



CSE446: Neural Networks

Winter 2015

Luke Zettlemoyer


Slides adapted from Carlos Guestrin






Human Neurons

- Switching time
 - ~ 0.001 second
- Number of neurons
 - 10^{10}
- Connections per neuron
 - 10^{4-5}
- Scene recognition time
 - 0.1 seconds
- Number of cycles per scene recognition?
 - 100 → much parallel computation!




Perceptron as a Neural Network




This is one neuron:

- Input edges $x_1 \dots x_n$, along with basis
- The sum is represented graphically
- Sum passed through an activation function g

Sigmoid Neuron



$$g(w_0 + \sum_i w_i x_i) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-(w_0 + \sum_i w_i x_i)}}$$



Just change g !

- Why would we want to do this?
- Notice new output range $[0, 1]$. What was it before?
- Look familiar?

Optimizing a neuron

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x} f(g(x)) = f'(g(x))g'(x)$$

We train to minimize sum-squared error

$$\ell(W) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_j [y^j - g(w_0 + \sum_i w_i x_i^j)]^2$$

$$\frac{\partial l}{\partial w_i} = - \sum_j [y_j - g(w_0 + \sum_i w_i x_i^j)] \frac{\partial}{\partial w_i} g(w_0 + \sum_i w_i x_i^j)$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial w_i} g(w_0 + \sum_i w_i x_i^j) = x_i^j \frac{\partial}{\partial w_i} g(w_0 + \sum_i w_i x_i^j) = x_i^j g'(w_0 + \sum_i w_i x_i^j)$$

$$\frac{\partial \ell(W)}{\partial w_i} = - \sum_j [y^j - g(w_0 + \sum_i w_i x_i^j)] x_i^j g'(w_0 + \sum_i w_i x_i^j)$$

Solution just depends on g' : derivative of activation function!

Re-deriving the perceptron update

$$\frac{\partial \ell(W)}{\partial w_i} = - \sum_j [y^j - g(w_0 + \sum_i w_i x_i^j)] x_i^j g'(w_0 + \sum_i w_i x_i^j)$$



$$g = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \sum_{i=0}^n w_i x_i > 0 \\ -1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$\frac{\partial \ell(W)}{\partial w_i} = - \sum_j [y^j - g(w_0 + \sum_i w_i x_i^j)] x_i^j$$

For a specific, incorrect example:

- $w = w + y^*x$ (our familiar update!)

Sigmoid units: have to differentiate g

$$\frac{\partial \ell(W)}{\partial w_i} = - \sum_j [y^j - g(w_0 + \sum_i w_i x_i^j)] x_i^j g'(w_0 + \sum_i w_i x_i^j)$$

$$g(x) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-x}} \quad g'(x) = g(x)(1 - g(x))$$

$$w_i \leftarrow w_i + \eta \sum_j x_i^j \delta^j$$

$$\delta^j = [y^j - g(w_0 + \sum_i w_i x_i^j)] g^j (1 - g^j)$$

$$g^j = g(w_0 + \sum_i w_i x_i^j)$$

Aside: Comparison to logistic regression

- $P(Y|X)$ represented by:


$$\begin{aligned} P(Y = 1 \mid x, W) &= \frac{1}{1 + e^{-(w_0 + \sum_i w_i x_i)}} \\ &= g(w_0 + \sum_i w_i x_i) \end{aligned}$$

- Learning rule – MLE:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \ell(W)}{\partial w_i} &= \sum_j x_i^j [y^j - P(Y^j = 1 \mid x^j, W)] \\ &= \sum_j x_i^j [y^j - g(w_0 + \sum_i w_i x_i^j)] \end{aligned}$$


$$w_i \leftarrow w_i + \eta \sum_j x_i^j \delta^j$$

$$\delta^j = y^j - g(w_0 + \sum_i w_i x_i^j)$$



Perceptron, linear classification, Boolean functions: $x_i \in \{0,1\}$

- Can learn $x_1 \vee x_2$
 - $0.5 + x_1 + x_2$
- Can learn $x_1 \wedge x_2$
 - $-1.5 + x_1 + x_2$
- Can learn any conjunction or disjunction?
 - $0.5 + x_1 + \dots + x_n$
 - $(n-0.5) + x_1 + \dots + x_n$
- Can learn majority?
 - $(-0.5*n) + x_1 + \dots + x_n$
- What are we missing? The dreaded XOR!, etc.



