

Summary

X Education generates a lot of leads. But the lead conversion rate is very poor (Only 30%). The company needs us to build a model wherein every lead must be assigned a score such that the ones with a higher lead score will have higher chance of conversion. Target for lead conversion rate is approximately 80%.

1) Data Cleaning:

- Columns with more than 35% nulls were dropped. Value counts within categorical columns were checked to decide appropriate action to be done.
- Numerical categorical data were imputed with mode and columns with only one unique response from customer were dropped.
- Outliers' treatment, fixing invalid data, mapping binary categorical values were carried out.

EDA:

- Data imbalance checked- only 38.5% leads converted.
- Performed univariate and bivariate analysis for categorical and numerical variables. 'Lead Origin', 'Current occupation', 'Lead Source', etc. provide valuable insight on effect on target variable.
- Time spend on website shows positive impact on lead conversion.

Data Preparation:

- Converting Yes/No to Binary variables and correcting datatype of Page Views Per Visit and TotalVisits.
- Creating dummy variables for the 8 categories and dropping the first level.
- Removing duplicate variables.
- Splitting the data in Train and Test sets.
- Feature Standardizations of numerical data.
- Initial conversion rate was found to be 38.45%.

Model Building:

- Used RFE for feature elimination from 73 to 20. This will make dataframe more manageable.
- Manual Feature Reduction process was used to build models by dropping variables with $p - \text{value} > 0.05$.
- Total 3 models were built before reaching final Model 4 which was stable with ($p\text{-values} < 0.05$). No sign of multicollinearity with $VIF < 5$.
- X_{train_3} & reg3 were selected as final model with 18 variables, we used it for making prediction on train and test set.

Model Evaluation:

- ROC curve was plotted to find the probability cutoff point of 0.4, which was selected based on accuracy, sensitivity and specificity. This cut off gave accuracy, specificity and precision all around 80%. Whereas precision recall view gave less performance metrics around 75%.
- As to solve business problem CEO asked to boost conversion rate to 80%, but metrics dropped when we took precision-recall view. So, we will choose sensitivity-specificity view for our

optimal cut-off for final predictions

- Lead score was assigned to train data using 0.4 as cut off.

Making Predictions on Test Data:

- Making Predictions on Test: Scaling and predicting using final model.
- Evaluation metrics for train & test are very close to around 80%.
- Lead score was assigned.

- Top 3 features are:

- > Last Notable Activity_Modified
- > Total Time Spent on Website
- > Lead Source_Google.

Recommendations:

- More budget/spend must be done on Websites for advertising, and promotion etc.
- Incentives/discounts should be provided for referencing new hot leads.