

ASSIGNMENT 1: [IFT6390]

LÉA RICARD & JOSEPH D. VIVIANO

1. PROBABILITIES

A percentage of 1.5 of women in their 40s who take a routine test (mammogram) have breast cancer. Among women that have breast cancer, there is a 87% chance that the test is positive. In women that do not have breast cancer, there is a probability of 9.6 that the test is positive. A woman in her forties who has passed this routine test receives a positive test result. What is the probability that it is actually breast cancer?

$P(D)$ = the probability of having disease.

$P(T)$ = the probability of having a positive test result.

- 1.5% of women in their 40s have breast cancer, therefore $P(D) = 0.015$.
- 87% true positive rate, therefore $P(T|D) = 0.87$.
- 9.6% false positive rate, therefore $P(T|\neg D) = 0.096$.

We want to know:

$$(1.1) \quad P(D|T) = \frac{P(T|D)P(D)}{P(T)}$$

Which means we need to calculate $P(T)$ which is

$$(1.2) \quad P(T) = P(T|D)P(D) + P(T|\neg D)P(\neg D) = 0.87 \times 0.015 + 0.096 \times (1 - 0.015) \approx 0.1076$$

$$(1.3) \quad P(D|T) = \frac{0.87 \times 0.015}{0.1076} \approx 0.1213$$

2. CURSE OF DIMENSIONALITY

2.1. *Consider a hypercube in dimension d with side length c . What is the volume V ?*

In the 2-dimensional case, $area = c^2$. In the 3 dimensional case, $V = c^3$. In the n -dimensional case, $V = c^d$.

2.2. X is a random vector of dimension d ($x \in d$) distributed uniformly within the hypercube (the probability density $p(x) = 0$ for all x outside the cube). What is the probability density function $p(x)$ for x inside the cube? Indicate which property(ies) of probability densities functions allow you to calculate this result.

For all probability distributions:

$$(2.1) \quad \int_{-\inf}^{\inf} p(x) = 1$$

We know $p(x) = 0$ for all points outside of the hypercube. Therefore, the probability of being in a particular point inside the hypercube is

$$(2.2) \quad p(x) = \frac{1}{c^d}$$

2.3. Consider the outer shell (border) of the hypercube of width 3% of c (covering the part of the hypercube extending from the faces of the cube and $0.03c$ inwards). For example, if $c = 100\text{cm}$, the border will be 3cm (left, right, top, etc ...) and will delimit this way a second (inner) hypercube of side $100 - 3 - 3 = 94\text{cm}$. If we generate a point x according to the previously defined probability distribution (by sampling), what is the probability that it falls in the border area? What is the probability that it falls in the smaller hypercube?

Let b be the amount to remove from the border on one side (i.e., left) of the outer hypercube. $p(x_{\text{large}}) = 1$. Therefore in the general case the probability we are in the smaller hypercube is:

$$(2.3) \quad p(x_{\text{small}}) = (c - 2b)^d / c^d$$

$$(2.4) \quad p(x_{\text{border}}) = 1 - p(x_{\text{small}})$$

Therefore for the above example:

$$(2.5) \quad p(x_{\text{small}}) = (100 - 2 \times 3)^d / 100^d = 94^d / 100^d$$

And as before, the probability we are in the border is:

$$(2.6) \quad p(x_{\text{border}}) = 1 - p(x_{\text{small}})$$

2.4. Calculate the above for $d = 1, 2, 3, 5, 10, 100, 1000$.

$$(2.7) \quad 1 - 94^1/100^1 = 0.06$$

$$(2.8) \quad 94^2/100^2 = 0.1163$$

$$(2.9) \quad 94^3/100^3 = 0.1694$$

$$(2.10) \quad 94^5/100^5 = 0.2661$$

$$(2.11) \quad 94^{10}/100^{10} = 0.4614$$

$$(2.12) \quad 94^{100}/100^{100} = 0.9980$$

$$(2.13) \quad 94^{1000}/100^{1000} \approx 1$$

2.5. What do you conclude?

When the dimension grows, the probability that x falls into the narrow border at the edge of the hypercube becomes more likely, which is contrary of our intuitions at lower dimensions.

3. PARAMETRIC GAUSSIAN VS PARZEN WINDOW DENSITY ESTIMATION

3.1. Isotropic Gaussian Distribution. Suppose we have trained the parameters of an isotropic Gaussian density function on D (by maximizing the likelihood) in order to estimate the probability density function.

3.1.1. Name these parameters and indicate their dimension. The named parameters are $\mu \in \mathbb{R}^d$ -long vector of means, and $\Sigma \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$ covariance matrix, where n is the number of samples.

