1. (a) Let

$$a_{ik} = \frac{y_{i1k} + y_{i2k}}{2} = \bar{\mu}_{i.} + p_k + \bar{e}_{i.k}$$

= $\bar{\mu}_{i.} + \varepsilon_{ik}$,

where $\varepsilon_{ik} \equiv p_k + \bar{e}_{i.k}$. Note that the ε_{ik} terms are $iid\ N(0,\sigma^2)$, where $\sigma^2 \equiv \sigma_p^2 + \frac{\sigma_e^2}{2}$. Thus, a two sample t-test can be used to test $H_0: \bar{\mu}_{1.} = \bar{\mu}_{2.}$. From the R output of the analysis of averages, we have

$$t = \frac{84.892 - 80.454}{\sqrt{2.169^2 + 1.534^2}}.$$

(b) Let

$$d_{ik} = y_{i1k} - y_{i2k} = \mu_{i1} - \mu_{i2} + e_{i1k} - e_{i2k}$$

$$\equiv \delta_i + \eta_{ik},$$

where $\delta_i = \mu_{i1} - \mu_{i2}$ and $\eta_{ik} = e_{i1k} - e_{i2k}$. Note that the η_{ik} terms are $iid\ N(0, \sigma_{\eta}^2)$, where $\sigma_{\eta}^2 \equiv 2\sigma_e^2$. The test of infection main effect is a test of $H_0: \frac{\mu_{11} + \mu_{21}}{2} = \frac{\mu_{12} + \mu_{22}}{2} \iff H_0: \mu_{11} - \mu_{21} + \mu_{21} - \mu_{22} = 0 \iff \delta_1 + \delta_2 = 0$. From the last analysis of the differences in R, we can test $H_0: \delta_1 + \delta_2 = 0$ with

$$t = \frac{8.250 + 1.492}{\sqrt{2.439^2 + 1.724^2}}.$$

(c) It is straightforward to see that a test for interaction is a test of $H_0: \delta_1 = \delta_2 \iff H_0: \delta_1 - \delta_2 = 0$. Thus,

$$t = \frac{8.250 - 1.492}{\sqrt{2.439^2 + 1.724^2}}$$

is the relevant test statistic.

(d)
$$\hat{\sigma}_{\eta}^2 = 2\hat{\sigma}_e^2 = 5.974^2 \Longrightarrow \hat{\sigma}_e^2 = \frac{5.974^2}{2}$$
.

(e)
$$\hat{\sigma}^2 = \hat{\sigma}_p^2 + \frac{\hat{\sigma}_e^2}{2} = 5.313^2 \Longrightarrow \hat{\sigma}_p^2 = 5.313^2 - \frac{5.974^2}{4}$$
.

The answers to parts a) through e) above match tests and estimates obtained by fitting the full linear mixed effects model $y = X\beta + Zu + e$.

2. (a)
$$(k-1)(l-1) = kl - k - l + 1$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{2} \sum_{l=1}^{5} \sum_{k=1}^{2} \sum_{l=1}^{2} (\bar{y}_{\cdot \cdot kl} - \bar{y}_{\cdot \cdot \cdot k} - \bar{y}_{\cdot \cdot \cdot l} + \bar{y}_{\cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot})^{2} = 10 \sum_{k=1}^{2} \sum_{l=1}^{2} (\bar{y}_{\cdot \cdot kl} - \bar{y}_{\cdot \cdot \cdot k} - \bar{y}_{\cdot \cdot \cdot l} + \bar{y}_{\cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot})^{2}$$

(b) This is a split-split-plot experiment. $H_0: \bar{\mu}_{1..} = \bar{\mu}_{2..}$ is the null hypothesis that says there is no whole-plot-factor (i.e., Type) main effect. The whole-plot-experimental units correspond to Helmet(Type), so the F statistic for testing H_0 is

$$F = \frac{MS_{Type}}{MS_{Helmet(Type)}} = \frac{226/1}{254/8}.$$

Thus,

$$t = \sqrt{\frac{MS_{Type}}{MS_{Helmet(Type)}}} = \sqrt{\frac{226/1}{254/8}}.$$

(c) From the provided expected mean squares, it is straightforward to see that

$$\frac{MS_{Helmet(Type)} - MS_{Direction \times Helmet(Type)}}{4}$$

has expectation σ_a^2 .

