Additive Decision Process

1 Introduction

We consider a class of sequential optimization problems whose objective function is additive. Throughout the paper the following data is given:

 $N \geq 2$ is an integer; the total number of stages

 $X = \{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_p\}$ is a finite state space

 $U = \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k\}$ is a finite action space

 $r_n: X \times U \to R^1$ is an *n*-th reward function $(1 \le n \le N)$

 $r_G: X \to R^1$ is a terminal reward function

 $f: X \times U \to X$ is a deterministic transition law

; f(x, u) represents the successor state of x for action u

p is a Markov transition law

$$: \ p(y|x,u) \geq 0 \ \forall (x,u,y) \in X \times U \times X, \quad \sum_{y \in X} p(y|x,u) = 1 \ \forall (x,u) \in X \times U$$

 $y \sim p(\cdot | x, u)$ denotes that next state y conditioned on state x and action u appears with probability p(y|x, u).

2 Stochastic Maximization

We consider the stochastic maximization problem with additive function as follows:

Maximize
$$E[r_1(x_1, u_1) + r_2(x_2, u_2) + \dots + r_N(x_N, u_N) + r_G(x_{N+1})]$$

subject to (i) $x_{n+1} \sim p(\cdot | x_n, u_n)$ (1)
(ii) $u_n \in U$ $n = 1, 2, \dots, N$

where the sequence of states $\{x_2, x_3, \ldots, x_{N+1}\}$ together with a sequence of intermediate actions $\{u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_N\}$ is stochastically generated through an initial state x_1 , the Markov transition law $x_{n+1} \sim p(\cdot | x_n, u_n)$ and a (general or Markov) policy.

2.1 General Policies

First we consider the problem (1) with the set of all general policies. In general, a policy $\sigma = \{\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_N\}$ is a sequence of decision functions

$$\sigma_1: X \to U, \quad \sigma_2: X \times X \to U, \quad \dots , \quad \sigma_N: \underbrace{X \times \dots \times X}_{N \text{ times}} \to U.$$
 (2)

In what follows, in order to distinguish the policy, we call this policy general policy. Note that the size in our data specified in (2) yields k^{p^n} n-th decision functions σ_n (n = 1, 2, ..., N) and $k^{p+p^2+\cdots+p^N}$ general policies σ .

An application of general policy σ at an initial state x_1 generates stochastically the alternate sequence of states and actions $\{u_1, x_2, u_2, x_3, \ldots, u_N, x_{N+1}\}$ as follows:

$$\sigma_{1}(x_{1}) = u_{1} \qquad \rightarrow \qquad p(\cdot | x_{1}, u_{1}) \sim x_{2} \qquad \rightarrow$$

$$\sigma_{2}(x_{1}, x_{2}) = u_{2} \qquad \rightarrow \qquad p(\cdot | x_{2}, u_{2}) \sim x_{3} \qquad \rightarrow$$

$$\vdots \qquad \qquad \vdots$$

$$\sigma_{N}(x_{1}, x_{2}, \dots, x_{N}) = u_{N} \quad \rightarrow \qquad p(\cdot | x_{N}, u_{N}) \sim x_{N+1}.$$

We call this problem general problem. With any general policy $\sigma = \{\sigma_n, \ldots, \sigma_N\}$ over the (N - n + 1)-stage process starting on n-th stage and terminating at the last stage, we associate the expected value:

$$I^{n}(x_{n};\sigma) = \sum_{(x_{n+1},\dots,x_{N})\in X} \{ [r_{n}(x_{n},u_{n}) + \dots + r_{N}(x_{N},u_{N}) + r_{G}(x_{N+1})] \times p(x_{n+1}|x_{n},u_{n}) \dots p(x_{N+1}|x_{N},u_{N}) \}$$
(3)

where $\{u_n, x_{n+1}, u_{n+1}, x_{n+2}, \dots, u_N, x_{N+1}\}$ is stochastically generated through the general policy σ and the starting state x_n as follows:

$$\sigma_{n}(x_{n}) = u_{n} \qquad \rightarrow p(\cdot | x_{n}, u_{n}) \sim x_{n+1} \rightarrow
\sigma_{n+1}(x_{n}, x_{n+1}) = u_{n+1} \qquad \rightarrow p(\cdot | x_{n+1}, u_{n+1}) \sim x_{n+2} \rightarrow
\vdots \qquad \vdots \qquad \qquad \vdots \qquad \qquad (4)$$

$$\sigma_{N}(x_{n}, x_{n+1}, \dots, x_{N}) = u_{N} \rightarrow p(\cdot | x_{N}, u_{N}) \sim x_{N+1}.$$

We define the family of the corresponding general subproblems as follows:

$$V^{N+1}(x_{N+1}) = r_G(x_{N+1}) \qquad x_{N+1} \in X$$

$$V^n(x_n) = \underset{\sigma}{\text{Max}} I^n(x_n; \sigma) \qquad x_n \in X, \quad 1 \le n \le N.$$
(5)

