Title: Inetgrated spatial model estimates the fish distribution using environmental DNA and catch data

Yuki Kanamori^{1*}, Hiroshi Okamura², Shota Nishijima², Yuki Hongo², Yasuyuki Uto³, Hisatoku Mita⁴, Mitsuhiyo Ishii⁴, Kiyoharu Akimoto⁵, and Akane Kusano⁶

4

5

6

Email: kana.yuki@fra.affrc.go.jp

¹ Fisheries Resources Institute, Japan Fisheries Research and Education Agency, 25-259 Shimomekurakubo, Samemachi, Hachinohe, Aomori 031-0841, Japan

² Fisheries Resources Institute, Japan Fisheries Research and Education Agency, 2-12-4 Fukuura, Kanazawa, Yokohama, Kanagawa 236-8648, Japan

^{*} Corresponding author

Abstract

Keywords

1 Introduction

Understanding of spatial distribution of species and underling its mechanism is a essential ssue in ecology. Field surveys using environmental DNA (eDNA) are widely used for detecting invasive or rare species and hotspot of biodiversity (面倒なのでレビュー論文を 引用) because the surveys of eDNA are easy to detect presence/absence of target species, non-invasiveness, and high cost effectiveness rather than previous direct sampling method (Rees et al. 2014; Thomsen & Willerslev 2015 However, the presence/absence of eDNA includes many types of uncertainties due to relating to environmental factors such as temperature and advection (). For example, in aquatic habitats, it is not sure whether target species are in a location or not when eDNA of target species is detected because eDNA are transported passively. Therefore, the consideration to the influence of environmental factors 11 on eDNA is necessary for estimation of species distribution when we use eDNA methods. 12 One step towards overcoming these uncertainties is a understanding of the "ecology of 13 eDNA": (Barnes & Turner 2016). Previous studies Integrated species distribution models (IDMs) are now common spatial model to 15 predict spatial pattern of species (Issac et al. 2020). The model use the different type of data 16 with strengths and weaknesses, such as scientific survey data which is restricted spatially 17 and quantitatively and opportunistic citizen data which is widely collected and abundant, 18 and combine in a single model (Isaac et al. 2020; Miller et al. 2019). 19 The models combine the different type of data with strengths and weaknesses in a single 20 model (). For example, scientific survey data are high quality but less abundant due to restriction of spatially costly while opportunistic data such as citizen data are widely

collected and abundant but may be low quality due to not using consistent field methods. Combining both types of data can capitalize on the strengths of each data and perform better prediction than models when we use single data (Pacifici et al. 2017; Miller et al. 2019). Tokyo Bay is a large enclosed coastal sea in Japan. In Tokyo Bay, there are many 26 commercially important species for fisheries that are called "Edomae" because these species have been used for Sushi since Edo Era (about 400 years ago). Catch of some Edomae have 28 been decreased because of habitat modification due to urbanization (e.g., landfill of tidal 29 flats and water pollution). Catch statistics (total catch in each species, efforts, and geographic location of fishing) have been collected for stock assessment since 1990 by prefectures around Tokyo Bay. The strengths of this data are the direct evidence that a focal species occupies a location of fishing and abundant because of widely collected in Tokyo Bay. On the other hand, weakness of this data is like a opportunistic data because the data is likely to be biased towards areas to high density of focal species due to commercially fishes, consequently less zero data. In addition to this catch statistics, scientific survey of eDNA 36 has been conducted monthly since 2018 for biodiversity monitoring because biodiversity 37 also may decreased due to human-induced environmental changes in Tokyo Bay (Hongo et al., submitted). The strengths are that the data is systematically collected by scientific survey 39 data and includes zero data, while the weaknesses are that the data is less abundant due to 40 spatial restriction of the survey and includes uncertainties in presence/absence as description in above. 42

In this paper, to predict spatial distribution of species from eDNA, we first make a model which considers uncertainties of eDNA caused by environmental factors without additional laboratory experiments and numerical hydrodynamic models, by using an

- integrated spatial distribution model (eDNA-IDM). We then apply the model to both eDNA
- data and catch statistics for four Edomae fish in Tokyo Bay, Japan. The predicted spatial
- 48 distribution of four fish form our model reduced

