

Names of testers:

Ying Fei Zang (261054638) ying.zang@mail.mcgill.ca

YunShan Nong (261055472) yunshan.nong@mail.mcgill.ca

Yu Tong Hu (261051311) yu.tong.hu@mail.mcgill.ca

Date: 09-Apr-2024

Title: Software Validation of “The GENERAL”

Overview:

This report presents some potential test objectives for The GENERAL as described in The GENERAL software requirement specification. [Guiding Principles - C2PA](#)

The type of potential test objectives presented include Capabilities, Failure Modes, Usage Scenarios and Quality Factors related to performance.

A short summary of each potential test objective is included. Each summary will identify risks being studied, variables being manipulated, variables being observed and the test design technique which is proposed to implement the test objectives.

The paper will also describe how regression testing could be implemented as part of the development process to help determine if changes to The GENERAL code base accidentally inject regression bugs.

Capability Test Objectives

| | |
|-------------------------------------|--|
| 1) Test Objective (Capability) | Confirm The GENERAL can support <i>all</i> common asset and content file formats. |
| Risk being studied | Build confidence for existing customers to confirm that they can perform typical transactions with The GENERAL |
| Variables which will be manipulated | All entries of common asset and file formats Number of trials |
| Variables which will be observed | Generated files The GENERAL production log files. |
| Test design approach | Pareto analysis will be used to identify the most common format |

| | |
|-------------------------------------|--|
| 2) Test Objective (Capability) | Confirm The GENERAL allows for flexibility in whether C2PA data is stored directly in asset files or hosted in cloud-accessible storage. |
| Risk being studied | Confirms that The GENERAL is not storing data in other platforms for privacy purposes and to build trust with current customers |
| Variables which will be manipulated | Type of files Generated files |
| Variables which will be observed | The GENERAL production log files Cloud storage or Asset files |
| Test design approach | Boundary value analysis helps to ensure the system's reliability, compatibility, and |

| | |
|--|--|
| | usability across a range of scenarios and configurations |
|--|--|

| | |
|-------------------------------------|---|
| 3) Test Objective (Capability) | Confirm The GENERAL manifest can be defined with a pairwise complete set of all input parameter options. |
| Risk being studied | Confirm that all values of all variables can be successfully entered in a manifest in combination with all values of each other variable at least once. |
| Variables which will be manipulated | Type of files: Size: Type of editings: Data storage: |
| Variables which will be observed | Saved state of manifest |
| Test design approach | Pairwise combinations testing using constraints to ensure no violation of guiding rules |

| | |
|-------------------------------------|--|
| 4) Test Objective (Capability) | Confirm The GENERAL implement C2PA specifications on the computing platforms in widespread use in both developed and developing regions, specifically including lower-cost and older mobile devices. |
| Risk being studied | Confirms the operation of The GENERAL in different programs and devices ensuring its compatibility and acquire its different specifications to allow future upgrades |
| Variables which will be manipulated | Type of files Size |

| | |
|----------------------------------|--|
| | Type of editings Data storage Type of platforms/programs Type of devices |
| Variables which will be observed | The GENERAL production log files |
| Test design approach | Decision table to ensure the identifications of the factors that influence the implementation of The GENERAL |

| | |
|-------------------------------------|--|
| 5) Test Objective (Capability) | Confirms that The GENERAL allows manual and automatic filtering to remove sensitive information before sharing with others. |
| Risk being studied | Ensures that The GENERAL effectively protects sensitive information without being manipulated for malicious purposes |
| Variables which will be manipulated | Type of files File content |
| Variables which will be observed | The GENERAL production log files |
| Test design approach | Pareto analysis will be used to identify and address the vital few types of sensitive information that contribute to the majority of risks before sharing with others. |

| | |
|--------------------------------|---|
| 6) Test Objective (Capability) | Confirms that The GENERAL discloses the nature of information that will be captured, recorded, and/or stored on users' behalf and obtains informed consent from their users before doing so |
|--------------------------------|---|

| | |
|-------------------------------------|--|
| Risk being studied | Confirms the trust between the program and its users, ensuring that users perceive their data privacy and informed consent as valued and respected |
| Variables which will be manipulated | Type of files File content |
| Variables which will be observed | Source of files |
| Test design approach | Scenario-based testing will allow the representation of typical user interactions with The GENERAL, ensuring that each scenario includes the disclosure of information and consent process |