Note that the general problem (1) is identical to (5) with n = 0. Furthermore we should remark that the maximization for the subproblems stated above is taken for all general policies, namely, in problem (5)

$$\sigma_n: X \to U, \quad \sigma_{n+1}: X \times X \to U, \quad \dots, \quad \sigma_N: \underbrace{X \times \dots \times X}_{N-n+1 \text{ times}} \to U.$$

Then we have the recursive formula for the general subproblems:

Theorem 2.1

$$V^{N+1}(x) = r_G(x) x \in X$$

$$V^n(x) = \max_{u \in U} [r_n(x, u) + \sum_{y \in X} V^{n+1}(y) p(y|x, u)] x \in X, 1 \le n \le N. (6)$$

2.2 Markov Policies

In this subsection we restrict the problem (1) to the set of all Markov policies. We call this problem *Markov problem*. Here a policy

$$\pi = \{\pi_1, \, \pi_2, \, \dots, \, \pi_N\}$$

is called Markov if

$$\pi_1: X \to U, \quad \pi_2: X \to U, \quad \dots, \quad \pi_N: X \to U.$$
(7)

Thus, any Markov policy π with an initial state x_1 yields the Markov chain on X and the sequence of the resulting actions as follows:

$$\pi_1(x_1) = u_1 \longrightarrow p(\cdot | x_1, u_1) \sim x_2 \longrightarrow$$

$$\pi_2(x_2) = u_2 \longrightarrow p(\cdot | x_2, u_2) \sim x_3 \longrightarrow$$

$$\vdots \qquad \vdots$$

$$\pi_N(x_N) = u_N \longrightarrow p(\cdot | x_N, u_N) \sim x_{N+1}.$$

We remark that the size in (7) yields $k^p n$ -th decision functions π_n (n = 1, 2, ..., N) and k^{Np} Markov policies π .

Note that any Markov policy $\pi = \{\pi_n, \ldots, \pi_N\}$ over the (N-n+1)-stage process is associated with its expected value $I^n(x_n; \pi)$ defined by (3), where the alternate sequence $\{u_n, x_{n+1}, u_{n+1}, x_{n+2}, \ldots, u_N, x_{N+1}\}$ is similarly generated as in (4). Here we remark that

$$u_n = \pi_n(x_n), \quad u_{n+1} = \pi_{n+1}(x_{n+1}), \quad \cdots, u_N = \pi_N(x_N).$$

We define the corresponding $Markov\ subproblems$ as follows:

$$v^{N+1}(x_{N+1}) = r_G(x_{N+1}) x_{N+1} \in X$$

$$v^n(x_n) = \max_{\pi} I^n(x_n; \pi) x_n \in X, \quad 1 \le n \le N.$$
 (8)

Then (8) with n = 1 reduces to the Markov problem (1). We have the recursive formula for the Markov subproblems:

Theorem 2.2

$$v^{N+1}(x) = r_G(x) x \in X$$

$$v^n(x) = \max_{u \in U} [r_n(x, u) + \sum_{y \in X} v^{n+1}(y)p(y|x, u)] x \in X, 1 \le n \le N.$$
(9)

Theorem 2.3 (i) A Markov policy yields the optimal value function $V^1(\cdot)$ for the general problem. That is, there exists an optimal Markov policy π^* for the general problem (1):

$$I^{1}(x_{1}; \pi^{*}) = V^{1}(x_{1})$$
 for all $x_{1} \in X$.

In fact, letting $\pi_n^*(x)$ be a maximizer of (9) (or (6)) for each $x \in X$, $1 \le n \le N$, we have the optimal Markov policy $\pi^* = \{\pi_1^*, \dots, \pi_N^*\}$.

(ii) The optimal value functions for the Markov subproblems (8) are equal to the optimal value functions for the general subproblems (5):

$$v^n(x) = V^n(x) \qquad x \in X, \quad 1 \le n \le N+1.$$

3 Proof of Theorems

In this subsection we prove Theorems 2.1 - 2.3. We remark that Theorem 2.3 (i) implies Theorem 2.3 (ii) and that a combination of Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 2.3 (ii) yields Theorem 2.2. Thus it suffices to prove Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 2.3 (i). Since there is no essential difficulty in extending the proof to the general N-stage process, we prove both theorems for the two-stage process, namely, for the case N=2.

We note that

$$V^{3}(x_{3}) = r_{G}(x_{3})$$

$$V^{2}(x_{2}) = \underset{\sigma_{2}}{\text{Max}} \sum_{x_{3} \in X} [r_{2}(x_{2}, u_{2}) + r_{G}(x_{3})] p(x_{3}|x_{2}, u_{2})$$
(10)

$$V^{1}(x_{1}) = \underset{\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}}{\text{Max}} \sum_{(x_{2}, x_{3}) \in X \times X} \left\{ \left[r_{1}(x_{1}, u_{1}) + r_{2}(x_{2}, u_{2}) + r_{G}(x_{3}) \right] \right\}$$

$$(11)$$

where $u_2 = \sigma_2(x_2)$ in (10) and $u_1 = \sigma_1(x_1)$, $u_2 = \sigma_2(x_1, x_2)$ in (11), respectively. Thus the equality

$$V^{2}(x_{2}) = \underset{u_{2} \in U}{\text{Max}}[r_{2}(x_{2}, u_{2}) + \sum_{x_{3} \in X} V^{3}(x_{3})p(x_{3}|x_{2}, u_{2})] \quad x_{2} \in X$$

is trivial. We prove

$$V^{1}(x_{1}) = \underset{u_{1} \in U}{\text{Max}}[r_{1}(x_{1}, u_{1}) + \sum_{x_{2} \in X} V^{2}(x_{2})p(x_{2}|x_{1}, u_{1})] \quad x_{1} \in X.$$
(12)