Thus, an unbiased estimator of σ_a^2 takes the value

$$\frac{254/8 - 114/8}{4} = \frac{140}{32} = 4.375.$$

(d) Because we have a balanced design, we know the BLUE of $\bar{\mu}_{12}$. $-\bar{\mu}_{11}$ is $\bar{y}_{1\cdot 2}$. $-\bar{y}_{1\cdot 1}$., which has variance

$$\operatorname{Var}\left(\bar{a}_{1\cdot} + \bar{b}_{1\cdot2} + \bar{e}_{1\cdot2\cdot} - \bar{a}_{1\cdot} - \bar{b}_{1\cdot1} - \bar{e}_{1\cdot1\cdot}\right) = \operatorname{Var}\left(\bar{b}_{1\cdot2} - \bar{b}_{1\cdot1} + \bar{e}_{1\cdot2\cdot} - \bar{e}_{1\cdot1\cdot}\right)$$

$$= \frac{2\sigma_b^2}{5} + \frac{2\sigma_e^2}{5 \times 2}$$

$$= \frac{1}{5}\left(2\sigma_b^2 + \sigma_e^2\right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{5}E\left(MS_{Direction \times Helmet(Type)}\right).$$

Thus,

$$\widehat{\text{Var}}(\bar{y}_{1\cdot 2\cdot} - \bar{y}_{1\cdot 1\cdot}) = \frac{1}{5} \left(\frac{114}{8}\right)$$
$$= \frac{57}{20}$$
$$= 2.85$$

Thus, the confidence interval is $0.5 \pm 2.306 \sqrt{2.85}$, where $t_{0.975,8} = 2.306$ and 8 is DF for $Direction \times Helmet(Type)$.

(e)

$$Var (\bar{y}_{1\cdot 21} - \bar{y}_{1\cdot 11}) = Var (\bar{a}_{1\cdot} + \bar{b}_{1\cdot 2} + \bar{e}_{1\cdot 21} - \bar{a}_{1\cdot} - \bar{b}_{1\cdot 1} - \bar{e}_{1\cdot 11})$$

$$= Var (\bar{b}_{1\cdot 2} - \bar{b}_{1\cdot 1} + \bar{e}_{1\cdot 21} - \bar{e}_{1\cdot 11})$$

$$= \frac{2\sigma_b^2}{5} + \frac{2\sigma_e^2}{5} = \frac{1}{5} (2\sigma_b^2 + 2\sigma_e^2)$$

$$= \frac{1}{5} \left[E (MS_{Direction \times Helmet(Type)}) + E (MS_{Error}) \right].$$

Thus, SE is $\sqrt{\frac{1}{5}\left(\frac{114}{8}+\frac{59}{16}\right)}$. To see that DF for Error is 16, note that $Error = Intensity \times Helmet(Type) + Intensity \times Direction \times Helmet(Type) = 16$. So, DF is $(2-1)\times(5-1)\times2+(2-1)\times(2-1)\times(5-1)\times2=16$. Alternatively, by subtraction, we have (40-1)-(1+1+1+1+1+1+1+8+8)=16.

