

Exercises

Yuji Takai

2020-11-15

1 Chapter 1

2 Chapter 2

2.1

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

2.6

2.7

2.8

- (a) Row reduce the augmented matrix $[A|I]$ to determine whether there exists an inverse for A

$$\begin{aligned} [A|I] &= \left[\begin{array}{ccc|ccc} 2 & 3 & 4 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 3 & 4 & 5 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 4 & 5 & 6 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right] \\ &\sim \left[\begin{array}{ccc|ccc} 2 & 3 & 4 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & -2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -2 & 1 \end{array} \right] \end{aligned}$$

Since the third column of the augmented matrix is not a pivot column, A^{-1} does not exist

- (b) Row reduce the augmented matrix $[A|I]$ to determine whether there exists an inverse for A

$$\begin{aligned} [A|I] &= \left[\begin{array}{cccc|cccc} 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right] \\ &\sim \left[\begin{array}{cccc|cccc} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & -2 \end{array} \right] \\ \therefore A^{-1} &= \left[\begin{array}{cccc} 0 & -1 & 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & -2 \end{array} \right] \end{aligned}$$

2.9

(a) To prove A is a subspace of \mathbb{R}^3 , we need to prove that A is not an empty set and A is closed with respect to both inner and outer

1. Let $\vec{v} \in A$. When $\lambda = 0, \mu = 0, \vec{v} = (0, 0, 0)$. This means that $\mathbf{0} \in A$ and thus $A \neq \emptyset$
2. Closure of A
 - With respect to the outer operation: $\forall c \in \mathbb{R}, \forall \vec{x} \in A : c\vec{x} \in A$
 - $c\vec{x} = (c\lambda, c(\lambda + \mu^3), c(\lambda - \mu^3)) \in A$
 - With respect to the inner operation: $\forall \vec{x}, \vec{y} \in A : \vec{x} + \vec{y} \in A$
 - Let $\vec{x} = (\lambda_1, \lambda_1 + \mu_1^3, \lambda_1 - \mu_1^3) \in A$
 - Let $\vec{y} = (\lambda_2, \lambda_2 + \mu_2^3, \lambda_2 - \mu_2^3) \in A$
 - $\vec{x} + \vec{y} = (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2, \lambda_1 + \mu_1^3 + \lambda_2 + \mu_2^3, \lambda_1 - \mu_1^3 + \lambda_2 - \mu_2^3)$
 - Let $\lambda_3 = \lambda_1 + \lambda_2$
 - Then: $\vec{x} + \vec{y} = (\lambda_3, \lambda_3 + \mu_1^3 + \mu_2^3, \lambda_3 - \mu_1^3 - \mu_2^3)$
 - Let $\mu_1^3 + \mu_2^3 = \mu_3^3$
 - Then: $\vec{x} + \vec{y} = (\lambda_3, \lambda_3 + \mu_3^3, \lambda_3 - \mu_3^3) \in A$

(b) To prove B is a subspace of \mathbb{R}^3 , we need to prove that B is not an empty set and B is closed with respect to both inner and outer

- While B is not empty ($\vec{x} = (0, 0, 0) \in B$), B is not closed with respect to outer operation
- Let $\vec{x} = (1, -1, 0) \in B$ and $c = -1$. Then $c\vec{x} = (-1, 1, 0)$. Since there is no number in \mathbb{R} such that its square is less than 0. (only complex numbers are possible).
- Therefore $c\vec{x} \notin B$

(c) To prove C is a subspace of \mathbb{R}^3 , we need to prove that C is not an empty set and C is closed with respect to both inner and outer

1. Let $\vec{v} \in C$. When $\xi_1 = 0, \xi_2 = 0, \xi_3 = 0, \vec{v} = (0, 0, 0)$ with $\gamma = 0$. This means that $\mathbf{0} \in C$ and thus $C \neq \emptyset$
2. Closure of C
 - With respect to the outer operation: $\forall c \in \mathbb{R}, \forall \vec{x} \in A : c\vec{x} \in A$

$$- c\vec{x} = c(\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3) = (\xi'_1, \xi'_2, \xi'_3) \text{ with } \xi'_1 = c\xi_1, \xi'_2 = c\xi_2, \xi'_3 = c\xi_3, \xi'_1 + \xi'_2 + \xi'_3 = c\gamma = \gamma'$$