Failure Mode Test Objectives

| | |
|-------------------------------------|---|
| 1) Test Objective (Failure Mode) | What if the signing credentials of the given data set is not listed on any of the validator's trust list. |
| Risk being studied | Whether credentials from random organizations which are not in the validators' trust lists can pass The GENERAL's validation. |
| Variables which will be manipulated | C2PA content credentials Claim inside the manifest of the assets |
| Variables which will be observed | Validator's trust list Error message of The GENERAL console Failure code The GENERAL log file |
| Test design approach | State modeling of The GENERAL. Decision tables |

| | |
|----------------------------------|--|
| 2) Test Objective (Failure Mode) | What if the framework had an update and has deprecated some functions? |
| Risk being studied | See if dataset with old credentials from previous version can be accepted with updated C2PA framework. |

| | |
|-------------------------------------|--|
| Variables which will be manipulated | <p>The given Assets</p> <p>Content credentials of the Assets</p> <p>Deprecated functions names</p> |
| Variables which will be observed | <p>Failure code</p> <p>Error messages on The GENERAL console.</p> <p>The GENERAL log files.</p> |
| Test design approach | Random selection of values for variables. |

| | |
|-------------------------------------|---|
| 3) Test Objective (Failure Mode) | What if a tampered data set with credentials were given to The GENERAL? |
| Risk being studied | The GENERAL's capabilities of recognizing tampered assets even if the correct credentials were given. |
| Variables which will be manipulated | <p>The given data set.</p> <p>The GENERAL's tampered data recognition model</p> |
| Variables which will be observed | <p>Failure code</p> <p>Error message on The GENERAL console</p> <p>The GENERAL log files.</p> |
| Test design approach | <p>State modeling of The GENERAL</p> <p>Process block diagram</p> |

| | |
|-------------------------------------|--|
| 4) Test Objective (Failure Mode) | What if normal softwares with incomplete credentials were given? |
| Risk being studied | Confirm that The GENERAL prevents the validation of software with inappropriate credentials. |
| Variables which will be manipulated | The given software Content credentials of that software |
| Variables which will be observed | Failure code Warning messages about the incompleteness of credentials on The GENERAL console. The GENERAL log files. |
| Test design approach | Random selection of values for variables. |

| | |
|-------------------------------------|--|
| 5) Test Objective (Failure Mode) | What if a valid software with a timestamp that is not in the validator's trust list is given? |
| Risk being studied | Confirm The GENERAL does not allow the validation of software with a suspicious timestamp that does not match with what was indicated in the validator's trust list. |
| Variables which will be manipulated | The given software |
| Variables which will be observed | The given software's timestamp in the manifest Validator's trust list Failure code |

| | |
|----------------------|---|
| | <p>Error messages on The GENERAL console.</p> <p>The GENERAL log files.</p> |
| Test design approach | Process block diagram |

| | |
|-------------------------------------|---|
| 6) Test Objective (Failure Mode) | What if a software with missing claim signature is given? |
| Risk being studied | Confirm The GENERAL does not allow the validation of software with missing claim in its manifest. |
| Variables which will be manipulated | The given software's manifest |
| Variables which will be observed | <p>Failure code</p> <p>Error messages on The GENERAL console.</p> <p>The GENERAL log files.</p> |
| Test design approach | Process block diagram |

Usage Scenario Test Objectives

| | |
|-------------------------------------|--|
| 1) Test Objective (Usage Scenario) | Can The General only select unmodified/original photos from social media during dataset selection stage of training involving computer vision? |
| Risk being studied | Make sure The General can filter out modified photos from social media. |
| Variables which will be manipulated | Content credentials on social media Photo provenance information Digital signature |
| Variables which will be observed | Acceptance (detection flag) state of The General The General's dataset size State of training session |
| Test design approach | Story boarding of usage scenarios. State Models. |

| | |
|-------------------------------------|--|
| 2) Test Objective (Usage Scenario) | If random noise is introduced into the dataset by altering pixel values, can The General detect the presence of noise in the dataset and identify it as tampering? |
| Risk being studied | Make sure The General can detect noise injection to avoid the impact of noise on the integrity and accuracy of the dataset |
| Variables which will be manipulated | Level of noise type of noise |

| | |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Variables which will be observed | response time of The General Detection accuracy (detection flag state) |
| Test design approach | Story boarding of usage scenarios Agile Story Acceptance Tests |

| | |
|-------------------------------------|---|
| 3) Test Objective (Usage Scenario) | If dependencies of the software used for training are modified, can The General detect unauthorized changes and prevent the execution of tempered code? |
| Risk being studied | Make sure unauthorized changes to software are detected by The General and the training is paused. |
| Variables which will be manipulated | dependencies of software extent of tampering |
| Variables which will be observed | response time of The General Detection accuracy (detection flag state) |
| Test design approach | Story boarding of usage scenarios Agile Story Acceptance Tests |

| | |
|------------------------------------|--|
| 4) Test Objective (Usage Scenario) | If labels associated with a subset of data samples are modified, can The General recognize discrepancies between the expected labels and the manipulated ones? |
|------------------------------------|--|