3.1.2. *If we learn these parameters using MLE, express the formula which will give us the value of the optimal parameters as a function of the data points in D .*

$$(3.1) \quad \mu = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i.$$

$$(3.2) \quad \Sigma = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (\mathbf{x}_i - \mu)(\mathbf{x}_i - \mu)^T$$

3.1.3. *What is the algorithmic complexity of this training method?* For the μ parameter, the algorithm complexity is in $\mathcal{O}(nd)$, since it is summing over the n vectors of d length. For the Σ parameter the algorithmic complexity is limited by the summation of n $d \times d$ matrices. Computing this final matrix requires n matrix multiplications of a row and column vectors, each of which is a d^2 operation, so the complexity is in $\mathcal{O}(nd^2)$.

3.1.4. *For a test point x , write the function that will give the probability density predicted at that point.*

$$(3.3) \quad p(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{d}{2}} \sqrt{|\Sigma|}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(x-\mu)^T \Sigma^{-1}(x-\mu)}$$

3.1.5. *What is the algorithmic complexity for this prediction?* The cost of this operation is limited by the find the determinant of Σ and the inversion of Σ . Both of these operations take $\mathcal{O}(d^{2.373})$ assuming we use the Coppersmith–Winograd algorithm for the inversion and fast matrix multiplication for finding the determinant.

3.2. Parzen windows with Isotropic Gaussian Kernels.

3.2.1. *What does the "training/learning" phase of Parzen windows with a fixed sigma consist of?* If σ is fixed by the user, nothing is learned during training (the Gaussians are simply centered on each training data point and then summed to create a density). Basically this algorithm learns to remember the data.

3.2.2. *Write the function that gives the probability density at point x .*

$$(3.4) \quad p(x) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathcal{N}_{x,\sigma}(x)$$

Expanded becomes:

$$(3.5) \quad p(x) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{d}{2}} \sigma^d} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \frac{d(x_{test}, x_{train})^2}{\sigma^2}}$$

3.2.3. *What is the algorithmic complexity for calculating this prediction?* If we assume that calculating the distance between two vectors of length d is $\mathcal{O}(d)$ (as it is for euclidean distance for example), then the total cost is $\mathcal{O}(dn)$, since we have to calculate the distance between x_{test} and all of the n data points x_{train} .

3.3. Capacity/Expressivity.

3.3.1. *Which one of these two approaches has the highest capacity?* The Parzen Gaussian is more expressive, because it can store information for every data point. The capacity of the algorithm grows as we give it more data points, this isn't true for the Gaussian distribution, which averages over all data points, so it has a fixed capacity for a given dimensionality, no matter how many training data the algorithm is shown.

3.3.2. *When are we likely to be overfitting?* Parzen windows with Isotropic Gaussian Kernels, in the case that we used a large number of training examples with a small σ would result in extreme memorization of the noise in the training data (i.e., overfitting).

3.3.3. *Why is sigma in Parzen windows is usually treated as a hyperparameter, whereas for parametric Gaussian density estimation it is usually treated as a parameter?* Because in parametric Gaussian density estimation, σ is learned from the data, while it is fixed for all data points when using Parzen windows.

3.4. Empirical Risk.

3.4.1. *Express the equation of a diagonal Gaussian density in \mathbb{R}^d . Specify what are its parameters and their dimensions.*

$$(3.6) \quad p(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{d}{2}} \sqrt{|\Sigma|}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(x-\mu)^T \Sigma^{-1} (x-\mu)},$$

where the parameters are Σ (dimension $d \times d$) and μ (dimension d).

3.4.2. *Show that the components of a random vector following a diagonal Gaussian distribution are independent random variables.* Random variables are independent if the joint density is equal to the product of marginal densities, i.e., $p(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_d) = p(x_1)p(x_2)\dots p(x_d)$. Let

$$(3.7) \quad \begin{aligned} X &= (X_1, X_2, \dots, X_d)^T \\ \mu &= (\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_d)^T \\ \Sigma &= (\sigma_{ij})_{i=1,2,\dots,d; j=1,2,\dots,d} \end{aligned}$$

Since the components of the random vector follow a diagonal Gaussian distribution, Σ has the form:

$$(3.8) \quad \Sigma = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_{11} & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma_{22} & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & \sigma_{dd} \end{bmatrix}, \text{ where } (\sigma_{ij} = 0)_{i \neq j}$$

and

$$(3.9) \quad \Sigma^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_{11}^{-1} & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma_{22}^{-1} & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & \sigma_{dd}^{-1} \end{bmatrix}, \text{ where } (\sigma_{ij} = 0)_{i \neq j}.$$

