

## APPENDIX

### A. Algorithm Derivation

In this subsection, the proposed Bayesian controller reduction algorithm as shown in Algorithm 1 will be explain in detail. As introduced before, the actor-critic algorithms based on deterministic policy gradient can be applied over continuous action spaces [9]. And the effective reduction for actor network would be beneficial to real-time inference. For clear explanation, we will take deep deterministic policy gradient algorithm (DDPG), one representative actor-critic algorithm, as the example to elaborate our method. We use  $\mathbf{W}$  to represent the parameters of a single layer of actor network  $\theta^\mu$ . Firstly, the definition of optimization objective will be illustrated. we will give the proof of proposition 1.

*Proof:* Given the likelihood with exponential family distribution:

$$p(\mathbf{A} | \mathbf{W}, \mathbf{S}, \gamma) \sim \exp(-E_M(\mathbf{A}; \text{Net}(\mathbf{S}; \mathbf{W}); \gamma)) \quad (\text{A.1})$$

The sparse prior with Gaussian distribution for  $\mathbf{W}$  is supposed to be:

$$\begin{aligned} p(\mathbf{W}) &= \prod_{i=1}^{\aleph} \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{W} | \mathbf{0}, \Gamma) \varphi(\gamma_i) \\ &= \max_{\gamma \succ \mathbf{0}} \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{W} | \mathbf{0}, \Gamma) \varphi(\gamma), \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.2})$$

where

$$\gamma = [\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_{\aleph}] \in \mathbb{R}^{\aleph}, \quad \Gamma = \text{diag}[\gamma].$$

where  $\aleph$  means the number of groups that  $\mathbf{W}$  could be divided into for reduction;  $\text{diag}$  denote the operation to get diagonal elements of matrix. The marginal likelihood could be calculated as:

$$\begin{aligned} &\int p(\mathbf{A} | \mathbf{W}) \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{W} | \mathbf{0}, \Gamma) \prod_{i=1}^{\aleph} \varphi(\gamma_i) d\mathbf{W} \\ &= \int \exp\{-E(\mathbf{W})\} \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{W} | \mathbf{0}, \Gamma) \prod_{i=1}^{\aleph} \varphi(\gamma_i) d\mathbf{W} \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.3})$$

However, it is intractable to achieve analytical solution for Eq A.3.  $E_M(\mathbf{W})$  can be expanded around  $\mathbf{W}^*$  by performing a Taylor series:

$$E(\mathbf{W}) \approx E(\mathbf{W}^*) + (\mathbf{W} - \mathbf{W}^*)^\top \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{W}^*) + \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{W} - \mathbf{W}^*)^\top \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{W}^*) (\mathbf{W} - \mathbf{W}^*) \quad (\text{A.4})$$

where

$$\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{W}^*) \triangleq \nabla E_M(\mathbf{W})|_{\mathbf{W}^*}, \quad (\text{A.5a})$$

$$\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{W}^*) \triangleq \nabla \nabla E_M(\mathbf{W})|_{\mathbf{W}^*}. \quad (\text{A.5b})$$

To derive the cost function in Eq (7), we introduce the posterior mean and covariance:

$$\mathbf{m} = \sigma^2 \cdot [\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{W}^*) + \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{W}^*) \mathbf{W}^*], \quad (\text{A.6a})$$

$$\sigma^2 = [\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{W}^*) + \Gamma^{-1}]^{-1}. \quad (\text{A.6b})$$

Then define the following quantities

$$b(\mathbf{W}^*) \triangleq \exp \left\{ - \left( \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{W}^{*\top} \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{W}^*) \mathbf{W}^* - \mathbf{W}^{*\top} \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{W}^*) + E(\mathbf{W}^*) \right) \right\}, \quad (\text{A.7a})$$

$$c(\mathbf{W}^*) \triangleq \exp \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \hat{\mathbf{g}}(\mathbf{W}^*)^\top \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{W}^*) \hat{\mathbf{g}}(\mathbf{W}^*) \right\}, \quad (\text{A.7b})$$

$$d(\mathbf{W}^*) \triangleq \sqrt{|\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{W}^*)|}, \quad (\text{A.7c})$$

$$\hat{\mathbf{g}}(\mathbf{W}^*) \triangleq \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{W}^*) - \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{W}^*) \mathbf{W}^*. \quad (\text{A.7d})$$

