

# EmotionKD: A Cross-Modal Knowledge Distillation Framework for Emotion Recognition Based on Physiological Signals

Yucheng Liu, Ziyu Jia, and Haichao Wang

Institute of Automation, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China  
Tsinghua-Berkeley Shenzhen Institute, Shenzhen, Guangdong, China



## Introduction

### ■ Emotion: Recognition

- ✓ It is an essential aspect of affective computing that allows machines to understand human emotions;
- ✓ Physiological signals are highly reliable indicators of emotion changes within the human body.

### ■ Application of EEG:

- ✓ Unimodal EEG model: Tseption, AP-CapsNet.
- ✓ Multimodal model using EEG: MFNN, MSMDFN.

### ■ Difficulty of Application:


- ✓ Causing the uncomfortable feelings;
- ✓ Subjects' psychological responses may be affected;
- ✓ Harsh data acquisition environment;
- ✓ Cost of facilities is extremely expensive;

## Challenges

### ■ C1: How can capture both two types of feature in multi-modal model?

There are two kinds of important features in the multi-modal emotion recognition:


- ✓ Heterogeneity: Distinct features within signals of different modalities.
- ✓ Interactivity: correlation between different modalities of human physiological signals.



### ■ C2: How to transfer the knowledge flexibly to student model?


- ✓ In most knowledge distillation methods, the teacher network is fixed.
- ✓ Teacher model cannot adjust the output feature according to the different training stage of the student.

## Method




### S1: Multimodal EmotionNet-Teacher.

- ✓ CNN filters for each modality.
- ✓ Dual-stream transformer structure for Heterogeneity;
- ✓ IMF Module for interactivity extraction from feature of transformer.




### S2: IMF Module and Interactivity Extractor for interactivity extraction.



### S3: Adaptive Feedback Knowledge Distillation

We adding a feedback stage to the traditional knowledge distillation.

- ✓ Training Stage
- ✓ Feedback Stage
- ✓ Test Stage



## Results

- ✓ We evaluate the performance of EmotionKD on DEAP and HCI-Tagging datasets with SOTA baselines.
- ✓ As shown in the table, EmotionKD achieves the best overall performance compared with other baseline methods.

### Comparison with the unimodal model baselines

Methods	Arousal		Valence	
	Acc	F1-score	Acc	F1-score
DeepConvNet[27]	53.70	50.95	66.45	61.15
CNN+RNN[33]	53.17	36.37	67.97	64.17
CGAN[42]	53.43	46.82	55.17	35.66
CRD[37]	50.86	50.74	61.78	56.10
Visual-to-EEG KD[44]	54.90	52.59	68.66	67.36
<b>EmotionNet-Student</b>	<b>55.06</b>	<b>53.50</b>	<b>69.18</b>	<b>68.33</b>

### Comparison with the multimodal model baselines

Methods	Arousal		Valence	
	Acc	F1-score	Acc	F1-score
Concatenate	55.53	49.59	62.67	59.26
BDAE[41]	56.53	40.29	56.43	44.43
CNN-SVM[6]	56.85	42.03	62.09	58.00
<b>EmotionNet-Teacher</b>	<b>62.88</b>	<b>60.23</b>	<b>66.61</b>	<b>66.54</b>

## Conclusion

- ✓ We propose a novel multi-modal EmotionNet-Teacher based on a dual-stream transformer structure with an Interactivity-based Modal Fusion (IMF) module;
- ✓ We design an adaptive feedback mechanism for cross-modal knowledge distillation;
- ✓ The proposed EmotionKD method is the first application of cross-modal knowledge distillation in the field of physiological signal-based emotion recognition to transfer fused EEG and GSR features to the unimodal GSR model.