Let us choose an optimal (necessarily Markov) policy σ_2^* for the one-stage process:

$$V^{2}(x_{2}) = I^{2}(x_{2}; \sigma_{2}^{*}) \quad \forall x_{2} \in X.$$
(13)

From the definition (5), we can for each $x_1 \in X$ choose an optimal (not necessarily Markov) policy $\tilde{\sigma} = {\tilde{\sigma}_1, \tilde{\sigma}_2}$ for the two-stage process:

$$V^1(x_1) = I^1(x_1; \tilde{\sigma}) \quad x_1 \in X.$$

Thus we see that

$$V^{1}(x_{1})$$

$$= I^{1}(x_{1}; \tilde{\sigma}_{1}, \tilde{\sigma}_{2})$$

$$= \sum_{(x_{2}, x_{3}) \in X \times X} \{ [r_{1}(x_{1}, u_{1}) + r_{2}(x_{2}, u_{2}) + r_{G}(x_{3})] p(x_{2}|x_{1}, u_{1}) p(x_{3}|x_{2}, u_{2}) \}$$
(14)

where

$$u_1 = \tilde{\sigma}_1(x_1), \ u_2 = \tilde{\sigma}_2(x_1, x_2).$$

Since

$$\sum_{(x_2,x_3)\in X\times X} \{ [r_1(x_1,u_1) + r_2(x_2,u_2) + r_G(x_3)] p(x_2|x_1,u_1) p(x_3|x_2,u_2) \}$$

$$= \sum_{x_2\in X} \{ r_1(x_1,u_1) + \sum_{x_3\in X} [r_2(x_2,u_2) + r_G(x_3)] p(x_3|x_2,u_2) \} p(x_2|x_1,u_1)$$

and

$$\sum_{x_3 \in X} [r_2(x_2, u_2) + r_G(x_3)] p(x_3 | x_2, u_2) \le I^2(x_2; \sigma_2^*) = V^2(x_2) \quad \forall x_2 \in X,$$

we have from (14)

$$V^{1}(x_{1}) \leq \sum_{x_{2} \in X} [r_{1}(x_{1}, u_{1}) + V^{2}(x_{2})] p(x_{2}|x_{1}, u_{1})$$
$$= r_{1}(x_{1}, u_{1}) + \sum_{x_{2} \in X} V^{2}(x_{2}) p(x_{2}|x_{1}, u_{1}).$$

Thus taking maximum over $u \in U$, we get

$$V^{1}(x_{1}) \leq \max_{u_{1} \in U} \left[r_{1}(x_{1}, u_{1}) + \sum_{x_{2} \in X} V^{2}(x_{2}) p(x_{2}|x_{1}, u_{1}) \right] \quad \forall x_{1} \in X.$$

$$(15)$$

On the other hand, let for any $x_1 \in X$, $u^* = u^*(x_1) \in U$ be a maximizer of the right hand side of (15). This defines a Markov decision function

$$\pi_1^*: X \to U \quad \pi_1^*(x_1) = u^*(x_1).$$

Then we have

$$\max_{u_1 \in U} [r_1(x_1, u_1) + \sum_{x_2 \in X} V^2(x_2) p(x_2 | x_1, u_1)]
= r_1(x_1, u_1) + \sum_{x_2 \in X} V^2(x_2) p(x_2 | x_1, u_1) \quad (u_1 = \pi_1^*(x_1)).$$
(16)

From (13), we get

$$V^{2}(x_{2}) = \sum_{x_{2} \in X} [r_{2}(x_{2}, u_{2}) + r_{G}(x_{3})] p(x_{3}|x_{2}, u_{2}) \quad (u_{2} = \sigma_{2}^{*}(x_{2})).$$
(17)

Thus we have from (17)

$$r_{1}(x_{1}, u_{1}) + \sum_{x_{2} \in X} V^{2}(x_{2}) p(x_{2}|x_{1}, u_{1}) \quad (u_{1} = \pi_{1}^{*}(x_{1}))$$

$$= r_{1}(x_{1}, u_{1}) + \sum_{x_{2} \in X} [\sum_{x_{3} \in X} [r_{2}(x_{2}, u_{2}) + r_{G}(x_{3})] p(x_{3}|x_{2}, u_{2})] p(x_{2}|x_{1}, u_{1})$$

$$= \sum_{(x_{2}, x_{3}) \in X \times X} \{ [r_{1}(x_{1}, u_{1}) + r_{2}(x_{2}, u_{2}) + r_{G}(x_{3})] p(x_{2}|x_{1}, u_{1}) p(x_{3}|x_{2}, u_{2}) \}.$$
(18)

Combining (16) and (18), we obtain

Both equations (15) and (19) imply the desired equality (12). This completes the proof of Theorem 2.1.