Going beyond linear classification


Solving the XOR problem

$$y = x_1 \text{ XOR } x_2 = (x_1 \wedge \neg x_2) \vee (x_2 \wedge \neg x_1)$$

$$\begin{aligned}v_1 &= (x_1 \wedge \neg x_2) \\&= -1.5 + 2x_1 - x_2\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}v_2 &= (x_2 \wedge \neg x_1) \\&= -1.5 + 2x_2 - x_1\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}y &= v_1 \vee v_2 \\&= -0.5 + v_1 + v_2\end{aligned}$$



Hidden layer


- Single unit:

$$out(\mathbf{x}) = g(w_0 + \sum_i w_i x_i)$$

- 1-hidden layer:


$$out(\mathbf{x}) = g \left(w_0 + \sum_k w_k g(w_0^k + \sum_i w_i^k x_i) \right)$$

- No longer convex function!



Example data for NN with hidden layer

A target function:




Input	Output
10000000	→ 10000000
01000000	→ 01000000
00100000	→ 00100000
00010000	→ 00010000
00001000	→ 00001000
00000100	→ 00000100
00000010	→ 00000010
00000001	→ 00000001

Can this be learned??

Learned weights for hidden layer


A network:




Learned hidden layer representation:

Input	Hidden Values	Output
10000000	→ .89 .04 .08	→ 10000000
01000000	→ .01 .11 .88	→ 01000000
00100000	→ .01 .97 .27	→ 00100000
00010000	→ .99 .97 .71	→ 00010000
00001000	→ .03 .05 .02	→ 00001000
00000100	→ .22 .99 .99	→ 00000100
00000010	→ .80 .01 .98	→ 00000010
00000001	→ .60 .94 .01	→ 00000001



Learning the weights



Learning an encoding




NN for images



Typical input images

90% accurate learning head pose, and recognizing 1-of-20 faces

Weights in NN for images



Typical input images


Forward propagation

1-hidden layer:

$$out(\mathbf{x}) = g \left(w_0 + \sum_k w_k g(w_0^k + \sum_i w_i^k x_i) \right)$$

Compute values left
to right

1. Inputs: x_1, \dots, x_n
2. Hidden: v_1, \dots, v_n
3. Output: y



Gradient descent for 1-hidden layer

$$\frac{\partial \ell(W)}{\partial w_k}$$

Dropped w_0 to make derivation simpler

$$\ell(W) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_j [y^j - out(\mathbf{x}^j)]^2$$

$$out(\mathbf{x}) = g \left(\sum_{k'} w_{k'} g \left(\sum_{i'} w_{i'}^{k'} x_{i'} \right) \right)$$

$$v_k^j = g \left(\sum_{i'} w_{i'}^{k'} x_{i'} \right)$$

$$\frac{\partial \ell(W)}{\partial w_k} = \sum_{j=1}^m -[y^j - out(\mathbf{x}^j)] \frac{\partial out(\mathbf{x}^j)}{\partial w_k}$$

$$out(x) = g \left(\sum_{k'} w_{k'} v_k^j \right)$$

$$\frac{\partial out(\mathbf{x})}{\partial w_k} = v_k^j g' \left(\sum_{k'} w_{k'} v_k^j \right)$$



Gradient for last layer same as the single node case, but with hidden nodes v as input!

Gradient descent for 1-hidden layer

$$\frac{\partial \ell(W)}{\partial w_i^k}$$

$$\ell(W) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_j [y^j - \text{out}(\mathbf{x}^j)]^2$$

$$\text{out}(\mathbf{x}) = g \left(\sum_{k'} w_{k'} g \left(\sum_{i'} w_{i'}^{k'} x_{i'} \right) \right)$$

Dropped w_0 to make derivation simpler

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x} f(g(x)) = f'(g(x))g'(x)$$

$$\frac{\partial \ell(W)}{\partial w_i^k} = \sum_{j=1}^m -[y - \text{out}(\mathbf{x}^j)] \frac{\partial \text{out}(\mathbf{x}^j)}{\partial w_i^k}$$

$$\frac{\partial \text{out}(\mathbf{x})}{\partial w_i^k} = g' \left(\sum_{k'} w_{k'} g \left(\sum_{i'} w_{i'}^{k'} x_{i'} \right) \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial w_i^k} g \left(\sum_{i'} w_{i'}^{k'} x_{i'} \right)$$


