

# 2017 Formatting Instructions for Authors Using L<sup>A</sup>T<sub>E</sub>X

AAAI Press

Association for the Advancement of Artificial Intelligence  
2275 East Bayshore Road, Suite 160  
Palo Alto, California 94303

## Abstract

### The Proposed $S$ Distance

We consider the one dimensional case as a start, where  $x_r$  are real samples sampled from distribution  $\mathbb{P}_r$ , and  $x_g$  are generated samples sampled from distribution  $\mathbb{P}_g$ ,

$$x_r \sim \mathbb{P}_r \quad (1)$$

$$x_g \sim \mathbb{P}_g \quad (2)$$

Note that both  $x_r$  and  $x_g$  are restricted between  $[0, 1]$ . Following is the proposed  $S$  distance,

$$S(\mathbb{P}_r, \mathbb{P}_g) = \mathbb{E}_{x_g \sim \mathbb{P}_g} \left\{ \left| \int_{x_g}^1 \mathbb{P}_r(x) dx - \int_{x_g}^1 \mathbb{P}_g(x) dx \right| \right\} \quad (3)$$

while the Wasserstein distance is defined to be,

$$W(\mathbb{P}_r, \mathbb{P}_g) = \sup_{\|f\|_L \leq 1} \{ \mathbb{E}_{x_r \sim \mathbb{P}_r} [f(x_r)] - \mathbb{E}_{x_g \sim \mathbb{P}_g} [f(x_g)] \} \quad (4)$$

Apparently, both  $S$  and  $W$  distance will be minimized if the  $\mathbb{P}_r$  and  $\mathbb{P}_g$  are identical. To take a deeper insight of the advantage of the proposed  $S$  distance, we consider the representation of these two distance at a sample  $x_g$ . This is crucial, since when updating *Generator*  $G$ , it only observe at a specific  $x_g$  instead of having a whole sight of the distributions  $\mathbb{P}_r$  and  $\mathbb{P}_g$ . The  $S$  at  $x_g$  is,

$$S_{\mathbb{P}_r, \mathbb{P}_g}(x_g) = \left| \int_{x_g}^1 \mathbb{P}_r(x) dx - \int_{x_g}^1 \mathbb{P}_g(x) dx \right| \quad (5)$$

while the  $W$  at  $x_g$  is,

$$W_{\mathbb{P}_r, \mathbb{P}_g}(x_g) = f(x) \approx \mathbb{P}_r(x) - \mathbb{P}_g(x) \quad (6)$$

We can see that  $S_{\mathbb{P}_r, \mathbb{P}_g}(x_g)$  consider how unbalance are the two distributions in a whole sight (even when at a specific  $x_g$ ), while the  $W_{\mathbb{P}_r, \mathbb{P}_g}(x_g)$  considers the unbalance of the two probabilities at this point.

Copyright © 2017, Association for the Advancement of Artificial Intelligence (www.aaai.org). All rights reserved.

## GAN Based on $S$ Distance

For every  $x_r, x_g$  pair, we sample  $x_\tau$  between  $x_r$  and  $x_g$ ,

$$x_\tau = \tau x_r + (1 - \tau) x_g \quad (7)$$

where

$$\tau \sim U[0, 1] \quad (8)$$

Consider our problem on a discrete space with interval of  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ , we give every notation of  $x$  a check mark, i.e.,  $\check{x}$ , to mark that they are discrete value under interval  $\varepsilon$ . Later on we will derive limitation on  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ , so that we can have a general conclusion on the continuous space. Now consider a event denoted by:  $\check{x}_\tau \stackrel{t}{=} \check{x}_n$ , which means,

- Sample  $\check{x}_\tau$  for  $t$  times,  $\check{x}_n$  got sampled as  $\check{x}_\tau$  at least for one time.

To be clear,  $\check{x}_\tau, \check{x}_r, \check{x}_g$  are all random variables, and  $\check{x}_n$  is a specific point. Apparently, we have,

$$P(\check{x}_\tau \stackrel{t}{=} \check{x}_n | \check{x}_r, \check{x}_g) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{d/\varepsilon} & \check{x}_r < \check{x}_n < \check{x}_g, \check{x}_g < \check{x}_n < \check{x}_r \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases} \quad (9)$$

where

$$d = |\check{x}_r - \check{x}_g| \quad (10)$$

If we sample  $\check{x}_\tau$  for  $t$  times, where

$$t = d/\delta \quad (11)$$

Here,  $\delta$  is also approaching to zero. We assume it approaches zero in the same order as  $\varepsilon$  approaching zero<sup>1</sup>. Then, we,

$$\begin{aligned} & P(\check{x}_\tau \stackrel{t}{=} \check{x}_n | \check{x}_r, \check{x}_g) \\ &= 1 - (1 - P(\check{x}_\tau \stackrel{1}{=} \check{x}_n | \check{x}_r, \check{x}_g))^t \\ &= \begin{cases} 1 - (1 - \frac{1}{d/\varepsilon})^{d/\delta} & \check{x}_r < \check{x}_n < \check{x}_g, \check{x}_g < \check{x}_n < \check{x}_r \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases} \quad (12) \end{aligned}$$