Furthermore, the equalities in (19) imply that the optimal value function $V^1(\cdot)$ is yielded by the Markov policy $\bar{\pi} = \{\pi_1^*, \sigma_2^*\}$:

$$V^1(x_1) = I^1(x_1; \bar{\pi}) \quad x_1 \in X.$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 2.3 (i).

4 Deterministic Maximization

In this section we consider the deterministic maximization problem with additive function as follows :

Maximize
$$r_1(x_1, u_1) + r_2(x_2, u_2) + \dots + r_N(x_N, u_N) + r_G(x_{N+1})$$

subject to (i) $f(x_n, u_n) = x_{n+1}$ (20)
(ii) $u_n \in U$ $n = 1, 2, \dots, N$.

Note that this problem is the special case of the stochastic maximization problem (1). Through this section the problem (20) with the set of all general (resp. Markov) policies

$$\sigma = {\sigma_1, \, \sigma_2, \, \dots, \, \sigma_N} \quad \text{(resp. } \pi = {\pi_1, \, \pi_2, \, \dots, \, \pi_N} \text{)}$$

is called the general (resp. Markov) problem.

First we consider the general problem. We associate any policy $\sigma = \{\sigma_n, \ldots, \sigma_N\}$ for the (N-n+1)-stage process starting on n-th stage and terminating at the last stage with its value:

$$I^{n}(x_{n};\sigma) = r_{n}(x_{n}, u_{n}) + \dots + r_{N}(x_{N}, u_{N}) + r_{G}(x_{N+1})$$
(21)

where $\{u_n, x_{n+1}, u_{n+1}, x_{n+2}, \dots, u_N, x_{N+1}\}$ is uniquely determined through σ and x_n as follows:

$$\sigma_{n}(x_{n}) = u_{n} \rightarrow f(x_{n}, u_{n}) = x_{n+1} \rightarrow$$

$$\sigma_{n+1}(x_{n}, x_{n+1}) = u_{n+1} \rightarrow f(x_{n+1}, u_{n+1}) = x_{n+2} \rightarrow$$

$$\vdots \qquad \vdots$$

$$\sigma_{N}(x_{1}, x_{1}, \dots, x_{N}) = u_{N} \rightarrow f(x_{N}, u_{N}) = x_{N+1}.$$

$$(22)$$

We consider the following family of subproblems:

$$V^{N+1}(x_{N+1}) = r_G(x_{N+1})$$
 $x_{N+1} \in X$
 $V^n(x_n) = \max_{\sigma} I^n(x_n; \sigma)$ $x_n \in X, \ 1 \le n \le N.$ (23)

Note that the general problem is identical to (23) with n = 0. Then we have the backward recursive formula:

Theorem 4.1

$$V^{N+1}(x) = r_G(x) x \in X$$

$$V^n(x) = \max_{u \in U} [r_n(x, u) + V^{n+1}(f(x, u))] x \in X, 1 \le n \le N. (24)$$

Next we restrict the problem (20) to the set of all Markov policies. Note that any Markov policy $\pi = \{\pi_n, \ldots, \pi_N\}$ over the (N - n + 1)-stage process is associated with its value $I^n(x_n; \pi)$ defined by (21), where the alternate sequence $\{u_n, x_{n+1}, u_{n+1}, x_{n+2}, \ldots, u_N, x_{N+1}\}$ is similarly determined through the Markov policy π and the starting state x_n as in (22).

We define the corresponding Markov subproblems as follows:

$$v^{N+1}(x_{N+1}) = r_G(x_{N+1}) x_{N+1} \in X$$

$$v^n(x_n) = \max_{\pi} I^n(x_n; \pi) x_n \in X, \quad 1 \le n \le N.$$
 (25)

Then (25) with n=1 reduces to the Markov problem. We have the recursive formula for the Markov subproblems :

Theorem 4.2

$$v^{N+1}(x) = r_G(x) x \in X$$

$$v^n(x) = \max_{u \in U} [r_n(x, u) + v^{n+1}(f(x, u))] x \in X, 1 \le n \le N. (26)$$

Theorem 4.3 (i) A Markov policy yields the optimal value function $V^1(\cdot)$ for the general problem. That is, there exists an optimal Markov policy π^* for the general problem (20):

$$I^{1}(x_{1}; \pi^{*}) = V^{1}(x_{1})$$
 for all $x_{1} \in X$.

In fact, letting $\pi_n^*(x)$ be a maximizer of (26) (or (24)) for each $x \in X$, $1 \le n \le N$, we have the optimal Markov policy $\pi^* = \{\pi_1^*, \dots, \pi_N^*\}$.