49

50 Materials and Methods

51 2.1 A general model to estimate species distribution from eDNA

52 2.2 An application to a eDNA and catch data in Tokyo Bay

53 2.2.1 eDNA data

54 2.2.1.1 Field survey

- 55 Field surveys were conducted at 14 sites in Tokyo Bay from April to December in 2018
- using R/V Fusanami or R/V Fusami-maru of Chiba Prefecture and R/V Enoshima-maru of
- 57 Kanagawa Prefecture (Fig. 1). In each station, seawater for eDNA analysis and
- environmental data were simultaneously collected. For eDNA analysis, two litter of bottom
- seawater was collected using a Niskin water sampler and were separated for two 1L
- samples. Each samples filtered glass fiber membrane GF/F (0.7 μm pore size; Cytiva,
- Sheffield, UK) onboard and then the filters were frozen on a block of dry ice. These frozen
- filters were transported and stored at -30° in the laboratory until eDNA extraction. To lower
- the levels of cross-contamination, equipments for eDNA sampling were changed new one or
- washed in each site. During sampling the bottom seawater, water temperature, salinity, pH,
- and dissolved oxygen (DO) were measured by CTD ($\forall \neg \neg \neg$).

66 2.2.1.1 Laboratory experiments

In laboratory, eDNA extraction, eDNA amplification, and eDNA sequence were conducted.

Total eDNA was extracted from the frozen filters using a DNeasy Blood and Tissue Kit

69 (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) following Yamamoto et al. 2019. Mitochondorial 12S rRNA

₇₀ gene was amplified using MiFish universal primers referring to Miya et al. 2015 with slight

👊 modification. The details was shown in Hongo et al. (受理されてないようだったら書くし

72 かない). eDNA sequence were

73 **2.2.2 Catch statistics**

A part of catch statistics of small-scale bottom trawl fisheries recorded by several

representative boats of Chiba Prefecture were provided by Chiba Prefecture. This data

⁷⁶ included date, geographic location, efforts (number of tows), gear, and catch weight (kg) in

each fish. Almost of all gear was beam trawl although dredge net also used. The species

which also detected by eDNA was Conger myriaster (マアナゴ), Kareius bicoloratus (イシ

⁷⁹ ガレイ), Lateolabrax japonicus (スズキ), and Konosirus punctatus (コノシロ). Thus, we

estimated the spatial distribution of these four species using the eDNA-IDM. $\forall \exists \vec{n} \lor \vec{l}$,

81 カマス類, クロダイ, イシモチ類も解析できる??

2.2.3 Estimation of spatial distribution

83 equation examples

logit
$$p_i = \beta_p(t_i) + \omega_p(s_i) + \varepsilon_p(s_i, t_i) + \eta_p(v_i) + \lambda_p Q(i)$$

log $d_i = \beta_d(t_i) + \omega_d(s_i) + \varepsilon_d(s_i, t_i) + \eta_d(v_i) + \lambda_d Q(i)$

$$(1)$$

where $\beta(t_i)$ is the intercept for year t, and $\omega(s_i)$ and $\varepsilon(s_i, t_i)$ are the spatial and

spatio-temporal random effects for year t and location s, respectively. $\eta(v_i)$ is the overdispersion random effect of factor v_i , which is the interaction of year and month. λ is the effect of the chatchability covariate Q(i):

$$Q(i) = \log(d_{chub}(s_i) + 0.1).$$

That is, this term considers the effect of species misidentification between chub mackerel and spotted mackerel; as mentioned earlier, we suspected overestimation of egg density of spotted mackerel because the difference in egg diameter has become ambiguous according to increase in egg density of chub mackerel and the distributions of egg diameters between species have overlapped (Yukami et al., 2019). The constant 0.1 was added because log0 (i.e., no chub mackerel eggs) is undefined, and the same result was obtained when using 1 in place of 0.1.

96 Acknowledgments

⁹⁷ This research was financially supported by Grant-in-Aid for Fisheries Agency of Japan.

98 Authorship

YK conceived of the research idea. YH, YU, HM, MI, KA, and AK conducted field sampling. YH performed the laboratory experiments. YK, HO, and SN designed statistical analyses. YK wrote programs and performed the analyses. YK wrote the manuscript with input from all co-authors' comments.