

## Self Assessment- Assignment Grading Rubric 2

**Assignment Title** : Assignment 2 — Website with JavaScript Carousel & jQuery Animation      **Course** : BCM  
**Student Name** : Leong Yueh Wah      **Course Code** : CCDS 2413 Web Development  
**Students Matric No** : 2407-1087      **Lecturer** : Mohd Faiz Alias

### Assignment Submission Penalty

Student Submitted on time	Late by 1 Day	Late by 2 Days	Late by 3 days	Late by 4 days or more	Did not submit
No penalty	-1	-2	-3	-4	No marks to be given

\*circle the relevant penalty marks above

Plagiarism (0% to 20%)	Plagiarism (21% to 30%)	Plagiarism (31% to 40%)	Plagiarism (41% to 49%)	Plagiarism (50% or more)
No penalty	-2	-4	-6	-10

### Criteria for Self-Assessment

Criteria	Excellent (5 - 4)	Good (3)	Fair (2)	Poor (1)	Points
<b>Website Structure &amp; Navigation</b>	Complete website with homepage, hero section, clear navigation, and at least 3 pages or sections; all links work correctly.	Website mostly complete; some navigation or structure issues.	Limited structure; basic navigation but inconsistent.	Poor structure; missing pages or broken navigation.	<b>5/5</b>
<b>HTML/CSS Layout &amp; Responsiveness</b>	Clean, semantic HTML5 structure; fully responsive using Flexbox/Grid; consistent design.	Mostly clean structure; responsive with minor issues.	Some structure; inconsistent responsiveness.	Poor layout; lacks semantic tags or responsiveness.	<b>5/ 5</b>
<b>JavaScript Carousel Functionality</b>	Carousel fully functional with next/prev controls, auto-slide, and smooth transitions; no major errors.	Carousel mostly works; minor bugs or incomplete features.	Basic carousel partially working; limited navigation or auto-slide.	Carousel broken or missing functionality.	<b>2/ 5</b>
<b>jQuery Animation / Effects</b>	At least one jQuery effect (e.g., fade, slide, smooth scroll) applied effectively and improves UX.	Effect applied but limited in scope or minor issues.	Effect basic or not fully functional.	No jQuery effects used or broken.	<b>2/ 5</b>
<b>UI/UX Design</b>	Clear, well-structured wireframes for all required pages/sections. UI Style Guide is complete, showing thoughtful use of color, typography, layout grid, spacing, and interaction states. Design decisions clearly support the chosen SDG theme.	Wireframes are mostly complete; Style Guide includes most design elements. Some minor inconsistencies between design plan and final site	Wireframes or Style Guide are present but basic or incomplete. Final site shows limited alignment with the plan. Visual hierarchy and layout structure are weak or inconsistent.	No proper wireframes or Style Guide submitted, or design is improvised with little planning. Final site lacks coherent layout, hierarchy, or UI consistency.	<b>5/ 5</b>

<b>Overall Presentation &amp; Deployment</b>	WebPage looks polished and professional; well-organized sections, consistent spacing; hosted live (e.g. GitHub Pages, Netlify) with clear README	Good structure and presentation; live version provided.	Some structure but lacks polish or proper deployment.	Unclear structure; no live version or README.	<b>5/5</b>
<b>TOTAL</b>					<b>24/ 30</b>

**Notes for Extra Marks (Optional Bonus — up to +3 marks, lecturer’s discretion)**

May award up to **3% extra credit** for outstanding effort, such as:

- Advanced carousel features (e.g., thumbnails, captions, progress bar)
- Multiple or creative jQuery effects
- Excellent responsive design and layout polish
- Strong storytelling and SDG integration
- Exceptional reflection with analytical insight

*(Extra marks cannot exceed the overall course assessment weight.)*

***Bonus cannot push the assignment above the maximum allowed for the course. Final award determined by the lecturer.***

I hereby declare that:

1. The work I have submitted for this assessment is entirely my own.
2. I have assessed my work truthfully and fairly using the rubric provided.
3. I understand that my self-assessment will be compared with my lecturer’s evaluation.

**Student Signature:** LEONG

**Date:** 31 December 2025