(ii) The optimal value functions for the Markov subproblems (25) are equal to the optimal value functions for the general subproblems (23):

$$v^{n}(x) = V^{n}(x)$$
 $x \in X, 1 \le n \le N+1.$

Since Theorems 4.1 - 4.3 are special cases of Theorems 2.1 - 2.3 respectively, each theorem in this section is clear.

5 Example

We illustrate the following two-stage, three-state and two-action stochastic decision process:

Maximize
$$E[r_1(u_1) + r_2(u_2) + r_G(x_3)]$$

subject to (i) $x_{n+1} \sim p(\cdot | x_n, u_n)$ $n = 1, 2$ (27)
(ii) $u_1 \in U, u_2 \in U$

where the data is

$$r_G(s_1) = 0.3$$
 $r_G(s_2) = 1.0$ $r_G(s_3) = 0.8$ (28)
 $r_2(a_1) = 1.0$ $r_2(a_2) = 0.6$
 $r_1(a_1) = 0.7$ $r_1(a_2) = 1.0$

$u_t = a_1$				$u_t = a_2$					
$x_t \setminus x_{t+1}$	s_1	s_2	s_3	 $x_t, \setminus x_{t+1}$	s_1	s_2	s_3		
$egin{array}{c} s_1 \ s_2 \ s_3 \end{array}$	0.8	0.1	0.1	s_1	0.1	0.9	0.0		
s_2	0.0	0.1	0.9	$s_1 \\ s_2$	0.8	0.1	0.1		
s_3	0.8	0.1	0.1	s_3	0.1	0.0	0.9		

In order to solve the problem, we directly generate one- and two- stage stochastic decision trees and enumerate all the possible histories together with the related expected values. We call this brute force enumeration method a multi-stage stochastic decision tree method. For any given policy, this tree method traces all the resulting histories. Then it yields the value of the policy. Further, from among all general policies, it selects an optimal policy together with the sequence of optimal value functions. The multi-stage stochastic decision tree method is also applies to non-additive problems (Iwamoto and Fujita(1995), Iwamoto, Tsurusaki and Fujita).

We remark that the size yields
$$2^3 = 8$$
 first decision functions $\sigma_1 = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_1(s_1) \\ \sigma_1(s_2) \\ \sigma_1(s_3) \end{pmatrix}$ and $2^{3\times 3} = 512$

second decision functions

$$\sigma_2 = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_2(s_1, s_1) & \sigma_2(s_2, s_1) & \sigma_2(s_3, s_1) \\ \sigma_2(s_1, s_2) & \sigma_2(s_2, s_2) & \sigma_2(s_3, s_2) \\ \sigma_2(s_1, s_3) & \sigma_2(s_2, s_3) & \sigma_2(s_3, s_3) \end{pmatrix}.$$

As a total, there are $8 \times 512 = 4096$ general policies $\sigma = {\sigma_1, \sigma_2}$ for the problem (27). First, we have from definition (28)

$$V^3(s_1) = 0.3, \quad V^3(s_2) = 1.0, \quad V^3(s_3) = 0.8.$$
 (29)

Second, the decision tree in Figure 1 shows

$$V^2(s_1) = 1.53, \quad V^2(s_2) = 1.82, \quad V^2(s_3) = 1.42.$$
 (30)

Third, the enumeration in Figures 2, 3 and 4 calculates the maximum expected values:

$$V^{1}(s_{1}) = 2.791, \quad V^{1}(s_{2}) = 2.548, \quad V^{1}(s_{3}) = 2.431.$$
 (31)

The calculation yields, at the same time, the optimal policy $\sigma^* = \{\sigma_1^*(x_1), \sigma_2^*(x_1, x_2)\}$:

$$\begin{split} \sigma_1^*(s_1) &= a_2, \quad \sigma_1^*(s_2) = a_2, \quad \sigma_1^*(s_3) = a_2 \\ \sigma_2^*(s_1,s_1) &= a_2, \qquad \sigma_2^*(s_2,s_1) = a_2, \qquad \sigma_2^*(s_3,s_1) = a_2 \\ \sigma_2^*(s_1,s_2) &= a_1, \qquad \sigma_2^*(s_2,s_2) = a_1 \text{ or } a_2, \quad \sigma_2^*(s_3,s_2) = a_1 \\ \sigma_2^*(s_1,s_3) &= a_1 \text{ or } a_2, \quad \sigma_2^*(s_2,s_3) = a_1, \qquad \sigma_2^*(s_3,s_3) = a_1. \end{split}$$

Thus the general policy σ^* reduces a Markov policy $\pi^* = \{\pi_1^*(x_1), \pi_2^*(x_2)\}$:

$$\pi_1^*(s_1) = a_2, \quad \pi_1^*(s_2) = a_2, \quad \pi_1^*(s_3) = a_2$$

 $\pi_2^*(s_1) = a_2, \quad \pi_2^*(s_2) = a_1, \quad \pi_2^*(s_3) = a_1.$

Thus, the Markov policy π^* is optimal. Finally we remark that the pair of optimal value functions (29),(30),(31) and the optimal Markov policy π^* is also obtained by solving either the corresponding recursive equation (6) or (9). Solving the latter is the so-called dynamic programming method.