<sup>1</sup>In practice,  $\varepsilon$  may approach zero in a much more higher order than  $\delta$  approaching zero, i.e.,  $\varepsilon = a\delta^b$ . But this does not effect the conclusion we have in (13).

Now, we consider this limit,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \lim_{\varepsilon, \delta \rightarrow 0} (1 - \frac{1}{d/\varepsilon})^{d/\delta} \\
&= \lim_{\varepsilon, \delta \rightarrow 0} e^{d/\delta \ln(1 - \frac{1}{d/\varepsilon})} \\
&= \lim_{\varepsilon, \delta \rightarrow 0} e^{\frac{\ln(\frac{d-\varepsilon}{d})}{\delta/d}} \\
&= \lim_{\varepsilon, \delta \rightarrow 0} e^{\frac{-1}{1/d}} \\
&= e^{-1}
\end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

Put the conclusion of (13) to (12), we have,

$$\begin{aligned}
P(x_\tau = x_n | x_r, x_g) &= \lim_{\varepsilon, \delta \rightarrow 0} P(\check{x}_\tau \stackrel{t}{=} \check{x}_n | \check{x}_r, \check{x}_g) \\
&= \begin{cases} 1 - e^{-1} & x_r < x_n < x_g, x_g < x_n < x_r \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases} \tag{14}
\end{aligned}$$

Now, we propose our update rules for the *Discriminator*  $D$  with parameter  $\theta$  to be optimized,

$$\theta \longrightarrow \theta + \nabla_\theta \left\{ -|\nabla_{x_\tau} D^\theta(x_\tau) - \frac{x_r - x_g}{|x_r - x_g|}|^2 \right\} \tag{15}$$

which means we try to make  $\nabla_{x_\tau} D^\theta(x_\tau)$  approach  $\frac{x_r - x_g}{|x_r - x_g|}$ . Lets take a look at  $\nabla_{x_\tau} D^\theta(x_\tau)$  at a specific point  $x_n$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \nabla_{x_\tau = x_n} D^\theta(x_\tau = x_n) \\
&= P(x_\tau = x_n | x_g < x_n < x_r) P(x_g < x_n < x_r) \\
&\quad - P(x_\tau = x_n | x_r < x_n < x_g) P(x_r < x_n < x_g)
\end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

Since (14), we know that

$$P(x_\tau = x_n | x_g < x_n < x_r) = 1 - e^{-1} \tag{17}$$

$$P(x_\tau = x_n | x_r < x_n < x_g) = 1 - e^{-1} \tag{18}$$

Put (17) (18) in (16), we have,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \nabla_{x_\tau = x_n} D^\theta(x_\tau = x_n) \\
&= [P(x_g < x_n < x_r) - P(x_r < x_n < x_g)](1 - e^{-1}) \\
&= \left[ \int_0^{x_n} \mathbb{P}_g(x) dx \int_{x_n}^1 \mathbb{P}_r(x) dx \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \int_0^{x_n} \mathbb{P}_r(x) dx \int_{x_n}^1 \mathbb{P}_g(x) dx \right] (1 - e^{-1}) \\
&= \left[ \int_{x_n}^1 \mathbb{P}_r(x) dx - \int_{x_n}^1 \mathbb{P}_g(x) dx \right] (1 - e^{-1}) \tag{19}
\end{aligned}$$

Now, we can give the update rule of *Generator*  $G$  with parameter  $\beta$  to be learnt, and we put (19) in this update rules to have a clearer view,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \beta \\
&\longrightarrow \beta + \nabla_\beta \{ D^\theta(G^\beta(x_g)) \} \\
&\longrightarrow \beta + \left\{ \left[ \int_{x_g}^1 \mathbb{P}_r(x) dx - \int_{x_g}^1 \mathbb{P}_g(x) dx \right] (1 - e^{-1}) (\nabla_\beta G^\beta(x_g)) \right\}
\end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

which means wherever  $x_g$  is, it is updating itself to make  $\int_{x_g}^1 \mathbb{P}_g(x) dx$  approaching  $\int_{x_g}^1 \mathbb{P}_r(x) dx$ . The absolute error when updating  $G$  is actually modelling the proposed  $S$  distance.