1. Additional Proof to Clarify the O(1/M) Error Rate

Recap: As shown in Algorithm 1, we design a *Euler-Maruyama with Restart* solver with a restart strategy. Specifically, we discretize time using a uniform step size $\frac{1}{M}$ for simulation and determine the nearest restart points corresponding to the target times $\{1, \cdots, T_f\}$. The solver follows the standard Euler-Maruyama method whenever a restart is unnecessary. Otherwise, it resets the state using the conditional expectation.

In particular, let $\epsilon_t := |\mathbb{E}[\bar{S}_t] - \mathbb{E}[S_t]|$ be the error in estimating the expected state at time t, where $\mathbb{E}[S_t]$ is the ground truth from the exact SDE solution and $\mathbb{E}[\bar{S}_t]$ is the estimated expectation under a given sampling scheme. We denote the errors of our restarted solver and the standard Euler-Maruyama solver by ϵ_t^R and ϵ_t^E , respectively.

Proposition 4.2. Let 1/M be the step size. The Euler-Maruyama solver has an error bound of $\epsilon_t^E \leq \exp(Lt)/M$ for some constant L > 0, while our solver achieves a tighter error bound of $\epsilon_t^R \leq \exp(L(t - \rho_t))/M$.

Proof. We now explain why the O(1/M) rate applies to our time-inhomogeneous MJD SDEs, structured in three steps.

Step 1: Results on Time-Homogeneous MJD SDEs

For time-homogeneous MJD SDEs, the error term ϵ_t^E of the standard EM method is O(1/M), with an exponential growth term $O(e^t)$. This is supported by the following: (a) Theorem 2.2 in (Protter & Talay, 1997) establishes the O(1/M) rate; (b) Sec. 4-5 of (Protter & Talay, 1997) and Theorem 2.1 of (Bichteler, 1981) shows that ϵ_t^E grows exponentially regarding time with a big-O factor $O(e^t)$. In particular, the time-dependent term in the error bound $e^{K_p(t)}$ used in the proof of (Protter & Talay, 1997) is rooted in their Lemma 4.1, which can be proven in a more general setting in (Bichteler, 1981), e.g., Eq. (2.16) in (Bichteler, 1981) discusses concrete forms of $K_p(t)$ which can be absored into $O(e^t)$.

Step 2: Results on Time-Inhomogeneous MJD SDEs

Our paper considers time-inhomogeneous MJD SDEs, with parameters fixed within each interval $[\tau-1,\tau)$ ($\tau\in\mathbb{N},\tau\geq1$). This happens to align with the Euler-Peano scheme for general time-inhomogeneous SDEs approximation. As a specific case of time-varying Lévy processes, our MJD SDEs retain the same big-O bounds as the time-homogeneous case. This can be justified by extending Section 5 of (Protter & Talay, 1997) that originally proves the EM's weak convergence for time-homogeneous Lévy processes. Specifically, the core technique lies in the Lemma 4.1 of (Protter & Talay, 1997), which, based on (Bichteler, 1981), is applicable to both time-homogeneous and Euler-Peano-style inhomogeneous settings (see Remark 3.3.3 in (Bichteler, 1981)). Therefore, equivalent weak convergence bounds could be attained by extending Lemma 4.1 of (Protter & Talay, 1997) with proofs from (Bichteler, 1981) thanks to the Euler-Peano formulation.

Step 3: Restarted EM Solver Error Bound

We now discuss the error bound for the restarted EM solver, ϵ_t^R . Thanks to explicit solutions for future states $\{S_1, S_2, \dots, S_{T_f}\}$, we can analytically compute their mean $\mathbb{E}[S_\tau]$, $\tau \geq 1$, based on Eq. (13), which greatly simplifies the analysis.

Using the restart mechanism in line 10 of Algorithm 2, we ensure that $\mathbb{E}[\bar{S}\tau]$ from our restarted EM solver closely approximates $\mathbb{E}[S\tau]$ at restarting times. ϵ_t^R is significantly reduced when restart happens, then it grows again at the same rate as the standard EM method until the next restart timestep. This explains the $O(e^{t-\rho_t})$ difference in the error bounds of ϵ_t^R and ϵ_t^E , where ρ_t is the last restart time.

2. SDE Solver

We keep the Euler-Maruyama with Restart solver algorithm here for the sake of completeness.

Algorithm 1 Euler-Maruyama with Restart Inference

```
Require: Solver step size 1/M
060
                   1: \mathcal{C} \sim \mathcal{D}_{\text{test}}, with \mathcal{C} = [S_{-T_p:0}, C]

2: \{\mu_{\tau}, \sigma_{\tau}, \lambda_{\tau}, \nu_{\tau}, \gamma_{\tau}\}_{\tau=1}^{T_f} \leftarrow f_{\theta}(\mathcal{C})

3: t_0 \leftarrow 0, N \leftarrow M \times T_f
061
062
063
                    4: for i = 1, \dots, N do
064
065
                             t_i \leftarrow t_{i-1} + 1/M, t_{i+1} \leftarrow t_i + 1/M, \rho_{t_i} \leftarrow \lfloor t_i \rfloor + 1
                               \alpha_i \leftarrow (\mu_{\rho_{t_i}} - \lambda_{\rho_{t_i}} k_{\rho_{t_i}} - \sigma_{\rho_{t_i}}^2 / 2) / M
066
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     ▷ Drift
                               \beta_i \leftarrow \sigma_{\rho_{t_i}} z_1 / \sqrt{M}, with z_1 \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)
067
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         Diffusion
068
                                 \begin{aligned} & \zeta_i \leftarrow \kappa \nu_{\rho_{t_i}} + \sqrt{\kappa} \gamma_{\rho_{t_i}} z_2 \\ & \text{with } \kappa \sim \operatorname{Pois}(\lambda_{\rho_{t_i}}/M), z_2 \sim \mathcal{N}(0,1) \\ & \text{if } i \bmod M = 0 \text{ then} \end{aligned} 
069
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   070
                    9:
071
                                       \ln \bar{S}_{t_{i+1}} \leftarrow \mathbb{E}[\ln S_{\rho_{t_i}} \mid \mathcal{C}] + \alpha_i + \beta_i + \zeta_i
                  10:

⊳ Restart

                                 else
                  11:
073
                                       \ln \bar{S}_{t_{i+1}} \leftarrow \ln \bar{S}_{t_i} + \alpha_i + \beta_i + \zeta_i
                 12:
074
                  13:
                                 end if
075
                  14: end for
076
                  15: return \{\bar{S}_{t_i}\}_{i=1}^{N}
077
```

References

Bichteler, K. Stochastic integrators with stationary independent increments. *Zeitschrift für Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie und Verwandte Gebiete*, 58(4):529–548, 1981.

Protter, P. and Talay, D. The euler scheme for lévy driven stochastic differential equations. *The Annals of Probability*, 25(1): 393–423, 1997.