Figure 1 : all one-stage behaviors from s_1, s_2 and s_3 , and selection of maximum branch

$$\left(V^{2}(x_{2}) = \max_{u_{2}} \sum_{x_{3} \in X} [r_{2}(u_{2}) + r_{G}(x_{3})] p(x_{3}|x_{2}, u_{2}) \quad x_{2} = s_{1}, s_{2}, s_{3}\right)$$

history		ter.	path	sum	mult.	exp.	
	0	$.8 - s_1$	0.3	0.8	1.3	1.04	
	1.0	$0.1 s_2$	1.0	0.1	2.0	0.2	1.42
c. /	a_1	$\underbrace{0.1}_{s_3}$	0.8	0.1	1.8	0.18	
$s_1 < 0.6$	a_2 0	s_1	0.3	0.1	0.9	0.09	
	0.6	$\frac{-0.9}{0.9} s_2$	1.0	0.9	1.6	1.44	1.53
		$\underbrace{0.0}_{s_3}$	0.8	0.0	1.4	0	
$\begin{array}{c c} 1.0 & \\ s_2 & \\ a_1 & \\ a_2 & \\ 0.6 & \\ \end{array}$	0	$0 - s_1$	0.3	0.0	1.3	0	
	1.0	$\frac{0.1}{0.0} s_2$	1.0	0.1	2.0	0.2	1.82
		$\frac{0.9}{0.9} s_3$	0.8	0.9	1.8	1.62	
	a_2 0	$.8 s_1$	0.3	0.8	0.9	0.72	
	0.6	$\frac{0.1}{0.1} s_2$	1.0	0.1	1.6	0.16	1.02
		$\underbrace{0.1}_{s_3}$	0.8	0.1	1.4	0.14	
	0	$.8 s_1$	0.3	0.8	1.3	1.04	
$ s_3 $	1.0	$\frac{0.1}{0.1} s_2$	1.0	0.1	2.0	0.2	1.42
	a_1	s_3	0.8	0.1	1.8	0.18	
	a_2 0	s_1	0.3	0.1	0.9	0.09	
	0.6	$\frac{0.0}{0.0} s_2$	1.0	0.0	1.6	0	1.35
		$\underbrace{0.9}_{s_3}$	0.8	0.9	1.4	1.26	

In Figure 1 we use the following list of simplified notations:

history =
$$x_2$$
 $r_2(u_2) / u_2$ $p(x_3 | x_2, u_2)$ x_3 ter. = terminal value = $r_G(x_3)$ path = path probability = $p(x_3 | x_2, u_2)$ sum = sum of the two = $r_2(u_2) + r_G(x_3)$ mult. = path × sum exp. = expected value.

Further, the italic face means probability, and the bold face denotes a selection of maximum of up expected value or down.

Figure 2 : all two-stage behaviors from s_1 and selection of maximum branch

$$\left(V^{1}(s_{1}) = \max_{u_{1}, u_{2}} \sum_{(x_{2}, x_{3}) \in X \times X} \left\{ \left[r_{1}(u_{1}) + r_{2}(u_{2}) + r_{G}(x_{3}) \right] p(x_{2}|s_{1}, u_{1}) p(x_{3}|x_{2}, u_{2}) \right\} \right)$$

history	ter.	path	sum	mult.	sub.	total
0.8 s ₁	0.3	0.64	2.0	1.28		
1.0 $\frac{0.1}{0.1}$ s_2	1.0	0.08	2.7	0.216	1.696	
s_1 a_1 0.1 s_3	0.8	0.08	2.5	0.2		
$a_2 0.1 s_1$	0.3	0.08	1.6	0.128		
$\frac{0.9}{0.9}$	1.0	0.72	2.3	1.656	1.784	
0.8	0.8	0.0	2.1	0		
$0.0 s_1$	0.3	0.0	2.0	0		
1.0 0.1 s_2	1.0	0.01	2.7	0.027	0.252	
$\int 0.1 s_2 a_1 \frac{0.9}{s_3} s_3$	0.8	0.09	2.5	0.225		2.248
$a_2 0.8 s_1$	0.3	0.08	1.6	0.128		
0.6 0.1 0.1 0.1	1.0	0.01	2.3	0.023	0.172	
$\begin{array}{c c} & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & &$	0.8	0.01	2.1	0.021		
0.8 s_1	0.3	0.08	2.0	0.16		
$0.7'$ 1.0 0.1 s_2	1.0	0.01	2.7	0.027	0.212	
a_1 a_1 a_1 a_1 a_2 a_3	0.8	0.01	2.5	0.025		
s_3 a_2 0.1 s_1	0.3	0.01	1.6	0.016		
0.6 0.6 0.0 0.6 0.6 0.6	1.0	0.0	2.3	0	0.205	
s_1 s_3	0.8	0.09	2.1	0.189		
0.8 s_1	0.3	0.08	2.3	0.184		
$1.0 \phantom{0.0000000000000000000000000000000000$	1.0	0.01	3.0	0.03	0.242	
$s_1 \sim a_1 \qquad b_1 \sim s_3$	0.8	0.01	2.8	0.028		
a_2 a_2 a_2 a_2 a_3 a_4	0.3	0.01	1.9	0.019		
$ 1.0 \rangle / 0.6 \frac{0.9}{0.0} s_2$	1.0	0.09	2.6	0.234	0.253	
$0.1/$ $0.1/$ s_3	0.8	0.0	2.4	0		
0.0 s_1	0.3	0.0	2.3	0		
1.0 0.1 s_2	1.0	0.09	3.0	0.27	2.538	
$\sqrt{0.9} s_2 a_1 v_3 s_3$	0.8	0.81	2.8	2.268		2.791
$a_2 0.8 s_1$	0.3	0.72	1.9	1.368		
0.6 0.1 s_2	1.0	0.09	2.6	0.234	1.818	
$\begin{array}{c c} & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & &$	0.8	0.09	2.4	0.216		
0.0 $0.8 s_1$	0.3	0.0	2.3	0		
1.0	1.0	0.0	3.0	0	0	
a_1 0.1 s_3	0.8	0.0	2.8	0		
s_3 a_2 0.1 s_1	0.3	0.0	1.9	0		
$0.6 \frac{0.0}{0.0} s_2$	1.0	0.0	2.6	0	0	
$0.9 s_3$	0.8	0.0	2.4	0		