Now the approximated likelihood  $p(\mathbf{A}|\mathbf{W})$  is a exponential of quadratic:

$$\begin{aligned}
& p(\mathbf{A}|\mathbf{W}) \\
&= \exp\{-E(\mathbf{W})\} \\
&\approx \exp\left\{-\left(\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{W}-\mathbf{W}^*)^\top \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{W}^*)(\mathbf{W}-\mathbf{W}^*) + (\mathbf{W}-\mathbf{W}^*)^\top \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{W}^*) + E(\mathbf{W}^*)\right)\right\} \\
&= \exp\left\{-\left(\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{W}^\top \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{W}^*)\mathbf{W} + \mathbf{W}^\top [\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{W}^*) - \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{W}^*)\mathbf{W}^*]\right)\right\} \\
&\quad \cdot \exp\left\{-\left(\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{W}^{*\top} \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{W}^*)\mathbf{W}^* - \mathbf{W}^{*\top} \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{W}^*) + E(\mathbf{W}^*)\right)\right\} \\
&= b(\mathbf{W}^*) \cdot \exp\left\{-\left(\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{W}^\top \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{W}^*)\mathbf{W} + \mathbf{W}^\top \hat{\mathbf{g}}(\mathbf{W}^*)\right)\right\} \\
&\quad \cdot \exp\left\{\frac{1}{2}\hat{\mathbf{g}}(\mathbf{W}^*)^\top \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{W}^*)\hat{\mathbf{g}}(\mathbf{W}^*) - \frac{1}{2}\hat{\mathbf{g}}(\mathbf{W}^*)^\top \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{W}^*)\hat{\mathbf{g}}(\mathbf{W}^*)\right\} \\
&= b(\mathbf{W}^*) \cdot c(\mathbf{W}^*) \\
&\quad \cdot \exp\left\{-\left(\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{W}^\top \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{W}^*)\mathbf{W} + \mathbf{W}^\top \hat{\mathbf{g}}(\mathbf{W}^*) + \frac{1}{2}\hat{\mathbf{g}}(\mathbf{W}^*)^\top \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{W}^*)\hat{\mathbf{g}}(\mathbf{W}^*)\right)\right\} \\
&= (2\pi)^{M/2} b(\mathbf{W}^*) c(\mathbf{W}^*) d(\mathbf{W}^*) \cdot \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{W}|\hat{\mathbf{W}}^*, \mathbf{H}^{-1}(\mathbf{W}^*)) \\
&\triangleq A(\mathbf{W}^*) \cdot \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{W}|\hat{\mathbf{W}}^*, \mathbf{H}^{-1}(\mathbf{W}^*)),
\end{aligned} \tag{A.8}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
A(\mathbf{W}^*) &= (2\pi)^{M/2} b(\mathbf{W}^*) c(\mathbf{W}^*) d(\mathbf{W}^*), \\
\hat{\mathbf{W}}^* &= -\mathbf{H}^{-1}(\mathbf{W}^*) \hat{\mathbf{g}}(\mathbf{W}^*) = \mathbf{W}^* - \mathbf{H}^{-1}(\mathbf{W}^*) \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{W}^*).
\end{aligned}$$

We can write the approximate marginal likelihood as

$$\begin{aligned}
& A(\mathbf{W}^*) \int \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{W}|\hat{\mathbf{W}}^*, \mathbf{H}^{-1}(\mathbf{W}^*)) \cdot \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{W}|\mathbf{0}, \Gamma) \prod_{i=1}^{\aleph} \varphi(\gamma_i) d\mathbf{W} \\
&= b(\mathbf{W}^*) \cdot \int \exp\left\{-\left(\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{W}^\top \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{W}^*)\mathbf{W} + \mathbf{W}^\top \hat{\mathbf{g}}(\mathbf{W}^*)\right)\right\} \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{W}|\mathbf{0}, \Gamma) \prod_{i=1}^{\aleph} \varphi(\gamma_i) d\mathbf{W} \\
&= \frac{b(\mathbf{W}^*)}{(2\pi)^{\aleph/2} |\Gamma|^{1/2}} \int \exp\{-\hat{E}(\mathbf{W})\} d\mathbf{W} \prod_{i=1}^{\aleph} \varphi(\gamma_i)
\end{aligned} \tag{A.9}$$

where

$$\hat{E}(\mathbf{W}) = \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{W}^\top \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{W}^*)\mathbf{W} + \mathbf{W}^\top \hat{\mathbf{g}}(\mathbf{W}^*) + \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{W}^\top \Gamma^{-1}\mathbf{W}. \tag{A.10}$$

