z. Tukey's Honest Significant Difference.				
· Family confidence is 95%				
· Individual comparisons have higher confidence level.				
· Dairwise confidence interval for Mi-Mj				
· Interpretation. I ask tur O It Dis NO Inside The				
significant difference between				
3. Fisher's Least Significance Difference.				
3. fisher's Least Significance Difference.				
Individual confidence is 95%. Family confidence is smaller, but NOT quite as small as if we wastructed individual confidence intervals.				
· Family unfidence is smaller, but NOI quite as small as				
constructed individual confidence intervals.				
· pairwise confidence interval for us-yg.				
· Interpretation: Look for O.				
1 Parformis method.				
· Family confidence is set to be what we want (say, 93%)				
· Individual confidence is higher.				
· Dairsise unfidence interval for Mi My. twenty				
CI for est $\pm (t \text{ or } z) * \text{ stoder}$. If $k=(2)=\frac{g(g-1)}{2}$, then $t_{N-g}(\frac{g}{g(g-1)})$				
Ji-Jj. ± t. Sp/ni+nj cample sizes for zgroups Totale w/ Individual CONF (AVEL being compared.				
VINSE JACAMPIE SIZES IN J				
From t-table w/ Individual CONF LEVEL being compared. and of from ERROR.				
way from city.				

TN-9, x/x: If &a r.v. X ~ t distribution with of = N-9 then tryg, x/2k is the (1-x/2k)-th percentile 1.e., theg. x/2x is the cutoff such that P(X>tn-g, a/2K) = x/2K. X: 1- ofamily confidence level. (e.g. If family confidence level = 95%, then $\alpha = 6\%$) thing (4/24) ·txample Odf for t-table: from EPROR -> N-9=12-3=9 2) Figure out Indiv. Conf.: 9=3 diets # comparisons = $\frac{9(9-1)}{2} = \frac{3\times 2}{2} = 3$. $\binom{(-2)}{2-3}$ - Want 94% Family CONF. - Willing to give up 6% total. - Give up for each interval: 5=26 * Individual Corf. level: 98% 3 Find value from t-table with 98% Conf. level. & 9 of. [2.82] ØSp=√MSE = √0.75 = 2.598 (5) ni=nj=4 6 Computer margin of error: t.Sp/hi+hj = 2.8>1×2.598×4+4

9 Construct three pairwise C.Is

Groups	Ji Ji.	± m.e.	C.I.	SIG DIFF?
1-2	21.5-24.5=-3	3.18	(-7-5.18, -3+5.18) (-,+)	NO
1-3	21.5-29.25= -7.75	5.18	(-7-5.18, -3+5.18) =(-8.18, 2.18) (,) (-, -)	YES
2-5	24.5-29.25=-4.75		(,) (-,+)	

(8) Conclusions. Bonferroni's method shows that diet 1 Ward diet 3 have SIG DIFF in the effect of wt loss, with 94% Family CONF.

→ Easier way - Skip the intervals and instead:

Diet 2 24.5] JaSIG DIFF.

Diet 2 24.5] Groups that are NoT wonnected

Diet 3 29.25] have SIG DIFF.

Diet 1 21.5 A
Diet 2 24.5 A
B
Diet 3 29.25
B