Figure 3 : all two-stage behaviors from s_2 and selection of maximum branch

$$\left(V^{1}(s_{2}) = \max_{u_{1}, u_{2}} \sum_{(x_{2}, x_{3}) \in X \times X} \left\{ \left[r_{1}(u_{1}) + r_{2}(u_{2}) + r_{G}(x_{3}) \right] p(x_{2}|s_{2}, u_{1}) p(x_{3}|x_{2}, u_{2}) \right\} \right)$$

history	ter.	path	sum	mult.	sub.	total
0.8 s ₁	0.3	0.0	2.0	0		
1.0 $\frac{0.1}{0.1}$ s_2	1.0	0.0	2.7	0	0	
s_1 a_1 0.1 s_3	0.8	0.0	2.5	0		
$a_2 0.1 s_1$	0.3	0.0	1.6	0		
$\int 0.6 \frac{0.9}{100} s_2$	1.0	0.0	2.3	0	0	
0.0	0.8	0.0	2.1	0		
$0.0 s_1$	0.3	0.0	2.0	0		
1.0 0.1 0.1 0.2	1.0	0.01	2.7	0.027	0.252	
$\int 0.1 s_2 a_1 \frac{0.9}{s_3} s_3$	0.8	0.09	2.5	0.225		2.16
$a_2 0.8 s_1$	0.3	0.08	1.6	0.128		
0.6 0.1 s_2	1.0	0.01	2.3	0.023	0.172	
$\begin{array}{c c} & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & &$	0.8	0.01	2.1	0.021		
0.8 s_1	0.3	0.72	2.0	1.44		
$0.7'$ 10 $\frac{0.1}{3}$ s_2	1.0	0.09	2.7	0.243	1.908	
a_1 a_1 a_1 a_1 a_2 a_3	0.8	0.09	2.5	0.225		
s_3 a_2 0.1 s_1	0.3	0.09	1.6	0.144		
0.6 0.0 0.0 0.0	1.0	0.0	2.3	0	1.845	
s_2	0.8	0.81	2.1	1.701		
0.8 s_1	0.3	0.64	2.3	1.472		
1.0 0.1 s_2	1.0	0.08	3.0	0.24	1.936	
$s_1 \sim a_1 \qquad b_1 \sim s_3$	0.8	0.08	2.8	0.224		
a_2 a_2 a_2 a_3 a_4	0.3	0.08	1.9	0.152		
$ 1.0 \rangle^2 / 0.6 \frac{0.9}{3.0} s_2$	1.0	0.72	2.6	1.872	2.024	
0.8	0.8	0.0	2.4	0		
0.0 s_1	0.3	0.0	2.3	0		
1.0 0.1 s_2	1.0	0.01	3.0	0.03	0.282	
$\sqrt{0.1} \ s_2 \ a_1 \ v.9 \ s_3$	0.8	0.09	2.8	0.252		2.548
$a_2 0.8 s_1$	0.3	0.08	1.9	0.152		
0.6 0.1 s_2	1.0	0.01	2.6	0.026	0.202	
$\begin{array}{c c} & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & &$	0.8	0.01	2.4	0.024		
0.1 0.8 s_1	0.3	0.08	2.3	0.184		
1.0	1.0	0.01	3.0	0.03	0.242	
a_1 a_1 a_3	0.8	0.01	2.8	0.028		
s_3 a_2 0.1 s_1	0.3	0.01	1.9	0.019		
0.6 0.0 s_2	1.0	0.0	2.6	0	0.235	
0.9 s_3	0.8	0.09	2.4	0.216		