Equivalently, we get

$$\hat{E}(\mathbf{W}) = \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{W}-\mathbf{m})^\top (\sigma^2)^{-1}(\mathbf{W}-\mathbf{m}) + \hat{E}(\mathbf{A}), \tag{A.11}$$

From (A.6a) and (A.6b), the data-dependent term can be re-expressed as

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{E}(\mathbf{A}) &= \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{m}^\top \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{W}^*)\mathbf{m} + \mathbf{m}^\top \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{W}^*) + \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{m}^\top \Gamma^{-1}\mathbf{m} \\
&= \min_{\mathbf{W}} \left[ \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{W}^\top \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{W}^*)\mathbf{W} + \mathbf{W}^\top \hat{\mathbf{g}}(\mathbf{W}^*) + \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{W}^\top \Gamma^{-1}\mathbf{W} \right] \\
&= \min_{\mathbf{W}} \left[ \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{W}^\top \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{W}^*)\mathbf{W} + \mathbf{W}^\top (\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{W}^*) - \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{W}^*)\mathbf{W}^*) + \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{W}^\top \Gamma^{-1}\mathbf{W} \right].
\end{aligned} \tag{A.12}$$

Using (A.11), we can evaluate the integral in (A.9) to obtain

$$\int \exp\{-\hat{E}(\mathbf{W})\} d\mathbf{W} = \exp\{-\hat{E}(\mathbf{A})\} (2\pi)^{\aleph} |\sigma^2|^{1/2}. \tag{A.13}$$

Applying a  $-2\log(\cdot)$  transformation to (A.9), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& -2\log \left[ \frac{b(\mathbf{W}^*)}{(2\pi)^{K/2} |\Gamma|^{1/2}} \int \exp\{-\hat{E}(\mathbf{W})\} d\mathbf{W} \prod_{i=1}^K \varphi(\gamma_i) \right] \\
& \propto -2\log \cdot b(\mathbf{W}^*) + \hat{E}(\mathbf{A}) + \log |\Gamma| + \log |\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{W}^*) + {}^\top \Gamma^{-1}| - 2 \sum_{i=1}^K \log \varphi(\gamma_i) \\
& \propto \mathbf{W}^\top \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{W}^*) \mathbf{W} + 2\mathbf{W}^\top \hat{\mathbf{g}}(\mathbf{W}^*) + \mathbf{W}^\top \Gamma^{-1} \mathbf{W} \\
& \quad + \log |\Gamma| + \log |\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{W}^*) + {}^\top \Gamma^{-1}| - 2\log \cdot b(\mathbf{W}^*) - 2 \sum_{i=1}^K \log \varphi(\gamma_i).
\end{aligned} \tag{A.14}$$

Therefore we get the following cost function to be minimized over  $\mathbf{W}, \gamma$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{W}, \gamma) &= \mathbf{W}^\top \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{W}^*) \mathbf{W} + 2\mathbf{W}^\top [\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{W}^*) - \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{W}^*) \mathbf{W}^*] + \mathbf{W}^\top \Gamma^{-1} \mathbf{W} \\
& \quad + \log |\Gamma| + \log |\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{W}^*) + {}^\top \Gamma^{-1}| - 2\log b(\mathbf{W}^*) - 2 \sum_{i=1}^K \log \varphi(\gamma_i).
\end{aligned}$$

It can be easily found that the first line of  $\mathcal{L}$  is quadratic programming with  $\ell_2$  regularize. The second line is all about the hyperparameter  $\gamma$ . Once the estimation on  $\mathbf{W}$  and  $\gamma$  are obtained, the cost function is alternatively optimized. The new estimated  $\mathbf{W}$  can substitute  $\mathbf{W}^*$  and repeat the estimation iteratively. ■

We note that in (A.5),  $\mathbf{W}^*$  may not be the mode (i.e., the lowest energy state), which means the gradient term  $\mathbf{g}$  may not be zero. Therefore, the selection of  $\mathbf{W}_1^*$  remains to be problematic. We give the following Corollary to address this issue, which is more general.

