Addressing Nonresponse Bias in Surveys: The Role of Auxiliary Variables and Adjustment Techniques

Yaning Jin

February 5, 2024

In the realm of survey methodology, nonresponse bias presents a significant challenge, threatening the validity of research findings. As response rates continue to decline across various modes of data collection, the importance of effectively assessing and correcting for this bias cannot be overstated. This paper delves into the utilization of auxiliary variables and advanced statistical adjustment techniques as essential tools in the survey methodologist's arsenal for combating nonresponse bias. Drawing upon the insights from the Special Virtual Issue on Nonresponse Rates and Nonresponse Adjustments curated by Kristen Olson and Katherine Jenny Thompson, this analysis underscores the critical role of these methodologies in enhancing the accuracy and reliability of survey estimates.

Table of contents

1 Introduction

The landscape of survey research is increasingly marred by the challenge of declining response rates, a trend observed across multiple modes of data collection, including face-to-face, telephone, and web-based surveys(Williams and Brick 2018) (Dutwin and Buskirk 2021) (Daikeler, Bošnjak, and Lozar Manfreda 2020). This decline not only raises concerns about the efficiency of survey processes but also, more critically, about the potential for nonresponse bias. Nonresponse bias occurs when the characteristics of non-respondents differ from those of respondents in ways that are relevant to the survey's outcomes, thereby skewing results, The trajectory of survey research, therefore, is increasingly directed towards methodological rigor and innovation, with an emphasis on developing and applying strategies that can mitigate the impact of declining participation rates on survey quality and reliability. In this context, the challenge of declining response rates transcends the operational difficulties of data collection, presenting a fundamental threat to the validity of survey research. The work of researchers like Groves, Peytcheva (Groves and Peytcheva 2008), and others serves as a critical foundation for ongoing efforts to confront this issue, highlighting the imperative for a strategic and methodologically sophisticated response to the evolving dynamics of survey participation.

2 The Role of Auxiliary Variables in Assessing Nonresponse Bias

Auxiliary variables, which are information known about all sample units regardless of their response status, play a pivotal role in assessing and correcting for nonresponse bias. These variables, ideally predictive of both response propensity and key survey outcomes, enable researchers to gauge the extent of nonresponse bias and to implement adjustments aimed at mitigating its impact. Peytchev, Presser, and Zhang (Peytchev, Presser, and Zhang 2018) demonstrate the utility of variables such as civic engagement activities (e.g., volunteering and voting) in predicting survey participation. These findings underscore the importance of selecting auxiliary variables that not only correlate with response likelihood but also with the main variables of interest. If the auxiliary variables selected are strongly predictive of the survey's key outcomes, they can significantly enhance the accuracy of the adjustments made for nonresponse bias. This enhanced accuracy is vital for ensuring that the results of the survey faithfully represent the target population, even in the face of varying response rates across different segments of that population. In essence, the careful selection of auxiliary variables goes beyond mere correlation with response likelihood. It involves a strategic choice to include variables that are inherently linked to the survey's central themes, ensuring that any adjustments made to account for nonresponse not only address the imbalance in response rates but also align closely with the survey's ultimate goals and objectives. This strategic alignment facilitates more accurate and meaningful adjustments, thereby preserving the integrity of the survey's conclusions and enhancing the overall quality of the research.

3 Statistical Adjustment Techniques for Nonresponse

Beyond the identification of suitable auxiliary variables, the application of advanced statistical techniques for nonresponse adjustment is paramount. Calibration weighting, for instance, leverages auxiliary information to align the sample composition with that of the target population, thereby reducing bias. S rndal and Lundquist (Lundström and Särndal 1999) emphasize the effectiveness of calibration adjustments in achieving a more representative respondent set, which in turn enhances the accuracy of survey estimates. Furthermore, multiple imputation offers a robust approach for dealing with missing data, allowing for the incorporation of uncertainty associated with the imputation process into the variance estimates of survey outcomes.

4 The Efficacy of Adjustment Methods in Practice

Han and Valliant (Han and Valliant 2021) evaluate various calibration models, demonstrating their effectiveness under certain conditions. Similarly, the work of Berg, Kim, and Skinner (Berg, Kim, and Skinner 2016) on fractional imputation underscores the importance of considering the sample's response mechanism in the adjustment process. The empirical application of these methodologies reveals their potential in mitigating nonresponse bias. These studies collectively highlight the nuanced decision-making required in selecting and implementing adjustment techniques, emphasizing the need for a deep understanding of the survey's context and the characteristics of nonresponse.

5 Conclusion and Future Directions

As the landscape of survey research continues to grapple with the pervasive issue of nonresponse, the role of auxiliary variables and statistical adjustment techniques becomes increasingly paramount. These tools and methodologies are not merely academic exercises but are essential components in safeguarding the credibility and validity of survey findings. The insights provided by the Special Virtual Issue on Nonresponse Rates and Nonresponse Adjustments represent a critical resource for researchers. They illuminate the path forward amidst the complexity of modern survey design and execution, offering proven strategies to contend with the problem of nonresponse. Looking forward, the survey research community must remain committed to the exploration of innovative methodologies for nonresponse adjustment. This entails a continuous process of experimentation, evaluation, and refinement of techniques to address the evolving challenges of survey participation. Moreover, the diligent application of best practices in nonresponse adjustment will be essential. This includes not only the technical aspects of implementing statistical adjustments but also the ethical considerations in ensuring that survey practices respect participant autonomy while striving for inclusivity and representativeness. In conclusion, the integrity of survey research in the face of declining response rates

demands a proactive and innovative approach to nonresponse. The insights from specialized literature and the ongoing development of methodological advancements are vital in guiding this effort. By continuing to refine and apply these sophisticated techniques, the research community can ensure the accuracy, reliability, and relevance of survey-based research, thereby contributing valuable insights to the understanding of societal trends and behaviors.

Berg, Emily, Jae-Kwang Kim, and Chris Skinner. 2016. "Imputation Under Informative Sampling." *Journal of Survey Statistics and Methodology* 4 (4): 436–62.

Daikeler, Jessica, Michael Bošnjak, and Katja Lozar Manfreda. 2020. "Web Versus Other Survey Modes: An Updated and Extended Meta-Analysis Comparing Response Rates." *Journal of Survey Statistics and Methodology* 8 (3): 513–39.

Dutwin, David, and Trent D Buskirk. 2021. "Telephone Sample Surveys: Dearly Beloved or Nearly Departed? Trends in Survey Errors in the Era of Declining Response Rates." *Journal of Survey Statistics and Methodology* 9 (3): 353–80.

Groves, Robert M, and Emilia Peytcheva. 2008. "The Impact of Nonresponse Rates on Nonresponse Bias: A Meta-Analysis." *Public Opinion Quarterly* 72 (2): 167–89.

Han, Daifeng, and Richard Valliant. 2021. "Effects of Outcome and Response Models on Single-Step Calibration Estimators." *Journal of Survey Statistics and Methodology* 9 (3): 574–97.

Lundström, Sixten, and Carl-Erik Särndal. 1999. "Calibration as a Standard Method for Treatment of Nonresponse." *Journal of Official Statistics* 15 (2): 305.

Peytchev, Andy, Stanley Presser, and Mengmeng Zhang. 2018. "Improving Traditional Nonresponse Bias Adjustments: Combining Statistical Properties with Social Theory." *Journal of Survey Statistics and Methodology* 6 (4): 491–515.

Williams, Douglas, and J Michael Brick. 2018. "Trends in US Face-to-Face Household Survey Nonresponse and Level of Effort." *Journal of Survey Statistics and Methodology* 6 (2): 186–211.