– Therefore closed wrt outer operation

• With respect to the inner operation: $\forall \vec{x}, \vec{y} \in C : \vec{x} + \vec{y} \in C$

– Since of addition of a number in \mathbb{R} will always yield a number in \mathbb{R} , $\vec{x} + \vec{y} \in C$ if $\vec{x}, \vec{y} \in C$

(d) To prove D is a subspace of \mathbb{R}^3 , we need to prove that D is not an empty set and D is closed with respect to both inner and outer

• While D is not empty ($\vec{x} = (0, 0, 0) \in B$), D is not closed with respect to outer operation

• Let $\vec{x} = (0, 1, 0) \in D$ and $c = 0.5$. Then $c\vec{x} = (0, 0.5, 0)$.

• Since $0.5 \notin \mathbb{R}$, $c\vec{x} \notin D$

2.10

(a) Let $A = [x_1, x_2, x_3]$ and row reduce it to see if all columns are pivots

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & 3 \\ -1 & 1 & -3 \\ 3 & -2 & 8 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$\sim \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

- Since the third column does not have a pivot, the three vectors are not linearly independent
- From the row reduced matrix form, we can find that $x_3 = 2x_1 + (-1x_2)$

(b) Let $A = [x_1, x_2, x_3]$ and row reduce it to see if all columns are pivots

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 2 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$\sim \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

- Since all columns of A have a pivot, the three vectors are linearly independent

2.11

Let $A = [x_1, x_2, x_3]$. We must find x such that $Ax = y$. We will row reduce the augmented matrix $[A|y]$.

$$\begin{aligned} [A|y] &= \left[\begin{array}{ccc|c} 1 & 1 & 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & -1 & -2 \\ 1 & 3 & 1 & 5 \end{array} \right] \\ &\sim \left[\begin{array}{ccc|c} 1 & 0 & 0 & -6 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 \end{array} \right] \end{aligned}$$

We can verify that $-6x_1 + 3x_2 + 2x_3 = y$

2.12

When a vector $\vec{v} \in U_1 \cap U_2$, then there must be \vec{x} and \vec{y} such that $U_1\vec{x} = U_2\vec{y} = \vec{v}$. It is clear that \vec{v} will be a linear combination of the basis of $U_1 \cap U_2$. We can get that $U_1\vec{x} - U_2\vec{y} = \vec{0}$. Let $A = [U_1 - U_2]$. Let's solve for the homogenous equation $A\vec{x} = \vec{0}$:

$$\begin{aligned} A &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & -1 & 1 & -2 & 3 \\ 1 & -1 & 1 & 2 & 2 & -6 \\ -3 & 0 & -1 & -2 & 0 & 2 \\ 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \\ &\sim \begin{bmatrix} 9 & 0 & 3 & 0 & -4 & 8 \\ 0 & 9 & -6 & 0 & -10 & 20 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 3 & 2 & -7 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

We can easily find that the nullspace of A is

$$\text{span} \left(\begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 4 \\ 10 \\ 0 \\ -6 \\ 9 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} -8 \\ -20 \\ 0 \\ 21 \\ 0 \\ 9 \end{bmatrix} \right)$$

Now, the interesting part of these three vectors is that, \vec{x} is a linear combination of the upper half of the 3 vectors in the basis and \vec{y} is the linear combination of the

lower half of the 3 vectors in the basis. To get the basis of $U_1 \cap U_2$, we simply need to compute the result of multiplying U_1 and 3 vectors that are the upper half of the 3 vectors in the basis of nullspace of A.

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 & 1 \\ -3 & 0 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix} &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \\ \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 & 1 \\ -3 & 0 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 4 \\ 10 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} &= \begin{bmatrix} 24 \\ -6 \\ -12 \\ -6 \end{bmatrix} \\ \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 & 1 \\ -3 & 0 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -8 \\ -20 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} &= \begin{bmatrix} -48 \\ 12 \\ 24 \\ 12 \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

This means that:

$$U_1 \cap U_2 = \text{span} \left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 24 \\ -6 \\ -12 \\ -6 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} -48 \\ 12 \\ 24 \\ 12 \end{bmatrix} \right)$$

Therefore the basis of $U_1 \cap U_2$ is:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 4 \\ -1 \\ -2 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

2.13

2.14

2.15

2.16

2.17

2.18