*Corollary 1:* Suppose

$$\mathbf{W}^* = \arg \min_{\mathbf{W}} E(\mathbf{W}) + \mathbf{W}^\top \Gamma^{-1} \mathbf{W}, \tag{A.15}$$

we define a new cost function

$$\hat{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbf{W}, \Gamma) \triangleq E(\mathbf{W}) + \mathbf{W}^\top \Gamma^{-1} \mathbf{W} + \log |\Gamma| + \log |\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{W}^*) + {}^\top \Gamma^{-1}| - 2\log b(\mathbf{W}^*) - 2 \sum_{i=1}^K \log \varphi(\gamma_i). \tag{A.16}$$

Instead of minimizing  $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{W}, \gamma)$ , we can solve the following optimization problem to get  $\mathbf{W}, \gamma$ ,

$$\min_{\mathbf{W}, \gamma} \hat{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbf{W}, \gamma).$$

*Proof:* Since the likelihood is

$$p(\mathbf{A}|\mathbf{W}) = \exp\{-E_M(\mathbf{W})\},$$

then  $\min_{\mathbf{W}} E(\mathbf{W}) + \mathbf{W}^\top \Gamma^{-1} \mathbf{W}$  is exactly the regularized *maximum likelihood estimation* with  $\ell_2$  type regularize.

We look at the first part of  $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{W}, \gamma)$  in Eq (7), and define them as

$$\mathcal{L}_0(\mathbf{W}, \gamma) \triangleq \mathbf{W}^\top \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{W}^*) \mathbf{W} + 2\mathbf{W}^\top [\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{W}^*) - \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{W}^*) \mathbf{W}^*] + \mathbf{W}^\top \Gamma^{-1} \mathbf{W},$$

then

$$\begin{aligned}
& \min_{\mathbf{W}} \mathcal{L}_0(\mathbf{W}, \gamma) \\
&= \min_{\mathbf{W}} \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{W} - \mathbf{W}^*)^\top \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{W}^*) (\mathbf{W} - \mathbf{W}^*) + (\mathbf{W} - \mathbf{W}^*)^\top \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{W}^*) + E_M(\mathbf{W}^*) + \mathbf{W}^\top \Gamma^{-1} \mathbf{W} \\
&\approx \min_{\mathbf{W}} E_M(\mathbf{W}) + \mathbf{W}^\top \Gamma^{-1} \mathbf{W}
\end{aligned} \tag{A.17}$$

This provides the solution to find the optimal objective at iteration  $t$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \min_{\mathbf{W}} E_M(\mathbf{W}^t) + \mathbf{W}^\top \Gamma^{-1} \mathbf{W} \\
&= \min_{\mathbf{W}} \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{W} - \mathbf{W}^t)^\top \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{W}^t) (\mathbf{W} - \mathbf{W}^t) + (\mathbf{W} - \mathbf{W}^t)^\top \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{W}^t) + \mathbf{W}^\top \Gamma^{-1} \mathbf{W}
\end{aligned} \tag{A.18}$$

Suppose

$$\mathbf{W}^* = \arg \min_{\mathbf{W}} E(\mathbf{W}) + \mathbf{W}^\top \Gamma^{-1} \mathbf{W},$$

then inject  $\mathbf{W}^*$  into  $\min_{\mathbf{W}, \gamma} \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{W}, \gamma)$ , the original optimization problem Eq (7), i.e could be substituted with (A.16) ■

### B. Updating parameter $\mathbf{W}$ and hyper-parameters $\gamma$

In this Section, we propose iterative optimization algorithms to estimate  $\mathbf{W}$  and  $\gamma$  alternatively. The  $\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{W}^*)$  is a known positive semidefinite symmetric matrix. The proof for proposition 2 will be elaborated firstly.

