

Network Practice Examples 9/16

#Short write-up

Dataset 1: Twitter data

This data consists of “circles” from Twitter. Twitter data was crawled from public sources. The dataset includes node features, circles, and ego networks. Edges are directed in this dataset. A total number of 81,306 nodes/ 1,768,148 edges were included in the dataset. They did not mention how the ego were selected, but it is assumed they follow every nodes in the corresponding file.

Dataset 2: Enron Email Network

This dataset covers all the email communication within a dataset of around half million emails. This data was originally made public, and posted to the web, by the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission during its investigation. Nodes of the network are email addresses and if an address i sent at least one email to address j. A total number of 36,692 nodes/ 183,381 edges were included in the dataset.

#Convert the dataset into a network structure

```
#Construct the adjacency from the twitter data
#I only used the data from one ego, since the networks are disconnected for different egos
file1<-read.table(file="./twitter/twitter/100318079.edges")
file1<-file1[order(file1$V2),]
file1$V3<-as.numeric(factor(file1$V2))
file1$V4<-file1$V3[match(file1$V1,file1$V2)]
mat1<-matrix(0L, 221, 221)
for (i in 1:dim(file1)[1]) {
  mat1[file1$V4[i],file1$V3[i]]=1
}
mat1[221,c(1:220)]=1
mat1[c(1:220),221]=1

#Construct the adjacency matrix from the Enron data
file2<-read.table(file="./Enron/email-Enron.txt")
file2$V1<-file2$V1+1
file2$V2<-file2$V2+1
mat2<-matrix(0L,ncol=length(unique(file2$V1)),length(unique(file2$V1)))
for (i in 1:dim(file2)[1]) {
  mat2[file2$V1[i],file2$V2[i]]=1
}
```

#p1 Model

Denote $Pr(Y_{i,j} = y_{i,j}, Y_{j,i} = y_{j,i}) = p(y_{i,j}, y_{j,i})$. Then

$$p(0,0) = c_{i,j}$$

$$p(1,0) = c_{i,j} \exp(\mu_{i,j})$$

$$p(0,1) = c_{i,j} \exp(\mu_{j,i})$$

$$p(1,1) = c_{i,j} \exp(\mu_{i,j} + \mu_{j,i} + \gamma)$$

where $\mu_{i,j} = \alpha_i + \beta_j + \mu$; $\mu_{j,i} = \alpha_j + \beta_i + \mu$; γ is the reciprocal effect; and $c_{i,j} = \frac{1}{1 + \exp(\mu_{i,j}) + \exp(\mu_{j,i}) + \exp(\mu_{i,j} + \mu_{j,i} + \gamma)}$

