24. R Code for Repeated Measures

- These slides illustrate a few example R commands for fitting generalized linear models to repeated measures data.
- We focus on the experiment designed to compare the effectiveness of three strength training programs.
- We will fit models that allows for a distinct mean for each of the $3 \times 7 = 21$ combinations of training program and time.

We assume independence between subjects.

 The models differ in the choice for W, which is the variance-covariance structure assumed for the 7 observations from each subject.

```
#Read the data
d=read.delim(
  "http://dnett.github.io/S510/RepeatedMeasures.txt")
#Create Factors
d$Program = factor(d$Program)
d$Subj = factor(d$Subj)
d$Timef = factor(d$Time)
#Load the nlme package
library(nlme)
```

Compound Symmetry Structure for W

$$\begin{bmatrix} \sigma_e^2 + \sigma_s^2 & \sigma_s^2 \\ \sigma_s^2 & \sigma_e^2 + \sigma_s^2 & \sigma_s^2 & \sigma_s^2 & \sigma_s^2 & \sigma_s^2 & \sigma_s^2 & \sigma_s^2 \\ \sigma_s^2 & \sigma_s^2 & \sigma_e^2 + \sigma_s^2 & \sigma_s^2 & \sigma_s^2 & \sigma_s^2 & \sigma_s^2 & \sigma_s^2 \\ \sigma_s^2 & \sigma_s^2 & \sigma_s^2 & \sigma_s^2 & \sigma_e^2 + \sigma_s^2 & \sigma_s^2 & \sigma_s^2 & \sigma_s^2 \\ \sigma_s^2 & \sigma_s^2 & \sigma_s^2 & \sigma_s^2 & \sigma_s^2 & \sigma_e^2 + \sigma_s^2 & \sigma_s^2 & \sigma_s^2 \\ \sigma_s^2 & \sigma_e^2 + \sigma_s^2 & \sigma_s^2 \\ \sigma_s^2 & \sigma_e^2 + \sigma_s^2 \end{bmatrix}$$

```
> summary(o.lme)
Linear mixed-effects model fit by REML
Data: d
     AIC BIC logLik
1466.82 1557.323 -710.4101
```

```
Random effects:
Formula: ~1 | Subj
(Intercept) Residual
StdDev: 3.098924 1.094017
```

Copyright © 2019 (Iowa State University)

Alternative Parameterizaton for Compound Symmetry

$$\sigma^{2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \rho & \rho & \rho & \rho & \rho & \rho \\ \rho & 1 & \rho & \rho & \rho & \rho & \rho \\ \rho & \rho & 1 & \rho & \rho & \rho & \rho \\ \rho & \rho & \rho & 1 & \rho & \rho & \rho \\ \rho & \rho & \rho & \rho & 1 & \rho & \rho \\ \rho & \rho & \rho & \rho & \rho & 1 & \rho \\ \rho & \rho & \rho & \rho & \rho & \rho & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

```
> summary(o.cs)
Generalized least squares fit by REML
 Model: Strength ~ Program * Timef
 Data: d
     AIC BIC logLik
  1466.82 1557.323 -710.4101
Correlation Structure: Compound symmetry
Formula: ~1 | Subj
Parameter estimate(s):
     Rho
0.8891805
Residual standard error: 3.286366
Degrees of freedom: 399 total; 378 residual
```

AR(1) Structure for W

$$\sigma^{2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \phi & \phi^{2} & \phi^{3} & \phi^{4} & \phi^{5} & \phi^{6} \\ \phi & 1 & \phi & \phi^{2} & \phi^{3} & \phi^{4} & \phi^{5} \\ \phi^{2} & \phi & 1 & \phi & \phi^{2} & \phi^{3} & \phi^{4} \\ \phi^{3} & \phi^{2} & \phi & 1 & \phi & \phi^{2} & \phi^{3} \\ \phi^{4} & \phi^{3} & \phi^{2} & \phi & 1 & \phi & \phi^{2} \\ \phi^{5} & \phi^{4} & \phi^{3} & \phi^{2} & \phi & 1 & \phi \\ \phi^{6} & \phi^{5} & \phi^{4} & \phi^{3} & \phi^{2} & \phi & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

```
> summary(o.ar1)
Generalized least squares fit by REML
 Model: Strength ~ Program * Timef
 Data: d
      AIC BIC logLik
 1312.804 1403.306 -633.4018
Correlation Structure: AR(1)
Formula: ~1 | Subj
Parameter estimate(s):
     Phi
0.9517769
Residual standard error: 3.280242
Degrees of freedom: 399 total; 378 residual
```

General Positive Definite Structure for W

With δ_1 set equal to 1 for identifiablility purposes, a general 7×7 positive definite variance-covariance matrix is parameterized by R as follows:

$$\sigma^2 \, \text{diag}(\delta_1,...,\delta_7) \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \rho_{12} & \rho_{13} & \rho_{14} & \rho_{15} & \rho_{16} & \rho_{17} \\ \rho_{12} & 1 & \rho_{23} & \rho_{24} & \rho_{25} & \rho_{26} & \rho_{27} \\ \rho_{13} & \rho_{23} & 1 & \rho_{34} & \rho_{35} & \rho_{36} & \rho_{37} \\ \rho_{14} & \rho_{24} & \rho_{34} & 1 & \rho_{45} & \rho_{46} & \rho_{47} \\ \rho_{15} & \rho_{25} & \rho_{35} & \rho_{45} & 1 & \rho_{56} & \rho_{57} \\ \rho_{16} & \rho_{26} & \rho_{36} & \rho_{46} & \rho_{56} & 1 & \rho_{67} \\ \rho_{17} & \rho_{27} & \rho_{37} & \rho_{47} & \rho_{57} & \rho_{67} & 1 \end{bmatrix} \, \text{diag}(\delta_1,...,\delta_7)$$