For hidden layer,
two parts:

- Normal update
for single neuron
- Recursive
computation of
gradient on
output layer

Multilayer neural networks

Inference and Learning:

- Forward pass: left to right, each hidden layer in turn
- Gradient computation: right to left, propagating gradient for each node



Forward propagation – prediction

- Recursive algorithm
- Start from input layer
- Output of node V_k with parents U_1, U_2, \dots :

$$V_k = g \left(\sum_i w_i^k U_i \right)$$

Back-propagation – learning

- Just gradient descent!!!
- Recursive algorithm for computing gradient
- For each example
 - Perform forward propagation
 - Start from output layer
 - Compute gradient of node V_k with parents U_1, U_2, \dots
 - Update weight w_i^k
 - Repeat (move to preceding layer)

Back-propagation – pseudocode

Initialize all weights to small random numbers


- Until convergence, do:
 - For each training example x, y :
 1. Forward propagation, compute node values V_k
 2. For each output unit o (with labeled output y):
$$\delta_o = V_o(1-V_o)(y-V_o)$$
 3. For each hidden unit h :
$$\delta_h = V_h(1-V_h) \sum_{k \text{ in output}(h)} w_{h,k} \delta_k$$
 4. Update each network weight $w_{i,j}$ from node i to node j
$$w_{i,j} = w_{i,j} + \eta \delta_j x_{i,j}$$

Convergence of backprop

- Perceptron leads to convex optimization
 - Gradient descent reaches **global minima**
- Multilayer neural nets **not convex**
 - Gradient descent gets stuck in local minima
 - Selecting number of hidden units and layers = fuzzy process
 - NNs have made a HUGE comeback in the last few years!!!
 - Neural nets are back with a new name!!!!
 - Deep belief networks
 - Huge error reduction when trained with lots of data on GPUs

Overfitting in NNs

- Are NNs likely to overfit?
 - Yes, they can represent arbitrary functions!!!
- Avoiding overfitting?
 - More training data
 - Fewer hidden nodes / better topology
 - Regularization
 - Early stopping



Object Recognition

stone wall [0.95, [web](#)]




dishwasher [0.91, [web](#)]



car show [0.99, [web](#)]




judo [0.96, [web](#)]



judo [0.92, [web](#)]



judo [0.91, [web](#)]



tractor [0.91, [web](#)]



tractor [0.91, [web](#)]



tractor [0.94, [web](#)]




Slides from Jeff Dean at Google

Number Detection



Slides from Jeff Dean at Google

Acoustic Modeling for Speech Recognition



Close collaboration with Google Speech team

Trained in <5 days on cluster of 800 machines

30% reduction in Word Error Rate for English
("biggest single improvement in 20 years of speech research")

Launched in 2012 at time of Jellybean release of Android

2012-era Convolutional Model for Object Recognition



Softmax to predict object class




Fully-connected layers



Convolutional layers
(same weights used at all
spatial locations in layer)

Convolutional networks
developed by
Yann LeCun (NYU)



Basic architecture developed by Krizhevsky, Sutskever & Hinton
(all now at Google).

Won 2012 ImageNet challenge with 16.4% top-5 error rate


Slides from Jeff Dean at Google

2014-era Model for Object Recognition



Module with 6 separate convolutional layers

24 layers deep!



Developed by team of Google Researchers:
Won 2014 ImageNet challenge with **6.66% top-5 error rate**

Good Fine-grained Classification



“hibiscus”



“dahlia”

Slides from Jeff Dean at Google

Good Generalization



Both recognized as a
“meal”

Sensible Errors



“snake”





“dog”

Works in practice for real users.

Wow.

The new Google plus photo search is a bit insane.



I didn't tag those... :)



Slides from Jeff Dean at Google

Works in practice for real users.

Google Plus photo search is awesome. Searched with keyword
'Drawing' to find all my scribbles at once :D



Slides from Jeff Dean at Google

What you need to know about neural networks

- Perceptron:
 - Relationship to general neurons
- Multilayer neural nets
 - Representation
 - Derivation of backprop
 - Learning rule
- Overfitting