Question: What is the llk for p1 model?

```
#Fit a p1 model using ergm package
```

```
# The original adjacency matrix is too large, it took forever to run  
# Therefore, I subset samples from the original matrix and make it into a smaller one
```

```
library("ergm")
```

```
## Loading required package: network
```

```
## network: Classes for Relational Data
```

```
## Version 1.15 created on 2019-04-01.
```

```
## copyright (c) 2005, Carter T. Butts, University of California-Irvine
```

```
##           Mark S. Handcock, University of California -- Los Angeles
```

```
##           David R. Hunter, Penn State University
```

```
##           Martina Morris, University of Washington
```

```
##           Skye Bender-deMoll, University of Washington
```

```
## For citation information, type citation("network").
```

```
## Type help("network-package") to get started.
```

```
##
```

```
## ergm: version 3.10.4, created on 2019-06-10
```

```
## Copyright (c) 2019, Mark S. Handcock, University of California -- Los Angeles
```

```
##           David R. Hunter, Penn State University
```

```
##           Carter T. Butts, University of California -- Irvine
```

```
##           Steven M. Goodreau, University of Washington
```

```
##           Pavel N. Krivitsky, University of Wollongong
```

```
##           Martina Morris, University of Washington
```

```
##           with contributions from
```

```
##           Li Wang
```

```
##           Kirk Li, University of Washington
```

```
##           Skye Bender-deMoll, University of Washington
```

```
##           Chad Klumb
```

```
## Based on "statnet" project software (statnet.org).
```

```
## For license and citation information see statnet.org/attribution
```

```
## or type citation("ergm").
```

```
## NOTE: Versions before 3.6.1 had a bug in the implementation of the
```

```
## bd() constraint which distorted the sampled distribution somewhat.
```

```
## In addition, Sampson's Monks datasets had mislabeled vertices. See
```

```
## the NEWS and the documentation for more details.
```

```
## NOTE: Some common term arguments pertaining to vertex attribute
```

```
## and level selection have changed in 3.10.0. See terms help for
```

```
## more details. Use 'options(ergm.term=list(version="3.9.4"))' to
```

```
## use old behavior.
```

```
#Twitter data
```

```
index1<-sample(c(1:ncol(mat1)),20)
```

```
mat1_sub<-mat1[index1,index1]
```

```
ergm(mat1_sub~edges+sender + receiver + mutual)
```

```
## Observed statistic(s) sender5, sender7, sender17, receiver4, and receiver19 are at their smallest at
```

```
## Starting maximum pseudolikelihood estimation (MPLE):
```

```
## Evaluating the predictor and response matrix.
```

```
## Maximizing the pseudolikelihood.
```

```
## Finished MPLE.
```

```

## Starting Monte Carlo maximum likelihood estimation (MCMLE):
## Iteration 1 of at most 20:
## Optimizing with step length 0.9416695933239.
## The log-likelihood improved by 2.091.
## Iteration 2 of at most 20:
## Optimizing with step length 1.
## The log-likelihood improved by 0.1003.
## Step length converged once. Increasing MCMC sample size.
## Iteration 3 of at most 20:
## Optimizing with step length 1.
## The log-likelihood improved by 0.04711.
## Step length converged twice. Stopping.
## Finished MCMLE.

## Evaluating log-likelihood at the estimate. Using 20 bridges: 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16
## This model was fit using MCMC. To examine model diagnostics and
## check for degeneracy, use the mcmc.diagnostics() function.

## MCMC sample of size 4096 based on:
##      edges      sender2      sender3      sender4      sender5      sender6
##      -2.10967    -1.58056    -1.27846    -1.82870         -Inf    -0.84118
##      sender7      sender8      sender9      sender10     sender11     sender12
##      -Inf      -0.53054    -1.96758    -0.44229    -1.35701    -1.38774
##      sender13     sender14     sender15     sender16     sender17     sender18
##      -2.99515    -3.34248    -2.73512    -0.71216         -Inf    -0.94011
##      sender19     sender20     receiver2     receiver3     receiver4     receiver5
##      -2.81241    -2.34789     1.66503     1.20664         -Inf     2.61244
##      receiver6     receiver7     receiver8     receiver9     receiver10     receiver11
##      1.59141      4.43354    -1.51981     0.24622     0.26942    -0.17474
##      receiver12     receiver13     receiver14     receiver15     receiver16     receiver17
##      1.99220      4.06257     0.08898     1.52312     0.88703     0.61137
##      receiver18     receiver19     receiver20      mutual
##      0.61273         -Inf     -0.28727     4.09436
##
## Monte Carlo MLE Coefficients:
##      edges      sender2      sender3      sender4      sender5      sender6
##      -2.0985    -1.6230    -1.3243    -1.8818         -Inf    -0.8770
##      sender7      sender8      sender9      sender10     sender11     sender12
##      -Inf      -0.5535    -2.0540    -0.4774    -1.3941    -1.4045
##      sender13     sender14     sender15     sender16     sender17     sender18
##      -2.9492    -3.4465    -2.7390    -0.7996         -Inf    -0.9836
##      sender19     sender20     receiver2     receiver3     receiver4     receiver5
##      -2.8879    -2.3965     1.7492     1.1790         -Inf     2.6487
##      receiver6     receiver7     receiver8     receiver9     receiver10     receiver11
##      1.6551      4.4372    -1.4756     0.2621     0.3020    -0.1570
##      receiver12     receiver13     receiver14     receiver15     receiver16     receiver17
##      1.9864      4.0593     0.1221     1.5529     0.8829     0.6099
##      receiver18     receiver19     receiver20      mutual
##      0.5913         -Inf     -0.3603     4.1098

```

```

#Enron data
index2<-sample(c(1:ncol(mat2)),20)
mat2_sub<-mat2[index2,index2]
ergm(mat2_sub~edges+sender + receiver + mutual)

## Warning in ergm(mat2_sub ~ edges + sender + receiver + mutual): Network is
## empty and no target stats are specified.

## Observed statistic(s) edges, sender2, sender3, sender4, sender5, sender6, sender7, sender8, sender9,
## All terms are either offsets or extreme values. No optimization is performed.

##
## MLE Coefficients:
## [1] -Inf -Inf -Inf -Inf -Inf -Inf -Inf -Inf -Inf -Inf -Inf -Inf
## [12] -Inf -Inf -Inf -Inf -Inf -Inf -Inf -Inf -Inf -Inf -Inf -Inf
## [23] -Inf -Inf -Inf -Inf -Inf -Inf -Inf -Inf -Inf -Inf -Inf -Inf
## [34] -Inf -Inf -Inf -Inf -Inf -Inf -Inf -Inf

```