*Proof: Fact on convexity:* the function

$$\begin{aligned} u(\mathbf{W}, \Gamma) &= \mathbf{W}^\top \mathbf{H}^*(\mathbf{W}) \mathbf{W} + 2\mathbf{W}^\top [\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{W}^*) - \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{W}^*) \mathbf{W}^*] + \mathbf{W}^\top \Gamma^{-1} \mathbf{W} \\ &\propto (\mathbf{W} - \mathbf{W}^*)^\top \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{W}^*) (\mathbf{W} - \mathbf{W}^*) + 2\mathbf{W}^\top \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{W}^*) + \mathbf{W}^\top \Gamma^{-1} \mathbf{W} \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.1})$$

is convex jointly in  $\mathbf{W}$ ,  $\Gamma$  due to the fact that  $f(\mathbf{S}, Y) = \mathbf{S} \mathbf{A}^{-1} \mathbf{S}$  is jointly convex in  $\mathbf{x}$ ,  $\mathbf{A}$  (see, [?, p.76]).

*Fact on concavity:* the function

$$v(\Gamma) = \log |\Gamma| + \log |\Gamma^{-1} + \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{W}^*)| \quad (\text{B.2})$$

is jointly concave in  $\Gamma$ ,  $\mathbf{\Pi}$ . We exploit the properties of the determinant of a matrix

$$|A_{22}| |A_{11} - A_{12} A_{22}^{-1} A_{21}| = \left| \begin{pmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} \\ A_{21} & A_{22} \end{pmatrix} \right| = |A_{11}| |A_{22} - A_{21} A_{11}^{-1} A_{12}|.$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} v(\Gamma) &= \log |\Gamma| + \log |\Gamma^{-1} + \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{W}^*)| \\ &= \log (|\Gamma| |\Gamma^{-1} + \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{W}^*)|) \\ &= \log \left| \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{W}^*) & \\ & -\Gamma \end{pmatrix} \right| \\ &= \log |\Gamma + \mathbf{H}^{-1}(\mathbf{W}^*)^\top| + \log |\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{W}^*)| \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.3})$$

which is a log-determinant of an affine function of semidefinite matrices  $\mathbf{\Pi}$ ,  $\Gamma$  and hence concave.

Therefore, we can derive the iterative algorithm solving the CCCP. We have the following iterative convex optimization program by calculating the gradient of concave part.

$$\mathbf{W}^t = \arg \min_{\mathbf{W}} u(\mathbf{W}, \Gamma^{t-1}, \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{W}^*)), \quad (\text{B.4})$$

$$\gamma^t = \arg \min_{\gamma \geq 0} u(\mathbf{W}, \Gamma^{t-1}, \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{W}^*)) + \nabla_{\gamma} v(\gamma^{t-1}, \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{W}^*))^\top \gamma^{t-1}. \quad (\text{B.5})$$

■

Using basic principles in convex analysis, we then obtain the following analytic form for the negative gradient of  $v(\gamma)$  at  $\gamma$  is (using chain rule):

$$\begin{aligned} \boldsymbol{\alpha}^t &\triangleq \nabla_{\gamma} v(\gamma, \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{W}^*))^\top |_{\gamma=\gamma^t} \\ &= \nabla_{\gamma} (\log |\Gamma^{-1} + \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{W}^*)| + \log |\Gamma|)^\top |_{\gamma=\gamma^t} \\ &= -\text{diag} \{ (\Gamma^t)^{-1} \} \circ \text{diag} \{ ((\Gamma^t)^{-1} + \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{W}^*))^{-1} \} \circ \text{diag} \{ (\Gamma^t)^{-1} \} \\ &\quad + \text{diag} \{ (\Gamma^t)^{-1} \} \\ &= [ \alpha_1^t \quad \cdots \quad \alpha_K^t ] \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.6})$$

Then we have:

$$\alpha_i^t = - \frac{((\Gamma^t)^{-1} + \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{W}^*))^{-1}}{(\gamma_i^t)^2} + \frac{1}{\gamma_i^t}. \quad (\text{B.7})$$

