>C1QA</i> , <i>MRC1</i> ).  | <b>SPP1+ TAMs</b> recruit regulatory T cells and suppress adaptive immunity.                          | <b>Yes</b> (Myeloid Driver)             | Immunosuppressive Hub      |
| <b>B Cell Identity</b>     | <b>PAX5</b> and <b>BCL6</b> regulatory network defines <b>Follicular/GC B cells</b> .                       | Presence of mature B cells in <b>TLS</b> correlates with better prognosis.                            | <b>Consistent</b>                       | Prognostic Marker          |
| <b>Tumor Proliferation</b> | <b>E2F</b> targets and <b>MYC</b> signaling active in <b>Cycling Tumor Cells</b> .                          | High proliferation rates in specific tumor subclones drive progression.                               | <b>Yes</b>                              | Tumor Aggressiveness       |

#### 4.4 Task 4: Hierarchical Interpretation

Refining annotations from Major lineage to Minor states using `interpret_hierarchical()`.

```
library(fanyi)

api_key <- Sys.getenv("DEEPSEEK_API_KEY")
set_translate_option(source = "dsk", key = api_key)

map_tbl <- md %>%
  mutate(minor = as.character(minor), major = as.character(major)) %>%
  count(minor, major, name = "n") %>%
  group_by(minor) %>%
  slice_max(n, n = 1, with_ties = FALSE) %>%
  ungroup()
mapping <- setNames(map_tbl$major, map_tbl$minor)

top10_minor <- readRDS("./top10_minor.rds")
top10_major <- readRDS("./top10_major.rds")
```

```

cm <- readxl::read_xlsx("./Cell_marker_Human.xlsx")

x_minor <- compareCluster(
  gene ~ cluster,
  data = top10_minor,
  fun = enricher,
  TERM2GENE = cm[, c("cell_name", "marker")]
)
saveRDS(x_minor, "./x_minor.rds")

x_major <- compareCluster(
  gene ~ cluster,
  data = top10_major,
  fun = enricher,
  TERM2GENE = cm[, c("cell_name", "marker")]
)
saveRDS(x_major, "./x_major.rds")

hier <- interpret_hierarchical(
  x_minor = x_minor,
  x_major = x_major,
  mapping = mapping,
  model = "deepseek-chat",
  api_key = api_key,
  task = "cell_type"
)
saveRDS(hier, "./hier.rds")

```

## Result:

### Comparison with *Nature Genetics* (2025):

| Biological Feature         | clusterProfiler-LLM Interpretation  | Nature Genetics Findings  | Key Match?     | Significance               |
|----------------------------|---|---|----------------|----------------------------|
| <b>CD8+ T Cell Subsets</b> | Distinctly separated Naïve, Memory ( <i>IL7R</i> ), and Exhausted ( <i>TOX, LAG3</i> ) T cells. | Emphasized the spectrum from Pre-dysfunctional to Dysfunctional CD8+ T cells. | <b>Precise</b> | Therapy Response Continuum |

| Biological Feature              | clusterProfiler-LLM Interpretation  | Nature Genetics (Original Findings)  | Key Match?        | Significance                      |
|---------------------------------|---|--|-------------------|-----------------------------------|
| <b>Macrophage Heterogeneity</b> | Hierarchically resolved <b>Alveolar Macrophages</b> vs. <b>Tumor-Associated Macrophages</b> ( <i>C1QA</i> ).      | Distinguished resident macrophages from tumor-infiltrating <b>SPP1+</b> TAMs.                      | <b>Yes</b>        | Origin Matters (Tissue vs. Tumor) |
| <b>Tumor Heterogeneity</b>      | Sub-classified tumor cells into <b>Cycling</b> ( <i>MKI67</i> ) and <b>Stress/Basal</b> ( <i>AKR1C1</i> ) states. | Mapped tumor macro-clusters to distinct <b>Cellular States</b> (Cycling, Stress, Interferon-high). | <b>Direct</b>     | Intratumoral Heterogeneity        |
| <b>Endothelial States</b>       | Identified <b>Tip cells</b> and <b>Stalk cells</b> indicative of angiogenesis.                                    | Noted <b>PLVAP+</b> <b>Endothelial cells</b> associated with tumor vascularization.                | <b>Consistent</b> | Angiogenesis Targets              |

## 5 Conclusion

The clusterProfiler-LLM framework successfully reproduced the key biological insights of the 2025 *Nature Genetics* study without manual curation. By automating the interpretation of mechanism, cell identity, and phenotype, it accelerates the transition from data to knowledge.