

Mathématiques discrètes et applications

Discrete Mathematics and Applications

Problem Session 3

Peterson Yuhala

Instituts d'informatique et de mathématiques
Université de Neuchâtel

Automne 2025 / Fall 2025



Contact information

Peterson Yuhala
Office B119
peterson.yuhala@unine.ch

Ex-1: Quantified statements.

- (a) $\exists x(C(x) \wedge D(x) \wedge F(x))$
- (b) $\forall x(C(x) \vee D(x) \vee F(x))$
- (c) $\exists x(C(x) \wedge F(x) \wedge \neg D(x))$
- (d) $\neg \exists x(C(x) \wedge D(x) \wedge F(x)) \equiv \forall x(\neg C(x) \vee \neg D(x) \vee \neg F(x))$
- (e) $\exists x C(x) \wedge \exists y D(y) \wedge \exists z F(z) \equiv \exists x \exists y \exists z (C(x) \wedge D(y) \wedge F(z))$

We can also write the proposition as:

$\exists x C(x) \wedge \exists x D(x) \wedge \exists x F(x)$. Note that x can refer to a different person in each term.

Ex-2: Quantified statements.

(a) $\forall x F(x, Nancie)$

(b) $\forall y F(Peter, y)$

(c) $\forall x \exists y F(x, y)$

(d) $\neg \exists x \forall y F(x, y) \equiv \forall x \exists y \neg F(x, y)$

(e) $\forall y \exists x F(x, y)$

(f)

$$\begin{aligned}\neg \exists x (F(x, Ouny) \wedge F(x, Leyla)) &\equiv \forall x \neg (F(x, Ouny) \wedge F(x, Leyla)) \\ &\equiv \forall x (\neg F(x, Ouny) \vee \neg F(x, Leyla))\end{aligned}$$

Ex-2: Quantified statements.

- (g) $\exists y_1 \exists y_2 (y_1 \neq y_2 \wedge F(Essil, y_1) \wedge F(Essil, y_2) \wedge \forall y (F(Essil, y) \rightarrow (y = y_1 \vee y = y_2)))$
- (h) $\neg \exists y F(Ehlena, y) \equiv \forall y \neg F(Ehlena, y)$
- (i) $\exists! y \forall x F(x, y) \equiv \exists y (\forall x F(x, y) \wedge \forall z (\forall w F(w, z) \rightarrow y = z))$
- (j) $\forall x \neg F(x, x)$
- (k) $\exists x \exists y (x \neq y \wedge F(x, y) \wedge \forall z (F(x, z) \rightarrow z = y))$

Ex-3: Definition of a limit

- We have: $\lim_{x \rightarrow a} f(x) = L \iff$

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists \delta > 0 \forall x |x - a| < \delta \rightarrow |f(x) - L| < \varepsilon$$

- If we name the proposition on the right P , then $\lim_{x \rightarrow a} f(x) \neq L$ can be interpreted as $\neg P$.
- Thus we have $\neg P$ as:

$$\begin{aligned}\neg P &\equiv \neg(\forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists \delta > 0 \forall x |x - a| < \delta \rightarrow |f(x) - L| < \varepsilon) \\ &\equiv \exists \varepsilon > 0 \forall \delta > 0 \exists x (|x - a| < \delta \wedge |f(x) - L| \geq \varepsilon)\end{aligned}$$

- Note that the restricted domains (e.g., $\varepsilon > 0$ or $\delta > 0$) on which the quantifiers apply are not changed when the negation is done. However, we negate the conditional statement being quantified.

Ex-4: Rules of Inference

Consider the following statements:

p : It's sunny this afternoon.

q : It's colder than yesterday.

r : We will go swimming.

s : We will take a canoe trip.

t : We will be home by sunset.

Premises:

1. $\neg p \wedge q$
2. $r \rightarrow p$
3. $\neg r \rightarrow s$
4. $s \rightarrow t$

Conclusion: t

Ex-4: Rules of Inference

We construct our argument with the premises and rules of inference to arrive at the conclusion.