Therefore, the iterative procedures (B.4) and (B.5) for  $\mathbf{W}^t$  and  $\gamma^t$  can be formulated as

$$\begin{aligned} &[\mathbf{W}^{t+1}, \gamma^{t+1}] \\ &= \arg \min_{\Gamma \geq 0, \mathbf{W}} (\mathbf{W} - \mathbf{W}^*)^\top \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{W}^*) (\mathbf{W} - \mathbf{W}^*) + 2\mathbf{W}^\top \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{W}^*) + \sum_{i=1}^K \left( \frac{\mathbf{W}^\top \mathbf{W}}{\gamma_i} + \alpha_i^t \gamma_i \right) \\ &= \arg \min_{\mathbf{W}} \mathbf{W}^\top \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{W}^*) \mathbf{W} + 2\mathbf{W}^\top (\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{W}^*) - \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{W}^*) \mathbf{W}^*) + \sum_{i=1}^K \left( \frac{\mathbf{W}^\top \mathbf{W}}{\gamma_i} + \alpha_i^t \gamma_i \right). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.8})$$

Or in the compact form

$$[\mathbf{W}^{t+1}, \gamma^{t+1}] = \arg \min_{\mathbf{W}} \mathbf{W}^\top \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{W}^*) \mathbf{W} + 2\mathbf{W}^\top (\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{W}^*) - \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{W}^*) \mathbf{W}^*) + \mathbf{W}^\top \Gamma^{-1} + \sum_{i=1}^K \alpha_i^t \gamma_i. \quad (\text{B.9})$$

Since

$$\frac{\mathbf{W}^\top \mathbf{W}}{\gamma_i} + \alpha_i^t \gamma_i \geq 2 \left| \sqrt{\alpha_i^t} \cdot \mathbf{W} \right|,$$

the optimal  $\gamma$  can be obtained as:

$$\gamma_i = \frac{|\mathbf{W}|}{\sqrt{\alpha_i^t}}, \forall i. \quad (\text{B.10})$$

If we define:

$$\omega_i^t \triangleq \sqrt{\alpha_i^t} = \sqrt{-\frac{((\Gamma^t)^{-1} + \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{W}^*)^t)^{-1}}{(\gamma_i^k)^2} + \frac{1}{\gamma_i^t}}. \quad (\text{B.11})$$

$\mathbf{W}^t$  can be obtained as follows

$$\mathbf{W}^{t+1} = \arg \min_{\mathbf{W}} \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{W}^\top \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{W}^*) \mathbf{W} + \mathbf{W}^\top (\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{W}^*) - \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{W}^*) \mathbf{W}^*) + \sum_{i=1}^K \|\omega_i^t \cdot \mathbf{W}\|_{\ell_1}. \quad (\text{B.12})$$

It should be noted here that  $\|\omega_i^t \cdot \mathbf{W}\|_{\ell_1}$  represents the regularization term in Eq A.16. We can then inject this into (B.10), which yields

$$\gamma_i^{t+1} = \frac{|\mathbf{W}^{t+1}|}{\omega_i^t}, \forall i. \quad (\text{B.13})$$

We notice that the update for  $\mathbf{W}^t$  is to use the *lasso* or  $\ell_1$ -regularised regression type optimization. Referring to DDPG[9], the pseudo code combined with DDPG [9] is summarized in Algorithm 2.

---

**Algorithm 2** Bayesian Controller Reduction Algorithm in DDPG [9]

---

Randomly initialize critic network  $Q(s, a | \theta^Q)$  and actor  $\mu(s | \theta^\mu)$  with weights  $\theta^Q$  and  $\theta^\mu$ .

Initialize target network  $Q'$  and  $\mu'$  with weights  $\theta^{Q'} \leftarrow \theta^Q$ ,  $\theta^{\mu'} \leftarrow \theta^\mu$ ,  $\theta^\mu$  is denoted as  $\mathbf{W}$

Initialize  $\omega$  and  $\lambda$ ,  $\forall l = 1, \dots, L$ ,  $(\omega^\ell)^0 = I$ ;  $\lambda^\ell \in \mathbb{R}^+$ ;

Initialize replay buffer  $R$

**for** episode = 1, M **do**

    Initialize a random process  $\mathcal{N}$  for action exploration

    Receive initial observation state  $s_1$

**for** t = 1, T **do**

        Select action  $a_t = \mu(s_t | \theta^\mu) + \mathcal{N}$  according to the current policy and exploration noise

        Execute action  $a_t$  and observe reward  $r_t$  and observe new state  $s_{t+1}$

