

MATH 329 Nonlinear Optimization

HW1. GD and Convexity

Yujun Kim

$$\begin{aligned}
 1. f(\theta) &= -\log l(\theta) \\
 &= -\log \left(\prod_{i=1}^m \sigma(\langle x_i, x \rangle + b)^{y_i} \sigma(-\langle x_i, x \rangle - b)^{1-y_i} \right) \\
 &= -\log \left(\prod_{i=1}^m \sigma(\langle \tilde{x}_i, \theta \rangle)^{y_i} \sigma(-\langle \tilde{x}_i, \theta \rangle)^{1-y_i} \right) \\
 &= \sum_{i=1}^m y_i \log \frac{1}{\sigma(\langle \tilde{x}_i, \theta \rangle)} + \sum_{i=1}^m (1-y_i) \log \frac{1}{\sigma(-\langle \tilde{x}_i, \theta \rangle)} \\
 \frac{1}{\sigma(\langle \tilde{x}_i, \theta \rangle)} &= 1 + e^{-\langle \tilde{x}_i, \theta \rangle}, \quad \frac{1}{\sigma(-\langle \tilde{x}_i, \theta \rangle)} = 1 + e^{\langle \tilde{x}_i, \theta \rangle}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence, the statement holds.

$$2. \text{ Let } \varphi(z) = \log(1 + e^z). \text{ Then, } \varphi'(z) = \frac{e^z}{1 + e^z} = 1 - \frac{1}{1 + e^z}.$$

As $z \mapsto 1 + e^z$ is inc, $w \mapsto 1 - 1/w$ is increasing, it follows that φ' is inc.

Thus, φ is convex.

3. Convexity is preserved under addition, composition^{with linear map}, and scalar multiplication by nonnegative.

For any $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, $\theta \mapsto \langle \tilde{x}, \theta \rangle$ is linear

$\theta \mapsto \langle -\tilde{x}, \theta \rangle$ is linear.

With 2, we have f : convex.

$$\begin{aligned}
 4. \text{ By conv, } f(\theta) &\geq f(\phi) + \langle \nabla f(\phi), \theta - \phi \rangle \quad f(\phi) \geq f(\theta) + \langle \nabla f(\theta), \phi - \theta \rangle \\
 &\Rightarrow \langle \nabla f(\phi) - \nabla f(\theta), \phi - \theta \rangle \geq 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Thus, } \langle \nabla f(\theta) - \nabla f(\phi), \theta - \phi \rangle &= \langle \nabla f(\theta) - \nabla f(\phi) + \lambda(\theta - \phi), \theta - \phi \rangle \\
 &\geq \lambda \|\theta - \phi\|^2.
 \end{aligned}$$

5. Suppose f_λ attains min at

$$|\langle \tilde{x}_i, \theta \rangle| \leq \|\tilde{x}_i\| \|\theta\|$$

$$\langle \nabla f_\lambda(\theta), \theta \rangle = \langle \nabla f(\theta) + \lambda \theta, \theta \rangle$$

$$= \langle \nabla f(\theta), \theta \rangle + \lambda \langle \theta, \theta \rangle$$

$$= \langle \nabla f(\theta) - \nabla f(w), \theta - w \rangle + \langle \nabla f(w), \theta \rangle + \lambda \|\theta\|^2$$

$$\geq \lambda \|\theta\|^2 - \|\nabla f(w)\| \|\theta\| = \lambda \left(\|\theta\| - \frac{\|\nabla f(w)\|}{2\lambda} \right)^2 - \frac{\|\nabla f(w)\|^2}{4\lambda}$$

If $\|\theta\| \geq \frac{\|\nabla f(w)\|}{2\lambda} = r_\lambda$, then $\exists \phi$ with $\|\phi\| \leq r_\lambda$ s.t. $f_\lambda(\phi) \leq f_\lambda(\theta)$

Thus, $\min_{\|\theta\| \leq r_\lambda} f_\lambda(\theta)$ is global minima. (\exists by compactness of $\{\theta \mid \|\theta\| \leq r_\lambda\}$).

Uniqueness and $\nabla f_\lambda(\theta) = 0$ at minima is obvious.

$$6. \nabla f_\lambda(\theta) = \nabla f(\theta) + \lambda \theta.$$

$$\theta \mapsto \log(1 + e^{\langle \tilde{x}, \theta \rangle}) \Rightarrow \nabla \psi(\theta) = \frac{e^{\langle \tilde{x}, \theta \rangle}}{1 + e^{\langle \tilde{x}, \theta \rangle}} \cdot \tilde{x}.$$

$$\Rightarrow \nabla f(\theta) = \sum_{i=1}^m y_i \frac{e^{-\langle \tilde{x}_i, \theta \rangle}}{1 + e^{-\langle \tilde{x}_i, \theta \rangle}} (-\tilde{x}_i) + \sum_{i=1}^m (1 - y_i) \frac{e^{\langle \tilde{x}_i, \theta \rangle}}{1 + e^{\langle \tilde{x}_i, \theta \rangle}} \tilde{x}_i$$