Hence, we can change the exponent in the equation for a multidimensional gaussian:

$$(3.10) \quad p(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{d}{2}} \sqrt{|\Sigma|}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(x-\mu)^T \Sigma^{-1}(x-\mu)}$$

with

$$(3.11) \quad \begin{aligned} (X - \mu)^T \Sigma^{-1} (X - \mu) &= [(X_1 - \mu_1)\sigma_{11}^{-1} + (X_2 - \mu_2)\sigma_{22}^{-1} + \dots + (X_d - \mu_d)\sigma_{dd}^{-1}] \begin{bmatrix} (X_1 - \mu_1) \\ (X_2 - \mu_2) \\ \vdots \\ (X_d - \mu_d) \end{bmatrix} \\ &= (X_1 - \mu_1)^2 \sigma_{11}^{-1} + (X_2 - \mu_2)^2 \sigma_{22}^{-1} + \dots + (X_d - \mu_d)^2 \sigma_{dd}^{-1} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^d (X_i - \mu_i)^2 \sigma_{ii}^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

Since we know that the determinant of a diagonal matrix is equal to the product of all diagonal elements,

$$(3.12) \quad \begin{aligned} p(x) &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{d}{2}} \sqrt{\prod_{i=1}^d \sigma_{ii}}} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^d (X_i - \mu_i)^2 \sigma_{ii}^{-1}} \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^d \left(\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma_{ii}}} \right) e^{-\frac{1}{2} (X_i - \mu_i)^2 \sigma_{ii}^{-1}} \right) \end{aligned}$$

which is the product of the marginal density of each variable (dimension d).

3.4.3. *Using $-\log p(x)$ as the loss, write down the equation corresponding to the empirical risk minimization on the training set D (in order to learn the parameters).*
The log-likelihood function is:

$$(3.13) \quad \log(D|\mu, \Sigma) = -\frac{N}{2} \log|\Sigma| - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^N [(X_n - \mu)^T \Sigma^{-1} (X_n - \mu)] + c$$

Where c is a constant.

3.4.4. *Solve this equation analytically in order to obtain the optimal parameters.*

Using the previous equation, we can derivate the log likelihood function in terms of μ and find the optimal parameters when this derivative is equal to zero:

$$(3.14) \quad \frac{\partial \log(D|\mu, \Sigma)}{\partial \mu} = \sum_{i=1}^N (X_i - \mu)^T \Sigma^{-1} = 0$$

$$(3.15) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 &= N\mu - \sum_{i=1}^N X_i \\ \hat{\mu} &= \frac{1/N}{\sum_{i=1}^N X_i} \end{aligned}$$

Where $\hat{\mu}$ is of dimension: \mathbb{R}^d .

Next we do the same to find :

$$(3.16) \quad \begin{aligned} \log(D|\mu, \Sigma) &\propto -\frac{N}{2} \log|\Sigma| - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^N \text{tr}(\Sigma^{-1} (X_n - \mu)(X_n - \mu)^T) \\ &= -\frac{N}{2} \log|\Sigma| - \frac{1}{2} \text{tr}(\Sigma^{-1} \sum_{n=1}^N [(X_n - \mu)(X_n - \mu)^T]) \end{aligned}$$

Here we used the ‘trace trick’ to re-arrange the multiplied elements on the right side of the equation (if you have matrix multiplications that result in a scalar), one can use trace to rearrange the arguments), i.e., $UVU' = \text{tr}(VUU')$.

Also note that:

$$(3.17) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial A} \text{tr}[AB] &= B^T \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial A} \log|A| &= A^{-T} \end{aligned}$$

Which allows to isolate $[(X_n - \mu)(X_n - \mu)^T]$ when differentiating with respect to Σ^{-1} , and to transform $|\Sigma^{-1}|$ to Σ .

(3.18)

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial l(\mu, \Sigma | X_i)}{\partial \Sigma^{-1}} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial \Sigma^{-1}} \left(\frac{N}{2} \log |\Sigma^{-1}| \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial \Sigma^{-1}} \left(-\frac{1}{2} \text{tr}(\Sigma^{-1} \sum_{n=1}^N [(X_n - \mu)(X_n - \mu)^T]) \right) = 0 \\
&\Leftrightarrow \frac{N}{2} \Sigma - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N (X_i - \mu)(X_i - \mu)^T = 0 \\
&\Leftrightarrow N \Sigma - \sum_{i=1}^N (X_i - \mu)(X_i - \mu)^T = 0 \\
&\Leftrightarrow \Sigma - \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N (X_i - \mu)(X_i - \mu)^T = 0 \\
&\Leftrightarrow \hat{\Sigma} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N (X_i - \hat{\mu})(X_i - \hat{\mu})^T
\end{aligned}$$

Where $\hat{\Sigma}$ is of dimension: $\mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$.

UNIVERSITÉ DE MONTRÉAL

Email address: leaa.ricard@gmail.com & joseph@viviano.ca