2017 Formatting Instructions for Authors Using LATEX

AAAI Press

Association for the Advancement of Artificial Intelligence 2275 East Bayshore Road, Suite 160 Palo Alto, California 94303

Abstract

The Proposed S Distance

We consider the one dimensional case as a start, where x_r are real samples sampled from distribution \mathbb{P}_r , and x_g are generated samples sampled from distribution \mathbb{P}_q ,

$$x_r \sim \mathbb{P}_r$$
 (1)

$$x_g \sim \mathbb{P}_g$$
 (2)

Note that both x_r and x_g are restricted between [0, 1]. Following is the proposed S distance,

$$S(\mathbb{P}_r, \mathbb{P}_g) = \mathbb{E}_{x_g \sim \mathbb{P}_g} \{ | \int_{x_g}^1 \mathbb{P}_r(x) dx - \int_{x_g}^1 \mathbb{P}_g(x) dx | \}$$
 (3)

while the Wasserstein distance is defined to be,

$$W(\mathbb{P}_r, \mathbb{P}_g) = \sup_{\|f\|_L \le 1} \{ \mathbb{E}_{x_r \sim \mathbb{P}_r} [f(x_r)] - \mathbb{E}_{x_g \sim \mathbb{P}_g} [f(x_g)] \}$$
(4)

Apparently, both S and W distance will be minimized if the \mathbb{P}_r and \mathbb{P}_g are identical. To take a deeper insight of the advantage of the proposed S distance, we consider the representation of these two distance at one specific sample x_g . This is crucial, since when updating *Generator G*, it only observe at a specific x_g , instead of having a whole sight of the distributions \mathbb{P}_r and \mathbb{P}_g . The S at x_g is,

$$S_{\mathbb{P}_r,\mathbb{P}_g}(x_g) = \left| \int_{x_g}^1 \mathbb{P}_r(x) dx - \int_{x_g}^1 \mathbb{P}_g(x) dx \right|$$
 (5)

while the W at x_a is,

$$W_{\mathbb{P}_n \mathbb{P}_n}(x_n) = f(x) \approx \mathbb{P}_r(x) - \mathbb{P}_n(x)$$
 (6)

We can see that $S_{\mathbb{P}_r,\mathbb{P}_g}(x_g)$ consider how unbalance are the two distributions in a whole sight, while the $W_{\mathbb{P}_r,\mathbb{P}_g}(x_g)$ considers the unbalance of the two probabilities at this specific x_g . One can easily think of a x_g , where $W_{\mathbb{P}_r,\mathbb{P}_g}(x_g)$ is zero, but the distributions \mathbb{P}_r and \mathbb{P}_r are not identical, which means $W_{\mathbb{P}_r,\mathbb{P}_g}(x_g)$ is failing. But under this situation, $S_{\mathbb{P}_r,\mathbb{P}_g}(x_g)$ still gives a right direction for x_g to update, since it observes the unbalance of \mathbb{P}_r and \mathbb{P}_r on each side of the x_g .

Copyright © 2017, Association for the Advancement of Artificial Intelligence (www.aaai.org). All rights reserved.

GAN Based on S Distance

Following we proposed the method to achieve this S distance in a GAN. We still describe things in one-dimensional case to make it simple and straight forward. For every x_r, x_g pair, we sample x_τ between x_r and x_g ,

$$x_{\tau} = \tau x_r + (1 - \tau) x_g \tag{7}$$

where

$$\tau \sim U[0, 1] \tag{8}$$

Following, we consider our problem on a discrete space with interval of $\varepsilon \to 0$, we give every notation of x a check mark, i.e., \check{x} , to mark that they are discrete value under interval ε . Later on, we will derive limitation on $\varepsilon \to 0$, so that we can have a general conclusion on the continuous space. Now consider a event denoted by: $\check{x}_{\tau} \stackrel{t}{=} \check{x}_{n}$, which means,

• Sample \check{x}_{τ} for t times, \check{x}_n got sampled as \check{x}_{τ} at least for one time.

To be clear, \check{x}_{τ} , \check{x}_{r} , \check{x}_{g} are all random variables, and \check{x}_{n} is a specific point. Apparently, we have,

$$P(\check{x}_{\tau} \stackrel{1}{=} \check{x}_{n} | \check{x}_{r}, \check{x}_{g}) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{d/\varepsilon} & \check{x}_{r} < \check{x}_{n} < \check{x}_{g}, \check{x}_{g} < \check{x}_{n} < \check{x}_{r} \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}$$
(9)

where

$$d = |\dot{x}_r - \dot{x}_q| \tag{10}$$

If we sample \check{x}_{τ} for t times, where

$$t = d/\delta \tag{11}$$

Then, we have,

$$P(\check{x}_{\tau} \stackrel{t}{=} \check{x}_{n} | \check{x}_{r}, \check{x}_{g})$$

$$= 1 - (1 - P(\check{x}_{\tau} \stackrel{1}{=} \check{x}_{n} | \check{x}_{r}, \check{x}_{g}))^{t}$$

$$= \begin{cases} 1 - (1 - \frac{1}{d/\varepsilon})^{d/\delta} & \check{x}_{r} < \check{x}_{n} < \check{x}_{g}, \check{x}_{g} < \check{x}_{n} < \check{x}_{g} \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases} (12)$$