Figure 4 : all two-stage behaviors from s_3 and selection of maximum branch

$$\left(V^{1}(s_{3}) = \max_{u_{1}, u_{2}} \sum_{(x_{2}, x_{3}) \in X \times X} \{ [r_{1}(u_{1}) + r_{2}(u_{2}) + r_{G}(x_{3})] p(x_{2}|s_{3}, u_{1}) p(x_{3}|x_{2}, u_{2}) \} \right)$$

history	ter.	path	sum	mult.	sub.	total
0.8 s ₁	0.3	0.64	2.0	1.28		
1.0 $\frac{0.1}{0.1}$ s_2	1.0	0.08	2.7	0.216	1.696	
s_1 a_1 0.1 s_3	0.8	0.08	2.5	0.2		
$a_2 0.1 s_1$	0.3	0.08	1.6	0.128		
$\frac{0.9}{0.9}$	1.0	0.72	2.3	1.656	1.784	
0.8	0.8	0.0	2.1	0		
$0.0 s_1$	0.3	0.0	2.0	0		
1.0 0.1 s_2	1.0	0.01	2.7	0.027	0.252	
$\int 0.1 s_2 a_1 \frac{0.9}{s_3} s_3$	0.8	0.09	2.5	0.225		2.248
$a_2 0.8 s_1$	0.3	0.08	1.6	0.128		
0.6 0.1 s_2	1.0	0.01	2.3	0.023	0.172	
$\begin{array}{c c} & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & &$	0.8	0.01	2.1	0.021		
0.8 s_1	0.3	0.08	2.0	0.16		
$0.7'$ 10 $\frac{0.1}{3}$ s_2	1.0	0.01	2.7	0.027	0.212	
a_1 a_1 a_1 a_1 a_1 a_2 a_3	0.8	0.01	2.5	0.025		
s_3 a_2 0.1 s_1	0.3	0.01	1.6	0.016		
0.6 0.0 0.0 0.0	1.0	0.0	2.3	0	0.205	
s_3	0.8	0.09	2.1	0.189		
0.8 s_1	0.3	0.08	2.3	0.184		
1.0 0.1 s_2	1.0	0.01	3.0	0.03	0.242	
s_1 a_1 s_3	0.8	0.01	2.8	0.028		
a_2 a_2 0.1 s_1	0.3	0.01	1.9	0.019		
$ 1.0 \rangle / 0.6 \frac{0.9}{0.0} s_2$	1.0	0.09	2.6	0.234	0.253	
$0.1/$ $0.1/$ s_3	0.8	0.0	2.4	0		
0.0 s_1	0.3	0.0	2.3	0		
1.0	1.0	0.0	3.0	0	0	
$\sqrt{0.0}$ s_2 a_1 0.9 s_3	0.8	0.0	2.8	0		2.431
$a_2 0.8 s_1$	0.3	0.0	1.9	0		
0.6 0.1 s_2	1.0	0.0	2.6	0	0	
\setminus 0.1 s_3	0.8	0.0	2.4	0		
0.9 0.8 s_1	0.3	0.72	2.3	1.656		
1.0	1.0	0.09	3.0	0.27	2.178	
a_1 a_1 a_3	0.8	0.09	2.8	0.252		
s_3 a_2 0.1 s_1	0.3	0.09	1.9	0.171		
0.6 0.0 s_2	1.0	0.0	2.6	0	2.115	
0.9 s_3	0.8	0.81	2.4	1.944		

In Figures 2, 3 and 4 we use the following notations:

```
history = x_1 r_1(u_1) / u_1 p(x_2 \mid x_1, u_1) x_2 r_2(u_2) / u_2 p(x_3 \mid x_2, u_2) x_3 ter. = terminal value = r_G(x_3) path = path probability = p(x_2 \mid x_1, u_1)p(x_3 \mid x_2, u_2) sum = sum of the three = r_1(u_1) + r_2(u_2) + r_G(x_3) mult. = path × sum sub. = subtotal expected value total = total expected value.
```

References

Bellman, R.E. and Zadeh, L.A. (1970), Decision-making in a fuzzy environment, *Management Sci.*, 17:B141–B164.

Bertsekas, D.P. and Shreve, S.E. (1978), *Stochastic Optimal Control*, Academic Press, New York. Howard, R.A. (1960), *Dynamic Programming and Markov Processes*, MIT Press, Cambridge, Mass.

Iwamoto, S. and Fujita, T. (1995), Stochastic decision-making in a fuzzy environment, J. Operations Res. Soc. Japan, 38:467–482.

Iwamoto, S., Tsurusaki, K. and Fujita, T. , On Markov policies for minimax decision processes, *submitted*.

Puterman, M.L. (1994), Markov Decision Processes: discrete stochastic dynamic programming, Wiley & Sons, New York.

Stokey, N.L. and Lucas Jr., R.E. (1989), Recursive Methods in Economic Dynamics, Harvard Univ. Press, Cambridge, MA.