# Theory of Computation Homework 1

313782 Gregory Richard 324944 Damian Kopp 350510 Kim Yujun 329975 Khalil El Ajeri Yiran Li

March 2022

## Problem 1

Claim: If the input string x with length l is the empty word, only contains 0s, or ends with "00", M finishes in q1. If x ends with "1" and not "101", M finishes in q2. If x ends with "10", M finishes in q3. If x ends with "101", M finishes in q4.

Base case: If l = 0 then x is the empty string so it finishes in q1 (starting state).

Induction hypothesis: Suppose that the claim is true for all l < n, where n > 0.

Induction step: Let l = n and let x' be the first n - 1 digits of x. Since the length of x' is less than n the induction hypothesis applies. We have 4 cases:

- 1. Suppose x' is empty, only contains 0s, or ends with "00" (so one step before the last we are at q1 by the hypothesis). Considering the last input digit, if it is a "0", x still only contains 0s or ends with "00" and indeed M stays at q1. If however the input is "1", x ends with "1" and not "101" and indeed M transitions to q2 and finishes.
- 2. Suppose x' ends with "1" and not "101" (so one step before the last we are at q2 by the hypothesis) and consider the last input digit. If the input is "0", x ends with "10" and M indeed transitions to q3. If however the input is "1", x still ends with "1" and not "101" and indeed M stays at q2.
- 3. Suppose x' ends with "10" (so one step before the last we are at q3 by the hypothesis) and consider the last input digit. If the input is "0", x

ends with "00" and indeed M transitions to q1. If however the input is "1", x ends with "101" and indeed M transitions to q4.

4. Suppose x' ends with "101" (so one step before the last we are at q4 by the hypothesis) and consider the last input digit. If the input is "0", x ends with "10" and indeed M transitions to q3. If however the input is "1", x ends with "1" and not "101" and M indeed transitions to q2.

The hypothesis therefore holds for l = n and this completes the proof.

### Problem 2

#### 2a

We use contrapositive of  $Pumping\ lemma$  to prove regular languages are not closed under tripling. Let  $L=\{0,1\}^*$  be collection of all finite sequence of 0's and 1's. Then, L is regular with the trivial DFA that accepts the start state and remains in the same state.

However,  $L^3$  is not regular. Suppose  $L^3$  is regular with pumping length p. As  $0^k 1 \in L$ , we have

$$w = 0^k 10^k 10^k 1 \in L^3$$

Note that  $|w| \ge p$ . Let w = xyz be the decomposition satisfying conditions of pumping lemma. In particular,  $|xy| \le p$ , |y| > 0, and  $xy^iz \in L^3$  for all  $i \ge 0$ . As first p letters of w is only  $0, y = 0^k$  for some  $0 < k \le p$ .

Then,  $xy^2z = 0^{p+k}10^p10^p1 \notin L^3$ . Suppose  $xy^2z = uuu$  for some  $u \in L$ . As then  $xy^2z$  contains exactly three 1's, u should contain exactly one 1. Also, u should end same as  $uuu = xy^2z$ , with the letter 1. By dividing  $xy^2z$  right after each 1, we get three substrings which all should be u. If we see the first substring we get  $u = 0^{p+k}1$ . However, if we see the last substring, we get  $u = 0^p$ . This gives contradiction as k > 0. Thus,  $L^3$  is not regular.

### 2b

Here, we suppose that the language  $L \subseteq \Sigma^*$  is regular. So if L is regular, then there exists a corresponding DFA  $M = (Q_M, \Sigma, \delta_M, q_1, F_M)$ . Now, we want to find an NFA that describes  $L^3$  to conclude that  $L^3$  is regular. We notice, that if we input a string w into M that lands on an accepting state  $q^* \in F_M$ , we can link it to an exact copy of M we are going to name M' with an  $\epsilon$ -transition.

Giving that an alphabet is a unary one, there is only one possible type of transition possible. Thus, we can construct an NFA made of 3 DFAs

that are similar to M with  $\epsilon$ -transitions between the accepting states of the DFAs and the starting states. If w does not hold for  $L^3$ , it will not hold for L too so the NFA will fail in the first part of it. However, if it reaches the accepting state of the first automaton, it will reach the overall accepting state.

Refer the following figure

i) Here, we suppose that the longuage L = 2 to regular. So If Lionegular, Then there exists a corresponding DFA M = (PM, Z, SM, 9, 1 fm). Now, we want to gird and FA that describes L3 to conclude that L3 is regular. We notice that if we imput a string we into M. That lands on the accepting state 9\* E Fr., we can link it to the exact copy of M we going to name M' with an E- Transition Giving that our alphabet is a wrang one, There is only one Type of Fronzi tion possible. Thus, wo can construct an NFA made of 3 th some DFA s some as M. with E- Pressitions between the accepting states of exclane see of The DTP's and The stating States. If w doesn't hold for 23, it want hold for L To so our NFA will fail in the first part of it But, if it reaches the accepting state of the first mutomatory it will reach the overall accepting prate?

Figure 1: Problem 2b