        Store transition  $(s_t, a_t, r_t, s_{t+1})$  in  $R$

        Sample a random minibatch of  $N$  transitions  $(s_i, a_i, r_i, s_{i+1})$  from  $R$

        Set  $y_i = r_i + \gamma Q'(s_{i+1}, \mu'(s_{i+1} | \theta^{\mu'})) | \theta^{Q'}$

        Update critic by minimizing the loss:  $L = \frac{1}{N} \sum_i (y_i - Q(s_i, a_i | \theta^Q))^2$

        Update the actor policy using the sampled policy gradient:

$$\nabla_{\theta^\mu} J \approx \frac{1}{N} \sum_i \nabla_a Q(s, a | \theta^Q) |_{s=s_i, a=\mu(s_i)} \nabla_{\theta^\mu} \mu(s | \theta^\mu) |_{s_i} + \lambda^\ell \nabla_{\theta^\mu} R(\omega^\ell \circ \mathbf{W}^\ell)$$

    Update the target networks:

$$\theta^{Q'} \leftarrow \tau \theta^Q + (1 - \tau) \theta^{Q'}$$

$$\theta^{\mu'} \leftarrow \tau \theta^\mu + (1 - \tau) \theta^{\mu'} \lambda^\ell$$

    Update the Hessian recursively for each layer of the actor network.

    Update hyper-parameters:

$(\gamma^\ell)^t \leftarrow \text{Update}((\omega^\ell)^t, (\mathbf{W}^\ell)^t)$ ,  $(\Gamma^\ell)^t = [(\gamma^\ell)^t]$  {update rules are in Table 1}

$(C^\ell)^t \leftarrow (((\Gamma^\ell)^t)^{-1} + \mathbf{H}^\ell)^t)^{-1}$

$(\alpha^\ell)^t$  is given by Eq B.7

$(\omega^\ell)^{t+1} \leftarrow \text{Update}((\alpha^\ell)^t)$

**end for**

**end for**

---

### C. Hessian computation

The mathematical operation in a Fully Connected (FC) layer could be formulated as:

$$h^\ell = a^{\ell-1} \mathbf{W}^\ell, \quad a^\ell = \sigma(h^\ell) \quad (\text{C.1})$$

where  $h_\ell$  is the pre-activation value for layer  $\ell$  and  $a_\ell$  is the activation value.  $\sigma(\cdot)$  is the element-wise activation function. In [25], a recursive method is proposed to compute the Hessian  $\mathbf{H}$  in a FC layer:

$$\mathbf{H}^\ell = (a^{\ell-1})^\top \cdot a^{\ell-1} \otimes H^\ell \quad (\text{C.2})$$

where  $\otimes$  stands for Kronecker product;  $H^\ell$  denotes the pre-activation Hessian and could be computed with known  $H^{\ell+1}$ :

$$H^\ell = B^\ell \mathbf{W}^{\ell+1} H^{\ell+1} (\mathbf{W}^{\ell+1})^T B^\ell + D^\ell \quad (\text{C.3})$$

$$B^\ell = \text{diag}(\sigma'(h^\ell)), \quad D^\ell = \text{diag}(\sigma''(h^\ell) \frac{\partial L}{\partial a^\ell}) \quad (\text{C.4})$$

$\text{diag}(\cdot)$  means the operation to expand the input vector into a square matrix by assigning the principal diagonal values with input variable.  $L$  stands for the loss function. It can be found that the pre-activation Hessian  $H^\ell$  needs to be computed recursively for each layer before computing the Hessian  $H$ .

In order to reduce computation complexity, the original matrix multiplication in Eq C.2-C.3 could be reduced to the vector multiplication without accuracy deterioration:

$$\mathbf{H}^\ell = (a^{\ell-1})^2 \otimes H^\ell \quad (\text{C.5})$$

$$H^\ell = (B^\ell)^2 \circ (H^{\ell+1} (\mathbf{W}^{\ell+1})^2) + D^\ell \quad (\text{C.6})$$

$$B^\ell = \sigma'(h^\ell), \quad D^\ell = \sigma''(h^\ell) \circ \frac{\partial L}{\partial a^\ell} \quad (\text{C.7})$$