| | |
|-------------------------------------|--|
| Risk being studied | Make sure The General can detect discrepancies between expected labels and manipulated ones and pause the training or inference in order to avoid incorrect model training and biased predictions. |
| Variables which will be manipulated | Type of label manipulation extent of label manipulation |
| Variables which will be observed | response time of The General Detection accuracy (detection flag state) Error rate in detecting label manipulation |
| Test design approach | Story boarding of usage scenarios Control flow Agile Story Acceptance Tests |

| | |
|-------------------------------------|--|
| 5) Test Objective (Usage Scenario) | Can The General trace back the original file or an interested data based on a modified version? |
| Risk being studied | Make sure The General can use provenance information of C2PA framework to trace back specific data of interest to ensure the accuracy of data. |
| Variables which will be manipulated | provenance information extent of concealment attempts (ex: encryption, watermark, data masking) |
| Variables which will be observed | provenance information C2PA manifest |

| | |
|----------------------|---|
| | C2PA Authencity Detection speed accuracy of tracing |
| Test design approach | Story boarding of usage scenarios control flow Agile Story Acceptance Tests |

| | |
|-------------------------------------|--|
| 6) Test Objective (Usage Scenario) | Can The General be used with screen reader by users with visual impairments ? |
| Risk being studied | Make sure that all user interface elements are properly labeled, interactive components are accessible via keyboard navigation and content is presented in a logical and understandable manner when accessed through a screen reader |
| Variables which will be manipulated | Screen reader software The General compatibility user interface elements |
| Variables which will be observed | compatibility with screen reader software accessibility of user interface elements content presentation and understandability |
| Test design approach | Story boarding of usage scenarios. |

Quality Factors Test Objectives

| | |
|--|--|
| 1) Test Objective (Quality Factors Related to Performance) | Study the data poisoning success rate before and after the C2PA credential was applied. |
| Risk being studied | How well The GENERAL with C2PA framework can prevent data poisoning attack. |
| Variables which will be manipulated | Numerous data set with and without C2PA content credentials |
| Variables which will be observed | Number of successful attacks with C2PA Number of attack failures with C2PA Number of successful attacks without C2PA Number of attack failures without C2PA |
| Test design approach | Pareto analysis. |

| | |
|--|---|
| 2) Test Objective (Quality Factors Related to Performance) | Study the ratio of acceptance of tampered data between 2 models: one with credential and one without. |
| Risk being studied | How well does the application of C2PA framework can prevent data tampering |
| Variables which will be manipulated | Numerous data set with and without C2PA content credentials |
| Variables which will be observed | Number of accepted tampered data with C2PA Number of accepted tampered data without C2PA |
| Test design approach | Pareto analysis. |

| | |
|--|---|
| 3) Test Objective (Quality Factors Related to Performance) | Study the ratio of data size and software usage (CPU, memory, time) |
| Risk being studied | Concern that the software may be too resource consuming |
| Variables which will be manipulated | Size characteristics |
| Variables which will be observed | CPU usage Memory usage Time usage |
| Test design approach | Dynamic analysis |

| | |
|--|---|
| 4) Test Objective (Quality Factors Related to Performance) | Study the ratio between the data marked as removable and nonremovable by C2PA-compliant tools |
| Risk being studied | Concern about the correctness of detection of the data |
| Variables which will be manipulated | File content Type of files |
| Variables which will be observed | The GENERAL log files |
| Test design approach | Pareto analysis |

| | |
|--|---|
| 5) Test Objective (Quality Factors Related to Performance) | Study the amount of time it takes to trace back the original data of interested based on modified version |
|--|---|

| | |
|-------------------------------------|---|
| Risk being studied | Concern that tracing time being slow for most cases |
| Variables which will be manipulated | Number of modified sets of data |
| Variables which will be observed | time to trace back original data variation in time |
| Test design approach | pareto analysis |

| | |
|--|---|
| 6) Test Objective (Quality Factors Related to Performance) | Study the response time of The General for model inference tasks, specifically classifying unmodified images during pre-training. |
| Risk being studied | Make sure the average response time of The General is under a threshold, not too slow |
| Variables which will be manipulated | number of requests (load) ratio of modified images |
| Variables which will be observed | response time throughput (number of requests processed per unit of time) resource utilization |
| Test design approach | pareto analysis |

Regression Testing of The GENERAL

The objective of regression testing for "The General" is to ensure that software updates or modifications do not introduce new bugs or regressions that could affect the system's functionality, performance, or reliability.

A lab is set up near the development team in which three different production runs can take place.

1. A typical case
2. A harsh case
3. A very fast case

Periodically, at least once per week, a production run of each type is done on software under development using the lab set up.

Review of log files and produced products is done to identify any unexpected variations.

A unit test framework is part of the Continuous Integration server. The unit test framework mocks any access to the physical The GENERAL and is run against every build done as code is checked into the source code repository.