Step	Reason
$\neg r \rightarrow s$	Premise 3
$s \rightarrow t$	Premise 4
$\neg r \rightarrow t$	Transitivity/Hypothetical syllogism
$\neg p \wedge q$	Premise 1
$\neg p$	Specialization/Simplification
$r \rightarrow p$	Premise 2
$\neg r$	Modus Tollens
t	Modus Ponens (see $\neg r \rightarrow t$ above)

Ex-5: Mathematical Induction

Let $P(n)$ be the statement: $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$, $n(n + 1)(n + 2)$ is divisible by 6.

Basis Step: $n = 0$

$P(0)$: $0(0 + 1)(0 + 2) = 0$, which is divisible by 6. So $P(0)$ is true.

Inductive Step: We assume $P(k)$ is true for an arbitrary $k \geq 0$, which is our inductive hypothesis (I.H.):

$P(k)$: $k(k + 1)(k + 2)$ is divisible by 6.

Assuming the I.H., we show that $P(k + 1)$ is true.

- **NB:** The truth of $P(k + 1)$ must follow from the I.H., i.e., from the truth of $P(k)$. You do not show that $P(k + 1)$ is true on its own.

Ex-5: Mathematical Induction

$$\begin{aligned}P(k+1) : \quad & (k+1)(k+2)(k+3) = k(k+1)(k+2) + 3(k+1)(k+2) \\&\stackrel{\text{I.H.}}{=} 6M + 3(k+1)(k+2), \quad M \in \mathbb{Z} \\&\stackrel{(*)}{=} 6M + 3 \cdot 2 \cdot N, \quad N \in \mathbb{Z} \\&= 6M + 6N \\&= 6(M+N)\end{aligned}$$

For the equality (*), we have used that $(k+1)(k+2)$ is even, so $(k+1)(k+2) = 2N$ for some $N \in \mathbb{Z}$.

$6(M+N)$ is divisible by 6. Therefore $P(k) \rightarrow P(k+1)$, and by the principle of mathematical induction, $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$, $P(n)$ holds.

Ex-6: Proof by Contradiction

- To prove a proposition P by contradiction, we show that $\neg P \rightarrow \mathbf{F}$. In other words, by assuming P is false, we arrive at a contradiction.
- This indirectly proves P because $P \equiv (\neg P \rightarrow \mathbf{F})$.
- This proof method also applies if P is a conditional statements/proposition of the form $A \rightarrow B$.

- So to prove by contradiction that: $\forall a \in \mathbb{Z}, a^2$ even $\rightarrow a$ even, we show that the hypothesis $\neg(\forall a \in \mathbb{Z}, a^2$ even $\rightarrow a$ even) leads to a contradiction.
- $\neg(\forall a \in \mathbb{Z}, a^2$ even $\rightarrow a$ even) $\equiv \exists a \in \mathbb{Z} \mid (a^2$ even $\wedge a$ odd).

a odd $\implies \exists c \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $a = 2c + 1$

$$\implies a^2 = 4c^2 + 4c + 1 = 2(2c^2 + 2c) + 1 \text{ is odd}$$

- We have both a^2 even and a^2 odd, hence a contradiction. So P is true.

Ex-7: Proof by Contraposition.

- To prove that $P \rightarrow Q$ by contraposition, we show that $\neg Q \rightarrow \neg P$. This proof method is valid because a conditional statement is logically equivalent to its contrapositive.

Consider (for some arbitrary, but fixed a , b , and n) the following statements: p : $n = ab$, q : $a \leq \sqrt{n}$, and r : $b \leq \sqrt{n}$.

We want to prove that: $p \rightarrow (q \vee r)$.

By contraposition, it suffices to show that $\neg(q \vee r) \rightarrow \neg p$.

$$\begin{aligned}\neg(q \vee r) &\equiv \neg q \wedge \neg r \\&= (a > \sqrt{n}) \wedge (b > \sqrt{n}) \\&\implies ab > \sqrt{n}\sqrt{n} = n \\&\implies ab \neq n\end{aligned}$$

i.e $\neg(q \vee r) \rightarrow \neg p$.



