Writing GPU Kernels

Ben Cumming, CSCS
February 25, 2017

CSCS
Centro Svizzero di Calcolo Scientifico
Swiss National Supercomputing Centre

ETH zürich

Going Parallel : Kernels and Threads

Threads and Kernels

- **Threads** are streams of execution, run simultaneously on GPU (1000s)
- A **kernel** is the function run by each thread
- CUDA provides language support for:
  - writing kernels;
  - launching many threads to execute a kernel in parallel.
- CUDA hides the low-level details of launching threads.

The process for developing CUDA kernels

1. Formulate algorithm in terms of parallel work items.
2. Write a kernel implementing a work item on one thread.
3. Launch the kernel with the required number of threads.

Scaled Vector Addition (axpy)

We have used CUBLAS to perform scaled vector addition:

$$\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{y} + \alpha\mathbf{x}$$

- $\mathbf{x}$ and $\mathbf{y}$ are vectors of length n; $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$
- α is scalar. $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$

Applying `axpy` requires n operations:

$$y_i \leftarrow y_i + a * x_i, \quad i = 0, 1, \ldots, n-1$$

which can be performed **independently** and **in any order**.

`axpy` implemented on CPU with a loop

```
void axpy(double *y, const double *x, double a, int n) {
  for(int i=0; i<n; ++i)
    y[i] = y[i] + a*x[i];
}
```

Kernels

A **kernel** defines the work item for a single thread

- The work is performed by many threads executing the same kernel **simultaneously**.
- Conceptually corresponds to the inner part of a loop for BLAS1 operations like `axpy`.

host : add two vectors

```
void add_cpu(int *a, int *b, int n){
  for(auto i=0; i<n; ++i)
    a[i] = a[i] + b[i];
}
```

CUDA : add two vectors

```
__global__
void add_gpu(int *a, int *b, int n){
  auto i = threadIdx.x;
  a[i] = a[i] + b[i];
}
```

- `__global__` keyword indicates a kernel
- `threadIdx` used to find unique id of each thread

Launching a kernel

- Host code launches a kernel on the GPU **asynchronously**.
- CUDA provides the "tripple chevron" `<<<_,_>>>` syntax for launching a kernel.

host : add two vectors

```
auto n = 1024;
auto a = host_malloc<int>(n);
auto b = host_malloc<int>(n);
add_cpu(a, b, n);
```

CUDA : add two vectors

```
auto n = 1024;
auto a = device_malloc<int>(n);
auto b = device_malloc<int>(n);
add_gpu<<<1,n>>>(a, b, n);
```

- `add_gpu<<<1, num_threads>>>(args... )` launches the kernel `add_gpu` with `num_threads` parallel threads.

Exercise: My First Kernel

Open `axpy/axpy.cu`

1. Write a kernel that implements **axpy** for `double`
   - `axpy_kernel(double *y, double *x, double a, int n)`
   - **extra**: can you write a C++ templated version for any type?
2. launch the kernel (look for `TODO`)
3. Compile the test and run
   - it will pass with no errors on success
   - first try with small vectors of size 8
   - try increasing launch size... what happens?
4. **extra**: can you extend the kernel to work for larger arrays?

CSCS
Centro Svizzero di Calcolo Scientifico
Swiss National Supercomputing Centre

ETH zürich

Scaling Up : Thread Blocks

In the `axpy` exercises we were limited to 1024 threads for a kernel launch

- but we need to scale beyond 1024 threads for the **massive parallelism** we were promised!

Thread blocks and grids

kernels are executed in groups of threads called **thread blocks**

- the launch configuration `axpy<<<grid_dim, block_dim>>>(...)`
  - launch a **grid** of `grid_dim` **blocks**
  - each **block** has `block_dim` **threads**
  - for a total of `grid_dim` × `block_dim` threads
- previously we launched just one thread block

  `axpy<<<1, n>>>(...)`

Why the additional complexity?

Coordination between threads doesn't scale:

- Threads in a block can synchronize and share resources
- This does not scale past a certain number of cores/threads
- EACH P100 GPU **streaming multiprocessor** (SMX) has 64 CUDA cores, and can run 2028 threads
- Threads in a block run on the same SMX, with shared resources and thread cooperation
- Work is broken into blocks, which are distributed over the 56 SMXs on the GPU.

concept	hardware	
thread		▪ each thread executed on one core
block		▪ block executed on 1 SMX ▪ multiple blocks per SMX if sufficient resources ▪ threads in a block share SMX resources
grid		▪ kernel is executed in grid of blocks ▪ blocks distributed over SMXs ▪ multiple kernels can run at same time

Calculating thread indexes

A kernel has to calculate the index of its work item

- In `axpy` we used `threadIdx.x` for the index.
- With multiple blocks, we need more information, which is available in the following **magic variables**:

`gridDim`	:	total number of blocks in the grid
`blockDim`	:	number of threads in a thread block
`blockIdx`	:	index of block `[0, gridDim-1]`
`threadIdx`	:	index of thread in thread block `[0, blockDim-1]`

Calculating thread indexes

Consider accessing an array of length 24 with 8 threads per block. The **dimensions** of the kernel launch are:

- `blockDim.x == 8` (8 threads/block)
- `gridDim.x == 3` (3 blocks)

We calculate the index for our thread using the formula

```
auto index = threadIdx.x + blockIdx.x*blockDim.x
```



Calculating grid dimensions

The number of thread blocks and the number of threads per block are parameters for the kernel launch:

```
kernel<<<blocks, threads_per_block>>>(...)
```

Remember to guard against overflow when the number of work items is not divisible by the thread block size

vector addition with multiple blocks

```
__global__
void add_gpu(int *a, int *b, int n){
  auto i = threadIdx.x + blockIdx.x*blockDim.x;
  if(i<n) { // guard against access off end of arrays
    a[i] += b[i];
  }
}

// in main()
auto block_size = 512;
auto num_blocks = (n + (block_size-1)) / block_size;
add_gpu<<<num_blocks, block_size>>>(a, b, n);
```

Calculating grid dimensions

We have to take care when calculating the number of blocks in the grid, i.e. `blocks`:

```
kernel<<<blocks, threads_per_block>>>(...)
```

Most likely, the number of work items `n` is not a multiple of `threads_per_block`

- some threads in the last thread block will be idle.

Calculating grid dimensions

```
// in main()
auto block_size = 512;
auto num_blocks = (n + (block_size-1)) / block_size;
add_gpu<<<num_blocks, block_size>>>(a, b, n);
```

How many threads per block?

The number of threads per block has an impact on performance

- The optimanl number depends on resources required by the kernel (registers, shared memory, computational intensity, etc).

The short answer is 64 or 128 on P100.

- Wait for the P100 deep dive session for more information...

Exercise: Blocks

Open `cuda/exercises/axpy/axpy.cu` from the last exercise

1. Extend the **axpy** kernel for arbitrarily large input arrays (any `n`)
2. Update the call site to calculate the grid configuration
3. Compile the test and run
   - it will pass with no errors on success
4. Experiment with varying the size of the arrays (scaling)
   - start small and increase
5. finish the `newton.cu` example
   - how do the h2d, d2h and kernel timings compare?
6. **extra**: Compare scaling with the `axpy_omp` benchmark
7. **extra**: Experiment with varying the block size

Exercise: Results



The GPU is a throughput device:

- CUDA breaks even for $n \geq 2^{14} \approx 16,000$
- requires $2^{21} \approx 2,000,000$ to gain "full" $7\times$ speedup

You have to provide enough parallelism to exploit many cores