(f) Because we have a balanced design, we know the BLUE of $\mu_{121} - \mu_{111}$ is $\bar{y}_{1\cdot 21} - \bar{y}_{1\cdot 11}$, which has variance

$$\operatorname{Var}(\bar{y}_{1\cdot 21} - \bar{y}_{1\cdot 11}) = \operatorname{Var}(\bar{a}_{1\cdot} + \bar{b}_{1\cdot 2} + \bar{e}_{1\cdot 21} - \bar{a}_{1\cdot} - \bar{b}_{1\cdot 1} - \bar{e}_{1\cdot 11})
= \operatorname{Var}(\bar{b}_{1\cdot 2} - \bar{b}_{1\cdot 1}) + \operatorname{Var}(\bar{e}_{1\cdot 21} - \bar{e}_{1\cdot 11})
= \frac{2}{5}\sigma_b^2 + \frac{2}{5}\sigma_e^2
= \frac{2}{5}\left[\frac{1}{2}\left\{E\left(MS_{Direction \times Helmet(Type)}\right) + E\left(MS_{Error}\right)\right\}\right].$$

Thus,

$$\widehat{\text{Var}}(\bar{y}_{1\cdot 21} - \bar{y}_{1\cdot 11}) = \frac{1}{5} \left(\frac{114}{8} + \frac{59}{16} \right)$$
$$= \frac{1}{5} \frac{287}{16}$$
$$= 3.5875$$

Thus, a standard error for the BLUE of $\mu_{121} - \mu_{111}$ is $\sqrt{3.5875}$.

3. Let y_{ijk} be the weight gain for drug i (i = 1, 2), dose j (j=1 for dose 0 and j=2 for dose 10), and pig k (k=1,2,3,4 for drug 1 and k=1,2,3 for drug 2). Then, we can suppose

$$y_{ijk} = \mu_{ij} + e_{ijk},$$

where $\mu_{11}, \mu_{12}, \mu_{21}$, and μ_{22} are unknown parameters and $e_{ijk} \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} N(0, \sigma^2)$ for all i, j, and k.

(a) From the slide 60 of set 8,

 $SS(drug|1, dose) = SS(drug \times dose, drug, dose|1) - SS(dose|1) - SS(drug \times dose|1, drug, dose).$

By slide 63 of set 8, $SS(drug \times dose | 1, drug, dose)$ is sum of squares which is relevant to the test for the $drug \times dose$ interaction, where $H_0: \mu_{11} - \mu_{12} - \mu_{21} + \mu_{22} = 0$.

Let
$$\mathbf{c} = (1, -1, -1, 1)'$$
, $\hat{\boldsymbol{\mu}} = (\bar{y}_{11}, \bar{y}_{12}, \bar{y}_{21}, \bar{y}_{22})' = (4, 9, 4, 13)'$ and $\mathbf{X} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{1}_{2 \times 1} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbf{1}_{2 \times 1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \mathbf{1}_{2 \times 1} \end{pmatrix}$.

Then,

$$SS(drug \times dose|1, drug, dose) = (\mathbf{c}'\hat{\boldsymbol{\mu}})' \left[\mathbf{c}'(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{c} \right]^{-1} (\mathbf{c}'\hat{\boldsymbol{\mu}})$$

$$= (\mathbf{c}'\hat{\boldsymbol{\mu}})' \left[\mathbf{c}' \begin{pmatrix} 1/2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1/2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1/2 \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{c} \right]^{-1} (\mathbf{c}'\hat{\boldsymbol{\mu}})$$

$$= 4 \times (2.5)^{-1} \times 4$$

$$= 6.4.$$

Since

$$SS(drug \times dose, drug, dose|1) = 2(\bar{y}_{11} - \bar{y}_{...})^{2} + 2(\bar{y}_{12} - \bar{y}_{...})^{2} + (\bar{y}_{21} - \bar{y}_{...})^{2} + 2(\bar{y}_{22} - \bar{y}_{...})^{2}$$

$$= 2(4 - 8)^{2} + 2(9 - 8)^{2} + (4 - 8)^{2} + 2(13 - 8)^{2}$$

$$= 100$$

and

$$SS(dose|1) = 3(\bar{y}_{.1.} - \bar{y}_{...})^2 + 4(\bar{y}_{.2.} - \bar{y}_{...})^2$$

$$= 3\left(\frac{6+2+4}{3} - 8\right)^2 + 4\left(\frac{12+6+16+10}{4} - 8\right)^2$$