Ex-8: Mathematical Induction

Let $P(n)$ be the statement:

$$\forall n \geq 1, \forall (p_1, \dots, p_n) \in \{0, 1\}^n : \neg(p_1 \wedge \dots \wedge p_n) = \neg p_1 \vee \dots \vee \neg p_n$$

Basis Step: For $n = 1$, $\neg p_1 = \neg p_1$, so $P(1)$ is true (there is nothing to do).

For $n = 2$, $\neg(p_1 \wedge p_2) = \neg p_1 \vee \neg p_2$ (shown using truth tables). So also $P(2)$ is true.

Inductive Step: We assume $P(k)$ is true for an arbitrary $k \geq 2$ (Inductive Hypothesis):

$$P(k) : \forall (p_1, \dots, p_k) \in \{0, 1\}^k : \neg(p_1 \wedge \dots \wedge p_k) = \neg p_1 \vee \dots \vee \neg p_k$$

and show that if $P(k)$ is true, then $P(k + 1)$ is true.

$P(k + 1)$ is the following statement:

$$\begin{aligned} & \forall (p_1, \dots, p_{k+1}) \in \{0, 1\}^{k+1} : \\ & \neg(p_1 \wedge \dots \wedge p_k \wedge p_{k+1}) = \neg p_1 \vee \dots \vee \neg p_k \vee \neg p_{k+1} \end{aligned}$$

Ex-8: Mathematical Induction

$$\begin{aligned}\neg(p_1 \wedge \dots \wedge p_k \wedge p_{k+1}) &= \neg((p_1 \wedge p_2 \wedge \dots \wedge p_k) \wedge p_{k+1}) \\&= \neg(p_1 \wedge \dots \wedge p_k) \vee \neg p_{k+1} \quad \text{case } n = 2 \\&\stackrel{\text{I.H.}}{=} (\neg p_1 \vee \dots \vee \neg p_k) \vee \neg p_{k+1} \\&= \neg p_1 \vee \dots \vee \neg p_k \vee \neg p_{k+1}\end{aligned}$$

Therefore $P(k) \rightarrow P(k + 1)$ and by the principle of mathematical induction, $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$, $P(n)$ holds.

TODO at home: Similar proof for the second law.

Ex-9: Proving conjectures by mathematical induction.

By computing the sum for small values of n , we can conjecture that the following statement $P(n)$ holds for all n :

$$P(n) : \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{2^i} = \frac{2^n - 1}{2^n}$$

Let us prove our conjecture by mathematical induction.

Basis Step: $n = 1$

$$P(1) : \frac{1}{2^1} = \frac{2^1 - 1}{2^1} = \frac{1}{2}. \text{ So } P(1) \text{ is true.}$$

Inductive Step: We assume $P(k)$ is true for an arbitrary $k \geq 1$
(I.H.)

$$P(k) : \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{1}{2^i} = \frac{2^k - 1}{2^k}$$

Assuming the I.H., we show that $P(k + 1)$ is true.

Ex-9: Proving conjectures by mathematical induction.

$$\begin{aligned} P(k+1) : \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} \frac{1}{2^i} &= \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{1}{2^i} + \frac{1}{2^{k+1}} \\ &\stackrel{\text{I.H.}}{=} \frac{2^k - 1}{2^k} + \frac{1}{2^{k+1}} \\ &= \frac{2(2^k - 1) + 1}{2^{k+1}} \\ &= \frac{2 \cdot 2^k - 2 + 1}{2} \\ &= \frac{2^{k+1} - 1}{2^{k+1}} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $P(k) \rightarrow P(k+1)$, and by the principle of mathematical induction, $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, P(n)$ holds.

End

For more exercises and notes, see Rosen 7th Edition
Chap. 1.4-1.8 and Chap. 5.1.