Apparently, δ has to satisfy,

$$\delta = z\varepsilon \tag{13}$$

where $z \in Z^+$. And this z is a fixed value as a hyper-parameter. Now, we consider following limit,

$$\lim_{\delta = z\varepsilon, \varepsilon \to 0} \left(1 - \frac{1}{d/\varepsilon}\right)^{d/\delta}$$

$$= \lim_{\delta = z\varepsilon, \varepsilon \to 0} e^{d/\delta \ln(1 - \frac{1}{d/\varepsilon})}$$

$$= \lim_{\delta = z\varepsilon, \varepsilon \to 0} e^{\frac{\ln(\frac{d-\varepsilon}{d})}{\delta/d}}$$

$$= \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} e^{\frac{\frac{1}{d-\varepsilon} - \frac{1}{d}}{z/d}}$$

$$= e^{-1/z}$$
(14)

Put the conclusion of (14) into (12), we have,

$$P(x_{\tau} = x_n | x_r, x_g) = \lim_{\varepsilon, \delta \to 0} P(\check{x}_{\tau} \stackrel{t}{=} \check{x}_n | \check{x}_r, \check{x}_g)$$

$$= \begin{cases} 1 - e^{-1/z} & x_r < x_n < x_g, x_g < x_n < x_r \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}$$
(15)

where we have switch back to the continuous space and have this general conclusion. Now, we propose our update rules for the *Discriminator* D with parameter θ to be optimized,

$$\theta \longrightarrow \theta + \nabla_{\theta} \{ -|\nabla_{x_{\tau}} D^{\theta}(x_{\tau}) - \frac{x_{\tau} - x_{g}}{|x_{\tau} - x_{g}|}|^{2} \}$$
 (16)

which means we try to make $\nabla_{x_{\tau}}D^{\theta}(x_{\tau})$ approach $\frac{x_{\tau}-x_{g}}{|x_{\tau}-x_{g}|}$. Lets take a look at $\nabla_{x_{\tau}}D^{\theta}(x_{\tau})$ at a specific point x_{n} ,

$$\nabla_{x_{\tau}=x_{n}} D^{\theta}(x_{\tau} = x_{n})$$

$$= \mathbb{E}_{\tau \sim U[0,1], x_{r} \sim \mathbb{P}_{r}, x_{g} \sim \mathbb{P}_{g}} \left\{ \frac{x_{r} - x_{g}}{|x_{r} - x_{g}|} \right\}$$

$$= P(x_{\tau} = x_{n} | x_{g} < x_{n} < x_{r}) P(x_{g} < x_{n} < x_{r})$$

$$-P(x_{\tau} = x_{n} | x_{r} < x_{n} < x_{g}) P(x_{r} < x_{n} < x_{g})$$
(17)

which means the value of $\nabla_{x_{\tau}=x_n}D^{\theta}(x_{\tau}=x_n)$ is determined by the probability of it gets positive update and negative update. Since (15), we know that

$$P(x_{\tau} = x_n | x_n < x_n < x_r) = 1 - e^{-1/z}$$
 (18)

$$P(x_{\tau} = x_n | x_r < x_n < x_a) = 1 - e^{-1/z}$$
 (19)

Put (18) (19) into (17), we have,

$$\nabla_{x_{\tau}=x_{n}} D^{\theta}(x_{\tau} = x_{n})$$

$$= [P(x_{g} < x_{n} < x_{r}) - P(x_{r} < x_{n} < x_{g})](1 - e^{-1/z})$$

$$= [P(x_{g} < x_{n})P(x_{n} < x_{r})$$

$$-P(x_{r} < x_{n})P(x_{n} < x_{g})](1 - e^{-1/z})$$

$$= [\int_{0}^{x_{n}} \mathbb{P}_{g}(x)dx \int_{x_{n}}^{1} \mathbb{P}_{r}(x)dx$$

$$-\int_{0}^{x_{n}} \mathbb{P}_{r}(x)dx \int_{x_{n}}^{1} \mathbb{P}_{g}(x)dx](1 - e^{-1/z})$$

$$= [\int_{x_{n}}^{1} \mathbb{P}_{r}(x)dx - \int_{x_{n}}^{1} \mathbb{P}_{g}(x)dx](1 - e^{-1/z})$$
(20)

Now, we can give the update rule of *Generator* G with parameter β to be learnt, and we put (20) in this update rule to have a clearer view on what G is doing,

$$\begin{array}{ll}
\beta \\
\longrightarrow & \beta + \nabla_{\beta} \{ D^{\theta}(G^{\beta}(x_g)) \} \\
\longrightarrow & \beta + \nabla_{\beta} \{ \frac{\partial D^{\theta}(G^{\beta}(x_g))}{\partial G^{\beta}(x_g)} \frac{\partial G^{\beta}(x_g)}{\partial \beta} \} \\
\longrightarrow & \beta + \{ [\int_{x_g}^{1} \mathbb{P}_r(x) dx \\
& - \int_{x_g}^{1} \mathbb{P}_g(x) dx] (1 - e^{-1/z}) (\nabla_{\beta} G^{\beta}(x_g)) \}
\end{array}$$
(21)

which means wherever x_g is, it is updating itself to make $\int_{x_g}^1 \mathbb{P}_g(x) dx$ approaching $\int_{x_g}^1 \mathbb{P}_r(x) dx$. One can just take a few cases to confirm this. The absolute error when updating G is actually modelling the proposed S distance in (3) (5).