Yufei Lin Problem Set 3 Sep 30^{th} 2019

Problem Set 3

Question

Prove that if $\lim_{x\to a} f(x) = L$ and $\lim_{x\to a} g(x) = M$, then $\lim_{x\to a} f(x) \cdot g(x) = L \cdot M$.

Proof:

We know $\forall x$ such that $0 < |x - a| < \delta_1, |f(x) - L| < \epsilon_1$, and such that $0 < |x - a| < \delta_2, |g(x) - M| < \epsilon_2$. In order for these two inequalities to hold at the same time, we need to have $0 < |x - a| < \delta = \min(\delta_1, \delta_2)$. Then, we would have $|g(x) - M| \cdot |f(x) - L| < \epsilon_1 \cdot \epsilon_2$, based on the theorem that if 0 < a, b and a < c, b < d then ab < cd. Also, from the theorem that $|a| \cdot |b| = |ab|$. Then we would have the following:

$$|g(x) - M| \cdot |f(x) - L| < \epsilon_1 \epsilon_2$$

$$|f(x) \cdot g(x) - M \cdot f(x) - L \cdot g(x) + LM| < \epsilon_1 \epsilon_2$$

By another theorem that |a| + |b| < |a + b|, we could on both sides of the inequality add $|M \cdot f(x) + L \cdot g(x) - 2LM|$. Also, we know that $0 < \epsilon_1, \epsilon_2$. Thus, $\epsilon_1 \epsilon_2 = |\epsilon_1 \epsilon_2|$. Then, we would have for the inequality:

$$|f(x) \cdot g(x) - M \cdot f(x) - L \cdot g(x) + LM| + |M \cdot f(x) + L \cdot g(x) - 2LM| \tag{1}$$

$$|f(x) \cdot g(x) - M \cdot f(x) - L \cdot g(x) + LM + M \cdot f(x) + L \cdot g(x) - 2LM| \tag{2}$$

$$|\epsilon_1 \epsilon_2| + |M \cdot f(x) + L \cdot g(x) - 2LM| \tag{3}$$

Where (2) < (1) and (1) < (3). Thus, (2) < (3).

Then we have:

$$(2) < |\epsilon_1 \epsilon_2| + |M \cdot f(x) + L \cdot g(x) - LM - LM|$$

$$(2) < |\epsilon_1 \epsilon_2| + |M \cdot f(x) - LM + L \cdot g(x) - LM|$$

$$(2) < |\epsilon_1 \epsilon_2| + |M \cdot (f(x) - L) + L \cdot (g(x) - M)|$$

$$(2) < |\epsilon_1 \epsilon_2| + |M(f(x) - L) + L(g(x) - M)|$$

Also, we know from the definition of a limit that $|f(x) - L| < \epsilon_1$, $|g(x) - M| < \epsilon_2$. We

would therefore have:

$$|M| \cdot |f(x) - L| < |M| \cdot \epsilon_1$$

$$|L| \cdot |g(x) - M| < |L| \cdot \epsilon_2$$

$$\therefore |M \cdot (f(x) - L)| < |M \cdot \epsilon_1|, |L \cdot (g(x) - M)| < |L \cdot \epsilon_2|$$

Thus, we would have a new inequality:

$$|\epsilon_1 \epsilon_2| + |M(f(x) - L)| + |L(g(x) - M)| < |\epsilon_1 \epsilon_2| + |M \cdot \epsilon_1 + L \cdot \epsilon_2|$$

From there, we could say that $|f(x)\cdot g(x)-LM|<|\epsilon_1\epsilon_2|+|M\cdot\epsilon_1+L\cdot\epsilon_2|\leq \epsilon$ Assume $\epsilon_1\epsilon_2\leq \epsilon/2$. From there we know, $\epsilon_1,\epsilon_2\leq \sqrt{\frac{\epsilon}{2}}$. On the other hand from $|M\cdot\epsilon_1+L\cdot\epsilon_2|\leq \epsilon/2$. We know that $|M\cdot\epsilon_1+L\cdot\epsilon_2|\leq |M\cdot\epsilon_1|+|L\cdot\epsilon_2|$. In order for the previous inequality to hold, we assign $|M\cdot\epsilon_1|<\epsilon/4$ and $|L\cdot\epsilon_2|<\epsilon/4$. Therefore, $\epsilon_2<\frac{\epsilon}{4\cdot |M|}$ and $\epsilon_1<\frac{\epsilon}{4\cdot |L|}$. If we have $\epsilon_1<\min(\frac{\epsilon}{4\cdot |L|},\sqrt{\frac{\epsilon}{2}})$ and $\epsilon_1<\min(\frac{\epsilon}{4\cdot |M|},\sqrt{\frac{\epsilon}{2}})$. Then, we have ϵ to be a very small number. We then have $\lim_{x\to a}f(x)\cdot g(x)=L\cdot M$.

Question

Suppose that $\lim_{x\to a} f(x)$ exists, and that $\lim_{x\to a} f(x) = L$. Suppose M is any number. Then prove that $\lim_{x\to a} (Mf(x))$ exists, and $\lim_{x\to a} (Mf(x)) = M \lim_{x\to a} f(x)$.

Proof:

 $\forall x \text{ such that } 0 < |x-a| < \delta, |f(x)-L| < \epsilon.$ From the theorem that $|a| \cdot |b| = |ab|$. Thus, $|M| \cdot |f(x)-L| = |M(f(x)-L)|$. Then, we know that

$$M \cdot |f(x) - L| = |M(f(x) - L)| < |M| \cdot \epsilon$$

$$|M \cdot f(x) - LM| < |M \cdot \epsilon| = \epsilon_{final}$$

Let $\epsilon = \frac{\epsilon_{final}}{|M|}$, then we could have $|M \cdot f(x) - LM|$ be a small number and therefore, $\lim_{x \to a} (M \cdot f(x)) = L \cdot M$. Also, because $\lim_{x \to a} f(x)$ is a number, then we know that $M \cdot \lim_{x \to a} f(x) = M \cdot L$. Therefore, $M \cdot \lim_{x \to a} f(x) = \lim_{x \to a} (M \cdot f(x))$.

Question

Show that a function cannot have two different limits at a. That is, if $\lim_{x\to a} f(x)$ exists, and $\lim_{x\to a} f(x) = L$, and $\lim_{x\to a} f(x) = M$, then we must have L = M.

$\underset{\mathbf{Proof:}}{\overset{x \to a}{\longrightarrow} a}$

 $\forall x \text{ such that } 0 < |x - a| < \delta, |f(x) - L| < \epsilon_1 \text{ and } |f(x) - M| < \epsilon_2 \text{ where } L \neq M.$ Therefore, $\epsilon_1 \neq \epsilon_2$. If we have $\epsilon_1 < \epsilon_2$, then we know that from the definition of a limit |f(x)-L|<|f(x)-M|. It is because |f(x)-L| is smaller, then f(x) is closer to L instead of M. Thus, $\lim_{x\to a}f(x)=L$. Vice versa, if we have $\epsilon_1>\epsilon_2$, then |f(x)-L|>|f(x)-M| and $\lim_{x\to a}f(x)=M$. In conclusion if $\lim_{x\to a}f(x)$ exists, and $\lim_{x\to a}f(x)=L$, and $\lim_{x\to a}f(x)=M$, then we must have L=M.