$$= 84,$$

$$SS(drug|1, dose) = SS(drug \times dose, drug, dose|1) - SS(dose|1) - SS(drug \times dose|1, drug, dose)$$

$$= 100 - 84 - 6.4$$

$$= 9.6$$

(b) By slide 63 of set 8, Type III sum of squares for drug is relevant to the test for drug main effect. Let $\mathbf{c} = (1, 1, -1, -1)'$. Then,

$$SS(drug|1, drug, dose, drug \times dose) = (\mathbf{c}'\hat{\boldsymbol{\mu}})' \left[\mathbf{c}'(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{c} \right]^{-1} (\mathbf{c}'\hat{\boldsymbol{\mu}})$$

$$= (\mathbf{c}'\hat{\boldsymbol{\mu}})' \left[\mathbf{c}' \begin{pmatrix} 1/2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1/2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1/2 \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{c} \right]^{-1} (\mathbf{c}'\hat{\boldsymbol{\mu}})$$

$$= (-4) \times (2.5)^{-1} \times (-4)$$

$$= 6.4.$$

4. (a) Because we have a balanced design, we know the BLUE of $\bar{\mu}_2$. $-\bar{\mu}_3$. is \bar{y}_2 ... $-\bar{y}_3$... Thus, \bar{y}_2 ... $-\bar{y}_3$.. will be used to test $H_0: \bar{\mu}_2... -\bar{\mu}_3... = 0$ ($\iff H_0: \bar{\mu}_2... = \bar{\mu}_3.$), which is normally distributed with mean

$$E(\bar{y}_{2..} - \bar{y}_{3..}) = \bar{\mu}_{2.} - \bar{\mu}_{3.}$$

and variance

$$Var (\bar{y}_{2..} - \bar{y}_{3..}) = Var (\bar{p}_{2.} - \bar{p}_{3.} + \bar{e}_{2..} - \bar{e}_{3..})$$

$$= Var (\bar{p}_{2.} - \bar{p}_{3.}) + Var (\bar{e}_{2..} - \bar{e}_{3..})$$

$$= \frac{2\sigma_p^2}{8} + \frac{2\sigma_e^2}{16}$$

$$= \frac{1}{8} (2\sigma_p^2 + \sigma_e^2)$$

$$= \frac{1}{8} E (MS_{Tray \times SoilMoisture}).$$

Since $\bar{y}_{2\cdot\cdot\cdot} - \bar{y}_{3\cdot\cdot\cdot} = \frac{6.3+6.1}{2} - \frac{9.4+8.8}{2} = -2.9$ and $\widehat{\text{Var}}(\bar{y}_{2\cdot\cdot\cdot} - \bar{y}_{3\cdot\cdot\cdot}) = \frac{5.3}{8}$, the test statistics for the null hypothesis, $H_0: \bar{\mu}_{2\cdot\cdot} - \bar{\mu}_{3\cdot\cdot} = 0$ is

$$t = \frac{\bar{y}_{2..} - \bar{y}_{3..}}{\sqrt{\widehat{\text{Var}}(\bar{y}_{2..} - \bar{y}_{3..})}}$$
$$= \frac{-2.9}{\sqrt{5.3/8}}$$

(b) From the Exam 2 solutions, the value of an unbiased estimator for $\sigma_p^2 + \sigma_e^2$ is $\frac{1}{2}MS_{T\times SM} + \frac{1}{2}MS_{Error}$. Thus, the degrees of freedom for test statistic could be approximated by the Cochran-Satterthwaite Method which is as following:

$$d = \frac{\left(0.5MS_{T\times SM} + 0.5MS_{Error}\right)^2}{\frac{(0.5)^2[MS_{T\times SM}]^2}{14} + \frac{(0.5)^2[MS_{Error}]^2}{21}} = \frac{\left\{0.5 \times (5.3 + 3.7)\right\}^2}{\frac{(0.5 \times 5.3)^2}{14} + \frac{(0.5 \times 3.7)^2}{21}} = 30.4702.$$