The 7×7 case doesn't fit on one slide, but here is the 5×5 case.

$$\begin{bmatrix} \sigma^{2}\delta_{1}^{2} & \sigma^{2}\rho_{12}\delta_{1}\delta_{2} & \sigma^{2}\rho_{13}\delta_{1}\delta_{3} & \sigma^{2}\rho_{14}\delta_{1}\delta_{4} & \sigma^{2}\rho_{15}\delta_{1}\delta_{5} \\ \sigma^{2}\rho_{12}\delta_{1}\delta_{2} & \sigma^{2}\delta_{2}^{2} & \sigma^{2}\rho_{23}\delta_{2}\delta_{3} & \sigma^{2}\rho_{24}\delta_{2}\delta_{4} & \sigma^{2}\rho_{25}\delta_{2}\delta_{5} \\ \sigma^{2}\rho_{13}\delta_{1}\delta_{3} & \sigma^{2}\rho_{23}\delta_{2}\delta_{3} & \sigma^{2}\delta_{3}^{2} & \sigma^{2}\rho_{34}\delta_{3}\delta_{4} & \sigma^{2}\rho_{35}\delta_{3}\delta_{5} \\ \sigma^{2}\rho_{14}\delta_{1}\delta_{4} & \sigma^{2}\rho_{24}\delta_{2}\delta_{4} & \sigma^{2}\rho_{34}\delta_{3}\delta_{4} & \sigma^{2}\delta_{4}^{2} & \sigma^{2}\rho_{45}\delta_{4}\delta_{5} \\ \sigma^{2}\rho_{15}\delta_{1}\delta_{5} & \sigma^{2}\rho_{25}\delta_{2}\delta_{5} & \sigma^{2}\rho_{35}\delta_{3}\delta_{5} & \sigma^{2}\rho_{45}\delta_{4}\delta_{5} \end{bmatrix}$$

```
> summary(o.un)
Generalized least squares fit by REML
 Model: Strength ~ Program * Timef
  Data: d
      AIC BIC logLik
  1332.896 1525.706 -617.4479
```

```
Correlation Structure: General
Formula: ~1 | Subj
Parameter estimate(s):
Correlation:
           3
        2.
                                 6
2 0.960
3 0.925 0.940
4 0.872 0.877 0.956
5 0.842 0.860 0.937 0.960
6 0.809 0.827 0.898 0.909 0.951
7 0.797 0.792 0.876 0.887 0.917 0.953
```

```
Variance function:
 Structure: Different standard deviations per stratum
Formula: ~1 | Timef
Parameter estimates:
                8 10 12 14
1.000 1.039 1.104 1.071 1.174 1.157 1.203
```

Degrees of freedom: 399 total; 378 residual

Residual standard error: 2.963129

 To understand the reason for an identifiability constraint, notice that an arbitrary positive definite 7 × 7 covariance matrix depends on only

$$7+6+5+4+3+2+1 = \frac{7(7+1)}{2} = 28$$

parameters. However, we have σ^2 , 6+5+4+3+2+1=21 ρ parameters, and δ_1,\ldots,δ_7 .

 That's 29 parameters for a symmetric positive definite matrix that depends on at most 28 parameters.

- Thus, R chooses to set δ_1 to 1.
- Without such a constraint, it is easy to use different values of the parameters to define the same matrix. For example,

$$\begin{bmatrix} 3 & -1 \\ -1 & 7 \end{bmatrix} = 3 \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -\frac{1}{3} \\ -\frac{1}{3} & \frac{7}{3} \end{bmatrix} = 1 \begin{bmatrix} 3 & -1 \\ -1 & 7 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{matrix} \sigma^{2} & 3 & 1 \\ \delta_{1} & 1 & \sqrt{3} \\ \delta_{2} & \sqrt{\frac{7}{3}} & \sqrt{7} \\ \rho_{12} & \frac{-1}{3\sqrt{\frac{7}{3}}} & \frac{-1}{\sqrt{21}} \end{matrix}$$

```
> # structures.
>
> anova(o.cs, o.un)
     Model df AIC BIC logLik Test L.Ratio p-value
o.cs 1 23 1466.8 1557.3 -710.4
         2 49 1332.9 1525.7 -617.4 1 vs 2 185.92 <.0001
0.11n
> anova(o.arl, o.un)
```

Model df AIC BIC logLik Test L.Ratio p-value

2 49 1332.9 1525.7 -617.4 1 vs 2 31.908 0.1962

> # Compare the fit of various covariance

o.ar1 1 23 1312.8 1403.3 -633.4

o.un

AIC and BIC for Repeated Measures in R

- $AIC = -2\ell(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) + 2k$
- $BIC = -2\ell(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) + k\ln(n)$
- k = number of mean parameters (rank of X)
 + number of variance parameters
- For REML,

n = total number of observations - rank(X)

For ML,

n = total number of observations

Other Variance-Covariance Structures in R

If you are interested in learning about how to fit other variance-covariance structures in R, the following help commands may be useful.

?corClasses

?varClasses

Fitting More Complex Models in R

See RepeatedMeasures.R for several other examples, including

- treating time as a continuous variable and assuming a mean function that is quadratic in time for each program
- assuming random subject-specific coefficients when the mean function is quadratic in time for each program

Example Code for Random Subject-Specific Coefficients

$$y = X\beta + Zu + e$$

$$\boldsymbol{u} \sim N(\boldsymbol{0}, \boldsymbol{G})$$

$$e \sim N(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{R})$$